

Research Article

Assessing long term trends of air pollutants and associated health risks imposed on residents in Bolu (Turkey) during winter

Fatma Öztürk ^a, Melek Keleş Özgül ^b

^a Assoc. Prof., Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Engineering, Environmental Engineering Department, Gölköy, Bolu, Turkey

^b PhD Student., Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Engineering, Environmental Engineering Department, Gölköy, Bolu, Turkey

Submitted date: 26.05.2018, Accepted date: 19.06.2019

Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the long term and seasonal variation of PM₁₀ and SO₂ in the the Bolu city center between 2006 and 2017 in relation to meteorological parameters and to evaluate the health risks due to metals bound to particulate matter (PM) during the winter season. **Method:** The Seasonal Kendall (SK) test was performed on the air quality data to find the magnitude of associated trends. In addition, seasonality of these pollutants was evaluated in conjunction with the meteorological parameters. Furthermore, daily PM samples in two different size fractions were collected between December 2014 and February 2015 and analyzed for metals by WDXRF. The generated metal concentrations were used to estimate carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health risks imposed on the residents of the city. **Results:** The SK test revealed statistically significant decline in SO₂ and PM₁₀ with a rate of 1.953 and 2.932 µg/m³/year, respectively, at 5% significance level. Both of the parameters depicted very clear seasonal cycles. Performed exposure risk assessment indicated that dermal contact with PM bound metals was the main route of exposure for children, while the adults were more prone to non-carcinogenic risks from exposure through inhalation. **Conclusion:** Overall, children were found to be more susceptible to non-carcinogenic risks as compared to adults. The cancer risks due to As and Pb were within the acceptable limits ($< 1 \times 10^{-4}$).

Key words: Bolu, Particulate Matter, Sulfur Dioxide, Air Pollution, Risk Assessment

Corresponding Author: Fatma Öztürk, Abant İzzet Baysal University Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Environmental Engineering Department, Gölköy, Bolu, Turkey, 14030 E-mail: ozturk_fatma@ibu.edu.tr

Copyright holder Turkish Journal of Public Health

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



This is an open Access article which can be used if cited properly.

Bolu atmosferinde gözlenen kirleticilerin uzun dönem değişimlerinin ve kış mevsiminde şehirde yaşayan halk üzerinde sebep olduğu maruziyet riskinin değerlendirilmesi

Özet

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı hava kalitesini belirleyen iki önemli kirletici olan PM₁₀ ve SO₂ seviyelerinin Bolu şehir merkezinde 2006 ve 2017 yılları arasındaki uzun dönem ve mevsimsel değişimlerini meteorolojik parametreleri dikkate alarak incelemek ve kış mevsiminde toplanan PM örneklerinde belirlenen metallere kaynaklanan sağlık riskinin belirlenmesidir. **Yöntem:** Bolu şehir merkezinde izlenen SO₂ ve PM₁₀ seviyelerinde istatistiksel açıdan anlamlı bir azalma olup olmadığı ve azalma oranı Seasonal Kendall (SK) testi kullanılarak belirlenmiştir. Bununla birlikte bu iki kirleticinin mevsimsel değişim göstermedikleri meteorolojik parametrelerle ilişkileri dikkate alınarak incelenmiştir. Aralık 2014-Şubat 2015 tarihleri arasında iki farklı dane boyutunda toplanan PM örnekleri WDXRF cihazı kullanılarak metal içeriklerinin belirlenmesi açısından analiz edilmiştir. Üretilen metal konsantrasyonları şehirde yaşayan halkın maruz kaldığı kanserojen olan ve olmayan sağlık risklerinin hesaplanmasında kullanılmıştır. **Bulgular:** Veri setine uygulanan SK testi ile %5 anlam seviyesinde SO₂ için bu dönemde azalma oranı 1.953 µg/m³/yıl, PM₁₀ için ise 2.932 µg/m³/yıl olarak bulunmuştur. Her iki parametre de bu dönemde çok belirgin mevsimsel değişim göstermiştir. Üretilen veri seti ile yapılan maruziyet riski değerlendirmesi ile çocuklar için cilt temasının erişkinler için ise solunumun partikül maddeye bağlı metallere insan vücuduna geçmesinde ana yol olduğu bulunmuştur. **Sonuç:** Genel olarak, çocukların kanserojen olmayan risklere yetişkinlere kıyasla daha hassas oldukları belirlenmiştir. Çalışma kapsamında değerlendirilen metallere As ve Pb için hesaplanan kanser riskinin kabul edilebilir (< 1×10⁻⁴) değerin altında olduğu saptanmıştır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Bolu, Partikül Madde, Kükürt Dioksit, Hava Kirliliği, Risk Değerlendirmesi

Introduction

Air pollution is recognized as one of the main environmental problems that release miscellaneous pollutants into the atmosphere that cause harm to humans, other living organisms and the environment.¹ Among the various air pollutants, particulate matter (PM) with varying size and chemical composition is

of major concern both in developed and developing countries due to its ubiquitous nature.

PM is introduced into the atmosphere as primary and secondary particles. The former includes the particles released directly from their source, mainly by combustion whilst secondary particles are formed in the

atmosphere because of chemical reactions of compounds with low volatility. The compartment of particles within the atmosphere and human respiratory system is partly determined by their physical properties such as size. A simple difference is that particles of less than about 2.5 μm can penetrate deep into the respiratory system towards alveoli and terminal bronchioles while larger particles with a size up to 10 μm deposit predominantly in the primary bronchi.² PM with aerodynamic size less than 2.5 μm ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$) is recognized as fine and one with particle size between 2.5 and 10 μm ($\text{PM}_{2.5-10}$) forms the coarse mode.

