

Research Article

**Sızgıt as a Traditional Food of Cappadocia: Differences in Traditional and Commercial Production**

Cem Okan ÖZER<sup>1\*</sup>, Bülent ZORLUGENÇ<sup>1</sup>, Selçuk Mustafa SEÇEN<sup>1</sup>, Ezgi DEMİR ÖZER<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Department of Food Engineering, Nevşehir, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Cappadocia University, School of Applied Sciences, Department of Gastronomy and Culinary Arts, Nevşehir, Turkey

\*Corresponding author: [cemokanozer@nevsehir.edu.tr](mailto:cemokanozer@nevsehir.edu.tr)

Received: 02.04.2019

Received in Revised: 26.06.2019

Accepted: 27.06.2019

**Abstract**

*Sızgıt* which is consumed likely and frequently a traditional meat product producing by Cappadocian people. This study aims to determine the physicochemical and microbiological properties of traditional and commercial *Sızgıt*. pH, lipid oxidation and cholesterol level, protein, fat and moisture content, fatty acid composition, aerobic mesophilic bacteria, enterobacteria, mold and yeast, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* counts were determined. Fat and cholesterol content of traditional samples had lower than the commercial samples while the protein content of commercial samples had highest ( $P<0.05$ ). Saturated fatty acid levels of traditional samples were higher than commercial samples ( $P<0.05$ ). The highest lipid oxidation values were determined in traditional samples. *Salmonella* and *S. aureus* which are pathogenic bacteria were not determined in both types of *Sızgıt* samples. The results indicated that the public should be informed about food safety and the sensitivity to the production and consumption of these products should be increased. On the other hand, ethnic and traditional characteristics on the production and the storage conditions of the *Sızgıt* in Cappadocian should be preserved.

**Key words:** *Sızgıt*, Meat product, physicochemical properties, microbiological properties.

**Geleneksel Bir Kapadokya Ürünü Sızgıt: Geleneksel ve Ticari Üretimdeki Farklılıklar**

**Özet**

*Sızgıt* sıkça ve sevilerek tüketilen, Kapadokya halkı tarafından üretilen geleneksel bir et ürünüdür. Bu çalışmanın amacı geleneksel ve ticari olarak üretilen *Sızgıt*'ın fizikokimyasal ve mikrobiyolojik özelliklerinin belirlenmesidir. Çalışma kapsamında pH, lipid oksidasyonu ve kolesterol düzeyi, protein, yağ ve nem içeriği, yağ asidi kompozisyonu, toplam aerobik mezofilik bakteri, enterobakter, maya ve küf sayıları, *Staphylococcus aureus* ve *Salmonella* sayıları tespit edilmiştir. Geleneksel olarak üretilen *Sızgıt* örneklerinin yağ ve kolesterol içeriği ticari olarak üretilen örneklerden daha düşük iken, protein içeriği daha yüksek tespit edilmiştir ( $P<0.05$ ). Geleneksel olarak üretilen örneklerin doymuş yağ asidi içerikleri ticari olarak üretilen örneklerden daha yüksektir ( $P<0.05$ ). En yüksek lipid oksidasyonu değerleri geleneksel örneklerde tespit edilmiştir. Patojen bakteriler olan *Salmonella* ve *S. aureus* örneklerde tespit edilmemiştir. Sonuçlar halkın gıda güvenliği konusunda ve bu ürünlerin üretimi ve tüketimi konusunda bilinçlendirilmesi gerektiğini göstermektedir. Öte yandan, Kapadokya'da üretilen *Sızgıt*'ın üretim ve depolanma koşullarındaki etnik ve geleneksel özellikler korunmalıdır.

**Anahtar kelimeler:** *Sızgıt*, et ürünü, fizikokimyasal özellikler, mikrobiyolojik özellikler.

## Introduction

Turkish cuisine beginning with Central Asia and extends to the Seljuks and the Ottoman Empire which spread over three continents. At the end of centuries, the Turks were settled in Anatolia (Batu and Batu, 2018). They were influenced by many societies during the years of nomadic life and in the settled life. Therefore, they possess a rich culinary culture. And also, Cappadocia is located on the central Anatolia plateau within a volcanic landscape sculpted by erosion to form a succession of mountain ridges, valleys and pinnacles known as "fairy chimneys" or hoodoos. It has the remains of a traditional human habitat dating back to the 4th century. One of the characteristics of Cappadocia is having plenty of a hundred underground cities and settlements. The underground cities, which are guessed to be used since the Bronze Age, used to be a settlement mostly in the Byzantine period, doubtless. In this period, increasing invasions forced local residents to build underground cities for protection and religious purposes (UNESCO, 2018). Additionally, underground warehouses which are the most common rock-hewn structures and generally used for storing potatoes and citrus fruits for climate condition advantages nowadays have been an important place for ripening and storage of foods for ages (Özata and Arun, 2018). The average relative humidity (%70-95) and temperature (4-10 °C) of underground warehouses are optimum for the storage of these products (Canan *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, Cappadocia has also a unique and old pottery culture which significantly affecting its gastronomical history. Pottery and other kitchen utensils are traditionally made in the region since the first Bronze Age. These utensils have used for preparation and storage of foods for a century (Kaymakçı, 2011).

