INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF GEOMETRY VOLUME 6 NO. 2 PP. 14-18 (2013) ©IEJG

RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS AND LAGRANGIAN ISOMETRIC IMMERSION 1

YUN MYUNG OH

(Communicated by Kazım İLARSLAN)

ABSTRACT. In [1], it has shown that if a Riemannian manifold admits a nontrivial Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers, then it cannot be isometrically immersed in any Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature as a minimal submanifold. In this paper, we consider a nontrivial Riemannian submersion and investigate some properties on Lagrangian isometric immersions using the submersion invariant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M and B be Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and b, respectively. A surjective map $\pi : M \to B$ is called a Riemannian submersion if it has maximal rank at any point of M and the differential π_* preserves the length of the horizontal vectors. A vector field on M is called vertical if it is always tangent to fibers and horizontal if it is orthogonal to fibers. A vector field X on M is called basic if Xis horizontal and π -related to a vector field X_* on B. i.e. $\pi_*X = X_*$. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{V} be horizontal and vertical distributions. The trivial Riemannian submersion is the projection of a Riemannian product manifold onto one of its factors which has totally geodesic horizontal and vertical distributions. In this paper, a Riemannian manifold M admits a nontrivial Riemannian submersions if there exists a Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \to B$ from M into a Riemannian manifold B such that \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{V} are not both totally geodesic distribution.

Let us assume that M^n admits a Lagrangian isometric immersion $\phi: M \to \tilde{M}^n$ into a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M}^n and we choose a local orthonormal frame $e_1, ..., e_b, e_{b+1}, ..., e_n, Je_1, ..., Je_n$ such that $e_1, ..., e_b$ are horizontal vector fields, $e_{b+1}, ..., e_n$ are vertical vector fields of M and $Je_1, ..., Je_n$ are normal vector fields of M in \tilde{M}^n .

The submersion invariant A_{π} is defined by

$$\check{A}_{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{o} \sum_{s=b+1}^{n} ||A_{e_i} e_s||^2,$$

Date: Received: November 1, 2012 and Accepted: June 28, 2013.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C42; Secondary 53C40.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian submersion, submersion invariant, totally geodesic fibers.

15

where A is a (1,2) tensor defined as $A_E F = v \nabla_{hE} hF + h \nabla_{hE} vF$. Also, there is an another (1,2) tensor T which is defined as $T_E F = v \nabla_{vE} hF + h \nabla_{vE} vF$. These are called the fundamental tensor fields or the invariants of a Riemannian submersion π . In [1], B. Y. Chen obtained

Theorem 1.1. If a Riemannian manifold M^n admits a nontrivial Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M^n, g) \to (B^b, g')$ with totally geodesic fibers, then it can not be isometrically immersed in any Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature as a minimal submanifold.

In his proof, he found that

(1.1)
$$\check{A}_{\pi} \le \frac{n^2}{4}H^2 + b(n-b)max\tilde{K}$$

where $\max \tilde{K}$ denotes the maximum value of the sectional curvature of the ambient space \tilde{M}^n restricted to plane sections in T_pM for an isometric immersion $\phi: M \to \tilde{M}^n$.

In this paper, we mainly derive two inequalities on Riemannian submersion like (1.1) using the different techniques.

2. Main results

We need the following proposition from the book [4]. Throughout this section, we assume that $\pi : (M,g) \to (B,g')$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\pi : (M,g) \to (B,g')$ be a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. If M has non-positive sectional curvature, then the horizontal distribution is integrable and B has non-positive sectional curvatures. If M has positive sectional curvatures, then we have the following.

(a) $\dim M < 2 \dim B;$

(b) B has positive sectional curvature.

In this paper, we define a Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M^n, g) \to (B^b, g')$ is nontrivial if the horizontal and vertical distribution are not both integrable. Moreover, if a Riemannian submersion has totally geodesic fibers, then the vertical distribution is integrable and it is totally geodesic. So, the horizontal distribution of a non-trivial Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers is not integrable.

