

# ARAŞTIRMA / RESEARCH

# Attitudes of couples towards withdrawal method in birth control

Çiftlerin doğum kontrolünde çekilme yöntemine yönelik tutumları

Rukiye Türk<sup>1</sup>, Füsun Terzioğlu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kafkas University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Women Healthy, Kars, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Atılım University, Faculty of Health Science, Department of Nursing, Ankara, Turkey.

Cukurova Medical Journal 2019;44(3):794-803.

#### Abstract

**Purpose:** The aim of this study was to determine the attitudes of couples towards withdrawal method.

**Materials and Methods:** 245 couples (490 individuals) between the ages 15-49 who use the withdrawal method took part in the study. Data was collected using a questionnaire and the Withdrawal Method Attitude Scale were used for data collection..

Results: The study shows that average scores and positive attitudes towards the withdrawal method was higher in women than men, higher in people with lower levels of education than high levels of education. The differences in all cases were statistically significant. Women also recorded higher scores and more positive attitudes than men when it came to the five sub dimensions of the withdrawal method attitude scale and the differences between them were statically significant.

**Conclusion:** This study provides important information for health professionals, due to the positive attitudes of couples towards the withdrawal method. It is recommended that the withdrawal method should be integrated into the education and consultancy services provided in the field of family planning.

**Keywords:** withdrawal method, family planning, couples, attitude

#### Öz

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı çiftlerin geri çekme yöntemine ilişkin tutumlarının belirlenmesidir.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Çalışmanın örneklemini geri çekme yöntemini kullanan 15-49 yaş aralığında olan 245 çift (490 birey) oluşturmuştur. Verilerin toplanmasında anket formu ve Geri Çekme Yöntemi Tutum Ölçeği kullanılmıştır..

Bulgular: Araştırmada, kadınların ölçek puan ortalaması erkeklerden, eğitim düzeyi düşük olan çiftlerin puan ortalaması, eğitim düzeyi yüksek olan çiftlerden daha yüksek ve yönteme ilişkin tutumlarının daha olumlu olduğu ve aralarındaki fark istatistiksel olarak önemli bulunmuştur. Geri çekme yöntemi tutum ölçeğinin beş alt boyutuna ilişkin kadınların puan ortalamasının, erkeklerden daha yüksek ve yönteme ilişkin tutumlarının daha olumlu olduğu ve aralarındaki farkın istatistiksel olarak önemli olduğu belirlenmiştir.

Sonuç: Bu çalışmada, çiftlerin geri çekme yöntemine ilişkin tutumlarının olumlu olması nedeniyle sağlık personeline önemli bilgiler sağlamaktadır. Geri çekme yönteminin aile planlaması alanında verilen eğitim ve danışmanlık hizmetlerinin içerisine entegre edilmesi önerilmektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Geri çekme yöntemi, aile planlaması, çiftler, tutum

### INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that one in 10 families worldwide use traditional family planning methods and that the most widely used traditional method is the withdrawal method (3.1%). Use of the withdrawal method is most common in western Asia (14.5%) and southern Europe (14.4%)<sup>1</sup>. In Turkey the withdrawal method is the most widely used family planning

method among couples (26.2%), despite the fact that it can lead to unwanted pregnancies<sup>2</sup> of the 210 million pregnancies that occur worldwide each year, approximately 75 million are unwanted or planned and 46 million end in abortion<sup>3</sup>. Studies show that 40% of women say that the last method they used before abortion was the withdrawal method<sup>4,5</sup>. One in three women didn't use any family planning after an abortion<sup>2,4</sup> and approximately one in four continued to use the withdrawal method<sup>2,6</sup>. The main

Yazışma Adresi/Address for Correspondence: Dr. Rukiye Türk, Kafkas University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Women Healthy, Kars, Turkey E-mail:rukiyet@yahoo.com.tr

Geliş tarihi/Received: 17.07.2018 Kabul tarihi/Accepted: 04.01.2019 Çevrimiçi yayın/Published online: 05.09.2019

reason for using the withdrawal method is because of worries about the side effects of modern contraception<sup>2,5-7</sup>. In countries such as Turkey (26.2%)<sup>2</sup> and in Romania (25.5%), Bulgaria (19.6%) and Mauritius (26.4%)<sup>1</sup>. Despite the fact that the risk of pregnancy is higher with the withdrawal method than with any other method of contraception, it appears to be a more culturally acceptable method in some societies as it has been used for a long time.

There are many cultural factors affecting the use of the withdrawal method<sup>8</sup>. One of these factors is gender. Men are often the decision makers when it comes to fertility matters<sup>9</sup> and women believe that men should make the decision about whether they usually use the withdrawal method<sup>10</sup>. The fact that is increases male pride also makes it an acceptable method for men<sup>11</sup> because it is seen as a method that can be used by men with good sexual control. Other factors affecting the use of withdrawal method are ethnicity<sup>8</sup> and religion<sup>12,13</sup>. For example, religious beliefs influence use of the method in Muslim countries<sup>14</sup>. However, it is also widely used in countries with dominant Christian and Jewish populations<sup>8</sup>.

