ORIGINAL ARTICLE



A General Fixed Point Theorem for Mappings Satisfying An ϕ - Implicit Relation in Complete *G* - Metric Spaces

Valeriu POPA¹, Alina-Mihaela PATRICIU^{1,♠}

¹ "Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Educational Sciences, 600115, Bacău, Romania

Received: 01.11.2011 Revised: 02.12.2011 Accepted: 23.12.2011

ABSTRACT

In this paper a general fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying an ϕ - implicit relation is proved, which generalize the results from [3] and [14].

Keywords: fixed point, G - metric space, implicit relation, ϕ - implicit relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], [5] Dhage introduced a new class of generalized metric space, called D - metric space. Mustafa and Sims [12], [13] proved that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structures of D - metric spaces are incorrect and introduced an appropriate notion of generalized metric space, named G - metric space. In fact, Mustafa and other authors [3], [7] - [15], [18] studied many fixed point results for self mappings in a G - metric space under certain conditions. In [6] and [18] some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying ϕ - maps are proved. In [16], [17], Popa initiated the study of fixed points for mappings satisfying implicit relations. In [2] Altun and Turkoglu introduced a new type of implicit relations satisfying a ϕ - map. The purpose of this paper is to prove a general fixed point theorem in G - metric spaces for mappings satisfying an $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ - implicit relation which generalize the results from [3] and [14].

Definition 2.1 ([13]) Let X be a nonempty set and $G: X^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ satisfying the following properties: $(G_1): G(x, y, z) = 0$ if x = y = z; $(G_2): 0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$; $(G_3): G(x, x, y) \le G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \neq z$; $(G_4): G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = ...$ (symmetry in all three variables); $(G_5): G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality). Then, the function G is called a G - metric on X and (X, G) is called a G - metric space. Note that if G(x, y, z) = 0 then x = y = z.

Definition 2.2 ([13]) Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. A sequence (x_n) in X is said to be

^{2.} PRELIMINARIES

[♠]Corresponding author, e-mail: alina.patriciu@ub.ro

• G - convergent if for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \ge k$, $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

• *G* - Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n, p \ge k$, $G(x_n, x_m, x_p) < \varepsilon$, that is $G(x_n, x_m, x_p) \to 0$ as $n, m, p \to \infty$.

A space (X,G) is called G - complete if every G - Cauchy sequence in (X,G) is G convergent.

Lemma 2.1 ([13]) Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. Then the following properties are equivalent:

1) (x_n) is G - convergent to x;

2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$;

3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

4) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \to 0 \text{ as } n, m \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.2 ([13]) If (X,G) is a G - metric space, then the following are equivalent:

1) The sequence (x_n) is G - Cauchy;

2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for n, m > k.

Lemma 2.3 ([13]) Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. Then, the function G(x,y,z) is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

3. IMPLICIT RELATIONS

Definition 3.1. A function $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a ϕ function, $f \in \phi$ if f is a nondecreasing function such

that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(t) < \infty$, for all f(t) < t for t > 0 and f(0) = 0.

Definition 3.2. Let F_{φ} be the set of all continuous

functions $F(t_1,...,t_6): \mathbf{R}^6_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ such that: (F₁): F is nonincreasing in t₅,

(F₂): There exists a function $\phi_1 \in \phi$ such that for all $u, v \ge 0$, $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) \le 0$ implies $u \le \phi_1(v)$, (F₃): There exists a function $\phi_2 \in \phi$ such that for all

t,t' > 0, $F(t,t,0,0,t,t') \le 0$ implies $t \le \phi_2(t')$.

Example3.1.

 $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - ct_4 - dt_5 - et_6, \quad where \\ a > 0, \ b, c, d, e \ge 0, \ a + b + c + 2d + e < 1. \\ (F_1): \text{ Obviously.}$

 $(F_2): Let u, v \ge 0 be and$ $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - av - bv - cu - d(u + v) \le 0$ which implies $u \le \frac{a+b+d}{1-c-d}v$ and (F_2) is satisfied for

$$\phi_1(t) = \frac{a+b+d}{1-(c+d)}t$$

$$(F_3): \quad \text{Let} \qquad t, t' > 0 \qquad \text{be} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t - at - dt - et' \le 0 \qquad \text{which} \qquad \text{implies}$$

$$t \le \frac{e}{1-(a+d)}t' \quad \text{and} \qquad (F_3) \qquad \text{is satisfied} \qquad \text{for}$$

$$\phi_2(t) = \frac{e}{1-(a+d)}t$$

Example 3.2. $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - k \max\{t_2,...,t_6\}$, where $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. (F_1) : Obviously.

