



## MORE ON $\alpha$ -TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

SHYAMAPADA MODAK AND MD. MONIRUL ISLAM

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of this paper is to introduce a new topology with the help of  $a$ -open sets. For this job, we shall define two new types of set and discuss its properties in detail and characterize Njastad's  $\alpha$ -open sets and Levine's semi-open sets through these new types of set.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of ideal in topological space was introduced and studied by Kuratowski [15] and Vaidyanathaswamy [22] but in this study Jankovic and Hamlett gave a new dimension through their paper "New topologies from old via ideals" [14]. Now a days the authors like Navaneethakrishnan et al. [19], Hamlett and Jankovic [12], Arenas et al. [4], Nasef and Mahmoud [18], Mukherjee et al. [17] Dontchev et al. [6] and many others have enriched this study. The authors Al-Omari et al. [1, 2] in their papers " $a$ -local function and its properties in ideal topological spaces" and "The  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  operator in ideal topological spaces", have studied Ekici's [7, 8, 9]  $a$ -open sets in terms of ideals. They have obtained a new topology with the help of two operators viz.  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  and  $()^{a*}$ , and have shown that this topology is finer than Ekici's  $a$ -topology.

In this paper, we have further considered the space which is the joint venture of  $a$ -topology and an ideal as like Al-omari et al. have considered in [2, 1]. Through this paper we will solve the question "how much finer is Noiri's et al.'s topology than Ekici's topology?" For solution of this question we have considered Njastad's  $\alpha$ -open sets [20] from literature.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we have discussed some preliminary concepts of literature and introduce some prime results for discussing the paper.

Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then ' $Int(A)$ ' and ' $Cl(A)$ ' will denote 'interior of  $A$ ' and 'closure of  $A$ ' respectively.

---

Received by the editors: November 07, 2016, Accepted: February 02, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A, 54C10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\alpha$  set,  $a$ -open set,  $a$ -local function,  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  operator.

We define following as a mathematical tool for this research article:

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .  $A$  is said to be regular open [21] (resp. semi-open [16, 11], semi-pre open [3],  $\alpha$ -open [20]) if  $A = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$  (resp.  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ ,  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ ,  $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$ ).

**Definition 2.** [23] A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\delta$ -open if, for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a regular open set  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq A$ .

The complement of  $\delta$ -open set is called  $\delta$ -closed. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, then the point  $x \in X$  is called  $\delta$ -cluster point of  $A$  if  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V)) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , for each open set  $V$  containing  $x$ .

The  $\delta$ -closure of  $A$  is denoted as  $\text{Cl}_\delta(A)$  [23] and it is a set of all  $\delta$ -cluster point of  $A$ . In this regards,  $\text{Int}_\delta(A)$  [23] is the  $\delta$ -interior of  $A$  and it is the union of all regular open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  contained in  $A$ . If  $\text{Int}_\delta(A) = A$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A$  is  $\delta$ -open and conversely [23]. It is remarkable that the collection of all  $\delta$ -open sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  forms a topology and it is denoted as  $\tau^\delta$  [23].

**Definition 3.** [8, 9, 10] A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $a$ -open (resp.  $a$ -closed) if  $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}_\delta(A)))$  (resp.  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}_\delta(A))) \subseteq A$ ).

The family of  $a$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  forms a topology on  $X$ . This collection is denoted as  $\tau^a$  [8], and  $\tau^a(x)$  is denoted as the collection of all  $a$ -open sets containing  $x$ .

In this paper we also denote ' $aCl$ ' by the means of closure operator of Ekici's  $a$ -topology [7, 8].

Hereditary class and  $a$ -local function are also the mathematical tool for this paper:

**Definition 4.** [15] A collection  $I \subset \wp(X)$  is said to be an ideal on  $X$  if  $B \subseteq A \in I$  implies  $B \in I$  and  $A, B \in I$  implies  $A \cup B \in I$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal on the topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(X, \tau, I)$  is called an ideal topological space.

