

On the Topological Centers of Banach Algebras

Hayri AKAY*, Danyal SOYBAŞ

Gazi University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, 06500, Ankara, TURKEY

Received:05.04.2005 Accepted:21.10.2005

ABSTRACT

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Let Z_2 and \tilde{Z}_2 be respectively, the topological centers of the algebras A^{**} and $(AA^*)^*$ with respect to the second Arens multiplication. In this paper, we show that \tilde{M}_2 is isometrically isomorphic to $LM(A)$, where \tilde{M}_2 is a closed subalgebra of \tilde{Z}_2 and $LM(A)$ is the set of left multipliers operators of the Banach algebra A .

Key words: Topological center, Arens multiplication, Banach algebra, Left multiplier operator

1. INTRODUCTION, NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. By A^* we denote its normed dual. We always regard A as naturally embedded into its second dual A^{**} . For a in A and f in A^* , by $\langle f, a \rangle$ or $\langle a, f \rangle$ we denote the natural duality between A and A^* . The first Arens multiplication is defined in three steps as follows. For $a, b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $m, n \in A^{**}$, the elements $f \cdot a, m \cdot f$ of A^* and $m \cdot n$ of A^{**} are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f \cdot a, b \rangle &= \langle f, ab \rangle, \\ \langle m \cdot f, a \rangle &= \langle m, f \cdot a \rangle, \\ \langle m \cdot n, f \rangle &= \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The second Arens multiplication is defined as follows. For $a, b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $m, n \in A^{**}$, the element $a\Delta f, f\Delta m$ of A^* and $m\Delta n$ of A^{**} are defined by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a\Delta f, b \rangle &= \langle f, ba \rangle, \\ \langle f\Delta m, a \rangle &= \langle m, a\Delta f \rangle, \\ \langle m\Delta n, f \rangle &= \langle n, f\Delta m \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We define the subspaces A^*A and AA^* of A^* as

$$\begin{aligned}A^*A &= \{f \cdot a : f \in A^*, a \in A\}, \\ AA^* &= \{a\Delta f : a \in A, f \in A^*\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that these subspaces are norm-closed linear subspaces of A^* Hewitt & Ross (2). On the other hand, the second dual A^{***} of A is a Banach algebra with respect to both the first and the second Arens multiplication (1). In the case where $A = L^1(G)$ and G is a locally compact Abelian group, we denote the spaces A^*A and AA^* , respectively, by $LUC(G)$ and $RUC(G)$ as in (3). In the case where $A = A(G)$, the space A^*A , which is the same as AA^* , is denoted by $UCB(\hat{G})$ as in (4). In (5), Lau and Ülger showed that $\tilde{Z}_1 \cong RM(A)$. Terminologies and notations not explained in this section will be explained or referenced in the next section.

2. ARENS MULTIPLICATIONS AND TOPOLOGICAL CENTERS

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra. A left [right] approximate identity for A is a net $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, where Λ is some directed system, such that for all $a \in A$,

* Corresponding author, e-mail: danyal@gazi.edu.tr

$\lim_{\alpha}(e_{\alpha}a) = a$ [$\lim_{\alpha}(ae_{\alpha}) = a$] in the norm topology. The approximate identity is said to be *bounded* if $\|e_{\alpha}\| \leq 1$ for all. An approximate identity is said to be two-sided if it is both a right and a left one. An algebra A with a bounded two-sided approximate identity is called ‘with a bounded approximate identity’. Every unital Banach algebra has an approximate identity. However, the converse is not true in general.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a Banach algebra and consider the natural duality between A and A^* . We denote the weak topology on A by $\sigma(A, A^*)$ and the weak* topology on A^* by $\sigma(A^*, A)$.

As is mentioned in the previous section, we will explain the basic properties of “ \cdot ” and “ Δ ” Arens multiplications:

For an element n fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $m \rightarrow m \cdot n$ is weak*-weak* continuous with respect to the topology $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ on A^{**} . However, for an element m fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $n \rightarrow m \cdot n$ is in general not weak*-weak* continuous unless m is in A . Hence, by making use of these explanations, the topological center of A^{**} with respect to the first Arens multiplication is defined as follows:

$$Z_1 = \left\{ m \in A^{**} : \begin{array}{l} \text{The mapping } n \rightarrow m \cdot n \text{ is weak*} \\ \text{weak* continuous on } A^{**} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ m \in A^{**} : m \cdot n = m \Delta n, \text{ for all } n \in A^{**} \right\}$$

