

## ON $I$ -EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

AYNUR KESKIN , SAZIYE YUKSEL AND TAKASHI NOIRI

ABSTRACT. We have introduced and investigated the notion of  $I$ -extremal disconnectedness on ideal topological spaces. First, we found that the notions of extremal disconnectedness and  $I$ -extremal disconnectedness are independent of each other. About the latter one, we observed that every open subset of an  $I$ -extremally disconnected space is also an  $I$ -extremally disconnected space. And also, in extremally disconnectedness spaces we have shown that  $I$ -open set is equivalent almost  $I$ -open and every  $\beta$ - $I$ -open set is preopen. Finally, we have shown that  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuity( resp. pre- $I$ -continuity,  $I$ -continuity ) is equivalent to semi- $I$ -continuity( resp. strongly  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuity, almost strongly  $I$ -continuity ) if the domain is  $I$ -extremally disconnected.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the present paper, spaces always mean topological spaces on which no separation property is assumed unless explicitly stated. In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the closure and the interior of any subset  $A$  of  $X$  will be denoted by  $Cl(A)$  and  $Int(A)$ , respectively. An ideal is defined as a nonempty collection  $I$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following two conditions: (1) If  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A$ , then  $B \in I$ ; (2) If  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$ , then  $A \cup B \in I$ . Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $I$  an ideal of subsets of  $X$ . An ideal topological space is a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an ideal  $I$  on  $X$  and is denoted by  $(X, \tau, I)$ . For a subset  $A \subset X$ ,  $A^*(I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I \text{ for each neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x\}$  is called the local function of  $A$  with respect to  $I$  and  $\tau$  [12]. We simply write  $A^*$  instead of  $A^*(I)$  in case there is no chance for confusion.  $X^*$  is often a proper subset of  $X$ . It is well-known that  $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  defines a Kuratowski closure operator for  $\tau^*(I)$  which is finer than  $\tau$ . A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called  $\tau^*$ -closed if  $A^* \subset A$  [10].

First we shall recall some lemmas and definitions used in the sequel:

---

Received by the editors Nov. 9, 2006; Rev: March 23, 2007; Accepted: April 3, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C08, 54A20; Secondary 54A05, 54C10.

*Key words and phrases*. Weak regular- $I$ -closed sets,  $I$ -open sets,  $I$ -extremally disconnected spaces, topological ideal.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A, B$  subsets of  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:

- a) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $A^* \subset B^*$ ,
- b)  $A^* = Cl(A^*) \subset Cl(A)$ ,
- c)  $(A^*)^* \subset A^*$ ,
- d)  $(A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$ ,
- e) If  $U \in \tau$ , then  $U \cap A^* \subset (U \cap A)^*$  (Janković and Hamlett [10] ).

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $S$  a subset of  $X$ . Then  $(S, \tau|_S, I_S)$  is an ideal topological space with an ideal

$$I_S = \{I \in I \mid I \subset S\} = \{I \cap S \mid I \in I\}$$

on  $S$  (Dontchev [3]).

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \subset S \subset X$ . Then,  $A^*(I_S, \tau|_S) = A^*(I, \tau) \cap S$  holds (Dontchev et al.[6]).

**Definition 1.4.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be

- a) *I-open* [1] if  $A \subset Int(A^*)$ ,
- b) *pre-I-open* [4] if  $A \subset Int(Cl^*(A))$ ,
- c)  *$\alpha$ -I-open* [7] if  $A \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$ ,
- d) *semi-I-open* [7] if  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(A))$ ,
- e)  *$\beta$ -I-open* [7] if  $A \subset Cl(Int(Cl^*(A)))$ ,
- f) *almost I-open* [2] if  $A \subset Cl(Int(A^*))$ ,
- g) *strong  $\beta$ -I-open* [8] if  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))$ ,
- h) *almost strong I-open* [8] if  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(A^*))$ .

For the relationship among several sets defined above, Hatir et al. [8] obtained the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{DIAGRAM I} & & \\ & & & & \\ open & \rightarrow & \alpha\text{-I-open} & \rightarrow & \text{semi-I-open} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I\text{-open} & \rightarrow & \text{pre-I-open} & \rightarrow & \beta\text{-I-open} \end{array}$$

We recall that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be extremally disconnected (briefly e.d.) if  $Cl(A) \in \tau$  for each  $A \in \tau$ .