The feelings, beliefs, thoughts and tendencies of users and health professionals could influence their negative or positive views on the withdrawal method<sup>8,15</sup>. For this reason, the values that societies have regarding family planning affects whether individuals the withdrawal method<sup>16-18</sup>. It is important to understand the views of both genders towards the withdrawal method in developing countries in order to improve family planning<sup>19</sup>. It is particularly important to understand the views of women who cannot access health services without the permission of their male partner.

There are many research studies that aim to improve family planning services, but few that measure attitudes to contraception<sup>8,20,21</sup>. This lack of knowledge about people's attitudes is very important obstacle at it can stop couples from fully benefiting from the family planning services offered by health professionals. It is also clear that greater knowledge of people's attitudes towards use of the withdrawal method by both researchers and healthcare professionals could lead to improved family planning services.

The aim of this study was **to** explore couple's attitudes to the withdrawal method of birth control as a cross-sectional field work.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This research were granted ethics approval from Hacettepe University Board of Ethics Committee. The couples who took part in the research were provided with information on the purpose of the research and provided their written consent before the data was collected (Project number: 011D04401001). The study included 2,449 couples aged between 15-49 living in a city in the northeast region of Turkey<sup>22</sup>, including 245 couples (490 people) who use the withdrawal method<sup>23</sup>. The data were collected with the use of a half constructed questionnaire prepared by examining the related literature<sup>8,14,24-43</sup>.

Surveyors were used to collect data. Nine, third and final year students (four female and five male) were chosen from the city university health related, health professionals department and were trained using a surveyor handbook prepared by the researchers. The training included basic knowledge of family planning, the research objectives, the survey and scale being used in the study and subjects that should be approached carefully. Before they carried out any interviews with the study subjects, the students completed four to five trial interviews using the questionnaire.

## Data collection

In addition the questionnaire was tested out on 25 couples (50 people) before the main study to ensure that it was easy to use and understand. This data did not form part of the main study and these couples did not take part in the main research. The surveyors employed the questionnaire and Withdrawal Method Attitude Scale to collect data from 245 couples (490 people) living in the north east region of Turkey who used the withdrawal method of contraception.

Twenty-five couples decided they did not want to take part in the study and 14 interviews were excluded because the data collection was incomplete or wrong. The data was collected between 25 July 2011 and 30 October 2011. The couples, who were chosen by the simple coincidental sampling selecting method, were contacted by phone and appointments made to interview them at home. During the visits the couples were interviewed in different rooms, women participants by female surveyors and men participants by male surveyors. The questionnaires were filled out during face-to-face interviews. The Withdrawal Method Attitude Scale was given to the

couples in closed envelopes and were collected in closed envelopes after they had been filled out. Data collection took approximately 45-60 minutes per couple.

### Statistical analysis

Data was evaluated using SPSS for Windows 11.0. The socio-economic aspects of the couples - marriage history, pregnancy, birth and family planning history - were independent variables while WMAS points were dependent variables. For the statistical tests significance level p<0.05 value was accepted.

The 36 WMAS answers were evaluated using the total points given for each item. The items in the scale were evaluated using a five-choice Likert-type scale: "I absolutely don't agree", "I don't agree", "I am

indecisive", "I agree" and "I totally agree". The couple's positive attitude regarding the withdrawal method ranged from five points if they totally agreed to one if they absolutely did not agree. The couple's negative attitude regarding the withdrawal method ranged from one point if they totally agreed to five points if they absolutely did not agree<sup>44</sup>.

Five sub dimensions of the scale were evaluated: reliability (five items), the nature of the sexual activity (eleven items), usability (ten items), effect on the health (five items) and religious or social influences (five items). In addition, the couples were evaluated as negative, partially positive or positive depending on their responses to the WMAS questions and the distribution of the scale according to the point gap distribution (Table 1).

Table 1. Couples' WMAS and sub dimensions minimum, maximum points and distribution according to the point intervals

	Minimum	Maximum	WMAS Negative	WMAS Partially	WMAS Positive
				Positive	
WMAS	36	180	36.00-93.59	93.60-122.39	122.4-180.00
Reliability	5	25	5.00-12.99	13.00-16.99	17.00-25.00
Nature of the Sexual Activity	11	55	11.00-28.59	28.60-37.39	37.40-55.00
Usability	10	50	10.00-25.99	26.00-33.99	34.00-50.00
Effect on Health	5	25	5.00-12.99	13.00-16.99	17.00-25.00
Religious and Social Aspects	5	25	5.00-12.99	13.00-16.99	17.00-25.00

The Shapiro test, independent t-test, one directional variance analysis (ANOVA) and LSD (Least Significant Difference) tests were used to analyse the percentages, mean and standard deviation.

# Reliability and validation of the Withdrawal Method Attitude Scale

The couples' attitude to the withdrawal method was assessed using the Withdrawal Method Attitude Scale (WMAS). The reliability and validation of the scale was carried out by Türk & Terzioğlu (2011-2012). The scale consists of 36 items and five sub dimensions: 1) reliability, 2) the nature of the sexual activity, 3) usability, 4) effect on health and 5) religious and social effects. It has been determined that there is statically significant difference between all the items in the scale (p<0.01). The Kaiser Meyer Olkin value of the scale is 0.875, the scale explanation ratio of the five sub dimensions of the scale is 46.079% and the Cronbach Alfa reliability is 0.86 for the 36 articles<sup>45</sup>.

