

## THE NATURAL AFFINORS ON HIGHER ORDER PRINCIPAL PROLONGATIONS

JAN KUREK AND WŁODZIMIERZ M. MIKULSKI

(Communicated by Kazım İLARSLAN )

ABSTRACT. Let  $P \rightarrow M$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle with  $m$ -dimensional basis, where  $G$  is a Lie group. We describe all  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affinors on the  $r$ -th order principal prolongation  $W_m^r P$  of  $P \rightarrow M$ .

### 1. Introduction

We fix a Lie group  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $e \in G$  be the unit element. The category of all principal  $G$ -bundles with  $m$ -dimensional bases and their (local) principal bundle isomorphisms with the identity group homomorphism will be denoted by  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ .

Given  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -maps  $\Phi, \Psi : P \rightarrow Q$  and a point  $x \in M$  the following conditions are equivalent: (i)  $j_{p_o}^r \Phi = j_{p_o}^r \Psi$  for some  $p_o \in P_x$ ; (ii)  $j_p^r \Phi = j_p^r \Psi$  for any  $p \in P_x$ . We write  $j_x^r \Phi = j_x^r \Psi$  iff it is satisfied at least one of the equivalent conditions (i) or (ii), [2].

The  $r$ -th order principal prolongation  $W_m^r P$  of a  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -object  $P \rightarrow M$  is defined to be the space of all  $r$ -jets  $j_0^r \varphi$  of local  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -maps  $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^m \times G \rightarrow P$ . By [2],  $W_m^r P \rightarrow M$  is a principal bundle with the structure group  $W_m^r G := J_0^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G, \mathbf{R}^m \times G)_0$  and the fibred manifold  $W_m^r P \rightarrow M$  coincides with the fibred product  $P^r M \times_M J^r P$ , where  $P^r M = \text{inv} J_0^r(\mathbf{R}^m, M)$  is the  $r$ -th order frame bundle of  $M$ . Every  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -map  $\Phi : P \rightarrow Q$  is extended (via composition of jets) into principal bundle (local) isomorphism  $W_m^r \Phi : W_m^r P \rightarrow W_m^r Q$ .

A  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affinator on  $W_m^r P$  is a family of  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -invariant tensor fields of type  $(1, 1)$  (affinors)

$$A = A_P : TW_m^r P \rightarrow TW_m^r P$$

on  $W_m^r P$  for any  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -object  $P \rightarrow M$ . The invariance means that for any  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -objects  $P$  and  $Q$  affinors  $A_P$  and  $A_Q$  are  $W_m^r \Phi$  related (i.e.  $TW_m^r \Phi \circ A_P = A_Q \circ TW_m^r \Phi$ ) for any  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -map  $\Phi : P \rightarrow Q$ .

A  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affinator  $A$  is said to be of vertical type if  $A_P : TW_m^r P \rightarrow VW_m^r P$  for any  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -object  $P \rightarrow M$ , where  $VW_m^r P$  is the  $(W_m^r P \rightarrow M)$ -vertical subbundle in the tangent bundle  $TW_m^r P$  of  $W_m^r P$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A20, 58A32.

*Key words and phrases.* principal bundle, higher order principal prolongation, natural affinator.

In this paper we describe all  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affinors on  $W_m^r$ .

**Example 1.1.** For any  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -object  $P \rightarrow M$  we have the identity affnor  $Id : TW_m^r P \rightarrow TW_m^r P$ . Thus we have the identity  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affnor  $Id$  on  $W_m^r$ .

To present a next example we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1** ([5]). *Any vector  $v \in T_w W_m^r P$ ,  $w \in (W_m^r P)_x$ ,  $x \in M$  is of the form  $W_m^r X_w$  for some right invariant vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}_{G-inv}(P)$  on  $P$ , where  $W_m^r X$  is the flow lifting of  $X \in \mathcal{X}_{G-inv}(P)$  to  $W_m^r P$ . Moreover  $j_x^r X$  is uniquely determined.*

*Proof.* (We cite the proof from [5].) We can assume that  $P = \mathbf{R}^m \times G$  and  $w$  is over  $(0, e)$ . Since  $W_m^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$  is in usual way a sub-principal bundle of  $P^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$ , then by well-known manifold version of the lemma, we find  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$  such that  $v = \mathcal{P}^r X_w$  and  $j_{(0,e)}^r X$  is determined uniquely. Any right-invariant vector field  $Y$  gives  $\mathcal{P}^r Y_w$  which is tangent to  $W_m^r P$ . On the other hand the dimension of  $W_m^r P$  and the dimension of the space of  $r$ -jets  $j_0^r Y$  of right invariant  $Y$  are equal. Then the lemma follows from the dimension argument because the flow operator is linear.  $\square$