Various epidemiological studies have reported relationships between long-term exposure to $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ mass concentration and increased mortality in urban populations.³ World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that approximately 3 million people die globally each year due to exposure to ambient air pollution, implying that air pollution is one of the world's leading environmental problem.^{4,5} Evans et al. revealed that globally 9.4% of ischemic heart disease (IHD) is because of the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ exposure.⁶ Numerous studies have shown that there is increasing evidence of the impacts of PM on cardiovascular disease (CD) and respiratory disease (RD).⁷⁻¹⁰ Results by Pascal et al. confirmed that both $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{10-2.5}$ have short-term impact on mortality.¹¹

The chemical composition of PM also determines its toxicity. Especially, the metal content of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is exerting detrimental impacts on human health. Metallic component of PM is produced from a variety of sources including metallurgical processes and exhaust (from impurities of fuel additives) and non-exhaust emissions (from brake abrasion, spare parts of the automobiles).²

Metals play a role as possible mediators of PM prompted airway harm and inflammation through the Fenton reaction.¹²⁻¹⁴ Burnett et al. reported a strong association between Fe, Ni, Zn as well as sulfate within fine and Sc, Mn, Ni and Zn within coarse mode of

PM and short-term mortality.¹⁵ Moreover, Ostro et al. revealed correlation with Ca, Fe, Cu, Mn, Ti, Zn and sulfate, present in PM, and short-term mortality.¹⁶ Furthermore, it has been found out that the elevated ambient levels of Ni and V caused increased wheeze in two year old children.¹⁷ An association between short term impacts of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ on cardiovascular and respiratory hospitalizations and ambient levels of V, Ni, and elemental carbon (EC) was determined in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ samples.¹⁸ In addition, Hirshon et al. showed a correlation between the previous day $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ Zn levels and risk of pediatric asthma exacerbations.¹⁹

Furthermore, As, Ni, Cd and Cr are classified as Class I carcinogenic contaminants by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). People exposed to As upon inhalation are at an excess risk of lung cancer.²⁰ Airborne Cd mass concentration is associated with chronic renal failure.²¹ The IARC classify Pb as Class II(B) carcinogen, i.e., possible human carcinogen. Elevated parental Pb exposure leads to the risk of spontaneous abortion and reduced fetal growth.²² Zinc, Cu and Mn have been classified as non-carcinogenic contaminants. On the other hand, Shao et al. showed that Zn associated with $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ results in damage to DNA plasmid.²³

The gaseous atmospheric pollutants at elevated concentrations have significant adverse impacts on human health and environment. For example, sulfur dioxide (SO_2) can be converted to sulfuric acid in the atmosphere, which leads to the damage of lungs and various lung disorders including wheezing and shortness of breath.²⁴ Moreover, SO_2 results in eye irritation, asthma attacks, cardiopulmonary diseases and increase of mortality rates.²⁵ Kesic et al. also reported that SO_2 can cause amplification of viral infections.²⁶

Turkish Ministry of Environment and Urbanization has been operating an air quality monitoring station at the Bolu city center since 2006. Only, PM_{10} and SO_2 have been routinely monitored at this station. Unfortunately, there

is yet no literature on the status of air quality for the city in terms of these two pollutants. On the other hand, Öztürk and Keleş reported the chemical composition of PM in terms of metals and carbonaceous material for Bolu city center.²⁷

The objectives of this study are twofold: (1) To evaluate the long term and seasonal variation of two criteria pollutants (namely, PM₁₀ and SO₂) and their relation with the meteorological parameters. (2) To address the health risks associated with the heavy metal content of PM_{2.5-10} and PM_{2.5} samples, which were collected under very stable atmospheric conditions during winter in Bolu.

Method

Sample Collection and Analysis

A Gent-type stack filter unit (SFU) having polycarbonate (Nuclepore) filters in series were used to collect daily coarse (PM_{2.5-10}) and fine (PM_{2.5}) samples between 1 December 2014 and 6 February 2015 at the center of Bolu, Turkey (Figure 1). Details of collection and analysis of samples were provided in Öztürk and Keleş.²⁷ Briefly, filters were conditioned at constant temperature (20°C) and relative humidity (RH) (40%) before and after sampling. A microbalance (AND GH 202, Germany) with a sensitivity of 0.01 mg was used to measure the PM mass collected on the filters. Panalytical Axios Advance model wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (WDXRF) was employed to analyze the samples in terms of sixteen metals including As, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, V and Zn. Quality assurance / quality control (QA/QC) of the analysis was closely monitored and reported in Öztürk and Keleş.²⁷




Figure 1. Map of Bolu showing the main highways and sampling station

Meteorology

There is a ground-based meteorological station operated by the Turkish State Meteorological Service, which reports to the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, at the same site of the sampling point. The meteorological sensor was placed at 10 m above the ground level. Daily mean temperature, wind speed and direction and relative humidity values interpreted in this study were obtained from this station between 2006 and 2017.

Air Quality Data

PM₁₀ and SO₂ data were monitored continuously at the same site by the Ministry of Environment and Urbanization through the

Air Quality Monitoring Network. The validated daily data for these parameters between 2006 and 2017 were acquired from this network and evaluated herein.

Seasonal Kendall (SK) Test and Sen's Slope Estimation

In order to find the long-term changes in monitored air quality parameters (PM₁₀ and SO₂, in this case), the SK test and Sen's slope estimator were applied as non-parametric methods. The SK test is unaffected by the presence of cycles.²⁸ Moreover, it can be applied to data with missing values and ties, which is referred to as equal-valued data. A global trend is meaningful if the trends at all seasons are in the same direction- that is all upward or downward.