#### **RESULTS**

The WMAS measured the couples' attitude to the withdrawal method and this revealed the average score and scores for the following five sub-dimensions: reliability, the sexual activity, effect on the health, usability and religious and social influences.

The WMAS point average was higher for than for men. It was higher for couples with elementary school, middle school and, high school education, than those with a university education. Couples who had lived longest in villages had a higher average score than couples living in the city and town. The average was higher for Kurdish speaking couples than Turkish speaking couples. It was higher for couples with large extended families (mother, father, children, grandparents etcthan couples with smaller nuclear families (just mother, father and children. Couples who did not work scored higher than working couples. Couples whose spending exceeded

their income scored a higher average than couples whose income and spending were equal and couples whose income exceeded their spending. The differences were statistically significant (Table 2; p<0.05).

The point average for couples under the age of 30 years was higher than couples older than 41 (. Couples with social insurance scored higher than couples with no social insurance but the difference was statistically insignificant (Table 2; p>0.05).

Table 2. Distribution of WMAS scores according to couples' socio- demographic characteristics (n=490)

Characteristics	Number	Mean ± S.D.	Ana	alysis	Difference		
Gender			t	P			
Women	245	110.60 ±12.981	4.514	0.000	-		
Men	245	104.52 ±16.620					
Age			F	P			
<30 Years	157	107.69 ±12.896	0.012	0.988	-		
31–40	225	107.55 ±16.498					
>41 Years	108	107.41 ±15.626					
Education Status			F	p			
Primary School	146	111.97 ± 13.71	10.988	0.000	1-3/1,2,3-4		
Secondary School	83	109.95 ± 14.96					
High School	149	106.17 ± 16.57					
University	112	101.90 ± 13.29					
Longest Time Resided			F	p			
Provincial Place				-			
Province	355	$105.43 \pm 5.138$	14.967	0.000	1-3		
Shire	42	109.86 ± 4.359					
Village	93	114.68 ± 3.563					
Mother Tongue			t	р			
Turkish	363	106.09±14.684	-3.677	0.000	-		
Kurdish	127	111.78±15.921					
Family Type			t	p			
Nuclear	372	$106.48 \pm 15.224$	-2.817	0.005	-		
Extended	118	110.97 ± 14.690					
Working Status			t	p			
Working	276	$104.30 \pm 15.938$	-4.948	0.000	-		
Not Working	214	$111.78 \pm 13.082$					
Social Security			t	p			
Yes	470	$107.50 \pm 15.284$	-0.251	0.802	-		
No	20	$108.91 \pm 13.718$					
İncome Level			F	p			
Expenses > income	34	103.09 ±14.642	5.417	0.005	1,2-3		
Expenses = income	242	106.07 ± 14.241					
income < Expenses	214	$109.97 \pm 16.016$					

The point average for couples whose first marriage took place at the age of 17 or younger, was higher than couples who married aged 18-25 age or 26 and over. Couples who had been married for 16 years or more had higher averages than of those married for six to ten years.

The point average of the couples whose marriage partner was chosen by their parents was higher than those who chose their own marriage partner and the point average of couples with arranged marriages was higher than those who chose to marry their partner themselves - their attitude regarding the withdrawal method were more positive (Table 3; p<0.05). The point average of the couples who were already relatives before they married were higher than couples who were not already related attitudes were more positive but the difference was more statistically insignificant (Table 3; p>0.05).

Table 3. Distribution of WMAS mean score according to the couples' marriage story (n= 490)

Marriage Story	Number	Mean ± S.D.	Statist	Statistical analysis			
First marriage age			F	P			
< 17 ages and under	68	114.41±13.681	11.270	0.000	1-2,3/2-3		
18–25	301	107.57 ±14.728					
>26	121	$103.69 \pm 5.924$					
Length of marriage			F	P			
5 Years and under	126	106.18 ± 14.436	3.190	0.023	2-4		
6–10 Years	106	$104.87 \pm 15.816$					
11–15 Years	107	$107.95 \pm 15.507$					
16 Years and Over.	151	$110.33 \pm 14.845$					
Status of deciding to get			t	р			
married				·			
Own decision	337	$105.97 \pm 15.309$	-3.487	0.001	-		
Mother/father	153	$111.08 \pm 14.407$					
Type of marriage			t	р			
Prearranged by families	230	$109.32 \pm 15.817$	2.493	0.013	-		
Flirt	260	$105.98 \pm 14.481$					
Status of kinship			t	P			
Yes	138	109.50 ± 14.914	1.743	0.082	-		
No	352	106.86 ± 15.269					
Degree of kinship			t	P			
First	46	$110.98 \pm 13.444$	0.632	0.529	-		
Secondary	92	$108.72 \pm 15.648$					

Table 4. Distribution of WMAS sub-dimension mean scores according to some socio-demographic characteristics of couples (n=490)