**Example 1.2.** Let  $B : J_0^{r-1}(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) \rightarrow (J_0^r(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)))_0$  be a linear map, where  $J_0^{r-1}(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) = \{j_0^{r-1} X \mid X \in \mathcal{X}_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)\}$  and  $(J_0^r(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)))_0 = \{j_0^r X \mid X \in \mathcal{X}_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G), pr_{\mathbf{R}^m} \circ X_{(0,\cdot)} = 0\}$ , where  $pr_{\mathbf{R}^m} : \mathbf{R}^m \times G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  is the projection. We define a vertical  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affnor  $A^B : TW_m^r P \rightarrow VW_m^r P$  on  $W_m^r$  by

$$A^B(v) = VW_m^r \Phi((W_m^r \tilde{v})_\theta), \quad v \in T_{j_0^r \Phi} W_m^r P, \quad j_0^r \Phi \in W_m^r P,$$

where  $\theta = j_0^r(id_{\mathbf{R}^m \times G}) \in W_m^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$  is the element and  $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{X}_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$  is an arbitrary right invariant vector field on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$  such that  $j_0^r \tilde{v} = B(j_0^{r-1}((\Phi^{-1})_* \bar{v}))$  and  $v = (W_m^r \bar{v})_{j_0^r \Phi}$ . One can standardly show that  $A^B(v)$  is well-defined. (More precisely (by Lemma 1.1),  $j_{\Phi(0)}^r \bar{v}$  is uniquely determined by  $v$ . Then  $j_0^{r-1}((\Phi^{-1})_* \bar{v}) \in J_0^{r-1}(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G))$  is determined by  $v$ . Then  $j_0^r(\tilde{v}) \in (J_0^r(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)))_0$  is determined by  $v$ . Then  $(W_m^r \tilde{v})_\theta$  is determined by  $v$  and vertical. Then  $A^B(v)$  is determined by  $v$  and vertical.) Using the linearity of the flow operator, we deduce that  $A^B : TW_m^r P \rightarrow VW_m^r P$  is a vertical affnor on  $W_m^r P$ . Clearly the family  $A^B$  is a  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affnor on  $W_m^r$ .

## 2. The main result

The main result of this paper is the following classification theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Any  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affnor on  $W_m^r$  is of the form*

$$A = \lambda Id + A^B$$

for a (uniquely determined by  $A$ ) real number  $\lambda$  and a (uniquely determined by  $A$ ) linear map  $B : J_0^{r-1}(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) \rightarrow (J_0^r(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)))_0$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will occupy the rest of this paper. We will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}_{G\text{-inv}}(P)$  be right invariant vector fields on  $p : P \rightarrow M$  and  $x \in M$  be a point. Suppose that  $j_x^r X = j_x^r Y$  and  $X$  is not-vertical over  $x$ . Then there exists a (locally defined)  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -map  $\Phi : P \rightarrow P$  such that  $j_x^{r+1}(\Phi) = j_x^{r+1}(id_P)$  and  $\Phi_* X = Y$  near  $x$ .*

*Proof.* A direct modification of the proof of Lemma 42.4 in [2].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -natural affinator on  $W_m^r$ . There is a unique real number  $\lambda$  such that  $A - \lambda Id$  is of vertical type.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a right-invariant vector field on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(X) := A \circ \mathcal{W}_m^r X$ .

We can write  $\mathcal{A}(X)_\theta = \mathcal{W}_m^r \tilde{X}_\theta$  for some right-invariant vector field  $\tilde{X}$  (see Lemma 1.1),  $\theta = j_0^r(id_{\mathbf{R}^m \times G})$ . Suppose  $pr_{\mathbf{R}^m} \circ X(0, e) \neq \mu pr_{\mathbf{R}^m} \circ \tilde{X}(0, e)$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $pr_{\mathbf{R}^m} \circ \tilde{X}(0, e) \neq 0$ . Then there is an  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -map  $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^m \times G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m \times G$  preserving  $\theta$  such that

$$J^r T\Phi(j_0^r X) = j_0^r X \text{ and } J^r T\Phi(j_0^r \tilde{X}) \neq j_0^r \tilde{X} .$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}(X)_\theta = \mathcal{W}_m^r(\Phi_* \tilde{X})_\theta \neq \mathcal{W}_m^r(\tilde{X})_\theta = \mathcal{A}(X)_\theta .$$

This is a contradiction. Consequently, we have

$$(2.1) \quad T\pi^r \circ \mathcal{A}(X)_\theta = \lambda(j_0^r X) pr_{\mathbf{R}^m} \circ X_{(0,e)}$$

for some (not necessarily unique and not necessarily smooth) map  $\lambda : J_0^r(T_{G\text{-inv}}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and all right-invariant vector fields on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$ , where  $\pi^r : W_m^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  is the projection.

We are going to show that  $\lambda$  can be chosen smooth.

Of course (since the left hand side of (2.1) depends smoothly on  $j_0^r X$ ), the map  $\Phi : J_0^r(T_{G\text{-inv}}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  given by

$$\Phi(j_0^r X) = \lambda(j_0^r X) X^1(0)$$

is smooth and  $\Phi(j_0^r X) = 0$  if  $X^1(0) = 0$ , where

$$X_{(0,e)} = \sum_i X^i(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i_0} + \dots$$

and where  $\dots$  is the vertical part of  $X_{(0,e)}$ . Then there is a smooth map  $\Psi : J_0^r(T_{G\text{-inv}}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\Phi(j_0^r X) = \Psi(j_0^r X) X^1(0)$ . Then we can define new  $\lambda = \Psi$ . This new  $\lambda$  is equal to the old one for  $X^1(0) \neq 0$ . Then for the new  $\lambda$  we have (2.1) if additionally  $X^1(0) \neq 0$ . Then we have (2.1) for all  $X$  in question because of the smooth and density arguments.

