

IMPROVED CHEN-RICCI INEQUALITY FOR LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN QUATERNION SPACE FORMS

SHANGRONG DENG

(Communicated by Bang-Yen CHEN)

ABSTRACT. In this article, we obtain an improved Chen-Ricci inequality and completely classify Lagrangian submanifolds in quaternion space forms satisfying the equality. Our result is an affirmative answer to Problem 4.6 in [12].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a Riemannian n -manifold and X be a unit vector. We choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in $T_x M$ such that $e_1 = X$. We denote the Ricci curvature at X by

$$Ric(X) = K_{12} + \dots + K_{1n},$$

where K_{ij} denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane section spanned by e_i, e_j .

In [1] B.-Y. Chen proved the following Chen-Ricci inequality on Ricci curvature for any n -dimensional submanifold in Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature c :

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{n-1}{4}c + \frac{n^2}{4}\|H\|^2.$$

This inequality is not optimal for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms. Using an optimization technique, Oprea in [10] (also see [11]) proved

$$Ric(X) \leq \frac{n-1}{4}(c + n\|H\|^2),$$

which improves the Chen-Ricci inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c .

In [5] we provided an algebraic proof for the improved Chen-Ricci inequality and completely characterized Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms satisfying the equality.

In this article, we extend the improved Chen-Ricci inequality to Lagrangian submanifolds in quaternion space forms. We also provide a detailed affirmative answer to Problem 4.6 in [12], completing the remark 3.2 in [5].

Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 improve a number of results in [1],[5],[7] and [8] for Lagrangian submanifolds in quaternion space forms.

Date: Received: August 8, 2011 and Accepted: December 19, 2011 .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40, 53C15, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Chen-Ricci inequality, Lagrangian submanifold, Ricci curvature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \tilde{M}^n be a $4n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g . \tilde{M}^n is called quaternion Kaehler manifold if there exists a 3-dimensional vector bundle V of tensors of type $(1,1)$ over \tilde{M}^n with local basis of almost Hermitian structures I, J and K such that

$$(a) \quad IJ = -JI = K, \quad JK = -KJ = I, \quad KI = -IK = J, \quad I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -Id,$$

(b) for any local cross-section η of V , $\tilde{\nabla}_X \eta$ is also a cross-section of V , where X is an arbitrary vector field on \tilde{M}^n and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection on \tilde{M}^n .

In fact, condition (b) is equivalent to the following condition:

(b') there exist local 1-forms p, q and r such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X I &= r(X)J - q(X)K \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X J &= -r(X)I + p(X)K \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X K &= q(X)I - p(X)J. \end{aligned}$$

Now let X be a unit vector on \tilde{M}^n . Then X, IX, JX and KX form an orthonormal frame on \tilde{M}^n . We denote by $Q(X)$ the 4-plane spanned by them. For any two orthonormal vectors X, Y on \tilde{M}^n , if $Q(X)$ and $Q(Y)$ are orthogonal, the plane $\pi(X, Y)$ spanned by X, Y is called a totally real plane. Any 2-plane in a $Q(X)$ is called a quaternionic plane. The sectional curvature of a quaternionic plane π is called the quaternionic sectional curvature of π . A quaternionic Kaehler manifold is a quaternion space form if its quaternionic sectional curvature are equal to a constant, say c . We denote such a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form by $\tilde{M}^n(c)$.

It is known that a quaternionic Kaehler manifold \tilde{M}^n is a quaternion space form if and only if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} is of the following form [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \\ &+ g(IY, Z)IX - g(IX, Z)IY + 2g(X, IY)IZ \\ &+ g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ \\ &+ g(KY, Z)KX - g(KX, Z)KY + 2g(X, KY)KZ\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}^n$ be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian n -manifold M into a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form $\tilde{M}^n(c)$. Then M is called a Lagrangian (or totally real) submanifold if each 2-plane of M is mapped into a totally real plane in $\tilde{M}^n(c)$.

From now on we assume that M is a Lagrangian submanifold of a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form $\tilde{M}^n(c)$. The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given respectively by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi &= -A_\xi X + D_X \xi, \end{aligned}$$

for tangent vector fields X and Y and normal vector fields ξ , where D is the normal connection. The second fundamental form h is related to A_ξ by

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle.$$

The mean curvature vector H of M is defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h.$$

We choose a local orthonormal frame field in $\tilde{M}^n(c)$:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n; & \quad e_{I(1)} = Ie_1, \dots, e_{I(n)} = Ie_n; \\ e_{J(1)} = Je_1, \dots, e_{J(n)} = Je_n; & \quad e_{K(1)} = Ke_1, \dots, e_{K(n)} = Ke_n, \end{aligned}$$

in such a way that, restricting to M , e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M .

We will use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A, B, C, D &= 1, \dots, n, I(1), \dots, I(n), J(1), \dots, J(n), K(1), \dots, K(n), \\
 i, j, k, l &= 1, \dots, n, \\
 \alpha, \beta &= I(1), \dots, I(n), J(1), \dots, J(n), K(1), \dots, K(n), \\
 \phi_1 &= I, \phi_2 = J, \phi_3 = K, \\
 \phi_1(k) &= I(k), \phi_2(k) = J(k), \phi_3(k) = K(k),
 \end{aligned}$$

We set $h_{ij}^\alpha = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha)$. Then for any given r we have (see (2.9) in [4])

$$(2.3) \quad h_{ij}^{\phi_r(k)} = h_{ki}^{\phi_r(j)} = h_{jk}^{\phi_r(i)}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Chen introduced the concept of *Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds* in [2] to study the “simplest” Lagrangian submanifolds next to the totally geodesic ones. We can extend the notion of *Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds* to Lagrangian submanifolds of a quaternion manifold ([9]). By a Lagrangian *H-umbilical submanifold* of a quaternion manifold \tilde{M}^n we mean a Lagrangian submanifold whose second fundamental form takes the following simple form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(e_1, e_1) &= \lambda_1 I(e_1) + \lambda_2 J(e_1) + \lambda_3 K(e_1) \\
 h(e_2, e_2) &= \mu_1 I(e_1) + \mu_2 J(e_1) + \mu_3 K(e_1), \\
 h(e_1, e_j) &= \mu_1 I(e_j) + \mu_2 J(e_j) + \mu_3 K(e_j), \\
 h(e_j, e_k) &= 0, \quad j \neq k, \quad j, k = 2, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

for some suitable functions λ_r and $\mu_r, r = 1, 2, 3$ with respect to some suitable local orthonormal frame field.

3. IMPROVED CHEN-RICCI INEQUALITY

We first take a look at the mean curvature vector H . We set $\phi_1 = I, \phi_2 = J, \phi_3 = K$ as in the previous section. With the orthonormal frames from (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(e_1, e_1) &= \sum_{\alpha=I(1)}^{K(n)} h_{11}^\alpha e_\alpha = h_{11}^{I(1)} e_{I(1)} + \dots + h_{11}^{I(n)} e_{I(n)} + \\
 &\quad + h_{11}^{J(1)} e_{J(1)} + \dots + h_{11}^{J(n)} e_{J(n)} + \\
 &\quad + h_{11}^{K(1)} e_{K(1)} + \dots + h_{11}^{K(n)} e_{K(n)} \\
 &= \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} e_{\phi_r(k)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$h(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n h_{ii}^{\phi_r(k)} e_{\phi_r(k)}.$$

