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#### Abstract

Recently, Furtula et al. [B. Furtula, I. Gutman, S. Ediz, On difference of Zagreb indices, Discrete Appl. Math., 2014] introduced a new vertex-degree-based graph invariant "reduced second Zagreb index" in chemical graph theory. Here we generalize the reduced second Zagreb index (call "general reduced second Zagreb index"), denoted by $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ and is defined as: $G R M_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(u)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+\alpha\right)$, where $\alpha$ is any real number and $d_{G}(v)$ is the degree of the vertex $v$ of $G$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ be the set of connected graphs of order $n$ with $k$ cut edges. In this paper, we study some properties of $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ for connected graphs $G$. Moreover, we obtain the sharp upper bounds on $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ for $\alpha \geq-1 / 2$ and characterize the extremal graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Denote by $d_{G}(u)$, the degree of the vertex $u$ of $G$. A graph invariant is a number related to a graph which is a structural invariant, in other words, it is a fixed number under graph automorphisms. The oldest and well-known graph invariants are the classical Zagreb indices ( $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ ) of graph $G$ and they are defined as

$$
M_{1}(G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(u)\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v) .
$$

The Zagreb indices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ were first introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić in 1972, the quantities of the Zagreb indices were found to occur within certain approximate expressions for the total $\pi$-electron energy [15]. In 1975, these graph invariants were proposed to be measures of branching of the carbon atom skeleton [14]. For details of the mathematical theory and chemical applications of the Zagreb indices, see [2, 7, 9, 13, 22]. The Zagreb

[^0]indices were independently studied in the mathematical literature under other names [1, 6, 21, 27].

Caporossi and Hansen [3] conjectured that, for all connected graphs $G$ it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{1}(G)}{n} \leq \frac{M_{2}(G)}{m} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the bound is tight for complete graphs. Although this conjecture is disproved for general graphs [16], it was the beginning of a long series of studies in which the validity or non-validity of inequality (1.1) for various classes of graphs, see [16, 18, 20, 25, 26] and the references cited therein.

Recently, much attention is being paid to the comparison of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Direct comparisons were obtained on the Zagreb indices for trees [8,24] and cyclic graphs [4]. The difference of the Zagreb indices of a graph $G$ has been studied in [12, 19].

Furtula, Gutman and Ediz [12] showed that the difference of the Zagreb indices is closely related to the vertex-degree-based graph invariant

$$
R M_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right)\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)
$$

and determined a few basic properties of $M R_{2}$. This vertex-degree-based graph invariant $R M_{2}$ is called reduced second Zagreb index and it was studied in [12] for trees and in [17] for cyclic graphs with cut edges.

Here we generalize the reduced second Zagreb index (call "general reduced second Zagreb index" ), denoted by $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ and is defined as:

$$
G R M_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(u)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+\alpha\right)
$$

where $\alpha$ is any real number. It was studied in [1] for general graphs when $\alpha=1$.
A pendant vertex is a vertex of degree one. An edge of a graph is said to be pendant if one of its end vertices is a pendant vertex. For $v \in V(G), N_{G}(v)$ denotes the neighbors of $v$ and $N_{G}[v]=N_{G}(v) \cup\{v\}$. The maximum vertex degree of $G$ is denoted by $\Delta(G)$. Denote by $\bar{G}$, the complement of graph $G$. A cut edge in a graph $G$ is an edge whose removal increases the number of connected components of $G$. For a subset $E^{\prime}$ of $E(G)$, we denote by $G-E^{\prime}$ the subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting the edges in $E^{\prime}$. For a subset $E^{\prime \prime}$ of $E(\bar{G})$, the graph obtained from $G$ by adding a set of edges $E^{\prime \prime}$ is denoted by $G+E^{\prime \prime}$. If $E^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}\right\}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}=\left\{e_{2}\right\}$, the subgraph $G-E^{\prime}$ and the super graph $G+E^{\prime \prime}$ will be written as $G-e_{1}$ and $G+e_{2}$ for short, respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ the set of connected graphs of order $n$ with $k$ cut edges. Let $K_{n}^{k}$ be a graph obtained by joining $k$ pendant vertices to one vertex of the complete graph $K_{n-k}$. Also denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ the set of connected graphs of order $n$ with at least $k$ cut edges. Then we have $K_{n}^{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}, \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}=\bigcup_{i \geq k} \mathcal{G}_{n}^{i}$. Note that a connected graph of order $n$ has at most $n-1$ cut edges.

The extremal graphs of order $n$ with $k$ cut edges on Zagreb indices were studied in $[10,11]$. Namely, it was proved that $K_{n}^{k}$ has maximum $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$-value in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$. Alternative proof of these results were given in [5].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some properties of $G R M_{\alpha}$ are provided. In Section 3, we present the sharp upper bound on $G R M_{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ for $\alpha>-1 / 2$ and characterize the extremal graphs. In Section 4, we obtain the sharp upper bound on $G R M_{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ for $\alpha=-1 / 2$ and characterize the extremal graphs.

## 2. Properties of $G R M_{\alpha}$

In this section, we provide some properties of $G R M_{\alpha}$ that will be useful in our study in later sections. From the definitions of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, we easily get the following identity

$$
\begin{align*}
G R M_{\alpha}(G) & =\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(u)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+\alpha\right) \\
& =\sum_{u v \in E(G)} d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v)+\alpha \sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)\right)+\alpha^{2}|E(G)| \\
& =M_{2}(G)+\alpha M_{1}(G)+\alpha^{2}|E(G)| \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is any real number.
Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Let $u v \in E(G)$ and $N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}[u]=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\} \neq$ Ø. Consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{v v_{1}, v v_{2}, \ldots, v v_{t}\right\}+\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{t}\right\}$. Then
(i) $\quad M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{1}(G)=2 t\left(\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right)\right.$,
(ii) $\quad M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \geq t\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right)$ when $d_{G}(u) \geq d_{G}(v)$.

Proof. Now we have $d_{G}(w)=d_{G^{\prime}}(w)$ for $w \neq u, v$ whereas $d_{G^{\prime}}(u)=d_{G}(u)+t$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}(v)=d_{G}(v)-t$.
(i) By the definition of $M_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{1}(G) & =\left(d_{G}(u)+t\right)^{2}+\left(d_{G}(v)-t\right)^{2}-d_{G}(u)^{2}-d_{G}(v)^{2} \\
& =2 t\left(\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Also, by the definition of $M_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G)= \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]}\left(d_{G}(u)+t\right) d_{G}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(d_{G}(u)+t\right) d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{y \in N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v)}\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)\right) d_{G}(y)+\left(d_{G}(u)+t\right)\left(d_{G}(v)-t\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]} d_{G}(u) d_{G}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{G}(v) d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{y \in N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v)}\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)\right) d_{G}(y)-d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v) \\
&= \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]} t d_{G}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right) d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \\
&= \quad-t\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]} t d_{G}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right)\left(d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)-1\right) \\
& \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]} t d_{G}(x) \geq t\left|N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $G$ is connected and $d_{G}(u) \geq d_{G}(v)$.
It is easy to see that $N_{G}(u) \cup\left(N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}[u]\right)=N_{G}(v) \cup\left(N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]\right)$. Therefore we have

$$
\left|N_{G}(u)\right|+\left|N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}[u]\right|=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|+\left|N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]\right|,
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(u)+t=d_{G}(v)+\left|N_{G}(u) \backslash N_{G}[v]\right| . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), we get the required result. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Let $u v \in E(G)$ and $N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}[u]=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$. Consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{v v_{1}, v v_{2}, \ldots, v v_{t}\right\}+\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{t}\right\}$. Then the number of cut edges in $G$ is less than or equal to the number of cut edges in $G^{\prime}$.

Proof. We prove that the number of non-cut edges in $G^{\prime}$ is less than or equal to the number of non-cut edges in $G$. Obviously, $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(G)|$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that for every non-cut edge in $G^{\prime}$, there is a corresponding non-cut edge in $G$.

If $u v$ is a non-cut edge in $G^{\prime}$, then it is also non-cut edge in $G$. Conversely, suppose that $u v$ is a cut edge in $G$. Then $N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v)=\emptyset$ and it follows that $u v$ is a pendant edge in $G^{\prime}$. But this contradicts the fact that $u v$ is a non-cut edge in $G^{\prime}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq t$, if $u v_{i}$ is a non-cut edge in $G^{\prime}$, then there is a path $Q\left(Q \neq u v_{i}\right)$ from $u$ to $v_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Obviously $Q$ is the subgraph of $G^{\prime}$. For the convenience, we denote by $E(Q)$ the edge set of $Q$. If $u v \in E(Q)$ then $Q-u v$ is a path from $v$ to $v_{i}$ in $G$. Otherwise $Q+u v$ is a path from $v$ to $v_{i}$ in $G$. Therefore $v v_{i}$ is a non-cut edge in $G$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Now the proof will be completed by showing that if $x y$ is a non-cut edge in $G^{\prime}$, which is different from $u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{t}$ and $u v$, then $x y$ must also be a non-cut edge in $G$. Since $x y$ is a non-cut edge in $G^{\prime}$, there is a path $P(P \neq x y)$ from $x$ to $y$ in $G^{\prime}$. Since $P$ is the path, there are at most two edges incident to $u$ in $E(P)$. If $u v_{i} \notin E(P)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $P$ is a path from $x$ to $y$ in $G$. Thus $x y$ is a non-cut edge in $G$. Let now $u v_{i} \in E(P)$ and $u v_{s} \notin E(P)$ for each $1 \leq s \leq t$ such that $s \neq i$. In this case, if $u v \in E(P)$ then $P-\left\{u v, u v_{i}\right\}+v v_{i}$ is a path from $x$ to $y$ in $G$. Otherwise $P-u v_{i}+\left\{u v, v v_{i}\right\}$ is a path from $x$ to $y$ in $G$. Finally if $u v_{i} \in E(P), u v_{j} \in E(P)$ and $u v_{s} \notin E(P)$ for each $1 \leq s \leq t$ such that $s \neq i, j$, then $P-\left\{u v_{i}, u v_{j}\right\}+\left\{v v_{i}, v v_{j}\right\}$ is a path from $x$ to $y$ in $G$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.3. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ and $\alpha>-1 / 2$. If $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum, then we have $\Delta(G)=n-1$.

Proof. By contradiction we will prove this result. For this let $u$ be a maximum degree vertex in $G$ and $d(u)<n-1$. Since $G$ is connected, there exist the vertices $v$ and $v_{1}$ in $G$ such that $u v, v v_{1} \in E(G)$ and $u v_{1} \notin E(G)$, where $v_{1}$ is the vertex at distance 2 from $u$. Obviously, $v_{1} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}[u]$ and let $N_{G}(v) \backslash N_{G}[u]=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$. Now we consider the graph

$$
G^{\prime}=G-\left\{v v_{1}, v v_{2}, \ldots, v v_{t}\right\}+\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{t}\right\} .
$$

Then, we have $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ by Lemma 2.2. Obviously, $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(G)|$.
Hence by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-G R M_{\alpha}(G) & =M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G)+\alpha\left(M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{1}(G)\right) \\
& \geq t(1+2 \alpha)\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)+t\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $u$ is the maximum degree vertex, $t \geq 1$ and $\alpha>-1 / 2$. Therefore $G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>$ $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ for $\alpha>-1 / 2$, but it contradicts the fact that $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$. Hence $\Delta(G)=n-1$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$ and $\alpha>-1 / 2$. If $G R M_{\alpha}(T)$ is maximum, then $T$ is isomorphic to star graph $S_{n}$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $\alpha \geq-1$. Also let uv $\notin E(G)$. Consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G+u v$. Then

$$
G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>G R M_{\alpha}(G)
$$

Proof. We have $d_{G}(w)=d_{G^{\prime}}(w)$ for $w \neq u, v$ whereas $d_{G^{\prime}}(u)=d_{G}(u)+1$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}(v)=$ $d_{G}(v)+1$. Hence by the definition of $G R M_{\alpha}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-G R M_{\alpha}(G) \\
&= \sum_{x y \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)}\left(d_{G^{\prime}}(x)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G^{\prime}}(y)+\alpha\right)-\sum_{x y \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(y)+\alpha\right) \\
&=\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(u)+1+\alpha\right)+\sum_{x \in N_{G}(v)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+1+\alpha\right) \\
&+\left(d_{G}(u)+1+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+1+\alpha\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(u)+\alpha\right)-\sum_{x \in N_{G}(v)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+\alpha\right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)+\sum_{x \in N_{G}(v)}\left(d_{G}(x)+\alpha\right)+\left(d_{G}(u)+1+\alpha\right)\left(d_{G}(v)+1+\alpha\right) \\
&> 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $d_{G}(z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in V(G)$ and $\alpha \geq-1$. This completes the proof.

An edge $u v$ of a graph $G$ is said to be contracted if it is deleted and its end vertices $u$ and $v$ are identified, the obtained graph is denoted by $G \cdot u v$. Also the identified vertex in $G \cdot u v$ is denoted by one of $u$ and $v$. A double-star is a tree with exactly two vertices of degree greater than 1. Obviously, a double-star has a unique non-pendant cut edge. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}$, the set of connected graphs of order $n$ with $m$ edges.

Proposition 2.6. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}$. Also let $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ be maximum.
(i) If $\alpha>-1 / 2$ then all cut edges of $G$ are pendant.
(ii) If $\alpha=-1 / 2$ and $G$ is different from a double-star, then all cut edges of $G$ are pendant.

Proof. We prove this result by contradiction. For this let $G$ be a graph with at least one non-pendant cut edge $u v$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}$ and $\alpha \geq-1 / 2$ such that $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G \cdot u v$ by joining a pendant vertex $x$ to the identified vertex $u$. Then we have $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, m}$. Also, we have $d_{G^{\prime}}(x)=1$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}(\omega)=d_{G}(\omega)$ for $\omega \neq u$
whereas $d_{G^{\prime}}(u)=d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)-1$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G)= & \left(d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)-1\right)\left(1+\sum_{u_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)+\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)\right) \\
& -d_{G}(u) \sum_{u_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)-d_{G}(v) \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)-d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v) \\
= & \left(d_{G}(v)-1\right) \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)+\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right) \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{1}(G) & =\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)-1\right)^{2}+1-d_{G}(v)^{2}-d_{G}(u)^{2} \\
& =2\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right) \geq d_{G}(u)-1 \text { and } \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \geq d_{G}(v)-1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), using (2.1) and (2.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-G R M_{\alpha}(G)=M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+\alpha M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G)-\alpha M_{1}(G) \\
& =\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right) \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)+\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right) \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)\left[\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)+\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right)\left[\sum_{v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)+\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)\right] \\
& \geq \\
& (2 \alpha+1)\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)\left(d_{G}(u)-1\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(i) Since $\alpha>-1 / 2$ and $u v$ is a non-pendant cut edge in $G$, from (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>G R M_{\alpha}(G) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It contradicts the assumption that $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum.
(ii) Since $\alpha=-1 / 2$ and $u v$ is a non-pendant cut edge in $G$, from (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq G R M_{\alpha}(G) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that equality holds in (2.9). Then from (2.6) and (2.7), we get $d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)=1$ for $u_{i} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}$ and $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for $v_{i} \in N_{G}(v) \backslash\{u\}$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to a double-star, but it contradicts the assumption.