Since  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  depends linearly on  $X$ ,  $\lambda$  is constant.

Then  $A((\mathcal{W}_m^r X)_\theta) - \lambda(\mathcal{W}_m^r X)_\theta$  is vertical. Then by Lemma 1.1,  $A(v) - \lambda v$  is vertical for any  $v \in T_\theta W_m^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$ . Then  $A - \lambda Id$  is vertical because of the  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -invariance of  $A - \lambda Id$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Because of Lemma 2.2 we can assume that  $A$  is vertical. We define a  $B : J_0^{r-1}(T_{G\text{-inv}}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)) \rightarrow (J_0^r(T_{G\text{-inv}}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)))_0$  by

$$B(j_0^{r-1} X) = j_0^r \tilde{X} ,$$

where  $\tilde{X}$  is a right-invariant vector field on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$  such that  $(\mathcal{W}_m^r \tilde{X})_\theta = A((\mathcal{W}_m^r \bar{X})_\theta)$  and  $\bar{X}$  is the unique right-invariant vector field on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$  such that  $j_0^{r-1} X = j_0^{r-1} \bar{X}$

and  $\overline{X}$  has coefficients with respect to a basis of right invariant vector fields (consisting with the constant vector fields  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  and the right invariant vector fields on  $G$  corresponding to some basis  $B_j \in T_e G$ ) being polynomials of degree  $\leq r - 1$ .

Then  $A((\mathcal{W}_m^r X)_\theta) = A^B((\mathcal{W}_m^r X)_\theta)$  for all right invariant vector fields on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$  such that  $X$  has coefficients (with respect to the basis as above) being polynomials of degree  $r - 1$ . Since the union of all orbits with respect to the  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -maps preserving  $\theta$  of jets  $j_0^r X$  of right-invariant vector fields  $X$  on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$  with coefficients (with respect to the basis as above) being polynomials of degree  $\leq r - 1$  is dense in  $J_0^r(T_{G-inv}(\mathbf{R}^m \times G))$  (see Lemma 2.1),  $A((\mathcal{W}_m^r X)_\theta) = A^B((\mathcal{W}_m^r X)_\theta)$  for all right-invariant vector fields  $X$  on  $\mathbf{R}^m \times G$ . Then  $A(v) = A^B(v)$  for all  $v \in T_\theta W_m^r(\mathbf{R}^m \times G)$  because of Lemma 1.1. Then  $A = A^B$  because of the  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -invariance and the fact that  $W_m^r$  is a transitive bundle functor (i.e.  $W_m^r P$  is the  $\mathcal{PB}_m(G)$ -orbit of  $\theta$ ).  $\square$

If  $G = \{e\}$ , then  $\mathcal{PB}_m(\{e\})$  is the category  $\mathcal{M}f_m$  of all  $m$ -dimensional manifolds and their embeddings, and  $W_m^r = P^r$  is the  $r$ -th order frame bundle functor. Thus we reobtain the result of [4], where a classification of all  $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural affinors on the  $r$ -th order frame bundle  $P^r M$  is given.

Natural affinors play a very important role in the differential geometry. They can be used to define generalized torsion of connections, [3]. In our situation any natural affnor  $A : TW_m^r P \rightarrow TW_m^r P$  defines a torsion  $\tau(\Gamma) := [\Gamma, A]$  of a principal connection  $\Gamma : TW_m^r P \rightarrow VW_m^r P$  on  $W_m^r P \rightarrow M$ , where the bracket is the Frolicher-Nijenhuis one. That is why natural affinors on some natural bundles have been classified in many papers. Principal  $G$ -bundles play crucial role in the geometrization of physic, [1], [6], [7].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Fatibene, L., Francaviglia, M., Natural and Gauge Natural Formalism for Classical Field Theories, Kluwer, 2003.
- [2] Kolář, I., Michor, P.W., Slovák, J., Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer Verlag, 1993.
- [3] Kolář, I., Modugno, M., Torsions of connectionons on some natural bundles, Differential Geom. Appl., 2(1992), 1-16.
- [4] Kurek, J., Mikulski, W.M., The natural affinors on the  $r$ -th order frame bundle, Demonstratio Math. 41(3)(2008), to appear.
- [5] Kurek, J., Mikulski, W.M., Canonical vector valued 1-forms on higher order principal prolongations, Lobachevskii Math. J., (2008), to appear.
- [6] de Leon, M., Rodrigues, P.R., Generalized Classical Mechanics and Field Theory, North-Holland Math. Studies 112, 1985, Amsterdam.
- [7] Palais, R., The Geometrization of Physics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Taiwan, 1981.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY, PL. M. CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1, LUBLIN, POLAND

*E-mail address:* kurek@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, UL. REYMONTA 4, KRAKÓW, POLAND

*E-mail address:* mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl