



**Uşak Üniversitesi Fen ve Doğa  
Bilimleri Dergisi**  
Usak University Journal of Science and Natural Sciences

<http://dergipark.gov.tr/usufedbid>



*Araştırma makalesi*

## Mass Pattern of the SM Fermions: Flavor Democracy Revisited

Umit Kaya<sup>1,2</sup>, Saleh Sultansoy<sup>2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ankara University, Science Faculty, Department of Physics, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>ANAS Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan

Geliş: 18 Mayıs 2019

Kabul: 31 Mayıs 2019 / Received: 18 May 2019

Accepted: 31 May 2019

### Abstract

Mass pattern of the SM fermions is one of the most important mysteries in particle physics. Flavor Democracy could shed light on this mystery. Addition of isosinglet quark and isosinglet lepton give opportunity to obtain masses of charged leptons and quarks of the 2nd and 3rd family due to small deviations from full Flavor Democracy.

**Keywords:** Flavor democracy, isosinglet quark, isosinglet leptons, standard model.

### Özet

SM fermiyonlarının kütle ve karışımları parçacık fiziğinin en önemli gizemlerinden biridir. Çeşni Demokrasisi bu gizemi aydınlatılabilir. İzosinglet kuarkın ve izosinglet leptonun eklenmesi 2. ve 3. aile kuarklarının ve yüklü leptonlarının kütlelerinin Çeşni Demokrasisinden küçük sapmalar sayesinde elde edilmesini sağlar.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Çeşni demokrasisi, izosinglet kuark, izosinglet lepton, standart model.

©2019 Usak University all rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Mass and mixing patterns of the SM fermions are among the most important issues, which should be clarified in particle physics. In recent interview published in CERN Courier [1] Steven Weinberg emphasized this point: "Asked what single mystery, if he could choose, he would like to see solved in his lifetime, Weinberg doesn't have to think for long: he wants to be able to explain the observed pattern of quark and lepton masses". In our opinion, Flavor Democracy (see reviews [2-5] and references therein) could provide an important key to solve this mystery.

In this paper, we deal with mass pattern of the SM fermions (mixing pattern will be considered separately). In Section 2, mass pattern of the SM fermions is summarized.

\*Corresponding author:

E-mail: [ssultansoy@etu.edu.tr](mailto:ssultansoy@etu.edu.tr)

Section 3 is devoted to the current status of the Chiral Fourth Family. Possible solution of the mass pattern mystery due to adding new isosinglet down quark and charged lepton has been considered in Section 4. Finally, we summarized our results in Section 5.

## 2. Mass Pattern of the SM Fermions

Masses of known charged leptons and quarks are given in Table 1 [6]. We do not consider neutrino masses since their values are not assigned experimentally and probably have specific mechanism, namely, see-saw. It should be noted that right-handed components of neutrinos are counterparts of the right-handed components of up quarks, therefore their inclusion does not mean BSM (Beyond the Standard Model) physics.

It is seen from Table 1 that masses of the first family fermions are much less than masses of second family ones and the latter are much lighter than the masses of the third family fermions (fermion mass hierarchy). The second important point is that mass of t quark is much greater than masses of tau lepton and b quark. This point excludes Flavor Democracy for 3 SM family case.

**Table 1** Mass pattern of charged leptons and quarks

	charged leptons	Up type quarks	Down type quarks
<b>1<sup>st</sup> Family</b>	$0.510998928 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ MeV	$2.3_{-0.5}^{+0.7}$ MeV	$4.8_{-0.3}^{+0.5}$ MeV
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Family</b>	$105.6583715 \pm 3.5 \times 10^{-6}$ MeV	$1.275 \pm 0.025$ GeV	$95 \pm 5$ MeV
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Family</b>	$1776.82 \pm 0.16$ MeV	$173.21 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.71$ GeV	$4.18 \pm 0.03$ GeV

## 3. Status of the Chiral Fourth Family (C4F)

It is known that the Standard Model does not fix the number of fermion families. This number should be less than 9 in order to preserve asymptotic freedom and more than 2 in order to provide CP violation. According to the LEP data on Z decays, number of chiral families with light neutrinos ( $m_\nu \ll m_z$ ) is equal to 3, whereas extra families with heavy neutrinos are not forbidden. The fourth chiral family was widely discussed thirty years ago (see, for example [7, 8]). However, the topic was pushed off the agenda due to the misinterpretation of the LEP data.

Twenty years later 3 workshops on the fourth SM family [9–11] were held (for summary of the first and third workshops see [12] and [13], respectively). Main motivation was Flavor Democracy [14–16], which naturally provides heavy fourth family fermions including neutrino (consequences of Flavor Democracy Hypothesis for different models, including MSSM and E6, have been considered in [17, 18]). In addition, fourth family gives opportunity to explain baryon asymmetry of universe; it can accommodate emerging possible hints of new physics in rare decays of heavy mesons etc. (see [12] and references therein). Phenomenological papers on direct production (including anomalous resonant production) of the SM4 fermions at different colliders are reviewed in [19] (see tables VI and VII in [19]).

This activity has almost ended due to misinterpretation of the LHC data on the Higgs decays. It should be emphasized that these data exclude the minimal SM4 with one Higgs

doublet, whereas non-minimal SM4 with extended Higgs sector is still allowed [20, 21]. On the other hand, partial wave unitarity puts an upper limit around 700 GeV on the masses of fourth SM family quarks [22], which is almost excluded by the ATLAS and CMS data on search for pair production. For example, ATLAS  $\sqrt{s}=8$  TeV data with  $20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  integrated luminosity excludes new chiral quarks with mass below 690 GeV at 95% confidence level assuming  $\text{BR}(Q \rightarrow W q)=1$  [23].

Even if SM4 may be excluded by the LHC soon, this is not the case for the general chiral fourth family (C4F). Therefore, ATLAS and CMS should continue a search for C4F up to kinematical limits. Concerning pair production, rescaling of the ATLAS lower bound using collider reach framework [24] shows that LHC will give opportunity to cover Mu4 up to 1.50 and 2.13 TeV with integrated luminosities 300 and 3000  $\text{fb}^{-1}$ , respectively.

#### 4. New Weak Isosinglet Fermions

As mentioned in [2, 3, 5], large difference between  $m_b$  and  $m_t$  can be explained by the addition of isosinglet quarks. Here we consider an addition of one isosinglet quark, so the quark sector is determined as:

$$\left( \begin{matrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} c_L \\ s_L \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} t_L \\ b_L \end{matrix} \right), u_R, d_R, c_R, s_R, t_R, b_R, D_L, D_R \quad (1)$$

where D denotes new isosinglet quark.

In the case of full Flavor Democracy, the mass matrix of the up type quarks can be written as

$$\begin{matrix} & u_R & c_L & t_L \\ u_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ c_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ t_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \end{matrix} \quad (2)$$

and mass matrix of down type quarks is

$$\begin{matrix} & d_R & s_R & b_R & D_R \\ d_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ s_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ b_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ D_L & M & M & M & M \end{matrix} \quad (3)$$

where  $M$  ( $M \gg \eta$ ) is the new physics scale that determines the mass of isosinglet quark. In this case  $m_u = m_c = 0$  and  $m_t = 3a\eta$  for up type quarks,  $m_d = m_s = m_b = 0$  and  $m_D = 3a\eta + M = m_t + M$  for down type quarks.

In order to obtain mass of b quark, small deviation from matrix (3) is involved, namely

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & d_R & s_R & b_R & D_R \\
 d_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_b)a\eta \\
 s_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_b)a\eta \\
 b_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_b)a\eta \\
 D_L & (1-\beta_b)M & (1-\beta_b)M & (1-\beta_b)M & M
 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

At this stage, for numerical calculations we assume  $\alpha_b = \beta_b$ . The masses of d and s quarks remain as  $m_d = m_s = 0$ . On the other hand, masses of b and D quarks are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_b &= \frac{1}{2}\{3a\eta + M - \sqrt{\dots}\}; & m_D &= \frac{1}{2}\{3a\eta + M + \sqrt{\dots}\} \\
 \sqrt{\dots} &= \sqrt{9(a\eta)^2 + 12a\eta M\alpha_b\beta_b - 12a\eta M\alpha_b - 12a\eta M\beta_b + 6a\eta M + M^2}
 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For  $\alpha_b = \beta_b \ll 1$

$$\sqrt{\dots} \approx (3a\eta + M) - \frac{12a\eta M\alpha_b}{(3a\eta + M)} \quad (6)$$

Therefore  $m_b, m_D$  and  $\alpha_b$  are given by

$$m_b \approx \frac{6a\eta M\alpha_b}{(3a\eta + M)} \quad (7)$$

$$m_D \approx (3a\eta + M) - \frac{6a\eta M\alpha_b}{(3a\eta + M)} \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha_b \approx \frac{(m_t + M)m_b}{2m_t M} \quad (9)$$

Taking  $m_b = 4.18$  GeV and  $m_t = 173$  GeV we obtain  $\alpha_b$  and  $m_D$  corresponding to the different values of M which are given in Table 2.

Table 2  $\alpha_b$  and  $m_D$  corresponding to different values of M

<b>M(GeV)</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>5000</b>	<b>10000</b>	<b>20000</b>
$\alpha_b(10^{-2})$	1.42	1.31	1.25	1.23	1.22
$m_D$	1169	2169	5169	10169	20169
$\alpha_\tau(10^{-3})$	6.02	5.58	5.31	5.22	5.18
$m_L$	1171	2171	5171	10171	20171

Similarly, tau lepton mass can be determined by adding an isosinglet lepton. In this case lepton sector is

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_L \\ \nu_{e_L} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_L \\ \nu_{\mu_L} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tau_L \\ \nu_{\tau_L} \end{pmatrix}, e_R, \nu_{e_R}, \mu_R, \nu_{\mu_R}, \tau_R, \nu_{\tau_R}, L_L, L_R \quad (10)$$

Then, the mass matrix becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & e_R & \mu_R & \tau_R & L_R \\ e_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_\tau)a\eta \\ \mu_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_\tau)a\eta \\ \tau_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_\tau)a\eta \\ L_L & (1-\beta_\tau)M & (1-\beta_\tau)M & (1-\beta_\tau)M & M \end{array} \quad (11)$$

For  $\alpha_\tau = \beta_\tau \ll 1$   $m_\tau, m_L$  and  $\alpha_\tau$  are given by

$$m_\tau = \frac{6a\eta M \alpha_\tau}{(3a\eta + M)} \quad (12)$$

$$m_L = (3a\eta + M) - \frac{6a\eta M \alpha_\tau}{(3a\eta + M)} \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha_\tau \approx \frac{(m_t + M)m_\tau}{2m_t M} \quad (14)$$

With  $m_\tau = 1.777$  GeV we obtain  $\alpha_\tau$  and  $m_L$  corresponding to the different values of M which are given in the last two rows of Table 2.

Because the masses of the e, u and d quarks are very small, we do not comment on them at this stage. Masses of s quark, muon and c quark can also be obtained due to small deviations from full democracy. Concerning c quark let us consider following modification of the mass matrix of up quarks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & u_R & c_L & t_L \\ u_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ c_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta \\ t_L & a\eta & a\eta & (1+\alpha_c)a\eta \end{array} \quad (15)$$

For  $\alpha_c \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned} m_u = 0; m_c = \frac{1}{2} a\eta \{\alpha_c + 3 - \sqrt{\dots}\}; m_t = \frac{1}{2} a\eta \{\alpha_c + 3 + \sqrt{\dots}\} \\ \sqrt{\dots} = \sqrt{(3 + \alpha_c)^2 - 8\alpha_c} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For  $\alpha_c \ll 1$

$$\alpha_c \cong \frac{9m_c}{2m_\tau} = 3.3 \times 10^{-2} \quad (17)$$

In order to obtain muon mass, we consider following modification of the Eq. 4

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & e_R & \mu_R & \tau_R & L_R \\ e_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_\tau)a\eta \\ \mu_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_\tau)a\eta \\ \tau_L & a\eta & a\eta & (1+\alpha_\mu)a\eta & (1-\alpha_\tau)a\eta \\ L_L & (1-\beta_\tau)M & ((1-\beta_\tau)M) & (1-\beta_\tau)M & M \end{array} \quad (18)$$

For  $M = 2000$  GeV,  $\alpha_\tau = \beta_\tau = 5.58 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\alpha_\mu = 2.73 \times 10^{-4}$  this mass matrix lead to

$$m_L = 2171 \text{ GeV}, m_\tau = 1.777 \text{ GeV}, m_\mu = 104.7 \text{ MeV} \quad (19)$$

Similarly, for down type quarks

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & d_R & s_R & b_R & D_R \\ d_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_b)a\eta \\ s_L & a\eta & a\eta & a\eta & (1-\alpha_b)a\eta \\ b_L & a\eta & a\eta & (1+\alpha_s)a\eta & (1-\alpha_b)a\eta \\ D_L & (1-\beta_b)M & (1-\beta_b)M & (1-\beta_b)M & M \end{array} \quad (20)$$

For  $M = 2000$  GeV,  $\alpha_b = \beta_b = 1.32 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $\alpha_s = 2.48 \times 10^{-4}$  we obtain

$$m_D = 2168 \text{ GeV}, m_b = 4.18 \text{ GeV}, m_s = 95.2 \text{ MeV} \quad (21)$$

## 5. Conclusion

It is shown that masses of 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> SM family fermions can be obtained due to small deviations of Flavor Democracy, if new heavy isosinglet quark and isosinglet lepton exist in nature. These new quark and lepton have approximately same masses. Main decay channels of isosinglet quarks are  $D \rightarrow Wq$  ( $q = u, c, t$ ) with  $\text{BR} \sim 0.5$ ,  $D \rightarrow Zq$  ( $q = d, s, b$ ) with  $\text{BR} \sim 0.25$  and  $D \rightarrow Hq$  ( $q = d, s, b$ ) with  $\text{BR} \sim 0.25$ . Isosinglet lepton will decay into  $L \rightarrow W\nu$  with  $\text{BR} \sim 0.5$ ,  $L \rightarrow Zl$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) with  $\text{BR} \sim 0.25$  and  $L \rightarrow Hl$  ( $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ) with  $\text{BR} \sim 0.25$ . The ATLAS experiment excludes the mass smaller than 1.21 TeV from the decay channel  $D \rightarrow Hb$  [25].