For m fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $n \rightarrow m \Delta n$ is weak*-weak* continuous on A^{**} . But, for n fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $m \rightarrow m \Delta n$ is in general not weak*-weak* continuous unless n is in A . Whence the topological center of A^{**} with respect to the second Arens multiplication is defined as follows:

$$Z_2 = \left\{ n \in A^{**} : \begin{array}{l} \text{The mapping } m \rightarrow m \Delta n \text{ is} \\ \text{weak*-weak* continuous on } A^{**} \end{array} \right\}$$

Recall that the equalities $\hat{a} \cdot m = \hat{a} \Delta m$ and $m \cdot \hat{a} = m \Delta \hat{a}$ hold for a in A and m in A^{**} . Since the mapping $a \rightarrow \hat{a}$ ($A \rightarrow \hat{A} \subseteq A^{**}$) is an algebraic isometrical isomorphism we can write \hat{A} instead of A if necessary. It is clear that $A \subseteq Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and that Z_i

($i=1,2$) is a closed subalgebra of A^{**} . For detailed information see (5).

Let M_1 and M_2 be two subspaces of A^{**} such that

$$M_1 = \left\{ m \in A^{**} : A \cdot m \subseteq A \right\},$$

$$M_2 = \left\{ m \in A^{**} : m \cdot A \subseteq A \right\}.$$

Like preceding subspaces, We define the following sets:

$$\tilde{M}_1 = \left\{ \mu \in (A^* A)^* : A \cdot \mu \subseteq A \right\}$$

$$\tilde{M}_2 = \left\{ \mu \in (A A^*)^* : \mu \cdot A \subseteq A \right\}$$

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity then \tilde{M}_1 is a closed subalgebra of $(A^* A)^*$ and $\tilde{M}_1 \subseteq \tilde{Z}_1$ (5, Proposition 4.1). An algebra A is a subalgebra of all A^{**} , $(A^* A)^*$ and $(A A^*)^*$ algebras. Note that for $a \in A$ and $\mu \in (A A^*)^*$, the multiplication element $\mu \cdot a$ is an element of $(A A^*)^*$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\mu}$ is any Hahn-Banach extension of μ to A^* then for $f \in A^*$, $a \in A$, $\mu \in (A A^*)^*$ and $\tilde{\mu} \in A^{**}$ the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\mu} \cdot a, f \rangle &= \langle \hat{a}, f \Delta \hat{\mu} \rangle = \langle f \Delta \hat{\mu}, a \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{\mu}, a \Delta f \rangle = \langle \mu, a \Delta f \rangle \\ &= \langle f \Delta \mu, a \rangle = \langle \hat{a}, f \Delta \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu \Delta \hat{a}, f \rangle = \langle \mu \Delta a, f \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu \cdot a, f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

hold and hence we have the equalities $\mu \cdot a = \tilde{\mu} \cdot a$ and $\mu \Delta a = \tilde{\mu} \Delta a$. Whence we can consider $\mu \cdot a$ as an element of A^{**} .

Let the mapping $\hat{f} \cdot m : A^{**} \rightarrow C$ be defined by $\langle \hat{f} \cdot m, n \rangle = \langle f, n \Delta m \rangle$. The functional $\hat{f} \cdot m$ belongs to $A^{***} = A^* \oplus A^\perp$ but it does not have to be an element of A^* . Similarly, let the mapping $(\mu \cdot \tilde{f}) : (A A^*)^* \rightarrow C$ be defined by $\langle (\mu \cdot \tilde{f}), \lambda \rangle = \langle f, \lambda \Delta \mu \rangle$. Although the functional $(\mu \cdot \tilde{f})$ belongs to $(A A^*)^{**}$ it may not be an element of A^* , see (5) for detail.

Now, the following lemma which plays an important role in our study will be given.

Lemma 2.3: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Let m be an element in A^{**} and μ be an element in $(AA^*)^*$. Then the following assertions hold:

a) m is in Z_2 if and only if, for each f in A^* , the functional $\hat{f}.m$ is in A^* . If this happens, $\hat{f}.m = m.f$ and $m.f$ is in AA^* .

b) μ is in \tilde{Z}_2 if and only if, for each g in AA^* , the functional $(\mu.g)$ is in AA^* .

c) μ is in \tilde{Z}_2 if and only if, for each a in A , $\mu.a$ is in Z_2 .

Proof: a) Assume m is in Z_2 , and let f be an element of A^* . Then, for all n in A^{**} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{f}.m, n \rangle &= \langle f, n\Delta m \rangle \\ &= \langle f, n.m \rangle \\ &= \langle m.f, n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so that $\hat{f}.m = m.f$, and $\hat{f}.m$ is in A^* since $m.f$ is in A^* .