We set

$$(3.1) \quad H_r^j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h_{kk}^{\phi_r(j)}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad H &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{I(1)} e_{I(1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{I(n)} e_{I(n)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{J(1)} e_{J(1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{J(n)} e_{J(n)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{K(1)} e_{K(1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{K(n)} e_{K(n)} \\
&= H_1^1 e_{I(1)} + \cdots + H_1^n e_{I(n)} + \\
&\quad + H_2^1 e_{J(1)} + \cdots + H_2^n e_{J(n)} + \\
&\quad + H_3^1 e_{K(1)} + \cdots + H_3^n e_{K(n)} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n H_r^k e_{\phi_r(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With $\{e_{I(1)}, \dots, e_{K(n)}\}$ being orthonormal, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|H\|^2 = \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n (H_r^k)^2.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of real dimension n ($n \geq 2$) in a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form $\tilde{M}^n(c)$, x a point in M and X a unit tangent vector in $T_x M$. Then we have*

$$(3.4) \quad Ric(X) \leq \frac{n-1}{4}(c + n\|H\|^2),$$

where H is the mean curvature vector of M in $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ and $Ric(X)$ is the Ricci curvature of M at X .

The equality sign holds for any unit tangent vector at x if and only if either

- (i) x is a totally geodesic point or
- (ii) $n = 2$ and x is an H -umbilical point with $\lambda_r = 3\mu_r$, $r = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. We fix the point x in M . Let X be any unit tangent vector at x . We choose an orthonormal frame $e_1, \dots, e_n, I(e_1), \dots, K(e_n)$ such that e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M at x with $e_1 = X$. From Gauss equation we have

$$\tilde{R}(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) = R(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) - g(h(e_1, e_1), h(e_j, e_j)) + g(h(e_1, e_j), h(e_1, e_j))$$

or

$$\tilde{R}(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) = R(e_1, e_j, e_1, e_j) - \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n (h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} - (h_{1j}^{\phi_r(k)})^2), \forall j \in \overline{2, n}.$$

Hence we have

$$(n-1)\frac{c}{4} = Ric(X) - \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} - (h_{1j}^{\phi_r(k)})^2).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad Ric(X) - (n-1)\frac{c}{4} &= \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n \left(h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} - (h_{1j}^{\phi_r(k)})^2 \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} - \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{1j}^{\phi_r(1)})^2 - \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{1j}^{\phi_r(j)})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3), we have

$$Ric(X) - (n-1)\frac{c}{4} \leq \left(\sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} \right) - \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{11}^{\phi_r(j)})^2 - \sum_{r=1}^3 \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^{\phi_r(1)})^2,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad Ric(X) - (n-1)\frac{c}{4} &\leq \sum_{r=1}^3 \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n (h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} \sum_{j=2}^n h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} - (h_{11}^{\phi_r(j)})^2) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} \sum_{j=2}^n h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} - \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^{\phi_r(1)})^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} - \frac{n}{2}H_r^1)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^{\phi_r(1)})^2 \geq \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} + \frac{1}{2}h_{22}^{\phi_r(1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}h_{nn}^{\phi_r(1)} \right)^2 = \frac{n}{4} (H_r^1)^2, \quad r = 1, 2, 3,
 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=2}^n (h_{jj}^{\phi_r(1)})^2 - h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} \sum_{j=2}^n h_{jj}^{\phi_r(1)} \geq \frac{n(1-n)}{4} (H_r^1)^2, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Similarly, by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$(h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)})^2 + \left(\frac{n}{2}H_r^k - h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} \right)^2 \geq \frac{n^2}{8} (H_r^k)^2, \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad (h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)})^2 - h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} \sum_{j=2}^n h_{jj}^{\phi_r(k)} \geq -\frac{n^2}{8} (H_r^k)^2, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (3.6),(3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad Ric(X) - \frac{n-1}{4}c &\leq \sum_{r=1}^3 \left\{ \frac{n^2}{8} \sum_{k=2}^n (H_r^k)^2 + \frac{n(n-1)}{4} (H_r^1)^2 \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \sum_{r=1}^3 \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n (H_r^k)^2 + (H_r^1)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \|H\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.4).