The Mann-Kendall statistic S for the data set ' x_i ' of length ' n ' is defined in Equation 1:

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n \text{sgn}(x_j - x_k) \tag{1}$$

Where;

$$\text{sgn}(x_j - x_k) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (x_j - x_k) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (x_j - x_k) = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } (x_j - x_k) < 0 \end{cases}$$

The normal test statistics Z can be calculated, thereafter, first estimating the $\text{var}(S)$ as it was given in Equation 2:

$$z = \begin{cases} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(s)}} & \text{if } S > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } S = 0 \\ \frac{S+1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(s)}} & \text{if } S < 0 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

A positive value of Z indicates an increasing trend while negative value reveals a

decreasing trend. Either an increasing or decreasing trend (two tailed test) can be

tested at the 0.05 level of α error, against the null hypothesis, H_0 , no trend. H_0 is rejected if the absolute value of Z is greater than the $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$, where $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$ is obtained from the standard tables. To determine the global trend, the seasonal trends should be homogenous. To test the homogeneity between the seasons, the

Homogeneity Test originally proposed by Van Belle and Hughes was used in this study.²⁹

Finally, the rate of change in the concentration of SO_2 and PM_{10} per time was determined with another non-parametric tool, namely, the Sen's slope estimator, developed by Sen.³⁰

In this method, slope estimates of "n" pairs of data are first computed by Equation 3:

$$Q_i = \frac{x_j - x_k}{j - k} \text{ for } i = 1 \dots \dots n \quad (3)$$

Where x_j and x_k are the data values at times j and k ($j > k$) respectively. Then the median of these n values of Q_i is taken as Sen's slope estimator. Afterwards, the median value of Q_i is tested by a two sided test at the 100 (1- α) % confidence interval and the true slope is obtained.³¹

activities and respiratory systems. The main routes of exposure to particulate bound metals were assumed to stem from: (1) dermal absorption of metals on skin (2) inhalation of particle bound metals via mouth and nose and (3) ingestion of deposited particle bound metals.^{32,33} The chemical daily intake (CDI) in accordance with the human health evaluation manual (Part A), dermal absorbed dose (DAD) based on the information provided in the supplementary guidance (Part E), and exposure concentration (EC) according to supplementary guidance for inhalation risk assessment (Part F) were calculated utilizing the following Equations (4), (5) and (6):^{32,34}

Calculation of Hazard Quotient (HQ) and Cancer Risk (CR)

In order to address this, the citizens living in the city were classified into the two sub-groups as children (0-15 year-old) and adults (>15 year-old) based on difference on their

$$DAD_{dermal} = \frac{CxSAxAFxABS}{BW} \times \frac{EFxED}{AT} \times CF \quad (4)$$

$$EC_{inh} = Cx \frac{ETxEFxED}{AT_n} \quad (5)$$

$$CDI_{ingest} = \frac{CxIngR}{BW} \times \frac{EFxED}{AT} \times CF \quad (6)$$

where “C” stands for the 95% upper confidence limit of the arithmetic mean of the particle bound metal concentrations and can be calculated by using the Equation (7):³²

In Equation (7), “X” stands for the arithmetic mean of the log-transformed data, “s” is the standard deviation of the log-transformed data, “H” is the H-statistic and “n” is the number of samples in the data set.²⁸ Total

concentration was used for inhalation and dermal exposure while the concentrations used for ingestion were calculated by using the extractable content of PM as proposed by Hu et al. in accordance with the simple bioaccessibility extraction test (SBET).³²

The definitions of the other terms and input parameters used in Equation (4), (5) and (6) are provided in Table 1.

$$C_{95\%} = \exp \left\{ X + 0.5xs^2 + \frac{sxH}{\sqrt{n-1}} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Table 1. Input parameters to characterize the risk assessment

Parameters ^a	Description	Unit	Value	
			Adult	Children
C	Metal Concentration in PM	µg/m ³		
IngR	Ingestion rate	mg/day	100	200
EF	Exposure frequency	day/year	180	180
ED	Exposure duration	years	24	6
ET	Exposure time	hours/day	24	24
SA	Skin area	cm ²	3300	2800
AF	Skin adherence factor	mg/cm ² /h	0.07	0.2
ABS	Dermal absorption factor		0.001	0.001
	• As		0.03	0.03
	• Others		0.01	0.01
CF	Conversion factor	kg/mg	0.000001	0.000001
BW	Average Body Weight	kg	70	15
AT	Averaging time	hours		
	• Carcinogens		70x365	70x365
	• Non-carcinogens		EDx365	EDx365
AT _n	Averaging time	hours		
	• Carcinogens		70x365x24	70x365x24
	• Non-carcinogens		EDx365x24	EDx365x24

All the values in Table 1 were provided in Hu et al. except for the skin adherence factor for adults, which was taken from Cheng et al.^{32,35} Although head and hands of the exposed population are naked during exposure, the total surface area of these parts of the body is only 10% of the total body surface area.³⁶ In the calculation of dermal exposure, it is assumed in this study that whole body surface area is exposed to PM related pollution.

The non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risks for inhabitants due to each particle bound metal were estimated using Equation (8) and (9).³²⁻³⁴ Hazard quotient (HQ) was used to

evaluate non-carcinogenic risks, while carcinogenic risk (CR) value was estimated to interpret detrimental risk for inhabitants exposed to airborne metals. Equation 10 was used to calculate Hazard Index (HI), which is equal to the sum of HQ for metals and exposure pathways of concern in this study. This summation assumes that the health effects of the various PM bound metals, to which a receptor is exposed, are additive.³⁷ Total Cancer Risk (TR), which is the sum of CR values for three exposure pathways considered in this study, calculated by using Equation 11.