*Sızgit* made from beef meat and fat is a traditional cuisine and meaning of the *Sızgit* is long-lasting and durable dry meat among the Cappadocian people, and it is produced from cattle meat slaughtered before winter months (October-November) or on the eid of qurban which is religious holidays of Muslims. The prepared products meet the meat needs of the family during the whole winter season. Briefly, *Sızgit* prepared as follows; cattle meat is minced as meat cubes and stir-fried with animal fat. Then, stir-fried meats are filled into pottery when meat is hot. The top of the pot is covered with a thick layer of melted animal fat. And then, pottery is stored in underground warehouses until consumed (Narin, 2001). In this way, people have developed a storage method with special facilities provided by the region they live in for meat which is a very valuable product as well as revealed a significant traditional and ethnic cuisine.

*Sızgit* is produced with different names such as "Siğtit", "Sıyığıç", "Sızkit", "Sızgıç", "Sısgıt" or "Sızkuç" in the other settlements of Anatolia.

*Sızgit* is stored in natural warehouses offering convenient conditions for storage throughout the whole winter. It can be consumed alone but is usually consumed by added into other foods. Legumes and cereals are more consumed in the region due to geographic reasons. Therefore, *Sızgit* also participates in dishes made from legumes such as beans and chickpeas.

This unique product is currently produced and consumed in the same way in Cappadocia. Its production in the original form is carried out only by the people living in rural areas and with low-income levels. However, in the cities, *Sızgit* is produced in a similar way by butchers and markets and it is commonly sold in single-use containers at refrigerator temperature. This situation creates significant differences in the chemical and microbiological properties of *Sızgit*. The main objective of this research was to evaluate *Sızgit* produced traditionally and commercial in terms of public health and nutrition. This study aims to determine the physicochemical properties (pH, lipid oxidation and cholesterol level, protein, fat and moisture content, fatty acid composition) and microbiological properties (aerobic mesophilic bacteria, enterobacteria, mold and yeast, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* counts) of the *Sızgit*.

## Material and Methods

*Sızgit* samples produced traditionally and commercially were collected from local people and markets, respectively. 6 traditional *Sızgit* samples and 7 commercial *Sızgit* samples were collected for each of 3 replications on the separate batch.

### pH and chemical composition

The pH values, fat, protein, ash and moisture content of *Sızgit* were determined as described by (AOAC, 2005).

### Cholesterol content

Total cholesterol content of *Sızgit* samples was determined as described by Rudel and Morris (1973).

### Lipid oxidation

Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were determined for evaluation of oxidative stability. TBARS values of the samples were determined as described by Kilic and Richards (2003). Results were presented as  $\mu\text{mol TBARS} / \text{kg Sızgit}$ .

**Fatty acid composition**

Fatty acid composition analysis in *Sizgit* samples were determined as described by Ozer and Kilic (2015). Fatty acids were identified by retention time and compared with standards of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME Mix, CRM47885, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., Oakville, ON) and were reported as % fatty acids.

**Microbiological analysis**

*Sizgit* samples (10 g) were aseptically weighed, added to sterile buffered peptone water (90 ml) and homogenized in a stomacher at room temperature. Decimal dilutions in buffered peptone water were prepared and duplicate 0.1 ml samples of appropriate dilutions were spread on the following media; Plate Count Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for total viable aerobic count (TVAC), incubated at 30 °C for 48 h; Baird Parker Agar with egg yolk (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for *S. aureus* count, incubated at 30 °C for 48 h; Potato Dextrose Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for yeast and molds, incubated at 25 °C for 72 h; Violet Red Bile Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for Enterobacteriaceae, incubated at 37 °C for 48 h; Bismuth Sulfite Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for Salmonella, incubated at 37 °C for 48 h.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were expressed as mean values with standard errors from the three replications of

each sample. The statistical evaluation of the results was performed using the SPSS 22.0.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Data collected for physicochemical and microbiological properties of *Sizgit* were analyzed by independent samples t-tests for comparison of means. The decision rule the rejection of the null hypothesis at the 5% or lower level of significance.