## 2. *I*-EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be weak *regular-I-closed* if  $A = Cl^*(Int(A))$ .

We denote by  $wR_I C(X, \tau)$  ( resp.  $S_I O(X, \tau)$ ,  $P_I O(X, \tau)$  ) the family of all weak *regular-I-closed* ( resp. semi-*I-open*, pre-*I-open* ) subsets of  $(X, \tau, I)$ , when there is no chance for confusion with the ideal.

**Definition 2.2.** An ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be  $I$ -extremally disconnected ( briefly I.e.d. ) if  $Cl^*(A) \in \tau$  for each  $A \in \tau$ .

**Proposition 1.** For an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- a)  $(X, \tau, I)$  is I.e.d.,
- b)  $S_I O(X, \tau) \subset P_I O(X, \tau)$ ,
- c)  $wR_I C(X, \tau) \subset \tau$ .

*Proof.* a) $\implies$ b): Let  $A \in S_I O(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(A))$  and by a)  $Cl^*(Int(A)) \in \tau$ . Therefore, we have  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(A)) = Int(Cl^*(Int(A))) \subset Int(Cl^*((A)))$ . This shows that  $A \in P_I O(X, \tau)$ .

b) $\implies$ c): Let  $A \in wR_I C(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A = Cl^*(Int(A))$  and hence  $A \in S_I O(X, \tau)$ . By b),  $A \in P_I O(X, \tau)$  and  $A \subset Int(Cl^*((A)))$ . Moreover,  $A$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed and  $A \subset Int(Cl^*((A))) = Int(A)$ . Therefore, we obtain  $A \in \tau$ .

c) $\implies$ a): For  $A \in \tau$ , we show that  $Cl^*(A) \in wR_I C(X, \tau)$ . Since  $Int(Cl^*(A)) \subset Cl^*(A)$ , we have  $(Int(Cl^*(A)))^* \subset (Cl^*(A))^* = (A \cup A^*)^* = A^* \cup (A^*)^* \subset A^* \cup A^* = A^* \subset Cl^*(A)$  by using Lemma 1d), c) respectively and hence  $(Int(Cl^*(A)))^* \subset Cl^*(A)$ . So, we have  $Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))) = Int(Cl^*(A)) \cup (Int(Cl^*(A)))^* \subset Cl^*(A)$  and hence

$$Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))) \subset Cl^*(A). \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, since  $A$  is open, according to Diagram I, it is a pre- $I$ -open set and hence we have  $A \subset Int(Cl^*(A))$ . Then, we have

$$Cl^*(A) \subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))). \quad (2.2)$$

By using (2.1) and (2.2), we have  $Cl^*(A) = Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))$ . This shows that  $Cl^*(A)$  is weak regular  $-I$ -closed by using Definition 3. Furthermore, since  $wR_I C(X, \tau) \subset \tau$ , we have  $Cl^*(A) \in \tau$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau, I)$  is I.e.d. by Definition 4.  $\square$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an ideal topological space. If  $I = P(X)$ , then  $(X, \tau, I)$  is I.e.d. .

*Remark 2.4.*  $I$ -extremally disconnectedness and extremally disconnectedness are independent of each other as following examples show.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an I.e.d. space which is not e.d. For  $A \in \tau$ , since  $A^* = \emptyset$ , we have  $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^* = A$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an I.e.d. space. On the other hand, for  $A = \{a\} \in \tau$ , since  $Cl(A) = Cl(\{a\}) = \{a, c\} \notin \tau$ ,  $(X, \tau, I)$  is not e.d..