$$HQ = \frac{CDI}{RfD_0} = \frac{DAD}{RfD_0 \times GIABS} = \frac{EC}{RfC_i \times 1000 \mu g \text{ } mg^{-1}} \quad (8)$$

$$CR = CDI \times SF_0 = DAD \times \left(\frac{SF_0}{GIABS} \right) = IUR \times EC \quad (9)$$

$$HI = \sum HQ_i \quad (10)$$

$$TR = \sum CR_i \quad (11)$$

Where RfD₀ stands for oral reference dose (mg/kg/day); RfC_i denotes inhalation reference concentrations (mg/m³); SF₀ is oral slope factor ((mg/kg/day)⁻¹); GIABS stands for gastrointestinal absorption factor; IUR is inhalation unit risk ((μg/m³)⁻¹). The RfD₀, RfC_i, SF₀, GIABS and IUR were obtained from US EPA website.³⁴ The related variables and assumptions used for the calculation of HQ and CR are summarized in Table 2. The approach of using SF₀ is based on two assumptions: (1) There are no exposures that

have no risk, which implies that even a very low exposure to a cancer leading pollutant increase cancer risk, (2) There is a linear relationship between dose of pollutant and response.³⁸ The HQ was calculated for V, Mn (diet), Fe, Cu, Zn (metallic), As (inorganic) and Pb (acetate) while CR was estimated only for As (inorganic) and Pb (acetate) since SF₀ and IUR data was just provided for these parameters in.³⁹ Lead (II) acetate is highly soluble salt of Pb in water and hence, it is more readily bio-accessible form of Pb, which explains why this form of Pb is suggested to use in the human exposure studies.⁴⁰

Table 2. Variables and assumptions used for calculation of HQ and CR

Parameter ^a	RfD _o	RfC _i	GIABS	SF ₀	IUR	SBET extractable content
	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/m ³)		(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(µg/m ³) ⁻¹	(%)
V	0.005	0.0001	0.026			11.2
Mn (Diet)	0.14	0.00005	1			47.6
Fe	0.7	1	1			3.88
Cu	0.04		1			29.8
Zn (Metallic)	0.3		1			60.1
As (Inorganic)	0.0003	0.000015	1	1.5	0.0043	38.8
Pb (acetate)	0.0035		1	0.28	0.00008	47

HI above unity or below unity indicates the important or non important risk of non-carcinogenic impacts, respectively.^{32,33} The acceptable limit for CR, defined as the probability of an individual developing any sort of cancer from lifetime exposure to carcinogenic hazards suffering from any type of, is assumed to range from 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-4} for regulatory purposes.³⁴

Results

Data Description

Descriptive statistics for air quality parameters (PM₁₀ and SO₂) and

meteorological parameters including temperature and relative humidity (RH) between 2006 and 2017 for the sampling location are provided in Table 3. As it can be seen from Table 3 that the average SO₂ concentration was measured at 43.1 ± 68.6 µg/m³ while that for PM₁₀ was 79.9 ± 76.0 µg/m³ for the period. The RH was noticed to vary from 31.9 to 99.5 % with a mean value of 74% between 2006 and 2017. The mean wind speed was measured as 1.39 m/s while its minimum and maximum were observed at 0.4 and 3.6 m/s, respectively. The dominant wind direction was 186.3° at the sampling site between 2006 and 2017.

Table 3. Summary statistics of pollutants and meteorological parameters for Bolu city center between 2006 and 2017

Variable	Mean	SD	Median	25 th Percentile	75 th Percentile	Min	Max
SO ₂ (µg/m ³)	43.1	68.6	14	6	46	1	496
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	79.9	76.0	55	36	93	2	650
Temperature (°C)	11.4	7.8	11.7	5.1	18.2	-8.7	27.2
Relative Humidity (%)	74.0	11.5	74.3	65.9	82.4	31.9	99.5
Wind Speed (m/s)	1.38	0.01	1.4	1.1	1.6	0.4	3.6
Wind Direction (Degree)	186.3	1.1	195	148	234	1	360

Pollution Roses

Wind speed and direction in relation to urban air quality have been studied for a variety of atmospheric pollutants in the literature.⁴¹ The increased dispersion in the atmosphere is strongly correlated with the higher wind speeds leading to a drop in pollutant levels and wind direction has local but significant impact on air pollution.^{42,43} The variation of PM₁₀ and SO₂ with respect to wind speed and direction in this study was also evaluated and illustrated

in Figure 2. The concentration data for these two pollutants was color-coded. It is clear from Figure 2 that both SO₂ and PM₁₀ data > 200 µg/m³ were observed at wind speeds < 2 m/s reflecting poor atmospheric dispersion conditions. On the other hand, there is no dominant wind direction affecting the measured concentrations at the site, which could be attributed both to the topography of the city and residential heating as the main source of pollution.




Figure 2. Variation of SO₂ (upper panel) and PM₁₀ (lower panel) with respect to wind direction and speed

Temporal Variation of Air Quality and Meteorological Parameters

Temporal variation of the air quality and meteorological parameters were also assessed in this study and results were depicted in Figure 3 between 2006 and 2017 for Bolu city center. Thirty-day smoothed means were also calculated for air quality and meteorological parameters and shown with the red line on Figure 3.

Figure 4 depicts the annual variations of PM₁₀ and SO₂ between 2006 and 2017 as box-whisker plots. SK test was also performed to find whether there was a statistically significant decrease in the measured concentrations of these parameters and to calculate the magnitude of this trend if it exists. For both of the parameters, statistically significant decreasing trend was detected at a 5% significance level.