Variables	Reliability				Efficiency Of Sexual Activity			Usability			Effects On Health				Religious and Social Factors						
Gender	N	Mean. ± S.D.	t	p		Mean. ± S.D.	t	р		Mean. ± S.D	t	р		Mean. ± S.D	t	р		Mean. ± S.D	t	р	
Woman		16.80 ± 2.872	3.39	0.00		29.97 ± 8.198		0.75		37.93 ± 5.589	6.426	0.00		13.78 ± 3.418	2.70	0.007		12.12 ± 3.298	2.60	0.00	
Men		15.93 ± 2.803	1	1		30.22 ± 9.313	- 0.319	0.75		34.21 ± 7.114	0.420	0		12.85 ± 4.140	1	0.007		11.30 ± 3.695	6	9	
Age	N	Mean. ± S.D	F	р		Mean. ± S.D	F	р		Mean. ± S.D	F	D .		Mean. ± S.D	F	р		Mean. ± S.D	F	р	Difr
30 and under		16.10 ± 2.876				30.36 ± 8.182				35.68 ± 6.203				13.71 ± 3.552				11.84 ± 3.346			
31-40		16.32 ± 2.783	2.25	0.10 6	-	30.16 ± 8.989	0.27	0.76 3		36.11 ± 6.543	0.567	0.56 8	-	13.14 ± 3.909	1.25 3	0.287	-	11.80 ± 3.526	0.83 6	0.43 4	-
41 and over		16.85 ± 2.995				29.57 ± 9.161				36.56 ± 7.500				13.10 ± 3.998				11.32 ± 3.764			
Education Level		Mean. ± S.D	F	Р		Mean. ± S.D	F	Р		Mean. ± S.D	F	n		Mean. ± S.D	F	Р		Mean. ± S.D	F	р	Difr
Primary		17.04 ± 2.458				31.01 ± 8.355				38.29 ± 5.250				13.53 ± 3.846				12.09 ± 3.578			
Secondary	83	16.41 ± 3.084	5.96	0.00		30.75 ± 8.256	1.400	0.24		36.77 ± 6.325	11.49	0.00	1-3	14.33 ± 3.596	4.04	0.007		11.70 ± 3.638	1.93	0.12	
High School		16.31 ± 2.977	1			29.69 ± 9.585	1.400	2		35.16 ± 7.037	3	0		13.17 ± 4.229	9		2-3	11.84 ± 3.698	7	3	-
Univercity		15.54 ± 2.866				28.97 ± 8.456				33.86 ± 7.115				12.47 ± 3.165				11.05 ± 3.049			
Resident Place	N	Mean. ± S.D	F	р		Mean. ± S.D	F	р	Dif r.	Mean. ± S.D	F	р		Mean. ± S.D	F	р		Mean. ± S.D	F	р	Difr
Province		16.08 ± 2.971				29.31 ± 8.750				35.50 ± 6.845				12.95 ± 3.736				11.59 ± 3.502			
Shire	42	16.88 ± 2.634	7.01 5	0.00 1	1-3	30.98 ± 8.984	5.936	0.00 3	1-3	36.14 ± 6.280	6.338	0.00 2	1-3	14.17 ± 3.642	5.99 9			11.69 ± 3.475	0.95 5	0.38 6	-
Village	93	17.25 ± 2.334				32.72 ± 8.251				38.23 ± 5.622				14.32 ± 4.014				12.16 ± 3.624			

Difr: Diference; S.D: Standard Deviation

At the results sub dimension of the withdrawal method The women's point average, was higher than the men's and their attitude was more positive and the difference was found to be statistically significant (Table 4; t:3.391, p<0.05). The women's usability sub dimension point average was higher than the men's point average, their attitudes were more positive and the difference was found to be statistically significant (Table 4; t:6.426. p<0.05). The women's attitude point average for the health effect sub dimension, was higher than the men's, their attitude was more positive and the difference found to be statistically significant (Table 4; t:2.710, p<0.05).

The women's attitude point average regarding the religious and social effects sub dimension was higher than the men's, their attitude was more positive and the difference was found to be statistically significant (Table 4; t:2.606, p<0.05). The women's sub dimension point average regarding the nature of the sexual activity was lower than the men', their attitude was more negative and the difference was found to be statistically insignificant (Table 4; t:-0.319 p>0.05).

#### DISCUSSION

The withdrawal method is used in many societies to control fertility and it is one of the oldest known family planning methods<sup>10,14,24</sup>. In this study, which looked at couples' attitudes to the withdrawal method, the WMAS point average for women who used the withdrawal method was higher than the men's and their attitude towards the withdrawal method was more positive (p<0.05). We think that this could be influenced by factors such as concerns about the side effects of modern family planning methods and the fact that they were happy with the man taking responsibility for contraception.

Education level is an important variable affecting family planning related behaviour and attitudes<sup>8</sup>. In our study, university graduates' had a lower WMAS point average than couples with lower education levels and attitudes toward the use of the withdrawal method became more positive as education level decreased. Güngör et al found that couples with more than 12 years of education used the withdrawal method more effectively than couples with less than five years of education<sup>26</sup>. Bulut et al found that women using the withdrawal method had lower levels of education than women using other family planning methods. In the same study, only 4% of the 182 couples who used the withdrawal method had been

educated to middle school or higher education level<sup>46</sup>. A study carried out in two different regions of Italy also found that the withdrawal method was widely used among couples with lower levels of education<sup>47</sup>. However, Rutenberg et al (1991) found that education didn't effect the use of the withdrawal method. In our study, couples with higher education levels had lower average WMAS scores than couples with lower levels of education<sup>48</sup>. This could be because they were more able to assess information on the reliability of different methods of contraception and fertility.

