

ANNIHILATORS OF TOP LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES DEFINED BY A PAIR OF IDEALS

S. Karimi and Sh. Payrovi

Received: 6 November 2018; Revised: 20 February 2019; Accepted: 24 February 2019

Communicated by Burcu Üngör

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, I, J two proper ideals of R and let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module with $c = \text{cd}(I, J, M)$. In this paper, we first introduce $T_R(I, J, M)$ as the largest submodule of M with the property that $\text{cd}(I, J, T_R(I, J, M)) < c$ and we describe it in terms of the reduced primary decomposition of zero submodule of M . It is shown that $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M/T_R(I, J, M))$ and $\text{Ann}_R(H_I^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M))$, whenever R is a local ring, M has dimension d with $H_{I,J}^d(M) \neq 0$ and $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, M)$ for some positive integer t .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 13D45, 14B15

Keywords: Attached prime ideal, top local cohomology module

1. Introduction

Local cohomology theory has been a significant tool in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. As a generalization of the usual local cohomology modules, Takahashi, Yoshino and Yoshizawa [12] introduced the local cohomology modules with respect to a pair of ideals. To be more precise, suppose that R is a commutative Noetherian ring and I, J are two ideals of R . Let $W(I, J) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : I^n \subseteq \mathfrak{p} + J \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$. For an R -module M , the (I, J) -torsion submodule $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ of M , which consists of all elements x of M with $\text{Supp}(Rx) \subseteq W(I, J)$, is considered. Let i be an integer, the local cohomology functor $H_{I,J}^i$ with respect to (I, J) is defined to be the i -th right derived functor of $\Gamma_{I,J}$. The i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to (I, J) is denoted by $H_{I,J}^i(M)$. When $J = 0$, then $H_{I,J}^i$ coincides with the usual local cohomology functor H_I^i with the support in the closed subset $V(I)$.

One of the basic problems concerning local cohomology modules is to determine the annihilators of them. This problem for ordinary local cohomology modules has been studied by several authors, see [6,7,8,9,11], and has led to some interesting results. In particular, Bahmanpour et al. in [2] proved an interesting result about

the annihilator of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ the d -th local cohomology module of M , when (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a complete local ring and M is a non-zero finitely generated R -module with $d = \dim M$. Then Atazadeh et. al. [1] generalized this fact to the local cohomology modules with respect to an arbitrary ideal I .

They first defined $T_R(I, M)$ as the largest submodule of M such that $\text{cd}(I, T_R(I, M)) < c$, in which $c = \text{cd}(I, M)$, see [1, Definition 2.2], and then they proved the following fact.

Theorem 1.1. [1, Theorem 2.3] *Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal of R . Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_I^d(M) \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ann}_R(H_I^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M/T_R(I, M))$.*

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce $T_R(I, J, M)$ as the largest submodule of M with the property that $\text{cd}(I, J, T_R(I, J, M)) < c$, in which $c = \text{cd}(I, J, M)$. Next in Corollary 2.3 we relate this submodule of M with another special submodules $T_R(I, M)$ and $T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M)$ of M . Then we describe in more detail the structure of $T_R(I, J, M)$ in terms of the reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule of M in Theorem 2.4. Namely, if $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ denotes a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule in M such that N_i is a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodule of M , for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$T_R(I, J, M) = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i.$$

Pursuing this point of view further we establish some results about the annihilator of top local cohomology modules with respect to a pair of ideals I, J . More precisely, as a main result of this paper, we derive the following consequence.

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and I, J be two ideals of R . Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module with dimension d such that $H_{I, J}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I, J}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M/T_R(I, J, M))$.
- (ii) *If $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, M)$ for some positive integer t , then $\text{Ann}_R(H_I^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(H_{I, J}^d(M))$.*

For notations and terminologies not given in this paper, the reader is referred to [3] if necessary.

2. Annihilators of local cohomology modules

Throughout this section R is a commutative Noetherian ring, I, J are two proper ideals of R and M is a non-zero finitely generated R -module. Let $\text{cd}(I, J, M)$ be

the supremum of all integers r for which $H_{I,J}^r(M) \neq 0$. We call this integer the cohomological dimension of R -module M with respect to I, J . When $J = 0$, we have that $\text{cd}(I, 0, M) = \text{cd}(I, M)$, which is just the supremum of all integers r for which $H_I^r(M) \neq 0$. In [5, Corollary 3.3] a characterization for $\text{cd}(I, J, M)$ is provided

$$\text{cd}(I, J, M) = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)\} - 1.$$

Lemma 2.1. [5, Proposition 3.2] *Let M and N be two finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq \text{Supp}_R M$. Then $\text{cd}(I, J, N) \leq \text{cd}(I, J, M)$.*

Definition 2.2. Let R be a Noetherian ring and I, J be two ideals of R . Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module. We denote by $T_R(I, J, M)$ the largest submodule of M such that $\text{cd}(I, J, T_R(I, J, M)) < \text{cd}(I, J, M)$. It is easy to see that $T_R(I, J, M) = \cup\{N : N \leq M \text{ and } \text{cd}(I, J, N) < \text{cd}(I, J, M)\}$. When $J = 0$ this definition coincides with that of [1, Definition 2.2].