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$  and  $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$ . Then,  $(X, \tau, I)$  is e.d. which is not I.e.d. It is obvious that for every  $A \in \tau$ , since  $Cl(A) = X$ ,  $(X, \tau, I)$  is e.d. On the other hand, for  $A = \{a, b, d\} \in \tau$ , since  $A^* = \{b, d, e\}$ , we have  $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^* = \{a, b, d\} \cup \{b, d, e\} = \{a, b, d, e\}$  is not open set in  $(X, \tau, I)$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau, I)$  is not I.e.d. by using Definition 4.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $I = \{\emptyset\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an I.e.d. space if and only if  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an e.d. space.*

*Proof.* If  $I = \{\emptyset\}$ , then it is well-known that  $A^* = Cl(A)$  and  $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^* = A \cup Cl(A) = Cl(A)$ . Consequently, we obtain  $Cl(A) = Cl^*(A) \in \tau$  for every  $A \in \tau$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an I.e.d. space if and only if it is e.d.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  for every  $A, B \in \tau$ , then  $A \cap Cl^*(B) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have  $A \cap Cl^*(B) \subset A \cap (B \cup B^*) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^*) \subset (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B)^* = Cl^*(A \cap B)$  by using Lemma 1.e). On the other hand, since  $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$  and  $Cl^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ , we have  $A \cap Cl^*(B) \subset Cl^*(A \cap B) = \emptyset$ . Thus, we obtain that  $A \cap Cl^*(B) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an I.e.d. space. If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  for every  $A, B \in \tau$ , then  $Cl^*(A) \cap Cl^*(B) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from Lemma 3 and Definition 4.  $\square$

Lemma 4 is important because it is given that in any I.e.d. space every two disjoint  $\tau$ -open sets have disjoint  $\tau^*$ -closures.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. If  $Cl^*(A) \cap Cl^*(B) = \emptyset$  for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$ , then  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A \subset Cl^*(A)$  and  $B \subset Cl^*(B)$ , we have  $A \cap B \subset Cl^*(A) \cap Cl^*(B) = \emptyset$ . Then, we have  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an I.e.d. space. For open subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$ , the following property hold:  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  if and only if  $Cl^*(A) \cap Cl^*(B) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 4 and 5.  $\square$

### 3. $I$ -EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTEDNESS ON SUBSPACES

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an I.e.d. space and  $S$  an open set in  $X$ . Then  $(S, \tau|_S, I_S)$  is an I.e.d. space.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be any open set in  $S$ . Since  $S$  is open in  $X$  and  $A \subset S \subset X$ ,  $A$  is an open set in  $X$ . Since  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an I.e.d. space,  $Cl^*(A)$  is open in  $X$  by using Definition 4. Furthermore, we can say that  $Cl_S^*(A)$  is open in  $S$  using Lemma 2. Therefore  $(S, \tau|_S, I_S)$  is an I.e.d. space.  $\square$

4. FUNCTIONS ON  $I$ .E.D. SPACES

By  $\alpha_I O(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\beta_I O(X, \tau)$ ) we denote the family of all  $\alpha$ - $I$ -open ( resp.  $\beta$ - $I$ -open ) sets of  $(X, \tau, I)$ , when there is no chance for confusion with the ideal. Furthermore, for almost  $I$ -open ( resp.  $I$ -open, strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -open, almost strong  $I$ -open) sets of  $(X, \tau, I)$  we will use  $AIO(X, \tau)$  ( resp.  $IO(X, \tau)$ ,  $s\beta I(X, \tau)$ ,  $asI(X, \tau)$ ) follow to [1], [2] and [8].

Hatir et al.[8] introduced notions of almost strong  $I$ -open sets and strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -open sets and obtained the following diagram.

DIAGRAM II

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 open & \longrightarrow & \alpha\text{-}I\text{-open} & \longrightarrow & \text{semi-}I\text{-open} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{pre-}I\text{-open} & \longrightarrow & \text{strong } \beta\text{-}I\text{-open} & \longrightarrow & \beta\text{-}I\text{-open} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & I\text{-open} & \longrightarrow & \text{almost strong } I\text{-open} & \longrightarrow & \text{almost } I\text{-open}
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $I$ .e.d. space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . Then, the following properties hold:

- a)  $A \in S_I O(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A \in \alpha_I O(X, \tau)$ ,
- b)  $A \in P_I O(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A \in s\beta I(X, \tau)$ ,
- c)  $A \in IO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A \in asI(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* a) Sufficient condition is given in Proposition 2.2.b) of [7]. On the other hand, let  $A \in S_I O(X, \tau)$ . Then, we have  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(A))$ . Since  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an  $I$ .e.d. space, for  $Int(A) \in \tau$ , we have  $Cl^*(Int(A)) \in \tau$ . Therefore, we have

$$A \subset Cl^*(Int(A)) \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(A)))$$

and hence  $A$  is  $\alpha$ - $I$ -open.

