

## DECOMPOSITIONS OF SOME FORMS OF CONTINUITY

A. ACIKGOZ AND S. YUKSEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper,  $\alpha_I N_3$  - sets [3] and  $\alpha_I N_5$  - sets [3] are introduced and characterizations of  $\alpha$  - I - open [6], semi - I - open [6],  $\alpha_I N_3$  - and  $\alpha_I N_5$  - sets are investigated. Also, new decompositions of  $\alpha$  - I - continuity and semi - I - continuity are obtained using these sets.

### 1. Introduction

Quite recently, Acikgoz and Yuksel [3] have introduced I - R closed sets and obtained a decomposition of continuity. Acikgoz et al. [1], [2] investigated some properties of  $\alpha$  - I - open sets and obtained decompositions of  $\alpha$  - I - continuity and semi - I - continuity.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce  $\alpha_I N_3$  - sets and  $\alpha_I N_5$  - sets via idealization and investigate characterizations of  $\alpha$  - I - open, semi - I - open,  $\alpha_I N_3$  - and  $\alpha_I N_5$  - sets and also, to obtain new decompositions of  $\alpha$  - I - continuity and semi - I - continuity using these sets.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $Cl(A)$  and  $Int(A)$  denote the closure and the interior of  $A$ , respectively. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $I$  be an ideal of subsets of  $X$ . An ideal is defined as a nonempty collection  $I$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following two conditions: (1) If  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A$ , then  $B \in I$ ; (2) If  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$ , then  $A \cup B \in I$ . An ideal topological space is a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an ideal  $I$  on  $X$  and is denoted by  $(X, \tau, I)$ . For a subset  $A \subset X$ ,  $A^*(I) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for each neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\}$  is called the local function of  $A$  with respect to  $I$  and  $\tau$  [10]. We simply write  $A^*$  instead of  $A^*(I)$  since there is no chance for confusion.  $X^*$  is often a proper subset of  $X$ . The hypothesis

$X = X^*$  [9] is equivalent to the hypothesis  $\tau \cap I = \emptyset$  [13]. The ideal topological space which satisfies this hypothesis is called a *Hayashi - Samuels space* [10]. For

---

Received by the editors Nov. 3, 2006 ; Accepted: March 7, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C08, 54A20; Secondary 54A05, 54C10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set,  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set, decompositions of  $\alpha$  - I - continuity and semi - I - continuity, topological ideal.

every ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , there exists a topology  $\tau^*(I)$ , finer than  $\tau$ , generated by  $\beta(I, \tau) = \{U \setminus I : U \in \tau \text{ and } I \in I\}$ , but in general  $\beta(I, \tau)$  is not always a topology [10]. Additionally,  $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  defines a Kuratowski closure operator for  $\tau^*(I)$ .

First we shall recall some definitions used in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be

- (1)  $\alpha - I - \text{open}$  [6] if  $A \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A)))$ ,
- (2)  $\text{pre} - I - \text{open}$  [4] if  $A \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))$ ,
- (3)  $\text{semi} - I - \text{open}$  [6] if  $A \subset Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))$ ,
- (4)  $\delta - I - \text{open}$  [2] if  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))$ ,
- (5)  $\text{strong } \beta - I - \text{open}$  [8] if  $A \subset Cl^*(\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)))$ ,
- (6)  $t - I - \text{set}$  [6] if  $\text{Int}(A) = \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))$ ,
- (7)  $\tau^* - \text{dense set}$  [9] if  $X = Cl^*(A)$ ,
- (8)  $\tau^* - \text{closed set}$  [10] if  $A = Cl^*(A)$ .