Figure 3. Long term variation of meteorological and air quality parameters (red line shows the smoothed median for each parameter)




Figure 4. Annual variations of SO₂ (upper panel) and PM₁₀ (lower panel) between 2006 and 2017

Monthly variation of SO₂, PM₁₀ and temperature data was depicted in Figure 5. The mean and one standard deviation for each parameter were illustrated in Figure 5. The monthly mean RH data was around 80% for all months and showed little variation among the months. For this reason, it was not displayed in Figure 5. The highest temperature was recorded in August as 21.4±2.3°C while the

lowest value for this parameter was measured in January as 1.2±4.3°C. Maximum and minimum monthly mean concentrations for SO₂ was observed in January and August as 106±119 and 5.5±4.4 µg/m³, respectively. Similar to SO₂, PM₁₀ also showed very pronounced monthly variation as depicted in Figure 5.




Figure 5. Monthly variations of temperature and air quality parameters

Exposure Risk Assessment

As stated previously, one of the major objectives of this study was to explore the impacts of metals determined in fine and coarse fraction of PM on human health during wintertime, when the levels of air pollutants elevated due to stable atmospheric conditions. The non-carcinogenic risks from metals (V, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, As and Pb) were calculated and

listed in Table 4. Table 4 shows not only HQ values calculated for As, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, V and Zn measured in the coarse and fine PM fractions for adults and children, but also the calculated HI values presented in bold and italics.

The CR values due to As and Pb measured in PM_{2.5-10} and PM_{2.5} are listed in Table 5 for children and adults.

Table 4. Non-carcinogenic risk for children and adults exposed to PM_{2.5-10} and PM_{2.5}

PM_{2.5-10} Hazard Quotient (HQ) Risk								
	Children				Adult			
	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum
V	8.60E-04	1.98E-01	6.56E-03	2.06E-01	9.21E-05	1.75E-02	6.56E-03	2.42E-02
Mn	5.12E-04	7.23E-04	3.04E-02	3.16E-02	5.49E-05	6.39E-05	3.04E-02	3.05E-02
Fe	2.04E-05	3.53E-04	6.47E-06	3.80E-04	2.18E-06	3.12E-05	6.47E-06	3.99E-05
Cu	5.73E-04	1.29E-03		1.87E-03	6.14E-05	1.14E-04		1.76E-04
Zn	2.60E-04	2.91E-04		5.52E-04	2.79E-05	2.57E-05		5.37E-05
As	4.54E-02	2.36E-01	3.31E-02	3.14E-01	4.86E-03	2.08E-02	3.31E-02	5.88E-02
Pb	1.75E-02	2.50E-02		4.25E-02	1.87E-03	2.21E-03		4.08E-03
Sum	6.51E-02	4.62E-01	7.01E-02	5.97E-01	6.97E-03	4.08E-02	7.01E-02	1.18E-01
PM_{2.5} Hazard Quotient (HQ) Risk								
	Children				Adult			
	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum
V	1.08E-03	2.49E-01	4.68E-03	2.55E-01	1.16E-04	2.21E-02	4.68E-03	2.68E-02
Mn	4.71E-04	6.65E-04	3.23E-02	3.34E-02	5.05E-05	5.88E-05	3.23E-02	3.24E-02
Fe	1.97E-05	3.40E-04	5.34E-06	3.65E-04	2.11E-06	3.01E-05	5.34E-06	3.75E-05
Cu	8.26E-04	1.86E-03		2.69E-03	8.85E-05	1.65E-04		2.53E-04
Zn	2.93E-04	3.27E-04		6.20E-04	3.14E-05	2.89E-05		6.03E-05
As	3.85E-02	2.00E-01	3.33E-02	2.72E-01	4.12E-03	1.77E-02	3.33E-02	5.50E-02
Pb	1.69E-02	2.41E-02		4.10E-02	1.81E-03	2.13E-03		3.94E-03
Sum	5.80E-02	4.77E-01	7.02E-02	6.05E-01	6.22E-03	4.21E-02	7.02E-02	1.19E-01

Table 5 Carcinogenic risk for children and adults exposed to PM_{2.5-10} and PM_{2.5}

PM_{2.5-10} Carcinogenic Risk (CR)								
	Children				Adult			
	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum
As	1.75E-06	9.09E-06	1.83E-07	1.10E-05	7.50E-07	3.21E-06	7.32E-07	4.70E-06
Pb	1.47E-06	2.10E-06	1.22E-08	3.58E-06	6.30E-07	7.43E-07	4.88E-08	1.42E-06
Sum	3.22E-06	1.12E-05	1.95E-07	1.46E-05	1.38E-06	3.96E-06	7.81E-07	6.12E-06
PM_{2.5} Carcinogenic Risk (CR)								
	Children				Adult			
	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum	Ingestion	Dermal	Inhalation	Sum
As	1.48E-06	7.71E-06	1.84E-07	9.37E-06	6.36E-07	2.72E-06	7.36E-07	4.09599E-06
Pb	1.42E-06	2.03E-06	1.14E-08	3.45E-06	6.07E-07	7.16E-07	4.57E-08	1.36901E-06
Sum	2.90E-06	9.73E-06	1.95E-07	1.28E-05	1.24E-06	3.44E-06	7.81E-07	5.465E-06

Discussion

The long term and seasonal variation of criteria pollutants measured in Bolu by the Turkish Ministry of Environment and Urbanization were assessed in this study. Moreover, the health impacts of metals bound to coarse and fine fraction of PM were evaluated.

Once the measured daily average PM₁₀ values were compared with the Turkish Air Quality Evaluation and Management Regulation⁴⁴, it was found that about 57% of time, the threshold value (50 µg/m³) set by the regulation was exceeded. Annual mean PM₁₀ concentration data was also observed to exceed 40 µg/m³ limit value for all years except for 2015, 2016 and 2017. The daily threshold value for SO₂ (125 µg/m³, which should not be exceeded more than three times in a year) was also exceeded about 10% of the time. Prior to 2008, the levels for the ambient air quality parameters were regulated in accordance with the previous version of the regulation, which had been in effect since 1986. The long-term limit values both for SO₂ and PM₁₀ were set to 150 µg/m³ while the short-term limit value for SO₂ and PM₁₀ were 400 and 300 µg/m³, respectively.⁴⁵ The monitored levels for PM₁₀ and SO₂ was exceeded the short-term threshold values about 13% and 7% of the time, respectively, between 2006 and 2007 according to previous version of the regulation.