The number of cut edges of the considered graph $G^{\prime}$ in the proof of Proposition 2.6 is equal to the number of cut edges of $G$. i.e., If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$, then also $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$. Hence we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.7. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$. Also let $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ be maximum.
(i) If $\alpha>-1 / 2$ then all cut edges of $G$ are pendant.
(ii) If $\alpha=-1 / 2$ and $G$ is different from a double-star, then all cut edges of $G$ are pendant.
3. Maximum $G R M_{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ for $\alpha>-1 / 2$

In this section, we give the sharp upper bound on $G R M_{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ for $\alpha>-1 / 2$ and characterize the extremal graphs.
Proposition 3.1. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ and $\alpha>-1 / 2$. If $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}^{k}$.
Proof. If $k=n-1$ then $G$ is a tree of order $n$. Hence we get the required result by Corollary 2.4, because $K_{n}^{k} \cong S_{n}$. Let now $k<n-1$ and $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+} \backslash\left\{K_{n}^{k}\right\}$ that is not isomorphic to $K_{n}^{k}$ such that $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum. Then we prove that

$$
G R M_{\alpha}(G)<G R M_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{k}\right)
$$

Let $u$ be a maximum degree vertex in $G$. Then $d_{G}(u)=n-1$, by Proposition 2.3. Hence all cut edges of $G$ are pendant. Let $l$ be the number of cut edges in $G$. Then $l \geq k$.

First we assume that $l>k$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by joining one pendant vertex to another non-pendant vertex of $G$. Then $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ and

$$
G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>G R M_{\alpha}(G)
$$

by Proposition 2.5. It contradicts the fact that $G R M_{\alpha}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$.
Next we assume that $l=k$. Then since $G$ is not isomorphic to $K_{n}^{k}$, there exist two non-adjacent vertices of degrees greater than one in the graph $G$. We join these two non-adjacent vertices and denote by $G^{\prime}$ the obtained graph. Then $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$ and

$$
G R M_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>G R M_{\alpha}(G)
$$

by Proposition 2.5. If $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}^{k}$ then we are done. Otherwise, a contradiction. This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ and $\alpha>-1 / 2$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
G R M_{\alpha}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-k-1)(n-k-1+\alpha)[(n-k)(n-k-1+\alpha)+2 k] \\
+k(n-1+\alpha)(1+\alpha)
\end{gathered}
$$

with equality holding if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}^{k}$.

Proof. Since $K_{n}^{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k+}$, by Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
G R M_{\alpha}(G)<G R M_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{k}\right)
$$

for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ with $G \nsubseteq K_{n}^{k}$ and for $\alpha>-1 / 2$. By the definition of $G R M_{\alpha}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G R M_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{k}\right) \\
= & (n-1+\alpha)[(1+\alpha) k+(n-k-1+\alpha)(n-k-1)]+\binom{n-k-1}{2}(n-k-1+\alpha)^{2} \\
= & (n-1+\alpha)(1+\alpha) k+(n-k-1)(n-k-1+\alpha)\left[n-1+\alpha+\frac{(n-k-2)(n-k-1+\alpha)}{2}\right] \\
= & (n-1+\alpha)(1+\alpha) k+\frac{1}{2}(n-k-1)(n-k-1+\alpha)[(n-k)(n-k-1+\alpha)+2 k]
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above, we get the required result.

Corollary 3.3. $[5,10,11]$ Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$. Then

$$
M_{2}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-k-1)^{3}(n-k-2)+\left[(n-k-1)^{2}+k\right](n-1)
$$

with equality holding if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}^{k}$.

Proof. Taking $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 3.2, we get the required result.

## 4. Maximum $G R M_{-1 / 2}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$

In this section, we give the sharp upper bound on $G R M_{-1 / 2}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ and characterize the extremal graphs.


Fig. 1. The graph $G(4,3,2,2,1,0)$ in $\mathcal{G}(18,6) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{18}^{12}$.
Let $N$ be a positive integer, $N \geq 1$. Denote by $K_{N}$, a complete graph of order $N$, and let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{N}$ be its vertices. For $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, let $r_{i}$ be non-negative integers, labeled so that $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{N} \geq 0$. Construct the graph $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{N}\right)$ by attaching $r_{i}$ pendent vertices to the vertex $v_{i}$ of $K_{N}$. The graph $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{N}\right)$ has thus $n=N+\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i}$ vertices. For given values $n \geq N \geq 1$, the set of all graphs $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{N}\right)$ constructed in the above described manner is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(n, N)$ (see Fig. 1). If $N=1$ then $\mathcal{G}(n, 1)=\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ and if $N=2$ then $\mathcal{G}(n, 2)$ is the set of all double-stars of order $n$.