The family of all  $\alpha - I - \text{open}$  ( resp.  $\text{pre} - I - \text{open}$ ,  $\text{semi} - I - \text{open}$ ,  $\text{strong } \beta - I - \text{open}$ ) sets in an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $\alpha IO(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $PIO(X, \tau)$ ,  $SIO(X, \tau)$ ,  $S\beta IO(X, \tau)$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be

- (1)  $a \text{ semi} - I - \text{closed}$  [7] if  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset A$ ,
- (2)  $a \text{ weakly } I - \text{locally} - \text{closed set}$  [11] if  $A = U \cap V$ , where  $U$  is open and  $V$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed,
- (3)  $aB_I - \text{set}$  [6] if  $A = U \cap V$ , where  $U$  is open and  $V$  is a  $t - I - \text{set}$ .

The family of all  $\text{semi} - I - \text{closed}$  ( resp.  $\text{weakly } I - \text{locally} - \text{closed}$ ,  $B_I -$ ) sets in an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $SIC(X, \tau)$  ( resp.  $W_I LC(X, \tau)$ ,  $B_I(X, \tau)$  ).

**Definition 2.3.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be a *nowhere  $\tau^*$ -dense set* if  $\text{Int}^*(Cl(A)) = \emptyset$ , where  $\text{Int}^*(A)$  denotes the interior of  $A$  with respect to  $\tau^*$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is *semi - I - closed* if and only if  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) = \text{Int}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $A$  be *semi - I - closed*. Then we have  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset A$ . Then  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset \text{Int}(A)$  and hence  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset \text{Int}(A)$ .

The sufficiency is clear. □

**Corollary 1.** Let  $A$  be a subset of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ .  $A$  is a *semi - I - closed set* if and only if  $A = A \cup \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))$ .

*Proof.* The necessity is clear as seen in Theorem 1.

Sufficiency.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(Cl^*(B)) &= \text{Int}(Cl^*(A \cup \text{Int}(Cl^*(A)))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(A) \cup \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)))) \\ &= \text{Int}(Cl^*(A) \subset A \cup \text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) = B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(B)) \subset B$  and hence  $B = A \cup \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))$  is semi - I - closed.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be  $\beta$ - I - closed if its complement is  $\beta$ - I - open.

The family of all  $\beta$  - I - closed sets in an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $\beta IC(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $A$  be subset of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ . Then, If  $A$  is  $\beta$ - I - closed, then  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset A$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is  $\beta$ - I - closed,  $X - A \in \beta IO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $\tau^*(I)$  is finer than  $\tau$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} X - A &\subset Cl(\text{Int}(Cl^*(X - A))) \\ &\subset Cl(\text{Int}(Cl(X - A))) \\ &= X - \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(A))) \\ &\subset X - \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset A$ .  $\square$

### 3. $\alpha_I \mathbf{N}_3$ - sets

**Proposition 1.** Every semi - I - closed set of an ideal topological space is  $\beta$ - I - closed.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be semi - I - closed. Then we have  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset A$ .

Then  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \subset A$  and hence  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) \subset A$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* The converses of Proposition 1 need not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$  and  $I = \{\emptyset, \{3\}\}$ . Then  $A = \{2, 3, 4\}$  is a  $\beta$ - I - closed set, but not semi - I - closed. For,  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(\{2, 3, 4\}))) = \text{Int}(Cl^*(\{4\})) = \text{Int}(\{4\}^* \cup \{4\}) = \text{Int}(\{3, 4\} \cup \{4\}) = \text{Int}(\{3, 4\}) = \{4\}$  and hence  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(\{2, 3, 4\}))) = \{4\} \subset \{2, 3, 4\} = A$ . This shows that  $A$  is a  $\beta$ - I - closed set. But  $A$  is not a semi - I - closed. For  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) = \text{Int}(Cl^*(\{2, 3, 4\})) = \text{Int}(\{2, 3, 4\}^* \cup \{2, 3, 4\}) = \text{Int}(X \cup \{2, 3, 4\}) = \text{Int}(X) = X \not\subset \{2, 3, 4\} = A$  and  $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) \not\subset A$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** (Acikgoz et. al [1]). Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:

- (1) If  $O$  is open in  $(X, \tau, I)$ , then  $O \cap Cl^*(A) \subset Cl^*(O \cap A)$ .
- (2) If  $A \subset X_0 \subset X$ , then  $Cl^*X_0(A) \subset Cl^*(A) \cap X_0$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A \cap S \in SIO(X, \tau)$  for each  $S \in SIO(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $S \in SIO(X, \tau)$ . Using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S \cap A &\subset Cl^*(Int(S)) \cap Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) \\
&\subset Cl^*(Int(S) \cap Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))) \\
&\subset Cl^*(Int(S) \cap Cl^*(Int(A))) \\
&\subset Cl^*(Cl^*(Int(S) \cap Int(A))) \\
&\subset Cl^*(Int(S \cap A)).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $A \cap S \in SIO(X, \tau)$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $S \in SIO(X, \tau)$  and  $A \cap S \in SIO(X, \tau)$ . Then in particular  $A \in SIO(X, \tau)$ . Assume  $x \in A \cap C(Int(Cl^*(Int(A))))$  ( $C$  denoting complement). Then  $x \in Cl^*(S) = Cl^*(Int(S))$  by [7], where  $S = C(Cl^*(Int(A)))$ . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S \cup Int(\{x\}) &\subset Cl^*(Int(S)) \\
&= Cl^*(Int(Int(\{x\}) \cup Int(S))) \\
&\subset Cl^*(Int(Int(S \cup \{x\}))) \\
&= Cl^*(Int(S \cup \{x\})).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S \cup \{x\} \in SIO(X, \tau)$  and consequently  $A \cap (S \cup \{x\}) \in SIO(X, \tau)$ . But

$$\begin{aligned}
A \cap (S \cup \{x\}) &= (A \cap S) \cup (A \cap \{x\}) \\
&= (A \cap S) \cup (A \cap \{x\}) \\
&= (A \cap S) \cup \{x\} \\
&= (A \cap \{x\}) \cup (S \cap \{x\}) \\
&= \{x\}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{x\}$  is open. As  $x \in Cl^*(Int(A))$ , this implies  $x \in Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$ , contrary to assumption. Thus  $x \in A$  implies  $x \in Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$ , and  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A = U \cap D$  where  $U \in \tau$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$ -dense.*

*Proof.* Necessity. If  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ , then we have

$$A = Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) - (Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) - A)$$

where  $Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) = U \in \tau$  and  $Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) - A$  is nowhere  $\tau^*$ -dense.

Sufficiency. Let  $A = U \cap D$ , where  $U \in \tau$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$ -dense. Since  $A \subset U$

$U = U \cap X = U \cap Cl^*(Int(D)) \subset Int(U) \cap Cl^*(Int(D)) \subset Cl^*(Int(U) \cap Int(D)) = Cl^*(Int(A))$  and we obtain  $U \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$ . Hence  $A \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$  so that  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** [3]. A subset  $H$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set if  $H = A \cap B$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is a  $t - I$  - set.

The family of all  $\alpha_I N_3$  - sets of  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $\alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$  in this paper, when there is no chance for confusion with the ideal.

**Theorem 3.5.** For a subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is semi -  $I$  - closed,
- (2)  $A$  is  $\beta - I$  - closed and is an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set,
- (3)  $A$  is  $\beta - I$  - closed and  $\delta - I$  - open.

*Proof.* a)  $\Rightarrow$  b). Let  $A \in SIC(X, \tau)$ . Since  $SIC(X, \tau) \subset \beta IC(X, \tau)$  by Proposition 1 and  $A = A \cap X$ , where  $A$  is a  $t - I$  - set and  $X \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ . Therefore we have  $SIC(X, \tau) \subset \beta IC(X, \tau) \cap \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$ .

b)  $\Rightarrow$  c). The proof is seen in the Diagram.

c)  $\Rightarrow$  a). Let  $A$  be  $\beta - I$  - closed and  $\delta - I$  - open. Since  $Int(Cl^*(A)) \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$  and  $Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) \subset A$ , we obtain that  $A \in SIC(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.  $H \in \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $H = B \cap D$  where  $B \in B_I(X, \tau)$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$  - dense.