In Turkey, the majority of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) is released to the ambient atmosphere as a result of sulfur containing fuel combustion while industrial processes also emit SO₂ to atmosphere to a lesser extent.⁴⁶ There is a pronounced decrease in SO₂ concentrations from 2006 to 2017 except for 2015. The reason for this sudden increase in SO₂ levels is not clear. One of the major sources that release considerable amount of SO₂ to the atmosphere is coal combustion for domestic heating. Though natural gas has been used in the city since 2014, coal combustion is still widespread. Once annual minimum temperature values recorded for the city center was compared during this period, it was seen that corresponding values were close in 2006 (-8 °C), 2012 (-8.6 °C), 2013 (-7.9 °C), 2015 (-8.1 °C), 2016 (-8.3 °C)

and 2017 (-8.7 °C). Therefore, low temperature values could not alone elucidate the observed trend for SO₂ and should be investigated further. In contrast to SO₂, there was no obvious decreasing pattern for PM₁₀ levels for Bolu except for 2017.

The associated levels for SO₂ and PM₁₀ elevated during winter as temperatures dropped and RH increased whilst pattern in the observed profiles of these pollutants reversed during summer, which reveals the impact of meteorology on the measured concentrations of atmospheric pollutants. Increased use of coal for domestic heating during winter season leads to rise in the levels of these pollutants. The highest PM₁₀ concentration, 156±106 µg/m³, was recorded in January while the lowest one was observed in July as 27.2±5.6 µg/m³. The pattern observed in SO₂ and PM₁₀ data reveals that one of the major factors determining the atmospheric levels of pollutants is temperature, which is well published in the literature.⁴⁷ Once the temperature declines during winter (October-March), emissions associated with the coal and biomass combustion for residential heating increase, which partially explains the observed seasonality in the data. Lower atmospheric mixing depth is another reason of the elevated ambient concentrations of pollutants during winter season. Stagnant atmospheric conditions during winter lead to accumulation of atmospheric pollutants while increase of mixing height in summer season results in the dilution of these parameters. Öztürk and Keleş also found elevated concentrations of carbonaceous aerosols at the same site during winter and associated levels were significantly higher than the cited wintertime studies.²⁷ Overall it can be concluded that seasonal variation of the pollutants was determined partly by meteorological factors and partly by the heating habits of the residents within the city.

The rate of decrease in SO₂ levels was estimated as 1.95 µg/m³/year between 2006 and 2017. Moreover, PM₁₀ concentration dropped with a rate of 2.93 µg/m³/year during this period. Özcan studied the trends of some of the air quality parameters including SO₂, NO, NO₂, NO_x and CO in the ambient air of Istanbul between 2002 and

2010.⁴⁸ The researcher found that there was a declining trend in SO₂ concentrations for the study period and explained this pattern with the restrictions on the use of high sulfur coal and adoption of natural gas in this mega-city. Ozden et al. assessed the ambient air quality in Eskisehir (Turkey) between 1992 and 2001 in terms of SO₂ and PM. SO₂ levels were dropped from 200 µg/m³ (1992) to 50 µg/m³ (2001) in Eskisehir while PM data stayed around 50 µg/m³ between 1992 and 2001.⁴⁹ The researchers attributed the decrease of SO₂ to adoption of natural gas in the city starting from 1996. Doğruparmak and Özbay evaluated the long-term variation of atmospheric pollutants including SO₂ and PM₁₀ in Kocaeli (Turkey) between 1987 and 2009.⁵⁰ A significant decline in SO₂ and PM₁₀ levels was detected for this study after 1995. This pattern observed in these pollutants was explained by adoption of strict mitigations performed on the usage of smuggled coal in the region.

Wintertime chemical composition of coarse and fine PM was determined in terms of metals in order to evaluate the health risks of citizens under very stable meteorological conditions. The highest HQ was determined for As among the seven metals. The HI values for exposure through dermal contact were 0.462 and 0.041 for children and adults, respectively. These values suggest that children were more prone to non-carcinogenic risks associated with adhesion of metals on the skin. The non-carcinogenic risks associated with the ingestion of particle bound metals in coarse mode were about nine times greater for children than for adults. Exposure to the coarse PM fraction of through inhalation resulted in the same magnitude of the non-carcinogenic risks for both children and adults. The cumulative non-carcinogenic risks due to exposure to the seven metals as determined in PM_{2.5-10} were not of a major concern for children and adults since the calculated HI values (0.597 and 0.118 for children and adults, respectively) were below unity.

Among the measured metals, V and As in the fine particle mode were the major air pollutants due to their relatively higher HI values for children while Mn in this mode had similar HI value in addition to these two

metals for adults. As with the coarse fraction, exposure through inhalation resulted in the same magnitude of the non-carcinogenic risks for both children and adults. The contribution of the non-carcinogenic risks associated with exposure through ingestion to the cumulative risk was about nine times higher for children than for adults, which is due to differences in the ingestion rate assumed for the two population groups. The HI values for exposure through dermal contact were estimated at 0.477 and 0.042 for children and adults, respectively. These values implied that children were more susceptible to the non-carcinogenic risks due to the adhesion of metals on the skin. The cumulative non-carcinogenic risks due to exposure of the seven metals in PM_{2.5} were not of a major concern for children and adults since their HI values (0.605 and 0.119 for children and adults, respectively) were below unity.