## References

1. Chalmers M. Model Physicist. [Document on the Internet]. CernCourier; 2017 [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from: <http://cerncourier.com/cws/article/cern/70138>.
2. Sultansoy S. Four Ways to TeV Scale. Turkish Journal of Physics, 1998; 22: 575-594.
3. Sultansoy S. Four Remarks on Physics at LHC. Invited talk at ATLAS Week, 1997 May 26-31; Geneva, Switzerland. [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from: <http://inspirehep.net/record/971257>.
4. Arik, E and Sultansoy S. Turkish comments on 'Future perspectives in HEP'. 2003 [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from: <http://inspirehep.net/record/612725>.
5. Sultansoy S. Flavor Democracy in Particle Physics. 2006 [cited 2019 March 5]. Available from: <http://inspirehep.net/record/729701>.
6. Patrignani C et al.(Particle Data Group). The Review of Particle Physics. Chinese Physics C, 2016 and 2017 update; 40:100001.
7. Cline D and Soni A. Proceedings of the First International Symposium on the fourth family of quarks and leptons: 1987 February 26-28; Santa Monica, CA, published in: Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1987; 518.
8. Cline D and Soni A. Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on the fourth family of quarks and leptons: 1989 February 23-25; Santa Monica, CA, published in Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1989;578.
9. Beyond the 3SM generation at the LHC era Workshop. [Document on homepage]. 2008 September 4-5; CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, [cited March 5], Available from: <http://indico.cern.ch/event/33285>.
10. Second Workshop on Beyond 3 Generation Standard Model New Fermions at the Crossroads of Tevatron and LHC. [Document on homepage]. 2010 January 14-16; Taipei, Taiwan, [cited March 5], Available from: <http://indico.cern.ch/event/68036>.
11. Third Workshop on Beyond 3 Generation Standard Model Under the light of the initial LHC results. [Document on homepage]. 2011 October 23-25; Istanbul, Turkey, [cited March 5], Available from: <https://indico.cern.ch/event/150154>.
12. Holdom B et al. Four statements about the fourth generation. PMC Physics A, 2009; 3:4. arXiv:0904.4698 [hep-ph].
13. Cetin SA et al. Status of the Fourth Generation: A Brief Summary of B3SMIII Workshop in Four Parts. 2011; arXiv:1112.2907.
14. Fritzsch H. Light neutrinos, nonuniversality of the leptonic weak interaction and a fourth massive generation. Physic Letters B, 1992; 289(1-2):92-96.
15. Datta A. Flavour democracy calls for the fourth generation. Pramana, 1993; 40(6):L503-L509.
16. Celikel A, Ciftci AK, and Sultansoy S. A search for the fourth SM family. Physics Letters B, 1995; 342(1):257-261.
17. Sultansoy S. Why the four SM families. 2000; arXiv:hep-ph/0004271.
18. Sultansoy S. Flavor democracy in particle physics. AIP Conferance Proceeding, 2007; 899:49; arXiv:hep-ph/0610279.
19. Sahin M, Sultansoy S, and Turkoz S. Search for the fourth standard model family. Physical Review D, 2011; 83: 054022.
20. Bar-Shalom S, Geller M, Nandi S and Soni A. Two Higgs doublets, a 4th generation and a 125 GeV Higgs: a review. Advance in High Energy Physics, 2013; 672972.
21. Banerjee S, Frank M, Rai SK. Higgs data confronts sequential fourth generation fermions in the Higgs triplet model. Physical Review D, 2014;89(no.7): 075005.
22. Chanowitz MS , Furman MA and Hinchliffe I. Weak interactions of ultra heavy fermions (II). Nuclear Physics B, 1979;153:402.

23. Aad G. et al. Search for pair production of a new heavy quark that decays into a W boson and a light quark in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}= 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector. Physical Review D, 2015; 92(11):112007.
24. Salam G. and Weiler A. The Collider Reach project. [Document on the Internet]. [cited 2018 Marc 5]. Available from:<http://collider-reach.web.cern.ch/collider-reach>.
25. ATLAS Exotics Searching Summary. [Document on the Internet]. [cited 2018 Marc 5]. Available from: [https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CombinedSummaryPlots/EXOTICS/ATLAS\\_Exotics\\_Summary/ATLAS\\_Exotics\\_Summary.png](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CombinedSummaryPlots/EXOTICS/ATLAS_Exotics_Summary/ATLAS_Exotics_Summary.png).