Simply from the above results, we have the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\pi : (M^n, g) \to (B^b, g')$ be a non-trivial Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. Then

(a) M has positive sectional curvature, and so has B;

(b) $\dim M < 2 \dim B$.

Proof. The statement (a) and (b) are the immediate result of proposition 2.1 above. \Box

We need the following for the next result. If $\{U, V\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the vertical 2-plane α , then the sectional curvature of the plane α in T_pM , $p \in M$ is $K(\alpha) = \hat{K}(\alpha) + ||T_UV||^2 - g(T_UU, T_VV)$, where $\hat{K}(\alpha)$ denotes the sectional Y. M. OH

curvature in the fiber through p. If $\{X, Y\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the horizontal 2-plane α and $K'(\alpha')$ denotes the sectional curvature in (B, g') of the plane α' spanned by π_*X, π_*Y , then $K(\alpha) = K'(\alpha') - 3||A_XY||^2$. Finally, if $X \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_p$ are unit vectors spanning α , the sectional curvature of the plane α is $K(\alpha) = g((\nabla_X T)(V, V), X) - ||T_V X||^2 + ||A_X V||^2$. Because of our assumption of totally geodesic fibers, tensor T is identically zero so that we have the following.

Theorem 2.2. Under the same condition in Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\check{A}_{\pi} < \tau + 3 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le b} ||A_{e_i} e_j||^2,$$

where τ is the scalar curvature of M defined by $\tau = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)$ for an orthonormal basis $e_1, ..., e_n$ at $p \in M$.

Proof. Since T = 0, the sectional curvature of the plane α spanned by two unit vectors $X \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_p$ is $K(\alpha) = ||A_X V||^2$ so that its submersion invariant $\check{A}_{\pi} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq b, b+1 \leq \alpha \leq n} ||A_{e_i} e_{\alpha}||^2 = \sum_{i,\alpha} K(e_i \wedge e_{\alpha})$. Furthermore,

$$\check{A}_{\pi} = \tau - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq b} K'(\alpha') + 3 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq b} ||A_{e_i}e_j||^2 - \sum_{b+1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \hat{K}(e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta}).$$

But from Theorem 2.1, $K'(\alpha')$ and $\hat{K}(e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta})$ are all positive so that we have the result.

Also, we have another inequality for a Riemannian submersion as below. We say a plane α is called the mixed plane if it is spanned by a horizontal vector e_j and a vertical vector e_α for i = 1, ..., b and $\alpha = b + 1, ..., n$.

Theorem 2.3. Again under the same conditions in the previous theorem and if $\phi: M \to \tilde{M}$ is a Lagrangian isometric immersion, then we have another inequality

$$\check{A}_{\pi} \ge \tau - \tilde{\tau} + b(n-b)\min\tilde{K} - \frac{1}{2}(b-1)||H||_{\mathbb{H}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n-b-1)||H||_{\mathbb{V}}^2,$$

where $\tilde{\tau}$ is the scalar curvature and \tilde{K} is the sectional curvature of the mixed plane in the ambient space and $||H||_{\mathbb{H}}^2$ is defined as $||H||_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^b (h_{jj}^r)^2$ and $||H||_{\mathbb{V}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=b+1}^n (h_{\alpha\alpha}^r)^2$. The equality holds iff the second fundamental form satisfies $h_{jj}^r = \mu$ and $h_{\alpha\alpha}^r = \lambda$ for j = 1, ..., b, $\alpha = b + 1, ..., n$ and r = 1, ..., n and \tilde{K} is constant.