Ethnicity and language also affect use of the withdrawal method. In our study, couples who spoke the Kurdish native language had a higher WMAS point average (than couples who spoke the Turkish native and their attitude toward the use of the withdrawal method was more positive According to the TNSA 1998 advanced analysis result, the withdrawal method is more widely used in Turkey by couples of Kurdish origin (41.1%) than couples of Turkish origin (7.8%)8.

In our study, we evaluated the couples' attitude regarding the withdrawal method in five sub dimensions. When it came to the reliability sub dimension, the women's point average was higher than the men's and their attitude regarding the withdrawal method sub dimension was more positive. In the reliability sub dimension, the attitudes they expressed included that the "withdrawal method prevents unwanted pregnancies", "it's more effective compared to the other protection methods from pregnancy" (contraceptive pill, devices inserted in the vagina, condoms etc). In other studies, half of the women<sup>28,43</sup> or one third<sup>49</sup> believe that the withdrawal method protects them from pregnancy. A focus study by Gilliam et al found that women thought that if men used the withdrawal method better it would effectively prevent pregnancy<sup>38</sup>. We believe that the women in our study were more positive than the men when it came to the reliability of the withdrawal method as their knowledge, use and experience suggested that the withdrawal method was effective and could prevent unwanted pregnancies.

The use of the withdrawal method among couples, compared to other pregnancy prevention methods could be explained by the fact that it does not cost anything<sup>14,40</sup> there is no need for any chemicals or extra equipment and it can be used in any situation<sup>14</sup>. In our study, the women's point average on the usability sub dimension was higher than the men's

and their attitudes on usability sub dimension were more positive. In the usability sub dimension they expressed attitudes such as "it has no cost", "it's easy to use" and "couples who use it are happy". A study conducted by Kulczycki showed that 56% of women found the method easy to use<sup>49</sup>. According to the 1998 TNSA advanced analysis results, women said that the reason they used the withdrawal method was because they and their partner were happy to use the method and other methods could be unsuccessful8. Other studies found that men and women were happy to use the withdrawal method<sup>28,30</sup>. We believe that the women in our study were more positive than the men about the withdrawal method because they don't like the side effects of other family planning methods and because it is easy to use, doesn't cost anything and could be effective.

Another factor affecting the use of the withdrawal method is concerns in certain societies about the side effects of modern methods. In studies conducted in countries such as Turkey, Romania, Bulgaria and Mauritius, where the use of the withdrawal method is high, the main reason people use this method is because they believe that modern methods could have side effects<sup>5-7</sup>. Also, many men could prefer the withdrawal method to condoms because "condoms can cause the loss of erection"49. In our study the women's point average in the health sub dimension was higher than the men's point average and their attitude was more positive. Comments included "it can cause pain in the legs of men" and "it can deteriorate mental health". A number of studies have shown that individuals were afraid of using modern methods because of health problems and side effects<sup>6,51-54</sup>. In our study, we think that women being "partially positive" and men being "negative" about the health effects of the withdrawal method could be because men who use this method are concerned about negative effects, pains in the legs or waist or deteriorating mental health. However, the women see it as a more healthy method.

Another factor affecting the use of the withdrawal method is religious beliefs. From a cultural perspective, religion plays an important role in people's lives<sup>55</sup> and religion has been identified as a key variable affecting the use of family planning methods<sup>12,13</sup>. Couples' attitudes to the use of the family planning methods, especially if it is against their religion, play an important role in the decision to use the withdrawal method<sup>56,57</sup>. In the religious and social effects sub dimension the women's attitude

point average was higher than the men's and their attitude toward the method was more positive. The attitudes they expressed included "it's a shame to use it", "it shows that women are not valued" and "it's a sin from a religious perspective" were noted.

In studies conducted in Turkey, where most of the population is Muslim, it has been determined that use of the withdrawal method is deemed religiously appropriate and religious leaders support this method<sup>5,6</sup>. Akin found that 39.1% of women in the city of Van, eastern Turkey, thought that using modern family planning was a sin, compared to 10.3% in Ankara, the capital<sup>58</sup>. Another study concluded that religions limit the use of modern family planning<sup>59</sup>. Ergöçmen et al found that 44.5% of the couples who felt that family planning methods was not a religious issue used the withdrawal method, compared with 36.1% who felt that family planning use was a religious issue8. These studies underline the effects that religion can have on the use of the withdrawal method.

A study conducted in Sicily showed that women felt grateful to their husbands for valuing the use of the withdrawal method and praised their spouses for using it. In the same study, women felt that their spouse used the withdrawal method because they respected them<sup>60</sup>. In our study, we believe that the women were more positive than the men about the withdrawal method in the religious and social effects sub dimension because they felt it was religiously appropriate and a sign that their partners valued and respected them.