According to Al-Omari et al. [2, 1], we give the following:

The  $a$ -local function  $(\ )^{a*} : \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$  for a subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is defined as  $(A)^{a*} = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I, \text{ for every } U \in \tau^a(x)\}$ , and as like complement operator of  $(\ )^{a*}$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_a : \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$  is defined as  $\mathfrak{R}_a(A) = X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{a*} = \{x \in X : \text{there exists } U_x \in \tau^a(x) \text{ such that } U_x \setminus A \in I\}$ . Due to the operator  $(\ )^{a*}$ , we have a topology  $\tau^{a*}$  [1] whose one of the basis is  $\beta(I, \tau) = \{V \setminus I : V \in \tau^a, I \in I\}$  [1]. In this respect, we will denote ' $\text{Int}^{a*}$ ' and ' $\text{Cl}^{a*}$ ' as 'interior' operator and 'closure' operator of  $(X, \tau^{a*})$  respectively.

Following results help us for repairing the paper:

**Theorem 1.** [1] Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $U \in \tau^a$ . Then  $U \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a(U)$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , then  $aInt(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)$ .*

**Theorem 3.** [1] *Let  $A$  be a subset of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  with  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq (A)^{\alpha^*}$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  with  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq aCl(A)$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $O \in \tau^\alpha$ . Then  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$  if and only if  $(O)^{\alpha^*} = aCl(O)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \neq O \in \tau^{\alpha^*}$ . Now  $O^{\alpha^*} \subseteq aCl(O)$  always. For reverse inclusion, let  $x \in aCl(O)$ . Therefore all neighbourhoods  $U_x \in \tau^\alpha(x)$ ,  $U_x \cap O \neq \emptyset$  implies  $U_x \cap O \notin \mathcal{I}$ , since  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $x \in (O)^{\alpha^*}$ . Hence  $(O)^{\alpha^*} = aCl(O)$ .

Conversely let  $O \in \tau^\alpha$ ,  $(O)^{\alpha^*} = aCl(O)$ . Then  $X^{\alpha^*} = X$  and this implies  $\mathcal{I} \cap \tau^{\alpha^*} = \emptyset$  [2]. □

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space with  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then following hold:*

- (1) For  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq aInt(aCl(A))$ .
- (2) For  $\alpha$ -closed subset  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq A$ .
- (3) For  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $aInt(aCl(A)) = \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(aInt(aCl(A)))$ .
- (4) For any  $\tau^\alpha$ -regular open subset  $A$ ,  $A = \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)$ .
- (5) For any  $O \in \tau^\alpha$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(O) \subseteq aInt(aCl(O)) \subseteq (O)^{\alpha^*}$ .

*Proof.* (1) From Theorem 3,  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq (A)^{\alpha^*}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq aCl(A)$ , and since  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)$  is open,  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \subseteq aInt(aCl(A))$ .

(3)  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(aInt(aCl(A))) \subseteq (aInt(aCl(A)))^{\alpha^*} = aCl(aInt(aCl(A)))$  (from Lemma 5)  $\subseteq aCl(A)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(aInt(aCl(A))) \subseteq aInt(aCl(A))$ .

Reverse inclusion:  $aInt(aCl(A)) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(aInt(aCl(A)))$  (from Theorem 1).

Thus  $aInt(aCl(A)) = \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(aInt(aCl(A)))$ . □

### 3. $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha - aCl$ SETS

**Definition 5.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A$  is said to be a  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha - aCl$  set if  $A \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ .*

The collection of all  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha - aCl$  sets in  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .

**Note 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space. If  $A \in \tau^\alpha$ , then  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .*

Later, we shall give the example for the converse of this note.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\{A_i : i \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of nonempty  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha - aCl$  sets in an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $i$ ,  $A_i \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_i)) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i))$ . This implies that  $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i))$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .  $\square$

For intersecting of two  $\mathfrak{R}_a - aCl$  sets, we give following example:

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{e, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{e\}, \{c\}, \{e, b\}, \{e, c\}, \{e, b, c\}, \{e, c, d\}\}$ ,  $I = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$ . Regular open sets are:  $\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{e, b\}$ . Then  $\tau^\alpha = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{e, b\}, \{e, b, c\}\}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{R}_a(\{c, d\}) = X \setminus (\{e, b\})^{\alpha*} = X \setminus \{e, b, d\} = \{c\}$  and  $aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(\{c, d\})) = \{c, d\}$ . Again  $\mathfrak{R}_a(\{e, b, d\}) = X \setminus (\{c\})^{\alpha*} = X \setminus \{c, d\} = \{e, b\}$  and  $aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(\{e, b, d\})) = \{e, b, d\}$ . Now  $\mathfrak{R}_a(\{d\}) = X \setminus (\{e, b, c\})^{\alpha*} = X \setminus \{e, b, c, d\} = \emptyset$ . Hence we have  $\{c, d\}$  and  $\{e, b, d\}$  are  $\mathfrak{R}_a - aCl$  sets but they are not  $a$ -open sets. Again their intersection  $\{d\}$  is not a  $\mathfrak{R}_a - aCl$  set.

We show that the intersecting of a  $\mathfrak{R}_a - aCl$  set and an  $\alpha$ -set of  $\tau^\alpha$  is also a  $\mathfrak{R}_a - aCl$  set.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . If  $U \in \tau^{\alpha\alpha}$ , then  $U \cap A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$  ( $\tau^{\alpha\alpha}$  denotes the collection of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be  $a$ -open, and  $A \subseteq X$ , then it is obvious that

$$G \cap aCl(A) \subseteq aCl(G \cap A) \dots\dots (i).$$

If  $V$  is  $a$ -open, then  $V \subseteq aInt(aCl(V))$  and it is obvious that  $aCl(aInt(aCl(V))) \subseteq aCl(V)$ . Hence

$$aCl(V) = aCl(aInt(aCl(A))) \dots\dots (ii).$$

Again for  $A$  and  $B$  subsets of  $X$ ,

$$\mathfrak{R}_a(A \cap B) = \mathfrak{R}_a(A) \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(B) [1] \dots\dots (iii).$$

Let  $U \in \tau^{\alpha\alpha}$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , then we have  $U \cap A \subseteq aInt(aCl(aInt(U))) \cap aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A)) \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U))) \cap aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A))$  (Corollary 2). Since  $aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U)))$  is  $a$ -open, from (i) we have

$U \cap A \subseteq aCl[aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U))) \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A)] = aCl[aInt[aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U)) \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A)]]$ , since  $\mathfrak{R}_a(A)$  is  $a$ -open. Now by again from (i), we have  $U \cap A \subseteq aCl[aInt[aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U)) \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A)]]$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}_a(U) \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A)$  is  $a$ -open then from (ii), we get  $U \cap A \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U) \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A)) = aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(U \cap A))$  (using (iii)). Therefore,  $U \cap A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .  $\square$

As  $\tau^\alpha \subseteq \tau^{\alpha\alpha}$  for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , then we have following corollary:

**Corollary 9.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be a topological space and  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . If  $U \in \tau^\alpha$ , then  $U \cap A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .

For next, we recall that, a subset  $A$  of an ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is said to be  $I_\alpha$ -dense [1] if  $(A)^{\alpha*} = X$ .

**Theorem 10.**  $A \notin \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^\alpha)$  if and only if there exists  $x \in A$  such that there is a  $\tau^\alpha$ -neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  for which  $X \setminus A$  is relatively  $I_\alpha$ -dense in  $V_x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \notin \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . We are to show that there exists an element  $x \in A$  and a  $\tau^\alpha$  - neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  satisfying that  $X \setminus A$  is relatively  $I_\alpha$  - dense in  $V_x$ . Since  $A \not\subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in A$  but  $x \notin aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ . Hence there exists a  $\tau^\alpha$  - neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  such that  $V_x \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $V_x \cap (X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\alpha*}) = \emptyset$  and so  $V_x \subseteq (X \setminus A)^{\alpha*}$ . Let  $U$  be any nonempty  $\alpha$ -open set in  $V_x$ . Since  $V_x \subseteq (X \setminus A)^{\alpha*}$ , therefore  $U \cap (X \setminus A) \notin \mathcal{I}$ . This implies that  $(X \setminus A)$  is relatively  $I_\alpha$  - dense in  $V_x$ .