Now assume the equality sign of (3.4) holds for any unit tangent vector X at x . Inequalities in (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) become equalities. Thus, we have

$$(3.10) \quad h_{jk}^{\phi_r(1)} = 0, \quad \forall j, k \geq 2, j \neq k, \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(3.11) \quad 2h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} - nH_r^1 = 2h_{22}^{\phi_r(1)} = \cdots = 2h_{nn}^{\phi_r(1)}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(3.12) \quad 4h_{11}^{\phi_r(k)} = nH_r^k, \quad k = 2, \dots, n, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Also, by (3.9), we have either

(1) $n \geq 3$ and $H_r^2 = H_r^3 = \cdots = H_r^n = 0$, $r = 1, 2, 3$ or

(2) $n = 2$.

Case(1) $n \geq 3$. We have $H_r^2 = H_r^3 = \cdots = H_r^n = 0$, $r = 1, 2, 3$. From (3.12) we have

$$h_{1j}^{\phi_r(1)} = h_{11}^{\phi_r(j)} = \frac{nH_r^j}{4} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 2, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

From this and (3.10) and (3.11), $(h_{jk}^{\phi_r(1)})$ must be diagonal with $h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} = (n+1)h_{22}^{\phi_r(1)}$ and $h_{jj}^{\phi_r(1)} = \frac{1}{2}H_r^1, \forall j \geq 2, \quad r = 1, 2, 3$.

Now if we compute $Ric(e_2)$ as we do for $Ric(X) = Ric(e_1)$ in (3.5), from the equality we get $h_{2j}^{\phi_r(k)} = h_{jk}^{\phi_r(2)} = 0, \forall k \neq 2, j \neq 2, k \neq j, r = 1, 2, 3$. From the equality and (3.11), we get

$$\frac{h_{11}^{\phi_r(2)}}{n+1} = h_{22}^{\phi_r(2)} = \cdots = h_{nn}^{\phi_r(2)} = \frac{H_r^2}{2} = 0, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since the equality holds for all unit tangent vector, the argument is also true for matrices $(h_{jk}^{\phi_r(l)})$. Now finally $h_{2j}^{\phi_r(2)} = h_{22}^{\phi_r(j)} = \frac{H_r^j}{2} = 0, \forall j \geq 3, r = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore matrix $(h_{jk}^{\phi_r(2)})$ has only two possible nonzero entries (i.e. $h_{12}^{\phi_r(2)} = h_{21}^{\phi_r(2)} = h_{22}^{\phi_r(1)} = \frac{H_r^1}{2}, r = 1, 2, 3$). Similarly matrix $(h_{jk}^{\phi_r(l)})$ has only two possible nonzero entries

$$h_{il}^{\phi_r(l)} = h_{11}^{\phi_r(l)} = h_{ll}^{\phi_r(1)} = \frac{H_r^1}{2}, \quad \forall l \geq 3, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

We now compute $Ric(e_2)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{R}(e_2, e_j, e_2, e_j) = R(e_2, e_j, e_2, e_j) - g(h(e_2, e_2), h(e_j, e_j)) + (h(e_2, e_j), h(e_2, e_j)),$$

so we have

$$(3.13) \quad \tilde{R}(e_2, e_j, e_2, e_j) = R(e_2, e_j, e_2, e_j) - \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2, \quad \forall j \geq 3.$$

From

$$\tilde{R}(e_2, e_1, e_2, e_1) = R(e_2, e_1, e_2, e_1) - g(h(e_2, e_2), h(e_1, e_1)) + g(h(e_2, e_1), h(e_2, e_1)),$$

we get

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{R}(e_2, e_1, e_2, e_1) = R(e_2, e_1, e_2, e_1) - (n+1) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2 + \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2.$$

By combining (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$Ric(e_2) - \frac{(n-1)c}{4} = (n+1) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2 - \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2 + (n-2) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2 = 2(n-1) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2.$$

On the other hand from the equality assumption, we have

$$Ric(e_2) - \frac{(n-1)c}{4} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \|H\|^2 = n(n-1) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$n(n-1) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2 = 2(n-1) \sum_{r=1}^3 \left(\frac{H_r^1}{2}\right)^2$$

Since $n \geq 3$, we have $H_1^1 = H_2^1 = H_3^1 = 0$. Therefore, $(h_{jk}^{\phi_r(l)})$ are all zero ($r = 1, 2, 3$) and x is a totally geodesic point.