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $H \in \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$  and write  $H = A \cap B$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is a  $t - I$  - set. By Proposition 3, we write  $A = U \cap D$  where  $U \in \tau$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$  - dense. Thus  $H = A \cap B = (U \cap D) \cap B = (U \cap B) \cap D$  where  $U \cap B \in B_I(X, \tau)$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$  - dense as required.

Sufficiency. Assume that  $H = B \cap D$  with  $B \in B_I(X, \tau)$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$  - dense. Then we have  $B = U \cap B_2$  where  $U \in \tau$  and  $B_2$  is a  $t - I$  - set. Thus  $H = B \cap D = (U \cap B_2) \cap D = (U \cap D) \cap B_2$  where  $U \cap D \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  by Proposition 3 and  $B_2$  is a  $t - I$  - set. Therefore we obtain  $H \in \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.  $H \in \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $H = A \cap (H \cup Int(Cl^*(H)))$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $H \in \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$  and assume  $H = A \cap B$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is a  $t-I$ -set. Since  $B$  is a  $t-I$ -set, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H &= A \cap H \\ &\subset A \cap (H \cup \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))) \\ &\subset A \cap (B \cup \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(B))) \\ &= A \cap (B \cup \text{Int}(B)) \\ &= A \cap B \\ &= H. \end{aligned}$$

So  $H = A \cap (H \cup \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)))$ , with  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  by Lemma 1 as required. Sufficiency. Assume that  $H \subset X$  such that  $H = A \cap (H \cup \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)))$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $H \cup \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))$  is semi- $I$ -closed by Corollary 1 and hence it is a  $t-I$ -set. Therefore  $H \in \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.*

$$\alpha IO(X, \tau) = \text{PIO}(X, \tau) \cap \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau).$$

*Proof.* Necessity. It is obvious that  $\alpha IO(X, \tau) \subset \text{PIO}(X, \tau) \cap \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $H \in \text{PIO}(X, \tau) \cap \alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$ . Then we have  $H \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))$  and by Proposition 5,  $H = A \cap (\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)) \cup H)$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $T = (\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)) \cup H) \in \text{SIC}(X, \tau)$  by Lemma 1, respectively. But

$$T = H \cup \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))$$

Thus  $H = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)) \in \tau \subset \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and therefore  $H = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)) \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ , because  $\alpha IO(X, \tau)$  is a topology (see Corollary 3.2 of Acikgoz et.al [1]).  $\square$

It is seen in the following example that the decomposition provided by Theorem 4 is different from the decomposition of  $\alpha-I$ -continuity given in Theorem 4.2 by Acikgoz et.al [2].

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$  and  $I = \{\emptyset, \{3\}\}$ . Then  $A = \{3\}$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set, which is not semi- $I$ -open. For,  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\{3\})) = \text{Int}(\{3\}) = \emptyset = \text{Int}(\{3\})$ ,  $A = \{3\} = \{3\} \cap X$  where  $A$  is a  $t-I$ -set and  $X \in \alpha IO(X)$ . This shows that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set. On the other hand, since  $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) = \emptyset$  and  $A \not\subset \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))$ ,  $A$  is not semi- $I$ -open.

**Proposition 6.** *For a subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is  $\alpha-I$ -open,
- (2)  $A$  is pre- $I$ -open and semi- $I$ -open,
- (3)  $A$  is pre- $I$ -open and  $\delta-I$ -open,
- (4)  $A$  is pre- $I$ -open and  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious ( Acikgoz et al. [2] and [1] ).  $\square$

#### 4. $\alpha_I N_5$ - sets

**Definition 4.1.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be an  $I$ - $R$  closed [3] ( resp. strong  $\beta$ - $I$ - closed [8] ) set if  $A = Cl^*(Int(A))$  ( resp.  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))$  ).