Proof. Given an orthonormal basis $e_1, ..., e_n$ of the tangent space $T_pM, p \in M$, the scalar curvature τ of M at p is defined to be

$$\tau(p) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} K(e_i \land e_j).$$

From the definition of a Riemannain submersion, we can get

$$\tilde{A}_{\pi} = \tau(p) - \tilde{\tau}(p) + \sum_{i,\alpha} \tilde{K}(e_i \wedge e_\alpha) - \sum_{r=1}^n (\sum_{1 \le i < j \le b} (h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2) - \sum_{b+1 \le \alpha < \beta \le n} (h_{\alpha\alpha}^r h_{\beta\beta}^r - (h_{\alpha\beta}^r)^2))$$

However, a part of series becomes

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le b} (h_{ii}^{r} h_{jj}^{r} - (h_{ij}^{r})^{2}) + \sum_{b+1 \le \alpha < \beta \le n} (h_{\alpha\alpha}^{r} h_{\beta\beta}^{r} - (h_{\alpha\beta}^{r})^{2}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\sum_{2 \le j \le b} (h_{11}^{r} h_{jj}^{r} - (h_{1j}^{r})^{2}) + \sum_{2 \le i < j \le b} (h_{ii}^{r} h_{jj}^{r} - (h_{ij}^{r})^{2})) \\ + \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\sum_{b+2 \le \beta \le n} (h_{b+1,b+1}^{r} h_{\beta,\beta}^{r} - (h_{b+1,\beta}^{r})^{2}) + \sum_{b+2 \le \alpha < \beta \le n} (h_{\alpha\alpha}^{r} h_{\beta\beta}^{r} - (h_{\alpha\beta}^{r})^{2}))$$

The first term in the series becomes inequality

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{2 \le j \le b} (h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{1j}^r)^2) \le \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{b} h_{11}^r h_{jj}^r - \sum_{j=2}^{b} (h_{1j}^1)^2 - \sum_{j=2}^{b} (h_{1j}^j)^2$$

which means

$$-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{2\leq j\leq b}(h_{11}^{r}h_{jj}^{r}-(h_{1j}^{r})^{2})\geq -\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{j=2}^{b}h_{11}^{r}h_{jj}^{r}+\sum_{j=2}^{b}(h_{1j}^{1})^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{b}(h_{1j}^{j})^{2}$$

Using the same type of inequality for every term in the series we get the following.

$$\begin{split} &-\sum_{r=1}^{n}(\sum_{2\leq j\leq b}(h_{11}^{r}h_{jj}^{r}-(h_{1j}^{r})^{2})-\sum_{2\leq i< j\leq b}(h_{ii}^{r}h_{jj}^{r}-(h_{ij}^{r})^{2}))\\ &-\sum_{r=1}^{n}(\sum_{b+2\leq \beta\leq n}(h_{b+1,b+1}^{r}h_{\beta,\beta}^{r}-(h_{b+1,\beta}^{r})^{2})-\sum_{b+2\leq \alpha<\beta\leq n}(h_{\alpha\alpha}^{r}h_{\beta\beta}^{r}-(h_{\alpha\beta}^{r})^{2}))\\ \geq-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{j=2}^{b}h_{11}^{r}h_{jj}^{r}+\sum_{j=2}^{b}(h_{1j}^{1})^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{b}(h_{1j}^{j})^{2}-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{j=3}^{b}h_{22}^{r}h_{jj}^{r}+\sum_{j=3}^{b}(h_{2j}^{2})^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{b}(h_{2j}^{j})^{2}+\ldots\\ &-\sum_{r=1}^{n}h_{b-1,b-1}^{r}h_{b,b}^{r}+(h_{b-1,b}^{b-1})^{2}+(h_{b-1,b}^{b})^{2}-\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{b+2\leq\beta\leq n}h_{b+1,b+1}^{r}h_{\beta,\beta}^{r}+\sum_{\beta=b+2}^{n}(h_{b+1,\beta}^{b+1})^{2}\\ &+\sum_{\beta=b+2}^{n}(h_{b+1,\beta}^{\beta})^{2}+\ldots-\sum_{r=1}^{n}h_{r-1,n-1}^{r}h_{nn}^{r}+(h_{n-1,n}^{n-1})^{2}+(h_{n-1,n}^{n})^{2}\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n}[(h_{11}^{r})^{2}+(h_{22}^{r})^{2}]+\ldots+[(h_{11}^{r})^{2}+(h_{bb}^{r})^{2}]+[(h_{22}^{r})^{2}+(h_{33}^{r})^{2}]+\ldots+[(h_{22}^{r})^{2}+(h_{bb}^{r})^{2}]+\ldots\\ &+[(h_{b-1,b-1}^{r})^{2}+(h_{bb}^{r})^{2}]-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{n}[(h_{b+1,b+1}^{r})^{2}+(h_{b-2,b+2}^{r})^{2}+\ldots+[(h_{b-1,b-1}^{r})^{2}+(h_{nn}^{r})^{2}]\\ &+\sum_{j=2}^{b}(h_{1j}^{1})^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{b}(h_{1j}^{j})^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{b}(h_{2j}^{2})^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{b}(h_{2j}^{j})^{2}+\ldots+(h_{b-1,b}^{r-1})^{2}+(h_{b-1,b}^{r})^{2}\\ &+\sum_{\beta=b+2}^{n}(h_{b+1,\beta}^{b+1,\beta})^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{n}(h_{b+1,\beta}^{\beta})^{2}+\ldots+(h_{n-1,n}^{n-1})^{2}+(h_{n-1,n}^{n})^{2} \end{split}$$