**Results and Discussion**

The physicochemical composition of traditional and commercial *Sizgit* present in Table 1. No differences in the physicochemical composition of *Sizgit* among the traditional and commercial production except fat, protein and cholesterol content were determined. pH values for traditional and commercial *Sizgit* ranged from 6.04 to 6.31, however, average pH values were similar level for traditional and commercial. In addition, moisture and ash content for traditional and commercial samples were determined as similar levels. However, fat and cholesterol content of commercial samples had higher than the traditional samples while the protein content of traditional samples had the highest ( $P<0.05$ ). It is thought that these results are related to the ratio of meat and fat in the *Sizgit* formulation. High fat and cholesterol content of *Sizgit* are a very important risk for human health. And also, it is a significant disadvantage from a nutritional point of view.

**Table 1.** Physicochemical composition of *Sizgit*

Physicochemical composition	Traditional <i>Sizgit</i>	Commercial <i>Sizgit</i>
pH	6.14±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	6.21±0.16 <sup>a</sup>
Fat (%)	21.44±0.26 <sup>b</sup>	32.48±1.56 <sup>a</sup>
Moisture (%)	48.90±0.74 <sup>a</sup>	48.49±1.24 <sup>a</sup>
Protein (%)	26.77±0.27 <sup>a</sup>	24.30±1.08 <sup>b</sup>
Ash (%)	2.87±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	2.69±1.01 <sup>a</sup>
Cholesterol (mg/100 g)	174.68±2.45 <sup>b</sup>	197.38±3.05 <sup>a</sup>

a-b (→) Different letters within a row are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ).

Fatty acid compositions of *Sizgit* were presented in Table 2. The fatty acids contents of *Sizgit* have significantly changed the production type as commercial and traditional ( $P<0.05$ ). The main reason for the differences is the fact that differences in the fat content of commercial and traditional types. Results revealed that the commercial *Sizgit* had higher palmitic and stearic acid levels than traditional samples ( $P<0.05$ ). However, traditional *Sizgit* also had the highest values of unsaturated fatty acids which are oleic and palmitoleic acid levels ( $P<0.05$ ). Furthermore, SFA and PUFA levels of traditional samples were higher and lowest than commercial samples, respectively ( $P<0.05$ ). However, PUFA were at a similar level for

both types of products. As a result of these changes in fatty acids content, the SFA/UFA ratio for commercial samples was higher than traditional ( $P<0.05$ ). According to the current nutritional recommendations, SFA/UFA ratio should be below 1.0 and both type samples are quite above this level (de la Santé, 2016).

*Sizgit* samples have stored for long periods that can be all winter season at underground warehouses by local people. Similarly, commercial samples also under similar threat because of high animal fat content even if it is stored in better conditions and consumed quickly. Lipid oxidation during this storage is an important threat both for food safety and human health. TBARS analysis

results showed that there were significant differences in TBARS values of traditional and commercial samples (Table 3). Results revealed that the highest TBARS values were determined in traditional samples ( $P<0.05$ ). However, the results were also showed that TBARS values for some commercial and traditional samples were at the

same level. This situation is completely related to the fat content and storage conditions and duration of the products. On the other hand, the lipid oxidation levels of the products offered to people's consumption are quite high in all conditions. This situation is a very important risk for human health.

**Table 2.** Fatty acid composition of *Sızgıt*

Fatty acids (%)	Traditional <i>Sızgıt</i>	Commercial <i>Sızgıt</i>
Lauric acid	3.28 <sup>a</sup>	2.94 <sup>a</sup>
Myristic acid	3.98 <sup>a</sup>	3.83 <sup>a</sup>
Palmitic acid	23.61 <sup>b</sup>	25.27 <sup>a</sup>
Palmitoleic acid	4.89 <sup>a</sup>	3.74 <sup>b</sup>
Stearic acid	21.90 <sup>b</sup>	25.76 <sup>a</sup>
Oleic acid	33.59 <sup>a</sup>	30.86 <sup>b</sup>
Linoleic acid	3.65 <sup>a</sup>	3.99 <sup>a</sup>
Linolenic acid	2.54 <sup>a</sup>	2.04 <sup>a</sup>
Arachidic acid	2.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.59 <sup>a</sup>
ΣSFA	55.35 <sup>b</sup>	59.39 <sup>a</sup>
ΣUFA	44.65 <sup>a</sup>	40.61 <sup>b</sup>
ΣPUFA	6.19 <sup>a</sup>	6.03 <sup>a</sup>
SFA/UFA	1.24 <sup>b</sup>	1.46 <sup>a</sup>

a-b (→) Different letters within a row are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ).

**Table 3.** TBARS and color values of *Sızgıt*

TBARS values ( $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ <i>Sızgıt</i> )	Traditional <i>Sızgıt</i>	Commercial <i>Sızgıt</i>
TBARS <sub>Average</sub>	7.17±0.84 <sup>b</sup>	9.11±0.36 <sup>a</sup>
TBARS <sub>Min</sub>	5.02 <sup>b</sup>	13.42 <sup>a</sup>
TBARS <sub>Max</sub>	9.51 <sup>a</sup>	7.26 <sup>b</sup>
Color Properties		
L*	61.23±1.23 <sup>a</sup>	61.07±2.23 <sup>a</sup>
a*	3.00±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	3.99±2.27 <sup>a</sup>
b*	8.37±0.87 <sup>a</sup>	10.28±3.04 <sup>a</sup>

a-b (→) Different letters within a row are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ).