**Definition 4.2.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be an  $A_{I-R}$ - set if  $A \cap V$ , where  $U$  is open and  $V$  is an  $I$ - $R$  closed set.

The family of all  $I$ - $R$  closed ( resp. strong  $\beta$ - $I$ - closed ) sets in an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $IRC(X, \tau)$  ( resp.  $S\beta IC(X, \tau)$  ).

**Proposition 7.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.  $A \in SIO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A = R \cap D$  where  $R \in IRC(X, \tau)$  and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$ - dense.

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $A \in SIO(X, \tau)$ . Then we have  $U \subset A \subset Cl^*(U)$  such that  $U \in \tau$  by Theorem 3.2 of [7]. Note that  $Cl^*(A) = Cl^*(U)$ . We write  $A = Cl^*(U) - (Cl^*(U) - A) = Cl^*(U) \cap [X - (Cl^*(U) - A)]$ , where  $Cl^*(U) - A \subset Cl^*(U) - U$  and  $Cl^*(U) - U$  is nowhere  $\tau^*$ - dense in  $(X, \tau, I)$ . We assume  $D = X - (Cl^*(U) - A)$ . Then  $X - Cl(Cl^*(U) - A)$  is an open  $\tau^*$ - dense subset of  $(X, \tau, I)$  which is contained in  $D$ . Consequently, we use  $R = Cl^*(U)$  to write  $A = R \cap D$  where  $R$  is an  $I$ - $R$  closed set and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$ - dense, as required.

Sufficiency. Assume that  $A = R \cap D$  where  $R$  is  $I$ - $R$  closed and  $Int(D)$  is  $\tau^*$ -dense. We write  $U \in \tau$  such that  $R = Cl^*(U)$ . We assume  $V = U \cap Int(D)$ . Then  $V \in \tau$  with  $V \subset A$ . Finally,  $Cl^*(V) = Cl^*(U \cap Int(D)) = Cl^*(U) = R$ . Thus  $V \subset A \subset Cl^*(V)$  and therefore  $A \in SIO(X, \tau)$  using [7].  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** [3]. A subset  $H$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called an  $\alpha_I N_5$ - set if  $H = A \cap B$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $B$  is a  $\tau^*$ - closed set.

The family of all  $\alpha_I N_5$ - sets of  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $\alpha_I N_5(X, \tau)$  in this paper, when there is no chance for confusion with the ideal.

**Proposition 8.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A = U \cap V$  a subset of  $X$ . Then the following hold:

- (1) If  $A$  is a weakly  $I$ - locally - closed set, then  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$ - set.
- (2) If  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$ - set, then  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$ - set.
- (3) If  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$ - set, then  $A$  is  $\delta$ - $I$ - open.

*Proof.* a) and b) The proof is a direct consequence of the Definition 2 and Definition 8.

c) Let  $A$  be an  $\alpha_I N_3$ - set. Then we have  $A = U \cap V$  where  $U \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $V$  is a  $t$ - $I$ - set. Since every  $\alpha$ - $I$ - open set is  $\delta$ - $I$ - open by [1] and every  $t$ - $I$ - set is  $\delta$ - $I$ - open, therefore we obtain that  $A \in \delta IO(X, \tau)$ . Because  $\delta IO(X, \tau)$  is a topology ( see Corollary 3.2 of Acikgoz et.al [14] ).  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* The converses of Proposition 3.1 need not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.5.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$  and  $I = \{\emptyset, \{3\}\}$ . Then  $A = \{3, 4\}$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set, but not weakly  $I$  - locally - closed.  $\alpha IO(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ . For a subset  $A = \{3, 4\} = X \cap \{3, 4\}$ , where  $A$  is  $\alpha$  -  $I$  - open and  $X$  is  $\tau^*$  - closed. This shows that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set. But  $A$  is not weakly  $I$  - locally - closed. Because  $A \notin \tau$ .