We now calculate the value on $G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)$ for the graphs $G$ in $\mathcal{G}(n, N)$.
Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}(n, n-k)$. Then

$$
G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n-\frac{3}{2}\right) k+\frac{1}{2}\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right)(n-k-1)\left[(n-k)^{2}+\frac{7}{2} k-\frac{3}{2} n\right] .
$$

Proof. Since $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n-k)$, there exist nonnegative integers $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n-k}$, labeled so that $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{n-k} \geq 0$ with $r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{n-k}=k$ and $G \cong G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n-k}\right)$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-k}$ be vertices of the graph $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n-k}\right)$ whose degrees greater than one. Then $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=r_{i}+n-k-1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-k$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} r_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-k} r_{i} r_{j}=k^{2} \text { and } \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-k}\left(r_{i}+r_{j}\right)=(n-k-1) k .
$$

Therefore, by using the above we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G R M_{-1 / 2}(G) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n-k}\left(r_{i}+n-k-1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) r_{i} \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-k}\left(r_{i}+n-k-1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(r_{j}+n-k-1-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} r_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} r_{i}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-k} r_{i} r_{j}+\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-k}\left(r_{i}+r_{j}\right) \\
& +\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}\binom{n-k}{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right) k+\frac{1}{2} k^{2}+\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right)(n-k-1) k+\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}(n-k \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(n-\frac{3}{2}\right) k+\frac{1}{2}\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right)(n-k-1)\left[(n-k)^{2}+\frac{7}{2} k-\frac{3}{2} n\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 2. All graphs $G$ in $\mathcal{G}(9,4) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{9}^{5}$ with maximum value $G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)=93.75$.
If $G$ is a tree of order $n$ then $k=n-1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)=\frac{(2 n-3)(n-1)}{4} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $G \in\left\{S_{n}\right\} \cup \mathcal{G}(n, 2)$, by the above Lemma 4.1. From the definition of $\mathcal{G}(n, N)$, we have $\left\{S_{n}\right\} \cup \mathcal{G}(n, 2) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n}^{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{G}(n, N) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n}^{n-N}$ for $N \geq 3$. There is no connected graph of order $n$ with $n-2$ cut edges. Therefore, we further denote $\mathcal{G}(n, 1)=\left\{S_{n}\right\} \cup \mathcal{G}(n, 2)$.
Proposition 4.2. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$. If $G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)$ is maximum, then $G \in$ $\mathcal{G}(n, n-k)$.
Proof. First, let $k=n-1$. If $G$ is different from a double-star then all cut edges of $G$ are pendant, by Corollary 2.7 (ii). Hence $G$ is isomorphic to star $S_{n}$ and $S_{n} \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1)$. If $G$ is isomorphic to a double-star, then $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1)$ and $G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)$ is also maximum in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{n-1}$, because

$$
G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)=G R M_{-1 / 2}\left(S_{n}\right)
$$

from (4.1).
Let now $k<n-1$. Then $G$ is different from a tree. Hence by Corollary 2.7 (ii), all $k$ cut edges of $G$ are pendant. If $G \notin \mathcal{G}(n, n-k)$ then there exist two non-adjacent vertices of degrees greater than one in the graph $G$. We join these two non-adjacent vertices and denote by $G^{\prime}$ the obtained graph. Then $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$ and $G R M_{-1 / 2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)$ by Lemma 2.3. But it contradicts the fact that $G R M_{-1 / 2}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{k}$. Then

$$
G R M_{-1 / 2}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n-\frac{3}{2}\right) k+\frac{1}{2}\left(n-k-\frac{3}{2}\right)(n-k-1)\left[(n-k)^{2}+\frac{7}{2} k-\frac{3}{2} n\right]
$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n-k)$.

Proof. If $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n-k)$ then the equality holds in the above inequality for $G$, by Lemma 4.1. Otherwise the inequality is strict, by Proposition 4.2.

Example 4.4. By SageMath [23], we characterize all graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{9}^{5}$ that achieve the bound in Theorem 4.3 (see, Fig. 2).
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