When we evaluated the sexual activity sub dimension, we found that the women's point average was lower than the men's but the difference was statistically insignificant. Attitudes expressed included "it prevents women from actively involving in sexual intercourse", "it break sexual intercourse", "it causes sexual coldness" and "it causes tension in sexual intercourse". Studies have showed that a quarter of the women who use the withdrawal method felt their sex life was negatively affected<sup>27</sup> and were not sexually satisfied<sup>28,29</sup>. A further study found that most of the men, and some of the women, felt that using the withdrawal method had a negative impact on their sexual satisfaction<sup>34</sup>.

It can be seen that even though the withdrawal method contributes substantial value to family planning, it can have a negative effect on sexual intercourse. The literature confirms that there are no

medical side effects regarding its use, but that interrupting the plateau phase of sexual intercourse can reduce the sexual satisfaction of couples. For example, it can negatively affect the woman's ability to have an orgasm<sup>61-64</sup>. The fact that women were more negative attitudes than men about the method in the sexual activity sub dimension could consider that women have problems with their sexual lives.

Marriage between relatives has been defined as a marriage between cousins<sup>65</sup>. There are a number of factors why such marriages are sometimes preferred: the estate is not divided, ethnic roots, religious factors, geographical reasons, to increase the dependence factor in the family, high expectations between the couples, belief that the marriage will last, ease of communication and sense of trust<sup>66</sup>. A study in Pakistan on marriage between relatives found that the three most important reasons for such marriages were religion, economy and culture<sup>67</sup>.

In Turkey approximately one out of five couples are relatives and half of them are first degree relatives<sup>68</sup>. In our study, we found that the frequency of marriage between relatives was 28.2% - 33.3% were first degree relatives and 66.7% were second degree relatives. Our study found that the average points were higher among related couples than non related couples and that their attitudes were more positive. However, the difference was not statistically significant. According to the TNSA 1998 advanced analysis results, people learn about the withdrawal methods from their friends or culture8. In our study, it is thought that cultural values and interaction within family members have positive effect on attitudes concerning withdrawal method among the couples having kindship before marriage too.

The research included couples living in Kars city centre who used the withdrawal method and the findings cannot be assumed to apply to other cities and regions of Turkey.

As a result, the withdrawal method of contraception is used by a quarter of the couples in Turkey and this ratio has not been changed over the years. We hope that the results of our study will help to inform the ongoing development of family planning services, including consultation and training, and that they will be of value to healthcare professionals working in this area. In addition, qualitative research should be conducted to determine the reasons for using the withdrawal method. In order to determine the factors affecting the family planning method preference of couples, comparative studies can be done for couples who prefer withdrawal method and couples who prefer other family planning methods. The withdrawal method is the attitude of the scale of Turkey's geographical location and is recommended to be applied in the wider population.

Yazar Katkıları: Calısma konsepti/Tasarımı: RT, FT; Veri toplama: RT; Veri analizi ve yorumlama: RT; Yazı taslağı: RT, FT; İçeriğin eleştirel incelenmesi: RT, FT; Son onay ve sorumluluk: RT, FT; Teknik ve malzeme desteği: RT; Süpervizyon: RT, FT; Fon sağlama (mevcut ise):

Bilgilendirilmis Onam: Katılımcılardan yazılı onam alınmıştır. Hakem Değerlendirmesi: Dış bağımsız.

Çıkar Çatışması: Yazarlar çıkar çatışması beyan etmemişlerdir.

Finansal Destek: Bu araştırma, Hacettepe Üniversitesi Bilimsel Araştırma Birimi tarafından finansal olarak desteklenmiştir.

Yazarın Notu: Bu makale, ikinci yazarın gözetimi altında yürütülen, ilk vazarın doktora derecesi tezine dayanmaktadır.

Bu makalenin içeriği First global conference on contraception, reproductive and sexual health adlim kongrede Danimarka'da sunulmuştur (22-25 Mayıs 2013)

Author Contributions: Concept/Design: RT, FT; Data acquisition: RT; Data analysis and interpretation: RT; Drafting manuscript: RT, FT; Critical revision of manuscript: RT, FT; Final approval and accountability: RT, FT; Technical or material support: RT; Supervision: RT, FT; Securing funding (if available): n/a.

Informed Consent: Written consent was obtained from the participants.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declared no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: This research is supported financially by

Hacettepe University Scientific Research Unit.

Ackonwledgement: This paper is based on the PhD degree dissertation of the first author, carried out under the supervision of the second author.

The content of this paper is presented at the First global conference on contraception, reproductive and sexual health, Denmark, May, 22 to 25,

## REFERENCES

- United Nations. World Contraceptive Use. Availbale http://www.un.org/esa/population/ publications/contraceptive2011/ wallchart\_front.pdf. (Accessed at 17 March 2012).
- Turkey Demographic and Health Survey 2008. Ankara, Hacettepe University Institute of Population Studies, 2008.
- Özalp S, Tanır H.M. Effects of unwanted pregnancy and miscarriages on women health. The Actual Medicine. 2001;6:42-4.
- Kitapçıoğlu G, Yanıkkerem E. Fertility stories of women giving birth at the maternity hospital in manisa, family planning behavior and counseling for postpartum family planning. Ege Medical Journal. 2008;47:87-92.
- Finger WR. Withdrawal popular in some cultures. Contraceptive update. Netw Res Triangle Park N C. 1996;17(1):15-6..
- Goldberg HI, Toros A. The use of traditional methods of contraception among Turkish couples. Stud Fam Plann. 1994;25:122-8.
- Oodit G. Male contraception. Withdrawal: a time-

