

ESTIMATE FOR INITIAL MACLAURIN COEFFICIENTS OF SUBCLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS INVOLVING THE Q- DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

PRAMILA VIJAYWARGIYA AND LOKESH VIJAYVERGY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, estimates for second and third MacLaurin coefficients of a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk are determined, and certain special cases are also indicated.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The Koebe one-quarter theorem [3] ensures that the image of \mathbb{D} under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains the disk with the center in the origin and the radius 1/4. Thus, every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse $f^{-1} : f(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathbb{D}$, satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \ \left(|w| < r_0(f), \ r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4}\right).$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the inverse function has the series expansion of the form

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots, \ (w \in f(\mathbb{D})).$$
(1.2)

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *bi-univalent* in \mathbb{D} , if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{D} , in the sense that f^{-1} has a univalent analytic continuation to \mathbb{D} , and we denote by σ this class of bi-univalent functions. For a brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class σ , see [11] (see also [2]). In fact, the aforecited work of

Received by the editors: May 24, 2016, Accepted: October 03, 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, bi-starlike function, biconvex function, functions with bounded boundary rotation, coefficient estimates, q-derivative operator.

^{©2017} Ankara University Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara. Séries A1. Mathematics and Statistics.

Srivastava et al. [11] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class σ in recent years; it was followed by such works as those by Frasin and Aouf [4], Goyal and Goswami [5], Xu et al.[12, 13] (see also the references cited in each of them).

In [9], the authors defined the classes of functions $\mathcal{P}_m(\beta)$ as follows: Let $\mathcal{P}_m(\beta)$, with $m \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$, denote the class of univalent analytic functions p, normalized with p(0) = 1, and satisfying

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re} p(z) - \beta}{1 - \beta} \right| \mathrm{d}\theta \le m\pi,$$

where $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$.

For $\beta = 0$, we denote $\mathcal{P}_m := \mathcal{P}_m(0)$. Paatero [8] showed that every function $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$ can be given by the Stieltjes integral representation

$$p(z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{it}}{1 - ze^{it}} \,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mu(t)$ is a real-valued function with bounded variation on $[0,2\pi]$, which satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\mu(t) = 2\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{0}^{2\pi} |d\mu(t)| \le m\pi, \ m \ge 2.$$
(1.4)

Clearly, $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_2$ is the well-known class of *Carathéodory functions*, i.e. the normalized functions with positive real part in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} .

Quantum calculus is ordinary classical calculus without the notion of limits. It defines q-calculus and h-calculus. Here h ostensibly stands for Planck's constant, while q stands for quantum. Recently, the area of q-calculus has attracted the series attention of researchers. This great interest is due to its application in various branches of mathematics and physics. The application of q-calculus was initiated by Jackson [6, 7]. He was the first to develop q-integral and q-derivative in a systematic way. Later, geometrical interpretation of q-analysis has been recognized through studies on quantum groups. It also suggests a relation between integrable systems and q-analysis. A comprehensive study on applications of q-calculus in operator theory may be found in [1]. For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) and 0 < q < 1, the q- derivative of function f is defined by (see [6, 7])

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)}, \ z \neq 0,$$
(1.5)

 $D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ and $D_q^2 f(z) = D_q(D_q f(z))$. From (1.5), we deduce that

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \qquad (1.6)$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} \tag{1.7}$$

As $q \to 1^-$, $[k]_q \to k$. For a function $g(z) = z^k$, we get

$$D_q f(z) = [k]_q z^{k-1}$$
$$lim_{q \to 1^-} (D_q(z^k)) = k z^{k-1} = g'(z)$$

where q' is the ordinary derivative.

By making use of the q-derivative of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we introduce a new subclass of the function class σ and find estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in this new subclass of the function class σ .

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{BR}^{q}_{\sigma}(m;\beta;\lambda)$, with $m \geq 2, \lambda \geq 1$,

 $q \in (0,1)$ and $0 \le \beta < 1$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda D_q f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_m(\beta),$$

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda D_q g(w) \in \mathcal{P}_m(\beta),$$

where $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2) and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In order to prove our main result for the functions $f \in \mathcal{BR}^q_{\sigma}(m;\beta;\lambda)$, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Let the function $\Phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, such that $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}_m(\beta)$. Then,

$$|h_n| \le m(1-\beta), \ n \ge 1.$$

Proof. Proof of this lemma is straight forward, if we write $\Phi(z) = (1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta, p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \in \mathcal{P}_m$ Then $\Phi(z) = 1 + (1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ This gives

$$h_n = (1 - \beta)p_n.$$

Using known result [10] for class P_m , we have our result.