Corollary 2.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_{I,J}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then $T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M) \subseteq T_R(I, M) \subseteq T_R(I, J, M)$.*

Proof. For the first inclusion let $x \notin T_R(I, M)$. Then $\text{cd}(I, Rx) = d$ and so $H_I^d(Rx) \neq 0$. Thus $\dim Rx = d$ and by [3, Theorem 6.1.4], $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(Rx) \neq 0$. Hence, $x \notin T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M)$ and therefore $T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M) \subseteq T_R(I, M)$. Now, let $x \notin T_R(I, J, M)$. Then $\text{cd}(I, J, Rx) = d$ so that $H_{I,J}^d(Rx) \neq 0$. Now, by [4, Theorem 2.1] we have $\emptyset \neq \text{Att}_R(H_{I,J}^d(Rx)) \subseteq \text{Att}_R(H_I^d(Rx))$. Hence, $H_I^d(Rx) \neq 0$ and $\text{cd}(I, Rx) = d$. Therefore, $x \notin T_R(I, M)$ we have the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module with cohomological dimension $c = \text{cd}(I, J, M)$. Then*

$$T_R(I, J, M) = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i.$$

Here, $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ denotes a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule of M and N_i is a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodule of M .

Proof. We first show that $T_R(I, J, M) \subseteq \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i$ and then we have the desired result whenever $\text{cd}(I, J, \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i) < c$. Let $x \in T_R(I, J, M)$. Then $\text{cd}(I, J, Rx) < c$ and so $H_{I,J}^c(Rx) = 0$. Thus for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(Rx)$ we have $H_{I,J}^c(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. Hence, $\text{Ass}_R(Rx) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_i : \mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass}_R M, \text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c\}$ and

therefore,

$$\bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c} \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(Rx)} \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(Rx)}.$$

So that there exists a positive integer m such that $(\bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c} \mathfrak{p}_i)^m x = 0$. We claim that $x \in \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i$. Assume contrary that there is an integer t such that $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_t) = c$ and $x \notin N_t$. Then by $(\bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c} \mathfrak{p}_i)^m x = 0 \in N_t$ and $x \notin N_t$ it follows that $(\bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c} \mathfrak{p}_i)^m \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_t$ since N_t is \mathfrak{p}_t -primary. Thus there exists some \mathfrak{p}_j such that $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_j) < c$ and $\mathfrak{p}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_t$. Hence, in view of Lemma 2.1, $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_t) \leq \text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_j) < c$, which is a contradiction. So we have the desired result.

For the second part assume contrarily $H_{I, J}^c(N) \neq 0$, where $N = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i$. Then $0 :_R N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (N_i :_R N)$ and so $\sqrt{0 :_R N} = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c} \sqrt{N_i :_R N} = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) < c} \mathfrak{p}_i$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(N)$, thus there is some \mathfrak{p}_j with $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_j) < c$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_j) < c$. Then by the first paragraph of this section $\text{cd}(I, J, N) < c$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $H_{I, J}^c(N = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = c} N_i) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_{I, J}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then there exists a positive integer t such that $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, J, M)$.*

Proof. Let $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ denote a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule of M where N_i 's are \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodules of M . By Theorem 2.4 we know that

$$T_R(I, J, M) = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = d} N_i.$$

If $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = d$, then $H_{I, J}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}_i) \neq 0$ and so by [4, Theorem 2.1], it follows that $J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M/N_i)}$. Hence, there exists a positive integer t_i such that $J^{t_i} M \subseteq N_i$. Set $t := \max\{t_i : \text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = d\}$. Then $J^t M \subseteq \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = d} N_i$ and so we have the desired result by Theorem 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring, I_1, I_2, J_1, J_2 be ideals of R and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d . If $\text{Att}_R H_{I_1, J_1}^d(M) = \text{Att}_R H_{I_2, J_2}^d(M)$, then the following statements are true:*