- honoured but risky method? Plan Parent Chall. 1996;2:25.
- Ergöçmen BA, Koç İ, Yiğit EK, Senlet P, Roman E. Analytical study on the traditional contraceptive method: use of withdrawal method in Turkey. Turkey population and health research advanced analysis study. hacettepe university institute of population studies, Ankara, Orkide Printing House;1998.
- Male involvement in family planning. International Planned Parenthood Federation. 1984:73

  –76, 79

  –80.
- Samtow G. Coitus interruptus and the twentieth century. Popul Dev Rev. 1993;19:767-92.
- Moore KA, Rogow D. Family Planning and Reproductive Health: Briefing Sheets for a Gender Analysis. New York, Population Council, 1994.
- Cebeci SD, Erbaydar T, Kalaca S, Harmanci H, Cali S, Karavus M. Resistance against contraception or medical contraceptive methods; a qualitative study on women and men in Istanbul. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2004;9:94–101.
- Öztürk A, Güzel H, Gün I, Ostürk Y. Opinions of imams about family planning and their use of methods in Kayseri, Turkey. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2002;7:144–9.
- Rogow D, Horowitz S. Withdrawal: A review of the literature and an agenda for research. Stud Fam Plann. 1995;26:140-53.
- Yılmaz ÖG. Status of family planning use among the women undergone induced abortion (Curettage) and determination of the factors associated with. (Master tezi). Ankara, Hacettepe University, 2001.
- Weiss PDS, Diaz L, Andrade Palos P, David HP. Effect of sex education on the sexual and contraceptive practices of female teenagers in Mexico city. J Psychol Human Sex. 1990;3:71-93.
- Sable MR, Libbus MK. Beliefs concerning contraceptive acquisition and use among low-income women. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 1998;9:262-75
- Family Planning Organization. Family planning and unwanted pregnancies, Family Planning Organization. Available from <a href="http://www.populationaction.org">http://www.populationaction.org</a>. Accessed at 15 December 2009..
- Singh KK, Bloom SS, Tsui AO. Husbands' reproductive health knowledge, attitudes, and behavior in Uttar Pradesh, India. Stud Fam Plann. 1998;29:388–99.
- McCarty D. Changing contraceptive usage intentions: A test of the fishbein model of intention. J Appl Soc Psychol. 1981;11:192-211.
- Bhargava M, Kapoor SD. Personal and socioeconomic variables in relation with towards planned family. Indian J Clin Psychol. 1981;8:35-8.
- Department of Statistics Data. Republic of Turkey Kars Provincial Health Directorate Kars. 2009. Ankara, Department of Statistics Data, 2009.
- Sümbüloğlu V, Sümbüloğlu K. Biostatistics 10th ed., Ankara, Hatipoğlu Printing House, 2002.

- Samtow G. Coitus interruptus and the control of natural fertility. Popul Stud. 1995;49:19-43.
- Hoff T, Greene L, Davis J. National survey of adolescents and young adults: Sexual health knowledge, attitudes, and experiences 2003. Henry J. Kaiser Foundation, Accessed: 10 February 2010, http://www.kff.org.
- Güngör S, Başer İ, Göktolga Ü, Eşber Ö, Uğur K. Efficacy of coitus interruptus (withdrawal) method and importance of training level. Gülhane Medical Journal. 2006;48:8-10.
- Berkiten A, Aslan H. Effects of Family planning methods on sexual life in women [abstract]. III. National Congress of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 13– 16 September 2001; İstanbul.
- Yanıkkerem E, Acar H, Elem E. Withdrawal users' perceptions of and experience with contraceptive methods in Manisa, Turkey. Midwifery. 2006;22:274-84.
- 29. Eryılmaz H. The effects of used contraceptive methods on spouses and usage errors. Nurs Forum. 1999;2:114-18.
- Aytekin N, Pala K, Irgil E, Aytekin A. Family planning choices and some characteristics of coitus interruptus users in Gemlik, Turkey. Women's Health Issues. 2001;11:442-47.
- Odimegwu CO. Family planning attitudes and use in Nijeria: A factor analysis. Int Family Plann Perspect. 1999:25:86-91.
- Örsal Ö. Development of family planning attitude scale for individuals living in the border of ankara municipality [PhD Thesis]. Ankara, Hacettepe University, 2006.
- Aghajanian A, Mehryar AH, Delavar B, Kazemipour S, Zinab HE. Continuing us of whitdrawal as a contraceptive method in Iran. Can Stud Popul. 2007;34:179-90.
- 34. Myntti C, Ballan A, Dewachi O, El-Kak F, Deeb ME. Challenging the stereotypes: Men, withdrawal, and reproductive health in Lebanon. Contraception. 2002;65:165-70.
- Marchi NM, de Alvarenga AT, Osis MJD, Bahamondes L. Contraceptive methods with male participation: a perspective of Brazilian couples. Int Nurs Rev. 2008;55:103-9.
- Ali M, Rizwan H, Ushijima H. Men and reproductive health in rural Pakistan: The case for increased male participation. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2004:9:260-66.
- Crosby RA, Yarber WL, Meyerson B. Prevention strategies other than male condoms employed by lowincome women to prevent HIV infection. Public Health Nurs. 2000;17:53–60.
- Gilliam ML, Warden MM, Tapia B. Young Latinas recall contraceptive use before and after pregnancy: A focus group study. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2004;17:279–87.