The comparison of the cumulative HI values for the coarse and fine particle modes showed that the values of the fine mode were slightly higher than those of the coarse mode for both children and adults. The coarse to fine ratio for the metals was found as 0.88, 1.00, 1.03, 1.16, 1.46, 1.81, and 2.54 for Mn, As, Zn, Pb, Cu, Fe and V, respectively.²⁷ Since there is no significant difference in the concentration data used for the performed modelling and log-transformed concentration values were used herein, the estimated HI values were not considerably different. Hu et al. also reported that exposure via ingestion of airborne metals may lead to potential non-carcinogenic risks to children, while no risk was detected for adults from this exposure route.³² Kurt-Karakuş performed a risk assessment using indoor dust data and found that children were nearly two times more susceptible to non-carcinogenic risks than adults due to exposure via a combination of the three routes which was in contrast to our findings.³³

The CR values of As and Pb both in PM_{2.5-10} and PM_{2.5} via the combination of dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation were within the acceptable risk tolerable limit ($< 1 \times 10^{-4}$) for both children and adults. When the CR values were compared for children and adults, adults appeared to be more prone to the carcinogenic risks than children. CR

values associated with the coarse particle mode were relatively higher than the ones associated with the fine particle mode since slightly higher As and Pb concentrations were detected in PM_{2.5-10} in this study. The coarse to fine ratio for the As and Pb was found as 1.00 and 1.16, respectively, by Öztürk and Keleş, which is consistent with the findings of Balcılar et al.^{27,51} Researchers reported considerably higher concentrations of Pb and As for the coarse mode of the samples, which were collected in the Eastern Black Sea region of Turkey.⁵¹

It is also worth mentioning here that the exposure duration of the adults was assumed as 24 years in this study. However, residents may spend their whole life (60 to 70 years) in the city, which leads to 2 to 3 times higher cancer risk for them.

Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Turkish State Meteorological Service and Turkish Ministry of Environment and Urbanization for providing the meteorological and air quality data, respectively, interpreted in this study.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial Support

Authors have not received any financial support to conduct this study.

Ethics Committee Approval

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References

1. Kim KH, Lee SB, Woo D, Bae GN. Influence of wind direction and speed on the transport of particle-bound PAHs on a roadway environment. *Atmos Pollut Res* 2015; 6: 1024-1034.

2. Kelly FJ, Fussell JC. Size, source and chemical composition as determinants of toxicity attributable to ambient particulate matter. *Atmos Environ* 2012; 60: 504-526.
3. Thurston GD, Burnett RT, Turner MC. et al. Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality and Long-Term Exposure to Source-Related Components of U.S. Fine Particle Air Pollution. *Environ Health Perspect* 2016; 124(6): 785-794.
4. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G. et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; 380:2224-2260.
5. World Health Organization (WHO), 2014. 7 Million Premature Deaths Annually Linked to Air Pollution. Available at: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en>. Accessed June 15, 2015.
6. Evans J, van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Burnett R, Rainham DG, Birkett NJ, Krewski D. Estimates of global mortality attributable to particulate air pollution using satellite imagery. *Environ Res* 2013; 120:33-42.
7. Samoli E, Analitis A, Touloumi G. et al. Estimating the exposure-response relationships between particulate matter and mortality within the APHEA multicity project. *Environ Health Perspect* 2005; 113 (1): 88-95.
8. Krewski D, Jerrett M, Burnett RT. et al. Extended follow up and spatial analysis of the American Cancer Society study linking particulate air pollution and mortality. *Res Rep Health Eff Inst* 2009; 140:5-114 (discussion 115-36).
9. Kloog I, Nordio F, Zanobetti A, Brent AC, Petros K, Joel DS. Short-term effects of particulate exposure on hospital admissions in the Mid-

- Atlantic States: a population estimate. *PLOS ONE* 2014; 9(2): e88578.
10. Lu F, Xu D, Cheng Y. et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the adverse health effects of ambient PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ pollution in the Chinese population. *Environ Res* 2015; 136: 196-204.
 11. Pascal M, Falq G, Wagner V. et al. Short-term impacts of particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{10-2.5}, PM_{2.5}) on mortality in nine French cities. *Atmos Environ* 2014; 95: 175-184.
 12. Diociaiuti M, Balduzzi M, De Berardis B, Cattani G, Stacchini G, Ziemacki G. The two PM_{2.5} (fine) and PM_{2.5-10} (coarse) fractions: evidence of different biological activity. *Environ Res* 2001; 86: 254-262.
 13. Gonzalez-Flecha B. Oxidant mechanisms in response to ambient particles. *Mol Aspects Med* 2004; 25:169-182.
 14. Jimenez LA, Thompson J, Brown DA, Rahman I, Antonicell F, Duffin R. Activation of NF- κ B by PM₁₀ occurs via an iron mediated mechanism in the absence of I κ B degradation. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2000; 166: 101-110.
 15. Burnett RT, Brook J, Dann T. et al. Association between particulate and gas phase components of urban air pollution and daily mortality in eight Canadian cities. *Inha Toxicol* 2000; 12 (Suppl.4): 15-39.
 16. Ostro B, Feng WY, Broadwin R, Green S, Lipsett M. The effects of components of fine particulate air pollution on mortality in California: results from CALFINE. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007; 115:13-19.
 17. Patel MM, Hoepner L, Garfinkel R. et al. Ambient metals, elemental carbon, and wheeze and cough in New York City children through 24 months of age. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 180:1107-1113.
 18. Bell ML, Ebisu K, Peng RD, Samet JM, Dominici F. Hospital admissions and chemical composition of fine particle air pollution. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 179: 1115-1120.
 19. Hirshon JM, Shardell M, Alles S. et al. Elevated ambient air zinc increases pediatric asthma morbidity. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008; 116: 826-831.
 20. Järup, L. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *Br Med. Bull.* 2003; 68(1):167-182.
 21. Hellström L, Elinder CG, Dahlberg B. et al. Cadmium exposure and end-stage renal disease. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2001; 38:10011-11008.
 22. Bellinger DC. Teratogen update: Lead and pregnancy. *Birth Defect.Res.Part A Clin Mol Teratol.* 2005; 73: 409-420.
 23. Shao L, Shi Z, Jones TP, Li J, Whittaker AG, BeruBe KA. Bioreactivity of particulate matter in Beijing air: Results from plasmid DNA assay. *Sci Total Environ.* 2006; 367:261-272.
 24. Pandey JS, Kumar R, Devotta S. Health risks of NO₂, SPM and SO₂ in Delhi (India). *Atmos Environ* 2005; 39: 6868-6874.
 25. Khaniabadi YO, Polosa R, Chuturkova RZ. et al. Human health risk assessment due to ambient PM₁₀ and SO₂ by an air quality modeling technique. *Process Safety and Environ Protection* 2017; 111: 346-354.
 26. Kesic MJ, Meyer M, Bauer R, Jaspers I. Exposure to Ozone Modulates Human Airway Protease/Antiprotease Balance Contributing to Increased Influenza A Infection. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7(4): e35108.
 27. Öztürk F, Keleş M. Wintertime chemical compositions of coarse and fine fractions of particulate matter in Bolu, Turkey. *Environ Sci Pollut R* 2016; 23(14): 14157-14172.
 28. Gilbert RO. *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring.* John Wiley & Sons Inc., ISBN 0-471-28878-0, 1987.
 29. Van Belle G, Hughes JP. Non-parametric tests for trend in water