The converse part is obvious by reversing process. □

Relations between  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha$  -  $aCl$  set with generalized open sets:

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be a topological space, then  $SO(X, \tau^\alpha) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$  ( $SO(X, \tau^\alpha)$  denotes the collection of all semi-open sets in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$ ).*

*Proof.* For  $A \subseteq aCl(aInt(A))$ ,  $A \subseteq aCl(aInt(A)) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(aInt(A))) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ . Thus  $SO(X, \tau^\alpha) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . □

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha$  -  $aCl$  set in a topological space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , where  $\tau \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \in SPO(X, \tau^\alpha)$  ( $SPO(X, \tau^\alpha)$  denotes the collection of all semi-preopen sets in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$ ).*

Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, and  $I_n(\tau^\alpha)$  is denoted as the collection of all nowhere dense subsets of  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $I = I_n(\tau^\alpha)$ , then for  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) = aInt(aCl(aInt(A)))$ .*

*Proof.* Proof is obvious from the fact that  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) = X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\alpha*}$  and  $(A)^{\alpha*} = aInt(aCl(aInt(A)))$ . □

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $I = I_n(\tau^\alpha)$ , then  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha) = SO(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , therefore  $A \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)) = aCl(aInt(aCl(aInt(A))))$  (from Lemma 13)  $= aCl(aInt(A))$ . Thus  $A \in SO(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .

Suppose that  $A \in SO(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . Then  $A \subseteq aCl(aInt(A))$ , so  $aInt(A) \neq \emptyset$ . We know that  $aInt(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)$  by Corollary 2. Thus  $A \subseteq aCl(aInt(A)) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ . Hence the Theorem. □

In o.h.i. space two concepts semi-preopen set and  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha$  -  $aCl$  set are synonymous, where o.h.i. space is defined as follows:

A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be resolvable [13] if there is a dense subset  $D$  of  $X$  such that  $X \setminus D$  are dense in  $(X, \tau)$ . Otherwise it is said to irresolvable [13]. Real line with usual topology is an example of a resolvable space. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called open hereditarily irresolvable (in short o.h.i.) [5] if every nonempty open subset of it is irresolvable.

**Theorem 15.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $(X, \tau^a)$  is an o.h.i. space,  $\tau^a \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a) = SPO(X, \tau^a)$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove only the inclusion  $SPO(X, \tau^a) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a)$ , reverse inclusion has already been done. Let  $A \in SPO(X, \tau^a)$ . Then  $A \subseteq aCl(aInt(aCl(A)))$ . Let  $x \in aInt(aCl(A))$ . Therefore there exists a nonempty  $a$ -open set  $O_x$  (containing  $x$ ) such that  $O_x \subseteq aCl(A)$ . Now it is obvious that  $O_x \cap A$  is dense in  $O_x$ . Since the space is o.h.i., therefore  $aInt(O_x \cap A)$  is dense in  $O_x$ , that is  $O_x \subseteq aCl(aInt(A))$  and hence  $x \in aCl(aInt(A))$ . Thus  $aInt(aCl(A)) \subseteq aCl(aInt(A))$ . Now  $A \subseteq aCl(aInt(aCl(A))) \subseteq aCl(aInt(A))$ . But  $aInt(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a(aInt(A)) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a(A)$ , thus  $A \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A))$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. $\alpha$ - TOPOLOGY OF $\tau^a$

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ .  $A$  is said to be a  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  - set if  $A \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A)))$ .

The collection of all  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  sets in  $(X, \tau, I)$  is denoted as  $\tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ .

It is obvious that  $\tau^a \subseteq \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a)$ .

**Theorem 16.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space with  $\tau^a \cap I = \emptyset$ , then the collection  $\tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a} = \{A \subseteq X : A \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A)))\}$  forms a topology on  $X$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove only finite intersection property:

Let  $A_1, A_2 \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ . We are to show that  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ . If  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , we are done. Let  $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$ . Now  $A_1 \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1)))$  and  $A_2 \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_2)))$ , implies that  $x \in aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1)) \cap aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_2)))$ . So  $x \in aInt[aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1)) \cap aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_2))]$ . Therefore there exists an  $a$ -open set  $V_x$  containing  $x$  such that  $V_x \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1)) \cap aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_2))$ . Let  $U_x$  be any  $a$ -neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq V_x \cap U_x \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1))$  and  $V_x \cap U_x \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_2))$ . Let  $y \in V_x \cap U_x$ . Consider any open set  $G_y$  containing  $y$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $G_y \subseteq V_x \cap U_x$ . So  $G_y \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A_1) \neq \emptyset$ . From definition of  $\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1)$  there exists a nonempty  $a$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subseteq G_y$  and  $U \setminus A_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Again  $U \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_2))$ , so there exists a nonempty  $a$ -open set  $U' \subseteq U$  such that  $U' \setminus A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now  $U' \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2) = (U' \setminus A_1) \cup (U' \setminus A_2) \subseteq (U \setminus A_1) \cup (U' \setminus A_2) \in \mathcal{I}$  (finite additivity). Hence from definition  $U' \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_a(A_1 \cap A_2)$ . Since  $U' \subseteq G_y$ ,  $G_y \cap \mathfrak{R}_a(A_1 \cap A_2) \neq \emptyset$ , therefore  $y \in aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1 \cap A_2))$ . Since  $y$  was any point of  $U_x \cap V_x$ , it follows that  $U_x \cap V_x \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1 \cap A_2))$ , implies that  $x \in aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1 \cap A_2)))$ . Thus  $A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A_1 \cap A_2)))$ . Hence  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 17.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $\tau^a \cap I = \emptyset$ , then  $\tau^{a\alpha} \subseteq \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ .

**Corollary 18.** Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $I = I_n(\tau^a)$ , then  $\tau^{a\alpha} = \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ .

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $\tau^\alpha \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $A$  contains a nonempty  $\tau^{\alpha^*}$ -interior.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) = \cup\{M : M \in \tau^\alpha, M \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}\} \neq \emptyset$ , implies that there exists  $\emptyset \neq M \in \tau^\alpha$  such that  $M \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $M \setminus A = P$ , where  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ . So  $M \setminus P \subseteq A$  where  $M \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\tau^\alpha \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Since  $M \setminus P \in \tau^{\alpha^*}$ , so that  $A$  contains a nonempty  $\tau^{\alpha^*}$ -interior.

Conversely suppose that  $A$  contains a  $\tau^{\alpha^*}$ -interior  $M \setminus P$  (say), where  $M \in \tau^\alpha$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus  $M \setminus P \subseteq A$ , that is  $M \setminus A \subseteq P$ . Hence  $M \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}$ . So  $\cup\{M : M \in \tau^\alpha, M \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}\} \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 20.** *Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is open in  $(X, \tau^{\alpha^*})$  if and only if  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .*

**Corollary 21.** *Let  $x \in X$ , then  $\{x\} \in \tau^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}_\alpha}}$  if and only if  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .*

**Theorem 22.**  *$\tau^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}_\alpha}}$  is exactly the collection such that  $A \in \tau^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}_\alpha}}$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$  implies  $A \cap B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \tau^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}_\alpha}}$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . Now we are to show that  $A \cap B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we are done. Let  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in A \cap B$ . This implies that  $x \in aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)))$ , therefore  $aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)))$  is a  $a$ -neighbourhood of  $x$ . Consider any  $a$ -neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x$ , then  $U_x \cap aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)))$  is a  $a$ -neighbourhood of  $x$ . Since  $x \in B \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(B))$ , then  $U_x \cap aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))) \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V = U_x \cap aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))) \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(B)$ , then  $V \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ . This implies that  $U_x \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(B) = V \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)$  is  $a$ -open. Therefore  $x \in aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A) \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(B))$ , that is  $x \in aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A \cap B))$ . Hence  $A \cap B \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A \cap B))$ , therefore  $A \cap B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .

Next we consider a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$  for each  $B \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . We show that  $A \in \tau^{\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}_\alpha}}$ , that is  $A \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)))$ . If possible suppose that  $x \in A$  but  $x \notin aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)))$ . Therefore  $x \in A \cap [X \setminus aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A)))] = A \cap aCl(X \setminus aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))) = A \cap aCl(G)$  (say). It is obvious that  $G = X \setminus aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$  is a nonempty  $a$ -open set. Since  $x \in aCl(G)$  then for all  $a$ -open sets  $V_x$  containing  $x$ ,  $V_x \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $V_x \cap \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(G) \neq \emptyset$ , since  $G \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(G)$ . This implies that

$$x \in aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(G)) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(\{x\} \cup G)) \dots\dots (i).$$

Again

$$G \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(G)) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(\{x\} \cup G)) \dots\dots(ii).$$

From (i) and (ii)  $\{x\} \cup G \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(\{x\} \cup G))$ . Thus  $\{x\} \cup G \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . Now by given condition  $A \cap (\{x\} \cup G)$  is a  $\mathfrak{R}_\alpha$ - $aCl$  set.

We shall prove that  $A \cap (\{x\} \cup G) = \{x\}$ .

If possible suppose that there exists  $y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$  such that  $y \in A \cap (\{x\} \cup G)$ . So  $y \in A$  and  $y \in G$ . Now  $A = A \cap X$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , again by given condition  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . Since  $y \in A$ , and  $y \in aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$  - a contradiction to the fact that  $y \in G = X \setminus aCl(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha(A))$ . Thus  $A \cap (\{x\} \cup G) = \{x\}$ . Since  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{R}_\alpha(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , then

$\{x\} \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ . So  $\{x\} \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(\{x\}))) = aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A \cap (\{x\} \cup G)))) \subseteq aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A)))$ . But  $x \in aInt(aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A)))$ , that is  $A \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space, where  $\tau^a \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $SO(X, \tau^{a^*}) = \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in SO(X, \tau^{a^*})$ . Then  $A \subseteq Cl^{a^*}(Int^{a^*}(A)) = Cl^{a^*}[\mathfrak{R}_a(A) \cap A] \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A) \cap A) \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A))$ . Thus  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a)$ . For reverse inclusion, let  $A \in \mathfrak{R}_a(X, \tau^a)$ . We show that  $A \in SO(X, \tau^{a^*})$ . Take  $x \in A$ . Consider  $G_1 \in \beta(I, \tau)$  [2] such that  $x \in G_1$ . Then  $G_1$  is of the form  $G_1 = G \setminus E$ , where  $G \in \tau^a$ ,  $E \in I$ . So  $x \in G$ . Since  $A \subseteq aCl(\mathfrak{R}_a(A))$  and  $G \in \tau^a$ ,  $G \cap (\mathfrak{R}_a(A)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in G \cap (\mathfrak{R}_a(A))$ . Thus there exists  $O_y \in \tau^a$  such that  $O_y \setminus A \in \mathcal{I}$  by definition of  $\mathfrak{R}_a(A)$ . Consider  $\emptyset \neq G \cap O_y$ . So  $(G \cap O_y) \setminus A \in I$  (by heredity). Let  $G' = G \cap O_y$ . Then  $G' \neq \emptyset$ ,  $G' \in \tau^a$  and  $G' \setminus A = P$  say where  $P \in \mathcal{I}$  and so  $G' \setminus P \subseteq A$ . Hence  $G' \setminus (E \cup P) \subseteq A$  where  $G' \setminus (E \cup P) \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\tau^a \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Write  $M = G' \setminus (E \cup P)$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq M \in \tau^{a^*}$  such that  $M \subseteq A \cap (G \setminus E)$ . Hence  $A$  contains a nonempty  $\tau^{a^*}$ -open set  $M$  contained in  $G \setminus E = G_1$ . Since  $x$  is an arbitrary point of  $A$ , we get  $A \subseteq Cl^{a^*}(Int^{a^*}(A))$ . Therefore  $A \in SO(X, \tau^{a^*})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 24.** *Let  $x \in X$ , then  $\{x\} \in SO(X, \tau^{a^*})$  if and only if  $\{x\} \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$ .*

**Theorem 25.**  $\tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$  is exactly the collection such that  $A \in \tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a}$  and  $B \in SO(X, \tau^{a^*})$  imply  $A \cap B \in SO(X, \tau^{a^*})$ , where  $\tau^{a^*} \cap I = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 26.** [20] *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space.  $\tau^a$  consists of exactly those sets  $A$  for which  $A \cap B \in SO(X, \tau)$  for all  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ .*