Theorem 2.1. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{BR}^q_{\sigma}(m;\beta;\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q}}; \ \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[2]_q}\right\},\$$

201

$$|a_3| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q},$$

and

$$|2a_2^2 - a_3| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{BR}^q_{\sigma}(m;\beta;\lambda)$, from the Definition 1.1 we have

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda D_q f(z) = \varphi(z), \qquad (2.1)$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda D_q g(w) = \psi(w), \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\varphi, \ \psi \in \mathcal{P}_m(\beta)$ and $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2). Using the fact that the functions φ and ψ have the following Taylor expansions

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, \ z \in \mathbb{D},$$
(2.3)

$$\psi(w) = 1 + d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + d_3 w^3 + \dots, \ w \in \mathbb{D},$$
(2.4)

and equating the coefficients in (2.1) and (2.2), from (1.2) we get

$$(1 - \lambda + \lambda [2]_q)a_2 = c_1,$$
 (2.5)

$$(1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q)a_3 = c_2, \tag{2.6}$$

$$-(1 - \lambda + \lambda[2]_q)a_2 = d_1, \qquad (2.7)$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q) \left(2a_2^2 - a_3\right) = d_2.$$
(2.8)

Since $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}_m(\beta)$, according to Lemma 2.1, we have:

$$|c_n| \le m(1-\beta),\tag{2.9}$$

$$|d_n| \le m(1-\beta),\tag{2.10}$$

for $n \ge 1$ and thus, from (2.6) and (2.8), by using the inequalities (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$|a_2|^2 \le \frac{|c_2| + |d_2|}{2(1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q)} \le \frac{m(1 - \beta)}{(1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q)},$$

which gives

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q)}}.$$
(2.11)

From (2.5), by using (2.9) we obtain immediately that

$$|a_2| = \left|\frac{c_1}{1-\lambda+\lambda[2]_q}\right| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[2]_q},$$

and combining this with the inequality (2.11), the first inequality of the conclusion is proved. According to (2.6), from (2.9) we easily obtain

$$|a_3| = \left|\frac{c_2}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q}\right| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q]},$$

and from (2.8), by using (2.9) and (2.10) we finally deduce

$$|2a_2^2 - a_3| = \left|\frac{d_2}{1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q}\right| \le \frac{m(1 - \beta)}{1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q},$$

which completes our proof.

Setting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.1. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{BR}^q_{\sigma}(m;\beta;1)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{m(1-\beta)}{[3]_q}}; \ \frac{m(1-\beta)}{[2]_q}\right\},\\ |a_3| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{[3]_q},$$

and

$$\left|2a_2^2 - a_3\right| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{[3]_q}.$$

Taking $q \to 1^-$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.2. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{BR}'_{\sigma}(m;\beta;\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{m(1-\beta)}{1+2\lambda}}; \ \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}\right\}$$
$$|a_3| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1+2\lambda},$$

and

$$|2a_2^2 - a_3| \le \frac{m(1-\beta)}{1+2\lambda}.$$

Setting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.3. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{BR}^q_{\sigma}(m;0;\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q}}; \frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[2]_q}\right\},\|a_3| \le \frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[3]_q},\|a_3| \le \frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[\lambda+\lambda]_q},\|a_3| \ge \frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[\lambda+\lambda]_q},\|a_3| \ge \frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[\lambda+\lambda]_q},\|a_3| \ge \frac{m}{1-\lambda+\lambda[\lambda$$

and

$$\left|2a_2^2 - a_3\right| \le \frac{m}{1 - \lambda + \lambda[3]_q}.$$

203

Setting $\beta = 0, \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.4. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{BR}^q_{\sigma}(m;0;1)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{m}{[3]_q}}; \frac{m}{[2]_q}\right\},$$
$$|a_3| \le \frac{m}{[3]_q},$$

and

$$\left|2a_2^2 - a_3\right| \le \frac{m}{[3]_q}.$$

Setting $\beta = 0, \lambda = 1, q \to 1^-$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.5. Let the function f given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{BR}'_{\sigma}(m;0;1)$. Then

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{m}{3}},$$
$$|a_3| \le \frac{m}{3},$$
$$|2a_2^2 - a_3| \le \frac{m}{3}$$

and

$$|2a_2^2 - a_3| \le \frac{1}{3}.$$

Competing interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's contribution. We further declare that all authors contribute equally.

References

- A. Aral, V. Gupta and R.P.Agrawal, Applications of q-calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, New York, USA, 2013.
- [2] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **31**(1986), no. 2, 70–77.
- [3] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Series, 259, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [4] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 24(2011), no. 9, 1569–1573.
- [5] S. P. Goyal and P. Goswami, Estimate for initial Maclaurin coefficients of bi-univalent functions for a class defined by fractional derivatives, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 20(3)(2012), 179– 182.
- [6] F. H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910), 193-203.
- [7] F. H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 46 (1908), 253-281.
- [8] V. Paatero, Uber die konforme Abbildung von Gebieten deren Rander von beschrÄønkter Drehung sind, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A33 No. 9 (1931).
- [9] K. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Ann. Polon. Math., 31(1975), 311–323.
- [10] B. Pinchuk, Functions with bounded boundary rotation, Israel J. Math., 10(1971), 7–16.

- [11] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and biunivalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 23(2010), no. 10, 1188–1192.
- [12] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H.M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012) 990-994.
- [13] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao and H.M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and biunivalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012) 11461165.

Current address: Pramila Vijaywargiya: JECRC Foundation, Jaipur, India E-mail address: Pramilavijay1979@gmail.com Current address: Lokesh Vijayvergy: Jaipuria Institute of Management, Jaipur, India E-mail address: lokeshvijay79@gmail.com