There were no significant differences in color properties among all *Sızgıt* samples (Table 3). The differences in color properties of *Sızgıt* which can occur because of different formulation and thermal

conditions during production could not be determined because of the completely non-homogenous appearance of *Sızgıt* samples.

**Table 4.** Microbial properties of *Sızgıt*

Microbial Count (log CFU/g <i>Sızgıt</i> )	Traditional <i>Sızgıt</i>	Commercial <i>Sızgıt</i>
Total aerobic mesophilic bacteria	3.38x10 <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup>	6.30x10 <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup>
Enterobacteria	1.09x10 <sup>2</sup> <sup>b</sup>	9.12x10 <sup>3</sup> <sup>a</sup>
Mold and yeast	6.45x10 <sup>4</sup> <sup>a</sup>	2.08x10 <sup>3</sup> <sup>b</sup>
Coagulase (+) <i>S. aureus</i>	N.D.	N.D.
<i>Salmonella</i>	N.D.	N.D.

a-b (→) Different letters within a row are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ).

The microbial counts of *Sızgıt* were presented in Table 4. The microbial counts of samples ranged from 5.18 to 6.94 log CFU/g for total aerobic mesophilic bacteria, from 0 to 3.69 log CFU/g for enterobacteria, from 2.67 to 4.95 log CFU/g for mold and yeast. Traditional samples had

fewer enterobacteria count than commercial samples while the commercial samples had less mold and yeast count than traditional samples ( $P<0.05$ ). On the other hand, *Salmonella* and *S. aureus* which are pathogenic bacteria were not determined in both types of *Sızgıt* samples. In the

Turkish food standards, kavurma that is similar meat product to *Sızgit* and industrially produce should not contain *Salmonella*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli* microorganisms (TSE, 2012).

### Conclusion

The results indicated that traditional and ethnic foods consumed frequently and abundantly by the local people may be a threat to public health. The public should be made aware of these threats and more conscious production and consumption of these products should be realized. As a result, measures should be taken in terms of food and public safety by preserving the traditional and ethnic structure of these products. Additionally, protective and promotional activities about food storage conditions in the underground warehouse should be done in the Cappadocia region.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, Scientific Research Projects Coordinators (Project No: NEÜBAP16/2F12).

### References

- AOAC, 2005. Official Methods of Analysis 18 edn. *Association of Official Analytical Chemists*, Washington, D.C.
- Batu, A., Batu, H.S. 2018. Historical Background of Turkish Gastronomy from ancient times to day. *Journal of Ethnic Foods*, 5(2): 76-82.
- Canan, İ., Açar, İ.T., Gündoğdu, M. 2015. Türkiye’de Limon üretim bölgesine yakın yerlerde kullanılan doğal depoların mevcut durumu ile sıcaklık ve nem durumlarının araştırılması. *Uluslararası Tarım ve Yaban Hayatı Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(2): 66-77.
- De la Santé, C.S. 2016. *Recommandations nutritionnelles Pour La Belgique-2016*. Brussel: CSS.
- Kaymakçı, S. 2011. Nevşehir’de Çanak çömlek kültürünün geçmişi. 1 Uluslararası Nevşehir Tarih ve Kültür Sempozyumu, Nevşehir, s. 61-81.
- Kilic, B., Richards, M.P. 2003. Lipid oxidation in poultry doner kebab: Pro-oxidative and anti-oxidative factors. *Journal of Food Science*, 68(2): 686-689.
- Narin, Y. 2001. Nevşehir Yöresinde Yemek Kültürü. Graduate School of Social Sciences, Konya Selçuk University.
- Ozer, C.O., Kilic, B. 2015. Effect of conjugated linoleic acid enrichment on the quality characteristics of Turkish dry fermented sausage. *Journal of Food Science and Technology-Mysore*, 52(4): 2093-2102.

Özata, Ş., Arun, E. 2018. Importance of local builders on the sustainability of rock-cut structure technics In Cappadocia Region. INTER-ISC’18, Kastamonu, s. 62-70.

Rudel, L.L., Morris, M. 1973. Determination of cholesterol using o-phthalaldehyde. *Journal of Lipid Research*, 14(3): 364-366.

TSE, 2012. Kavurma Standardı. Türk Standartları Enstitüsü, Ankara.

UNESCO, 2018. Göreme National Park and the Rock Sites of Cappadocia. <https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/357>. Accessed 19.09.2018.