From above Theorem we get the representation of  $\alpha$  - sets of  $(X, \tau^a)$ :

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $(X, \tau, I)$  be an ideal topological space with  $\tau^a \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau^{a\mathfrak{R}_a} = \tau^{a^*\alpha}$ .*

Acknowledgement: Authors are thankful to Professor Erdal Ekici for sending reprints.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Omari, W., Noorani, M., Noiri, T. and Al-Omari, A.: The  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  operator in ideal topological spaces, *Creat. Math. Inform.*, 25, 1-10 (2016).
- [2] Al-Omari, W., Noorani, M. and Al-Omari, A.:  $a$ -local function and its properties in ideal topological spaces, *Fasc. Math.*, 53, 1-15 (2014).
- [3] Andrijevic, D.: On the topology generated by preopen sets, *Math. Bech.*, 39, 367-376 (1987).
- [4] Arenas, F. G., Dontchev J. and Puertas, M L.: Idealization of some weak separation axioms, *Acta Math. hungar.*, 89, 47-53 (2000).
- [5] Chattopadhyay C. and Roy, U. K.:  $\delta$ -sets, irresolvable and resolvable space, *Math. Solvaca.*, 42, 371-378 (1992).
- [6] Dontchev, J., Ganster, M. and Rose, D.: Ideal resolvableity, *Topology and its Appl.*, 93, 1-16 (1999).



- [7] Ekici, E.: On  $a$ -open sets,  $A^*$ -sets and decompositions of continuity and supra-continuity, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest.*, 51, 39-51 (2008).
- [8] Ekici, E.: A note on  $a$ -open sets and  $e^*$ -open sets, *Filomat*, 22, 89-96 (2008).
- [9] Ekici, E.: New forms of contra-continuity, *Carpathian J. Math.*, 24, 37-45 (2008).
- [10] Ekici, E.: Some generalizations of almost contra-super-continuity, *Filomat*, 21, 31-44 (2007).
- [11] Hamlett, T. R.: A correction to the paper "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces" by Norman Levine, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49, 458-460 (1975).
- [12] Hamlett, T. R. and Jankovic, D.: Ideals in topological spaces and the set operator  $\psi$ , *Bull. U.M.I.*, 7, 863-874 (1990).
- [13] Hewitt, E.: A problem of set theoretic topology, *Duke Math. J.*, 10, 309-333 (1943).
- [14] Jankovic, D. and Hamlett T. R.: New topologies from old via ideal, *Amer. Math. Monthly.*, 97, 295-310 (1990).
- [15] Kuratowski, K.: *Topology*, Vol. I, New York, Academic Press, 1966.
- [16] Levine, N.: Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70, 36-41 (1963).
- [17] Mukherjee, M. N., Roy B. and Sen, R.: On extension of topological spaces in terms of ideals, *Topology and its Appl.*, 154, 3167-3172 (2007).
- [18] Nasef, A. A. and Mahmoud, R. A.: Some applications via fuzzy ideals, *chaos Solutions Fractals*, 13, 825-831 (2002).
- [19] Navaneethakrishnan, M. and Paulraj, J.:  $g$ -closed sets in ideal topological space, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 119, 365-371 (2008).
- [20] Njåstad, O.: On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J Math.*, 15, 961-970 (1965).
- [21] Stone, M. H.: Application of the theory of boolean rings to generated topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 41, 375-381 (1937).
- [22] Vaidyanathaswamy, R.: The localization theory in set-topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 20, 51-61 (1945).
- [23] Veličko, N. V.:  $H$ -closed topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.*, 78, 103-118 (1968).

*Current address:* Shyamapada Modak: Department of Mathematics, University of Gour Banga  
P.O. Mokdumpur, Malda - 732103, India

*E-mail address:* [smodak2000@yahoo.co.in](mailto:smodak2000@yahoo.co.in)

*Current address:* Md. Monirul Islam: Department of Mathematics, University of Gour Banga  
P.O. Mokdumpur, Malda - 732103, India

*E-mail address:* [moni.math007@gmail.com](mailto:moni.math007@gmail.com)