b) Necessary condition is obvious from Diagram II. On the other hand, let  $A \in s\beta I(X, \tau)$  and hence  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))$ . Since  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an  $I$ .e.d. space, for  $Int(Cl^*(A)) \in \tau$ , we have  $Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))) \in \tau$ . So, we have

$$A \subset Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))) \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))),$$

that is

$$A \subset Int(Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))) \tag{4.1}$$

Besides, since  $Int(Cl^*(A)) \subset Cl^*(A)$  and  $Cl^*$  is Krotowski closure operator, we have  $Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A))) \subset Cl^*(Cl^*(A)) = Cl^*(A)$  and hence

$$Int(Cl^*(Int(Cl^*(A)))) \subset Int(Cl^*(A)). \tag{4.2}$$

Consequently, by using (4.1) and (4.2) we have  $A \subset Int(Cl^*(A))$  and hence  $A$  is  $pre$ - $I$ -open.

c) Necessity condition is obvious from Diagram II. On the other hand, let  $A \in asI(X, \tau)$ , then we have  $A \subset Cl^*(Int(A^*))$ . Since  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an  $I$ .e.d. space, for

$\text{Int}(A^*) \in \tau$ , we have  $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^*)) \in \tau$ . Then, we have  $A \subset \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^*)) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A^*))) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A^*)) = \text{Int}(A^* \cup (A^*)^*) \subset \text{Int}(A^* \cup A^*) = \text{Int}(A^*)$  and hence  $A \subset \text{Int}(A^*)$ . This shows that  $A$  is  $I$ -open.  $\square$

We recall that a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be preopen if  $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$  ([13]). The family of all preopen sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $PO(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an e.d. space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . Then, the following properties hold:*

- a)  $A \in IO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A \in AIO(X, \tau)$ ,
- b) If  $A \in \beta_I O(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* a) Necessary condition is obvious from Diagram II. On the other hand, let  $A \in AIO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an e.d. space, for  $\text{Int}(A^*) \in \tau$ , we have  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*)) \in \tau$ . Since  $A \in AIO(X, \tau)$ , we obtain

$$A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*)) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^*))) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A^*)) \subset \text{Int}(A^*)$$

by using Lemma 1.b). This shows that  $A$  is  $I$ -open.

b) Let  $A \in \beta_I O(X, \tau)$ , then we have  $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))$ . Since  $(X, \tau, I)$  is an e.d. space, for  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \in \tau$ , we have  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) \in \tau$ . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A \cup A^*)) \\ &= \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A) \cup \text{Cl}(A^*)) \\ &\subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)) \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 1.b). Therefore,  $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$  and hence  $A$  is preopen.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space such that  $I = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . Then, the following properties hold:*

- a)  $A \in IO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $A \in AIO(X, \tau)$ ,
- b) If  $A \in \beta_I O(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Propositions 2 and 4.  $\square$

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is said to be almost strongly  $I$ -continuous ( resp. weakly regular- $I$ -continuous ) if for every  $V \in \varphi$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is almost strong  $I$ -open ( resp. weak regular- $I$ -closed ) in  $(X, \tau, I)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A function  $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is said to be  $I$ -continuous [1] ( resp. almost  $I$ -continuous [2], pre- $I$ -continuous [4], semi- $I$ -continuous [7],  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuous [7], strongly  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuous [8] ) if for every  $V \in \varphi$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $I$ -open, almost  $I$ -open, pre- $I$ -open, semi- $I$ -open,  $\alpha$ - $I$ -open, strong  $\beta$ - $I$ -open ) in  $(X, \tau, I)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $I.e.d.$  space. For a function  $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$ , then the following properties hold:*

- a) If  $f$  is semi- $I$ -continuous, then it is pre- $I$ -continuous,
- b) If  $f$  is weakly regular- $I$ -continuous, then it is continuous.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from Proposition 1. □

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $I.e.d.$  space. For a function  $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$ , then the following properties hold:*