**Example 4.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Then  $A = \{c\}$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set but it is not an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set. For  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*({c})) = \text{Int}({c} \cup ({c})^*) = \text{Int}({c} \cup \{b, c\}) = \text{Int}(\{b, c\}) = \{c\}$ , and so  $A = A \cap X$  where  $A$  is a  $t$  -  $I$  - set and  $X \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ . This shows that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set. But  $A$  is not an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set. For,  $\text{Cl}^*(A) = \text{Cl}^*({c}) = ({c})^* \cup \{c\} = \{b, c\} \cup \{c\} = \{b, c\} \neq \{c\}$  and  $\text{Cl}^*(A) \neq A$ .

**Example 4.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$ . Set  $A = \{b, d\}$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\delta$  -  $I$  - open set which is not an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set. For  $A = \{b, d\}$ , since  $\text{Cl}^*(A) = \{b, d\}$  and  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) = \emptyset$  so  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \subset \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))$ . This shows that  $A$  is a  $\delta$  -  $I$  - open set. On the other hand, since  $A \not\subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \emptyset$  and  $A = A \cap X$  where  $A \notin \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ ,  $A$  is not an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set.

The two classes  $\alpha_I N_3(X, \tau)$  and  $\alpha_I N_5(X, \tau)$  are related as seen in the next proposition, whose proof is omitted since it is similar to that of Proposition 3.

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.  $H \in \alpha_I N_5(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $H = B \cap D$  where  $B$  is a weakly  $I$  - locally - closed set and  $\text{Int}(D)$  is  $\tau^*$  - dense.*

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space.*

$$\text{SIO}(X, \tau) = \text{S}\beta\text{IO}(X, \tau) \cap \alpha_I N_5(X, \tau).$$

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $A \in \text{SIO}(X, \tau)$ . Then we have  $A \in \text{S}\beta\text{IO}(X, \tau)$  by Remark 1.1 of [2]. Now, by Proposition 7 we write  $A = R \cap D$  where  $R$  is  $I$  -  $R$  closed and  $\text{Int}(D)$  is  $\tau^*$  - dense. Since  $R$  is a weakly  $I$  - locally - closed set ( because  $R$  is  $\tau^*$  - closed ) then  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set by Proposition 9.

Sufficiency. Let  $H \in \text{S}\beta\text{IO}(X, \tau) \cap \alpha_I N_5(X, \tau)$ . Then we have  $H \subset \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)))$  and  $H = A \cap F$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $F$  is  $\tau^*$  - closed, respectively. Since  $H \subset F$  then  $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))) \subset \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(F))) = \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(F)) \subset \text{Cl}^*(F) = F$ . Thus  $H \subset A \cap \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))) \subset A \cap \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(F))) \subset A \cap F = H$ . So  $H = A \cap \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H)))$  where  $A \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(H))) \in \text{SIO}(X, \tau)$ . Thus, we have  $H \in \text{SIO}(X, \tau)$  by Proposition 2.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.** *For a subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*



- (1)  $A$  is semi- $I$ -open,
- (2)  $A$  is strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -open and  $\delta$ - $I$ -open,
- (3)  $A$  is strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -open and is an  $\alpha_I N_5$ -set.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious. (Acikgoz et al. [2]). □

*Remark 4.9.* The relationships between the sets defined above, are shown in the following diagram.

### DIAGRAM

*Remark 4.10.* By the examples stated below, we obtain the following results:

- (1)  $\beta$ - $I$ -closedness and  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set are independent of each other,
- (2)  $\delta$ - $I$ -openness and  $\beta$ - $I$ -closedness are independent of each other,
- (3)  $t$ - $I$ -set and  $\alpha_I N_5$ -set are independent of each other,
- (4) Strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -openness and  $\alpha_I N_5$ -set are independent of each other,
- (5) Pre- $I$ -openness and  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set are independent of each other.