by using the simple algebraic inequality for all the mixed terms in the series. Therefore, we now have

$$\tilde{A}_{\pi} \ge \tau(p) - \tilde{\tau}(p) + b(n-b)\min\tilde{K} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{n} ([(h_{11}^{r})^{2} + (h_{22}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + [(h_{11}^{r})^{2} + (h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + [(h_{22}^{r})^{2} + (h_{33}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + [(h_{22}^{r})^{2} + (h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + [(h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + ((h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + ((h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + ((h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + ((h_{bb}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + ((h_{bb}^{r})^{2}) + \dots + ((h_{bb}^{$$

17

Y. M. OH

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{n} ([(h_{b+1,b+1}^{r})^{2} + (h_{b+2,b+2}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + [(h_{b+1,b+1}^{r})^{2} + (h_{nn}^{r})^{2}] + [(h_{b+2,b+2}^{r})^{2} + (h_{b+3,b+3}^{r})^{2}] + \dots + [(h_{n-1,n-1}^{r})^{2} + (h_{nn}^{r})^{2}])$$

The equality case occurs when $h_{ij}^k = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $h_{11}^r = \dots = h_{bb}^r$ and $h_{b+1,b+1}^r = \dots = h_{n,n}^r$ for $r = 1, \dots, n$ and \tilde{K} becomes a constant.

Corollary 2.1. Again under the same conditions in the previous theorem and if $\phi : M \to \tilde{M}$ is a Lagrangian isometric immersion, then we have the following inequality

$$\check{A}_{\pi} > \tau - \tilde{\tau} + b(n-b)\min\tilde{K} - \frac{1}{2}(b-1)||H||^2$$

where $\tilde{\tau}$ is the scalar curvature and \tilde{K} is the sectional curvature of the mixed plane in the ambient space.

Proof. By theorem 2.1, we know n < 2b so that n - b - 1 < b - 1 which implies the inequality.

References

- Chen, B. Y., Riemannian submersions, minimal immersions and cohomology class, Proc. Japan Acad., 81, Ser. A. (2005), 162-167.
- [2] Chen, B. Y., Examples and classification of Riemannian submersions satisfying a basic equality, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. ,Vol. 72 (2005), 391-402.
- [3] Deng, S., Improved Chen-Ricci Inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in Quaternion space forms, Int. Electron. J. Geom., Vol. 5 (2012), 163-170
- [4] Falcitelli, M., Ianus, S. and Pastore, A., Riemannian submersions and related topics, World Scientific, Singapore, 2004.
- [5] O'Neill, B., Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity, Academic Press, New York, 1983.

Department of Mathematics, Andrews University, Berrien Springs, MI 49104, USA $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{ohy@andrews.edu}$