- a)  $f$  is semi- $I$ -continuous if and only if it is  $\alpha$ - $I$ -continuous,
- b)  $f$  is pre- $I$ -continuous if and only if it is strongly  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuous,
- c)  $f$  is  $I$ -continuous if and only if it is almost strongly  $I$ -continuous.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from Proposition 3. □

We recall the following definition: A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$  is said to be precontinuous ([13]) if for every  $V \in \varphi$ ,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is preopen in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an  $e.d.$  and  $I.e.d.$  such that  $I = \{\emptyset\}$ , respectively. For a function  $f: (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \varphi)$ , the following properties hold:*

- a)  $f$  is  $I$ -continuous if and only if it is almost  $I$ -continuous,
- b) If  $f$  is  $\beta$ - $I$ -continuous, then it is precontinuous.

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from Proposition 4 and Corollary 1. □

**ÖZET:** İdeal topolojik uzaylarda;  $I$ -extremal (sonderece) disconnectedness (bağlantısızlık) kavramını tanımladık ve inceledik. İlk olarak; extremal (sonderece) disconnectedness (bağlantısızlık) ve  $I$ -extremally (sonderece) disconnectedness (bağlantısızlık) kavramlarının birbirinden bağımsız olduklarını elde ettik. Sonra;  $I$ -extremally (son dereceli) disconnected (bağlantısız) bir uzayın her açık alt kümesinin de  $I$ -extremally (son dereceli) disconnected (bağlantısız) uzay olduğunu gözledik. Aynı zamanda; extremally (son dereceli) disconnected (bağlantısız) bir uzayda  $I$ -açık kümenin almost  $I$ -açık kümeye denk olduğunu ve her  $\beta$ - $I$ -açık kümenin pre(ön) açık küme olduğunu da gösterdik. Son olarak; eğer tanım uzayı,  $I$ -extremally (son dereceli) disconnected (bağlantısız) bir uzay ise; sırasıyla  $\alpha$ - $I$ -süreklilik ile semi(yarı)- $I$ -sürekliliğin, pre(ön)- $I$ -süreklilik ile strongly (kuvvetli)  $\beta$ - $I$ -sürekliliğin,  $I$ -süreklilik ile almost (hemen hemen) strongly (kuvvetli)  $I$ -sürekliliğin denk olduklarını gösterdik.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, E. F. Lashien and A. A. Nasef, On  $I$ -open sets and  $I$ -continuous functions, Kyungpook Math. J. , 32(1) (1992), 21-30.
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, R. A. Mahmoud and A. A. Nasef, Almost  $I$ -openness and almost  $I$ -continuity, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. ,7(2)(1999), 191-200.
- [3] J. Dontchev, On Hausdorff spaces via topological ideals and  $I$ -irresolute functions, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Papers on General Topology and Applications, Vol. 767 (1995), 28-38.
- [4] J. Dontchev, On pre- $I$ -open sets and a decomposition of  $I$ -continuity, Banyan Math. J., 2 (1996).
- [5] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose, Ideal resolvability, Topology Appl., 93 (1999), 1-16.
- [6] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and T. Noiri, Unified operation approach of generalized closed sets via topological ideals, Math. Japon., 49 (1999), 395-401.
- [7] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, On decomposition of continuity via idealization, Acta Math. Hungar., 96 (4)(2002) , 341-349.
- [8] E. Hatir, A. Keskin and T. Noiri, On a new decomposition of continuity via idealization, JP J. Geometry&Topology, 1(3)(2003), 53-64.
- [9] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, Math. Ann., 156 (1964), 205-215.
- [10] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97 (1990), 295-310.
- [11] J. Kelley, General Topology, D. Van Nostrand Co. Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1955.
- [12] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol.I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [13] A.S.Mashhour, M.E.Abd-El Monsef and S.N.El-Deep, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982), 47-53.

*Current address:* Aynur KESKIN, Selcuk University, Department of Mathematics, 42075 Campus-Konya TURKEY, Saziye YUKSEL, Selcuk University, Department of Mathematics, 42031 Campus-Konya TURKEY, Takashi NOIRI, 2949-1 Shiokita-cho, Hinagu Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto-ken 869-5142 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* akeskin@email.selcuk.edu.tr, syuksel@selcuk.edu.tr, t.noiri@nifty.com