**Example 4.11.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A$  be the same ideal topological space and the set, respectively, as in Example 1. We obtain that  $A$  is  $\beta$ - $I$ -closed but not is not an  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set. Because  $A = A \cap X$  where  $A$  is not a  $t$ - $I$ -set and  $X \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 4.12.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Then  $A = \{b\}$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set which is not a  $\beta$ - $I$ -closed set. For,  $A = \{b\} = \{b\} \cap X$  where  $\{b\} \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(X)) = \text{Int}(X)$ . This shows that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$ -set. On the other hand, since  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = X$  and  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \not\subset A$ ,  $A$  is not a  $\beta$ - $I$ -closed set.

**Example 4.13.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Set  $A = \{a, c\}$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\delta$ - $I$ -open set but it is not a  $\beta$ - $I$ -closed set. For  $A = \{a, c\}$ , since  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) = X$ ,  $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) = X$  and so  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \subset \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))$ . This shows that  $A$  is a  $\delta$ - $I$ -open set. On the other hand, since  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = X$  and  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \not\subset A$ ,  $A$  is not  $\beta$ - $I$ -closed.

**Example 4.14.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A$  be the same ideal topological space and the set, respectively, as in Example 1. We obtain that  $A$  is  $\beta$ - $I$ -closed but not  $\delta$ - $I$ -open.

**Example 4.15.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A$  be the same ideal topological space and the set, respectively, as in Example 7. We obtain that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$ -set but not  $t$ - $I$ -set.

**Example 4.16.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A$  be the same ideal topological space and the set, respectively, as in Example 5. We obtain that  $A$  is a  $t$ - $I$ -set but not an  $\alpha_I N_5$ -set.

**Example 4.17.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Set  $A = \{c, d\}$ . Then  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set but it is not a strong  $\beta$ -  $I$  - open set. For  $A = \{c, d\}$ , since  $Cl^*(A) = \{c, d\}$  and  $Cl^*(A) = A$ , so  $A = A \cap X$  where  $X \in \alpha IO(X, \tau)$  and  $A$  is  $\tau^*$  - closed. This shows that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set. On the other hand, since  $A \not\subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))$ ,  $A$  is not strong  $\beta$ -  $I$  - open.

**Example 4.18.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Set  $A = \{a, c\}$ . Then  $A$  is a strong  $\beta$ -  $I$  - open set but it is not an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set. For  $A = \{a, c\}$ , since  $Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))) = X$  and  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))$ ,  $A$  is strong  $\beta$ -  $I$  - open. On the other hand, since  $Cl^*(A) = X \neq A$ ,  $A$  is not an  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set.

**Example 4.19.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$ . Set  $A = \{b, c\}$ . Then  $A$  is a pre -  $I$  - open set but it is not an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set. For  $A = \{b, c\}$ , since  $Cl^*(A) = X$  and  $Int(Cl^*(A)) = X$ , so  $A \subset X = Int(Cl^*(A))$ . This shows that  $A$  is a pre -  $I$  - open set. On the other hand, since  $Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) = \emptyset$  and  $A \not\subset Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$ ,  $A$  is not an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set.

**Example 4.20.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  and  $A$  be the same ideal topological space and the set, respectively, as in Example 5. We obtain that  $A$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set but it is not a pre -  $I$  - open set.

## 5. Decompositions of $\alpha$ - $I$ - continuity and semi - $I$ - continuity

**Definition 5.1.** A function  $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -  $I$  - continuous [6] (resp. semi -  $I$  - continuous [6], pre -  $I$  - continuous [4], semi  $\delta$ -  $I$  - continuous [2], strong  $\beta$  -  $I$  - continuous [8]), if for every  $V \in \varphi$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha$ -  $I$  - open set (resp. semi -  $I$  - open set, pre -  $I$  - open,  $\delta$  -  $I$  - open, strong  $\beta$  -  $I$  - open set) of  $(X, \tau, I)$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A function  $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is said to be  $\alpha_I N_3$  - continuous (resp.  $\alpha_I N_5$  - continuous) if for every  $V \in \varphi$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha_I N_3$  - set (resp.  $\alpha_I N_5$  - set) of  $(X, \tau, I)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** A function  $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is  $\alpha$ -  $I$  - continuous if and only if it is pre -  $I$  - continuous and  $\alpha_I N_3$  - continuous.