- (i) $T_R(I_1, J_1, M) = T_R(I_2, J_2, M)$.
- (ii) *There exist positive integers t, s such that $H_{I_1, J_1}^d(M) \cong H_{I_1, J_1}^d(M/J_2^t M)$ and $H_{I_2, J_2}^d(M) \cong H_{I_2, J_2}^d(M/J_1^s M)$.*

Proof. (i) By assumption and [10, Theorem 3.1] for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$ we have $\text{cd}(I_1, J_1, R/\mathfrak{p}) = d$ if and only if $\text{cd}(I_2, J_2, R/\mathfrak{p}) = d$. Now, in view of Theorem 2.4,

$$T_R(I_1, J_1, M) = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I_1, J_1, R/\mathfrak{p}_i)=d} N_i = \bigcap_{\text{cd}(I_2, J_2, R/\mathfrak{p}_i)=d} N_i = T_R(I_2, J_2, M),$$

where $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ denotes a reduced primary decomposition of the zero submodule of M and N_i is a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary submodule of M .

(ii) In view of Lemma 2.5 and (i) we have $J_2^t M \subseteq T_R(I_1, J_1, M)$, for some positive integer t . Now by applying the functor $\Gamma_{I_1, J_1}(-)$ on the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_2^t M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/J_2^t M \rightarrow 0$$

the desired result follows that is $H_{I_1, J_1}^d(M) \cong H_{I_1, J_1}^d(M/J_2^t M)$. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_{I, J}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then $T_R(I, M/J^t M) = T_R(I, J, M)/J^t M$, for some positive integer t .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 there exists an integer $t \geq 1$ such that $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, J, M)$. We show that $T_R(I, M/J^t M) = T_R(I, J, M)/J^t M$. Let $x + J^t M \in T_R(I, M/J^t M)$. Then $0 = H_I^d(R(x + J^t M)) \cong H_{I, J^t}^d(Rx/Rx \cap J^t M)$ and so in view of [12, Proposition 1.4(8)], $H_{I, J}^d(Rx/Rx \cap J^t M) = 0$. Also, as $Rx \cap J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, J, M)$ it follows that $H_{I, J}^d(Rx \cap J^t M) = 0$. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Rx \cap J^t M \rightarrow Rx \rightarrow \frac{Rx}{Rx \cap J^t M} \rightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{I, J}^d(Rx \cap J^t M) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^d(Rx) \rightarrow H_{I, J}^d\left(\frac{Rx}{Rx \cap J^t M}\right) \rightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

Hence, it follows that $H_{I, J}^d(Rx) = 0$ and therefore, $x \in T_R(I, J, M)$. If $x \in T_R(I, J, M)$, then $H_{I, J}^d(Rx) = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_{I, J}^d(Rx/Rx \cap J^t M) &\cong H_{I, J}^d(R(x + J^t M)) \\ &\cong H_{I, J^t}^d(R(x + J^t M)) \cong H_I^d(R(x + J^t M)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (*). Therefore, $x + J^t M \in T_R(I, M/J^t M)$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_{I, J}^d(M) \neq 0$ and let $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, M)$ for some positive integer t . Then $T_R(I, J, M) = T_R(I, M)$.*

Proof. By a similar argument to Theorem 2.7 one can show that $T_R(I, M/J^t M) = T_R(I, M)/J^t M$ so that the result follows by Theorem 2.7. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_{I,J}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then the following statements are true:*

- (i) $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(M/T_R(I, J, M))$.
- (ii) *If $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, M)$ for some positive integer t , then $\text{Ann}_R(H_I^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M))$.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.5 there exists a positive integer t such that $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, J, M)$. Now, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J^t M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \frac{M}{J^t M} \rightarrow 0$$

we have the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{I,J}^d(J^t M) \rightarrow H_{I,J}^d(M) \rightarrow H_{I,J}^d\left(\frac{M}{J^t M}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $J^t M \subseteq T_R(I, J, M)$, it follows that $H_{I,J}^d(J^t M) = 0$ and so $H_{I,J}^d(M) \cong H_{I,J}^d(M/J^t M)$. Thus $H_{I,J}^d(M) \cong H_{I,J^t}^d(M/J^t M) \cong H_I^d(M/J^t M)$. Hence, by [1, Theorem 2.3],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M)) &= \text{Ann}_R(H_I^d(M/J^t M)) = \text{Ann}_R\left(\frac{M/J^t M}{T_R(I, M/J^t M)}\right) \\ &= \text{Ann}_R\left(\frac{M/J^t M}{T_R(I, J, M)/J^t M}\right) = \text{Ann}_R(M/T_R(I, J, M)). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) The result follows by (i), Corollary 2.8 and [1, Theorem 2.3]. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with dimension d such that $H_{I,J}^d(R) \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(R)) = T_R(I, J, R)$. So that $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(R))$ is the largest submodule of R such that $\text{cd}(I, J, \text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(R))) < d$.*