- quality. *Water Resour Res* 1984; 20: 127-136.
30. Sen, PK. Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc* 1968; 63: 1379-1389.
 31. Partal T, Kahya E. Trend analysis in Turkish precipitation data. *Hydrol Process* 2006; 20: 2011-2026.
 32. Hu X, Zhang Y, Ding Z. et al. Bioaccessibility and health risk of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP and PM_{2.5} in Nanjing, China. *Atmos Environ* 2012; 57: 146-152.
 33. Kurt-Karakus PB. Determination of heavy metals in indoor dust from Istanbul, Turkey: Estimation of the health risk. *Environ Int* 2012; 50: 47-55.
 34. US EPA. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. In: Part A: Human Health Evaluation Manual; Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment; Part F, Supplemental Guidance for Inhalation Risk Assessment. 2011. Available at: vol.I.http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/humal_health_exposure.htm. Accessed August 11, 2015.
 35. Cheng Z, Chen LJ, Li H. et al. Characteristics and health risk assessment of heavy metals exposure via household dust from urban area in Chengdu, China. *Sci Total Environ* 2018; 619-620: 621-629.
 36. US EPA Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications. EPA/600/8-91/011B, 1992.
 37. US EPA. Human Health Risk Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities, EPA530-R-05-006, September 2005.
 38. US EPA. Risk Assessment for Toxic Air Pollutants: A Citizen's Guide, EPA 450/3-90-024, March 1991.
 39. US EPA Regional Screening Levels Summary Table. 2011. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/region9/superfund/prg/>. Accessed August 11, 2015.
 40. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Lead and lead compounds. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans, Some Metals and Metallic Compounds. IARC, Lyon, France, 1980; 23: 325-415.
 41. Jones AM, Harrison RM, Baker J. The wind speed dependence of the concentrations of airborne particulate matter and NO_x. *Atmos Environ* 2010; 44(13): 1682-1690.
 42. Akyüz M, Çabuk H. Meteorological variations of PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ concentrations and particle associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the atmospheric environment of Zonguldak, Turkey. *J Hazard Mater* 2009; 170: 13-21.
 43. Pateraki S, Asimakopoulos DN, Flocas HA, Maggo T, Vasilakos C. The role of meteorology on different sized fractions (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, PM_{2.5-10}). *Sci Total Environ* 2012: 419, 124-135.
 44. Turkish Air Quality Evaluation and Management Regulation (2008). Available at: <http://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/Metin.Asp?MevzuatKod=7.5.12188&MevzuatIliski=0&sourceXmlSearch>. Accessed May 23, 2018.
 45. The Turkish Air Quality Control Regulation (1986). Available at: www.ibb.gov.tr/tr-TR/kurumsal/.../havakaliteyonetmelik.doc. Accessed December 1, 2018.
 46. Taşdemir Y. Winter season SO₂ measurements in Bursa and Comparison with Rural and Urban Area Values. *Turkish J Eng Env Sci* 2001; 25: 279-287.
 47. Zyromski A, Biniak-Pierog M, Burszta-Adamiak E, Zamiar Z. Evaluation of relationship between air pollutant concentration and meteorological elements in winter months. *J Water Land Dev* 2014; 22: 25-32.

48. Özcan HK. Long Term Variations of the Atmospheric Air Pollutants in Istanbul City. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2012; 9: 781-790.
49. Ozden O, Dogeroglu T, Kara S. Assessment of Ambient Air Quality in Eskisehir, Turkey. *Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Air Quality Management at Urban, Regional and Global Scales; 2005 26-30 September; Istanbul, Turkey. 2005. p.200-209.*
50. Doğruparmak SÇ, Özbay B. Investigating Correlations and Variations of Air Pollutant Concentrations under Conditions of Rapid Industrialization-Kocaeli (1987-2009). *Clean-Soil, Air, Water* 2011; 39(7); 597-604.
51. Balcılar I, Zarasız A, Kalaycı Y, Doğan G, Tuncel G. Chemical composition of Eastern Black Sea aerosol- Preliminary results. *Sci Total Environ* 2014; 488-489: 422-428.