Conversely, assume that, for each f in A^* , the functional $\hat{f}.m$ is in A^* and let $\{n_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a convergent net in A^{**} that converges to some n in the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ topology. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, n_\alpha \Delta m \rangle &= \langle \hat{f}.m, n_\alpha \rangle \\ \hat{f}.m, n_\alpha \rangle &\rightarrow \langle \hat{f}.m, n \rangle = \langle f, n\Delta m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so that m is in Z_2 since, for $n \in A^{**}$, the mapping $n \rightarrow n\Delta m$ is $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -continuous on A^{**} .

Now suppose m is in Z_2 and f is in A^* . Let $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a convergent net in A that converges to some m in the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ topology. Then, since $\hat{f}.m$ is in A^* and for each n in A^{**} ,

$$\langle a_\alpha \Delta f, n \rangle = \langle f, n.a_\alpha \rangle$$

$$\langle f, n.a_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, n.m \rangle = \langle f, n\Delta m \rangle = \langle \hat{f}.m, n \rangle$$

we see that the net $\{a_\alpha \Delta f\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ converges weakly to the element $\hat{f}.m$ in A^* . Since AA^* is a closed subspace of A^* , we conclude that $\hat{f}.m$ is in AA^* .

b) Suppose that μ is in \tilde{Z}_2 , and let g be in AA^* . Let $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a net in $(AA^*)^*$ that converges to some λ in $(AA^*)^*$ in the $\sigma((AA^*)^*, AA^*)$ topology. Then by the definition of \tilde{Z}_2

$$\langle (\mu.g), \lambda_\alpha \rangle = \langle g, \lambda_\alpha \Delta \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle g, \lambda \Delta \mu \rangle = \langle (\mu.g), \lambda \rangle$$

This shows that the functional $(\mu.g)$ is weak* continuous on $(AA^*)^*$. Since we have the duality $((AA^*)^*, \sigma((AA^*)^*, AA^*))^* = AA^*$, $(\mu.g)$ is in AA^* .

Conversely, assume that g and $(\mu.g)$ are in AA^* and let $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a weak* convergent net in $(AA^*)^*$ converging to some λ in $(AA^*)^*$. Then,

$$\langle g, \lambda_\alpha \Delta \mu \rangle = \langle (\mu.g), \lambda_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\mu.g), \lambda \rangle = \langle g, \lambda \Delta \mu \rangle$$

holds which means μ is in \tilde{Z}_2 .

c) Let μ is in \tilde{Z}_2 . Then, for each $g = a\Delta f$ in AA^* , $(\mu.g)$ belongs to AA^* from assertion b). Given an element n of A^{**} , let \tilde{n} be its restriction AA^* . Then the equality $\tilde{n}\Delta\mu a = n\Delta\mu a$ holds and we have $(\mu.g) = \hat{f}.(\mu.a)$ by the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\mu.g), n \rangle &= \langle (\mu.g), \tilde{n} \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \tilde{n}\Delta\mu a \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{f}.(\mu.a), n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{f}.(\mu.a)$ is in AA^* , we conclude, by assertion a), that $\mu.a$ is in Z_2 . The converse implication also follows by the same operations.

Proposition 2.4: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Then \tilde{M}_2 is a closed subalgebra of $(AA^*)^*$ and $\tilde{M}_2 \subseteq \tilde{Z}_2$.

Proof: From the definition of \tilde{M}_2 we have the inclusion $\tilde{M}_2 \subseteq (AA^*)^*$. Let (μ_n) be a sequence in \tilde{M}_2 . Then for all n we have $\mu_n \cdot A \subseteq A$. Let μ be an element in $(AA^*)^*$ such that $\lim_n \|\mu_n - \mu\| = 0$. For an element a in A , $(\mu_n \cdot a)$ is a sequence in A . Since A is closed and the multiplication is norm-continuous, we have $\|\mu_n \cdot a - \mu \cdot a\| \rightarrow 0$, that is, $\mu \cdot a$ is in A . Hence \tilde{M}_2 is a closed subalgebra of $(AA^*)^*$.

On the other hand, let μ be an element in \tilde{M}_2 . Then, for an element a in A , $\mu \cdot a$ is in $A \subseteq Z_2$. By the assertion c) of Lemma 2.3, μ is in \tilde{Z}_2 and hence $\tilde{M}_2 \subseteq \tilde{Z}_2$.

Definition 2.5: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. A bounded linear operator $T : A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a left multiplier if $T(ab) = T(a)b$ holds for all a, b in A . The set of all left multiplier of A is denoted by $LM(A)$.

Theorem 2.6: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Then the closed algebra \tilde{M}_2 is isometrically isomorphic to $LM(A)$.