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Theorem 4. □

**Theorem 5.4.** For a function  $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -  $I$  - continuous;
- (2)  $f$  is pre -  $I$  - continuous and semi -  $I$  - continuous;
- (3)  $f$  is pre -  $I$  - continuous and  $\delta$  -  $I$  - continuous;
- (4)  $f$  is pre -  $I$  - continuous and  $\alpha_I N_3$  - continuous.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Proposition 6. □

**Theorem 5.5.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is semi- $I$ -continuous if and only if it is strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuous and  $\alpha_I N_5$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Theorem 5. □

**Theorem 5.6.** *For a function  $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is semi- $I$ -continuous;
- (2)  $f$  is strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuous and  $\delta$ - $I$ -continuous;
- (3)  $f$  is strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuous and  $\alpha_I N_5$ -continuous.

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 10. □

**Ozet:** Bu çalışmada,  $\alpha_I N_3$ -[3] and  $\alpha_I N_5$ -[3] kümeleri verilecek,  $\alpha$ - $I$ -açık, semi- $I$ -açık,  $\alpha_I N_3$ - ve  $\alpha_I N_5$ - kümelerinin karakterizasyonları incelenecektir. Bu kümelerden yararlanarak  $\alpha$ - $I$ -sürekli ve semi- $I$ -sürekliğin yeni ayrışmaları da elde edilecektir.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Açıkgöz, T. Noiri and Ş. Yüksel, On  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ - $I$ -open functions, Acta Math. Hungar., **105** (2004), 27 – 37.
- [2] A. Acikgoz, T. Noiri and S. Yuksel, On  $\delta$ - $I$ -open sets and decomposition of  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuity, Acta Math. Hungar., **102** (4) (2004), 349 – 357.
- [3] A. Acikgoz and S. Yüksel, Some new sets and decompositions of  $A_{I-R}$  continuity,  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuity, continuity via idealization, Acta Math. Hungar., **114** (1-2) (2007), 79-89.
- [4] J. Dontchev, On pre- $I$ -open sets and a decomposition of  $I$ -continuity, Banyan Math. J., Vol. **2** (1996).
- [5] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose, Ideal resolvability, Topology and its applications, vol. **93** (1999), 1 – 16.
- [6] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, On decompositions of continuity via idealization, Acta Math. Hungar., **96** (2002), 341 – 349.
- [7] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, On semi- $I$ -open sets and semi- $I$ -continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar., **10** (4) (2005), 345 – 353.
- [8] E. Hatir, A. Keskin and T. Noiri, On a new decomposition of continuity via idealization, J. Geometry and Topology, **1** (2003), 55 – 64.
- [9] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, Math. Ann. **156** (1964), 205 – 215.
- [10] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly, **97** (1990), 295 – 310.
- [11] A. Keskin, Ş. Yüksel and T. Noiri, “Decompositions of  $I$ -continuity and continuity”, Commun. Fac. Sci. Üniv. Ank. Series A1, **53** (2004), 67 – 75.
- [12] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. **70** (1963), 36 – 41.
- [13] P. Samuels, A topology formed from a given topology and ideal, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **10** (1975), 409 – 416.
- [14] S. Yuksel, A. Acikgoz and T. Noiri, On  $\delta$ - $I$ -continuous functions, Turk J Math., **29** (2005), 39-51.

*Current address:* A. ACIKGOZ, Aksaray University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 68100 AKSARAY, S. YUKSEL Selçuk University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 42031 CAMPUS – KONYA

*E-mail address:* [syuksel@selcuk.edu.tr](mailto:syuksel@selcuk.edu.tr)