Case(2) $n = 2$. From (3.12) we have

$$h_{11}^{\phi_r(1)} = 3h_{22}^{\phi_r(1)}, \quad h_{22}^{\phi_r(2)} = 3h_{11}^{\phi_r(2)}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since X can be any unit vector, we may assume that the mean curvature vector is in $Q(X)$. Then the second fundamental form takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1, e_1) &= 3\mu_1 I(e_1) + 3\mu_2 J(e_1) + 3\mu_3 K(e_1), \\ h(e_2, e_2) &= \mu_1 I(e_1) + \mu_2 J(e_1) + \mu_3 K(e_1), \\ h(e_1, e_2) &= \mu_1 I(e_2) + \mu_2 J(e_2) + \mu_3 K(e_2), \end{aligned}$$

for some functions μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 with respect to some local orthonormal frame field.

It follows from (2.4) that x is an H-umbilical point with $\lambda_r = 3\mu_r, r = 1, 2, 3$.

The converse can be proved by simple computation. □

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 is an improvement of a result in [1, page 38] for Lagrangian submanifolds. Theorem 3.1 is also an extension of a theorem in [5] for Lagrangian submanifolds in quaternion space forms.

Remark 3.2. In quaternion space forms, Theorem 3.1 is an improvement of Corollary 2.1 in [8] for Lagrangian submanifolds.

From Theorem 3.1, we have the following

Corollary 3.2. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold of real dimension n ($n \geq 2$) in a $4n$ -dimensional quaternion space form $\tilde{M}^n(c)$. If*

$$Ric(X) = \frac{n-1}{4}(c + n\|H\|^2)$$

for any unit tangent vector X of M , then either M is a totally geodesic submanifold in $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ or $n = 2$ and M is a Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifold of $\tilde{M}^n(c)$ with $\lambda_r = 3\mu_r, r = 1, 2, 3$.

Remark 3.3. Corollary 3.2 is an improvement of Theorem 3.1 in [7] for Lagrangian submanifolds in quaternion space forms.

Remark 3.4. Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 give a complete solution to Problem 4.6 in [12].

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, B.-Y., Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions, Glasgow Math. J. **41** (1999), 33-41.
- [2] Chen, B.-Y., Interaction of Legendre curves and Lagrangian submanifolds, Israel J. Math. **99** (1997), 69-108.
- [3] Chen, B.-Y., Pseudo-Riemannian geometry, δ invariants and applications, World Scientific, 2011.
- [4] Chen, B.-Y. and Houh, C.-S., Totally real submanifolds of a quaternion projective space, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **120** (1974), 185-199.
- [5] Deng, S., An improved Chen-Ricci Inequality, Int. Electron. J. Geom. **2** (2009), no.2, 39-45.
- [6] Ishihara, S., Quaternion Kahlerian manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. **9** (1974), 483-500.
- [7] Liu, X., On Ricci curvature of totally real submanifolds in a quaternion projective space, Arch Math. (Brno), **38** (2002), 297-305.
- [8] Liu, X. and Dai, W., Ricci curvature of submanifolds in a quaternion projective space, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **17** (2002), No.4, 625-633.
- [9] Oh, Y. M., Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in quaternion Euclidean spaces, arXiv:math/0311065v1 5 Nov 2003.

- [10] Oprea, T., On a geometric inequality, arXiv:math.DG/0511088v1 3 Nov 2005.
- [11] Oprea, T., Ricci curvature of Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms , Math. Inequal. Appl. **13**(2010), no. 4, 851-858.
- [12] Tripathi, M. M., Improved Chen-Ricci inequality for curvature-like tensors and its application, Differen. Geom. Appl. **29** (2011), no. 5, 685-698.
- [13] Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on manifolds, Series in Pure Mathematics, 3. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, 1100 SOUTH MARIETTA PARKWAY, MARIETTA, GA 30060, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `sdeng@spsu.edu`