Proof: For each element μ in \tilde{M}_2 , let $T_\mu : A \rightarrow A$ be the linear operator defined by the rule $T_\mu(a) = \mu a$, for all a in A . As, for a, b in A ,

$$T_\mu(ab) = \mu(ab) = (\mu a)b = T_\mu(a)b,$$

T_μ is a left multiplier on A . Since $\|\mu a\| \leq \|\mu\| \|a\|$, it is obvious that $\|T_\mu\| \leq \|\mu\|$. Actually $\|T_\mu\| = \|\mu\|$. To show the inequality $\|T_\mu\| \geq \|\mu\|$, let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded approximate identity. As we can suppose $\|e_\alpha\| \leq 1$ for all α in Λ ,

$$\|T_\mu\| \geq \sup_\alpha \|T_\mu(e_\alpha)\| = \sup_\alpha \|\mu \cdot e_\alpha\| = \sup_\alpha \sup_{\|a\Delta f\| \leq 1} \|\mu \cdot e_\alpha(a\Delta f)\|$$

.Since, for f in A^* a in A , and

$$\|a\Delta f \cdot e_\alpha - a\Delta f\| = \|a\Delta(f \cdot e_\alpha - f)\| \leq \|a\| \|f \cdot e_\alpha - f\| \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\sup_\alpha |\mu e_\alpha(a\Delta f)| \geq \lim_\alpha |\mu(e_\alpha \cdot (a\Delta f))| = |\mu \cdot (a\Delta f)|.$$

$$\text{Hence } \sup_\alpha \sup_{\|a\Delta f\| \leq 1} \|\mu \cdot e_\alpha(a\Delta f)\| \geq \sup_{\|a\Delta f\| \leq 1} |\mu(a\Delta f)| = \|\mu\|$$

so that $\|T_\mu\| \geq \|\mu\|$. Since we have the equality

$$\|T_\mu\| = \|\mu\|,$$

it follows that the mapping $S : \tilde{M}_2 \rightarrow LM(A)$ defined by $S(\mu) = T_\mu$ is an isometry. To show that S is a Banach algebra homomorphism, let μ_1, μ_2 be in \tilde{M}_2 and a in A . Indeed,

$$S(\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2)(a) = T_{\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2}(a) = (\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2)a = \mu_1(\mu_2 \cdot a) = \mu_1(T_{\mu_2}(a))$$

$$= T_{\mu_1}(T_{\mu_2}(a)) = T_{\mu_1} \cdot T_{\mu_2}(a) = S(\mu_1) \cdot S(\mu_2)(a).$$

To complete the proof, it is enough to show that S is onto. Let T be any element in $LM(A)$. Since we can consider A as a subalgebra of $(AA^*)^*$, the net $(T(e_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is in $(AA^*)^*$ and, for each $f \cdot a$ in $(AA^*)^*$, it follows

$$\langle a\Delta f, T(e_\alpha) \rangle = \langle f, T(e_\alpha) \cdot a \rangle = \langle f, T(e_\alpha \cdot a) \rangle = \langle f, T(a) \rangle$$

This shows that the net $(T(e_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a weak*-Cauchy in $(AA^*)^*$. Hence it converges to some element μ of $(AA^*)^*$ in the weak* topology of this space. The above equalities

$$\langle a\Delta f, \mu \rangle = \langle f, T(a) \rangle$$

for all f in A^* and a in A . Then $\langle a\Delta f, \mu \rangle = \langle f, \mu \cdot a \rangle = \langle f, T(a) \rangle$, which means $\mu \cdot a = T(a)$ so that $T = T_\mu$. Since, for each T in $LM(A)$, there is an element μ in \tilde{M}_2 such that $S(\mu) = T_\mu = T$, the mapping S is onto.

Corollary 2.6: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. If $Z_2 A \subseteq A$ then, $\tilde{M}_2 = \tilde{Z}_2 \cong LM(A)$.

REFERENCES

- 1) Arens, R., “*The adjoint of a bilinear operation*”, **Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 2, 839-848, (1951).
- 2) Hewitt, E. and Ross, K., “*Abstract Harmonic Analysis*”, Volumes I and II, Newyork, (1970).
- 3) Lau, A.T., “*Continuity of Arens multiplication on the dual space of bounded uniformly continuous functions on locally compact groups and topological semigroups*”, **Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.**, 99, 273-283, (1986).
- 4) Lau, A.T. and Losert, V., “*The C* algebra generated by operators with compact support on a locally compact group*”, **J. Functinal Analysis**, 112, 1-30, (1993).
- 5) Lau, A.T. and Ülger, A., “*Topological centers of certain dual algebras*”, **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**, 348 (3), 1191-1212, (1996).