Proof. It follows easily from Theorem 2.9(i) and Definition 2.2. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with dimension d such that $H_{I,J}^d(R) \neq 0$. Then $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(R)) = \cap_{\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}_i)=d} \mathfrak{q}_i$, where $0 = \cap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ is a reduced primary decomposition of the zero ideal of R and \mathfrak{q}_i is a \mathfrak{p}_i -primary ideal of R for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Corollary 2.12. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ such that $H_{I,J}^d(R) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\dim R = \dim R/\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(R)) = \dim R/\Gamma_{I,J}(R).$$

Proof. The first equality follows by Corollary 2.10 and the second equality follows by $H_{I,J}^d(R) \cong H_{I,J}^d(R/\Gamma_{I,J}(R))$, see [12, Corollary 1.13(4)]. So $\Gamma_{I,J}(R) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(R))$. \square

Corollary 2.13. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d such that $H_{I,J}^d(M) \neq 0$ and $T_R(I, J, M) = 0$. Then the following statements are true:*

- (i) $H_{I,J}^d(M) \cong H_I^d(M)$.
- (ii) $H_{I,J}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_I^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ also $H_{I,J}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R/\mathfrak{p})$ whenever R is a complete ring.
- (iii) $\text{Att}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)) = \text{Assh}(M)$ and $\text{Ann}_R(H_{I,J}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M))$ whenever R is a complete ring.
- (iv) $\text{Supp}_R M = V(\text{Ann}_R H_{I,J}^d(M))$.

Proof. (i) By assumption and Lemma 2.5 it follows that $J^t M = 0$ for some positive integer t . Now the assertion follows by [12, Proposition 1.4(8)].

(ii) By assumption $\text{cd}(I, J, R/\mathfrak{p}) = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ thus $H_{I,J}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M) \setminus V(J)$, then $\dim R/(\mathfrak{p} + J) < d$ and so $H_{I,J}^d(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$, by [12, Theorem 4.3]. Hence, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ we have $J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and therefore R/\mathfrak{p} is a J -torsion R -module and so the first desired result. The second part follows by Lichtenbaum–Hartshorne Vanishing Theorem, see [3, Theorem 8.2.1].

(iii) The first part follows from (ii), [4, Theorem 2.1], [1, Corollary 3.4] and [3, Theorem 7.3.2]. By Corollary 2.3 we have $T_R(\mathfrak{m}, M) = T_R(I, M) = 0$. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.9(i), [1, Corollary 2.7] and [2, Theorem 2.6].

(iv) It follows from Theorem 2.9(i). \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] A. Atazadeh, M. Sedghi and R. Naghipour, *On the annihilators and attached primes of top local cohomology modules*, Arch. Math., 102(3) (2014), 225-236.
- [2] K. Bahmanpour, J. A'zami and Gh. Ghasemi, *On the annihilators of local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra, 363 (2012), 8-13.
- [3] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: an Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

- [4] L. Chu, *Top local cohomology modules with respect to a pair of ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 139(3) (2011), 777-782.
- [5] L. Chu and W. Wang, *Some results on local cohomology modules defined by a pair of ideals*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 49 (2009), 193-200.
- [6] C. Huneke and J. Koh, *Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 110(3) (1991), 421-429.
- [7] L. R. Lynch, *Annihilators of top local cohomology*, Comm. Algebra, 40(2) (2012), 542-551.
- [8] G. Lyubeznik, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D -modules to commutative algebra)*, Invent. Math., 113(1) (1993), 41-55.
- [9] L. T. Nhan and T. D. M. Chau, *On the top local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra 349 (2012), 342-352.
- [10] Sh. Payrovi and S. Karimi, *Upper bounds and attached primes of top local cohomology modules defined by a pair of ideals*, J. Hyperstruct., 3(2) (2014), 101-107.
- [11] P. Schenzel, *Cohomological annihilators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91(3) (1982), 345-350.
- [12] R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino and T. Yoshizawa, *Local cohomology based on a nonclosed support defined by a pair of ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 213(4) (2009), 582-600.

Susan Karimi

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Payame Noor University

19395-3697 Tehran, Iran

e-mail: susan_karimi2@yahoo.com

Shiroyeh Payrovi (Corresponding Author)

Department of Mathematics

Imam Khomeini International University

34149-1-6818 Qazvin, Iran

e-mail: shpayrovi@sci.ikiu.ac.ir