- Sullivan M. Teenage males' beliefs and practices about contraception: Findings from comparative ethnographic research in high-risk neighborhoods. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Population Association of America, San Francisco. 1995.
- Horner JR, Salazar LF Romer D, Vanable PA, DiClemente R, Carey MP, et al. Withdrawal (coitus interruptus) as a sexual risk reduction strategy: Perspectives from African-American adolescents. Arch Sex Behav. 2009;38:779–87.
- Sanders SA, Graham CA, Bass JL, Bancroft J. A prospective study of the effects of oral contraceptives on sexuality and well-being and their relationship to discontinuation. Contraception. 2001;64:51-8.
- 42. Pasinlioğlu T, Bülbül F. Couples' reasons for quitting family planning methods. Journal of Ataturk University School of Nursing. 2003;6:40–8.
- Çiftçioğlu S, Erci B. Coitus interruptus as a contraceptive method: Turkish women's perceptions and experiences. J Adv Nurs. 2009;65:1569-775.
- Tezbaşaran A. Likert type scale development guideline. Ankara, Turkish Psychological Association. 1997
- 45. Türk R, Terzioğlu F, Gelbal S. A scale development study to determine couples' attitudes towards the withdrawal method of family planning. International Refereed Academic Journal of Sports, Health And Medical Sciences. 2016;18:45-61.
- Bulut A, Çilingiroğlu N, Bertran M. Is withdrawal a handicap for fertility regulation? Journal of Population Science. 1986;8:45-55.
- Novelli GG, Tocchella F, Pinto A. Study of the use of contraceptives by a town and a country population of Northern Italy. Acta Eur Fertil. 1980;11:167-79.
- 48. Rutenberg N, Ayad M, Ochoa LH, Wilkinson M. Knowledge and use of contraception. Demographic and Health Surveys Comparative Studies, No.6. Columbia, MD, Institute for Resource Development/Macro Systems, 1991.
- Kulczycki A. The determinants of withdrawal use in Turkey: A husband's imposition or women's choice. Soc Sci Med. 2004;59:1019–33.
- Musallam BF. Sex and Society in Islam: Birth control before the Nineteenth Century. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- 51. Population Council . Withdrawal use in Pakistan. Islamabad, Pakistan, Population Council, 1998.
- 52. Okun BS. Family planning in the Jewish population of Israel: Correlates of withdrawal use. Stud Fam Plann.

- 1997:28:215-27.
- Türkistanlı E. The role of nurse consulting to catche the missed opportunities for family planning. Quality Symposium about Education and Practices of Nursing-Midwifery, Kayseri. 1998.
- 54. Bain J. Male contraception. Adv Contracept. 1989;5:263-69.
- Anthony G. Sociology (Trans: Güzel C). Ankara, Avrac Publishing House, 2000.
- Güven S. Obstetrics and birth diagnosis and treatment. In Contraception (Ed S Günalp, S Tuncer):604-29. Ankara, Pelikan Publishing. 2004.
- 57. Who makes reproductive decisions?" Popul Rep. 1994;23:18–9.
- Akin A. Cultural and psychosocial factors affecting contraceptive use and abortion in two provinces of Turkey. In Abortion in Developing World (Eds AI Mundigo, C Indriso):191-211. London, Zed Books, 1999.
- Yurdakul M, Vural G. Reasons for using traditional methods and role of nurses in family planning. Contraception. 2002;65:347–50.
- Schneider J, Schneider P. Sex and respectability in an age of fertility decline: A Sicilian case study. Soc Sci Med. 1991;33:885–95.
- 61. Ministry of Health General Directorate of Mother and Child Health and Family Planning . Family Planning and Reproductive Health, National Family Planning Services Guideline, Ankara, Damla Printing, 2005.
- Bobak M, Perry E, Lowdermilk L. Maternity and Women's Health Care, 6th edition. Maryland Heights, MI, Mosby, 1997.
- 63. Özyurda F. National Family Planning Services Guideline, Family Planning and Reproductive Health 3th ed., Ankara, Damla Printing, 2000.
- Yılmaz AC. Effect of used contraceptive methods on sexuality in women [Master of Science Thesis]. Istanbul, Marmara University, 2003.
- Örnek SV. Etinoloji Sözlüğü. Ankara, Dil Tarih ve Coğrafya Fakültesi, 1997.
- Nar MŞ. Tibbi antropoloji: akraba evliliklerinin patolojik etkileri. Ankara Üniversitesi Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi Dergisi - DTCF Dergisi. 2012;52:219-38.
- Hussain R. Community perceptions of reasons for preferance for consanguineous marriage in Pakistan. J Biosoc Sci. 1999;31:449-61.
- 68. Turkey Statistical Institute. Youth in Statistics. Ankara, Turkey Statistical Institute, 2011.