 $\begin{array}{lll} (F_2): & \text{Let} & u,v \ge 0 & \text{be} & \text{and} \\ F(u,v,v,u,u+v,0) = u-k\max\{u,v,u+v\} \le 0 & \text{Hence} \\ u \le \frac{k}{1-k}v & \text{and} & (F_2) & \text{is satisfied for } \phi_1(t) = \frac{k}{1-k}t \\ (F_3): & \text{Let} & t,t'>0 & \text{be} & \text{and} \\ F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t-k\max\{t,t'\} \le 0 & \text{If} & t>t', & \text{then} \\ t(1-k) \le 0, & \text{a contradiction. Hence} & t \le t' & \text{which} \\ & \text{implies} & t \le kt' & \text{and} & (F_3) & \text{is satisfied for } \phi_2(t) = kt. \end{array}$

Example3.3.

$$F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - k \max\left\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\right\}, \quad where$$

 $k \in (0,1)$.

 (F_1) : Obviously. (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$

$$F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - k \max\left\{u, v, \frac{u + v}{2}\right\} \le 0.$$
 If

be

and

u > v, then $u(1-k) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le v$ which implies $u \le kv$ and (F_2) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = kt$.

(F₃): Let t, t' > 0 be and $F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t - k \max\left\{t, \frac{t+t'}{2}\right\} \le 0$. If t > t', then $t(1-k) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $t \le t'$ which implies $t \le kt'$ and (F₃) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = kt$.

Example3.4.

 $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1^2 - t_1(at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4) - dt_5t_6, \quad \text{where}$ $a > 0, \ b, c, d \ge 0, \ a + b + c < 1.$ $(F_1): \text{ Obviously.}$ $(F_2): \quad \text{Let} \quad u, v \ge 0 \quad \text{be} \quad \text{and}$ $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u^2 - u(av + bv + cu) \le 0. \quad \text{If}$ $u > 0, \text{ then } u \le \frac{a + b}{1 - c}v \text{ . If } u = 0 \text{ then } u \le \frac{a + b}{1 - c}v \text{ and}$ $(F_2) \text{ is satisfied for } \phi_1(t) = \frac{a + b}{1 - c}t.$

(F₃): Let
$$t,t' > 0$$
 be and
 $F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t^2 - at^2 - ctt' \le 0$, which implies
 $t \le \frac{c}{1-a}t'$ and (F₃) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = \frac{c}{1-a}t$.

Example3.5.

$$F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - k \max\left\{t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\right\}, \text{ where } k \in (0,1).$$

 $\kappa \in (0,1)$.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

$$(F_2): \quad \text{Let} \quad u, v \ge 0 \quad \text{be} \quad \text{and}$$
$$F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - k \max\left\{v, \frac{u+v}{2}\right\} \le 0. \quad \text{If}$$

u > v, then $u(1-k) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le v$ which implies $u \le kv$ and (F_2) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = kt$.

(F₃): Let t, t' > 0 be and $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t') = t - k \max\left\{t, \frac{t+t'}{2}\right\} \le 0$. If t > t' then

 $t(1-k) \le 0$, a contradiction, hence $t \le t'$, which implies $t \le kt'$ and (F_3) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = kt$.

Example 3.6.
$$F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1^3 - c \frac{t_3^2 t_4^2 + t_5^2 t_6^2}{1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4}$$
,
where $c \in (0,1)$.

where $c \in (0,1)$.

(F₁): Obviously. (F₂): Let $u, v \ge 0$ be and $2 - u^2 v^2$

$$F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u^3 - c \frac{u v}{1 + 2v + u} \le 0$$
. If $u > 0$

then $u \le cv \frac{v}{1+2v+u} \le cv$. If u = 0, then $u \le cv$ and (F_2) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = ct$.

$$(F_3): \quad \text{Let} \quad t,t' > 0 \quad \text{be} \quad \text{and}$$

$$F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t^3 - \frac{ct^2(t')^2}{1+t} \le 0, \quad \text{which} \quad \text{implies}$$

$$t^2 \le c \frac{t}{1+t} (t')^2 \le c(t')^2, \quad \text{from where} \quad t \le \sqrt{c}t' \quad \text{and}$$

(*F*₃) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = \sqrt{ct}$.

Example 3.7. $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1^2 - at_2^2 - c \frac{t_5t_6}{1+t_3^2+t_4^2}$, where a > 0, $c \ge 0$, a + c < 1. (F_1) : Obviously. (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be and $F(u,v,v,u,u+v,0) = u^2 - av^2 \le 0$. Hence $u \le \sqrt{av}$ and (F_2) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = \sqrt{at}$.

 (F_3) : Let t, t' > 0 be and

 $F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t^2 - at^2 - ctt' \le 0, \text{ which implies}$ $t \le \frac{c}{1-a}t' \text{ and } (F_3) \text{ is satisfied for } \phi_2(t) = \frac{c}{1-a}t.$

Example3.8.

 $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - c \max\{2t_4, t_5 + t_6\}$ where a > 0, $b, c \ge 0$, a + b + 2c < 1. (F_1) : Obviously. (F_2) : Let $u, v \geq 0$ be and $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - av - bv - c \max\{2u, u + v\} \le 0.$ If $u \ge v$, then $u(1-(a+b+2c)) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le v$ which implies $u \le \frac{a+b+c}{1-c}v$ and (F_2) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = \frac{a+b+c}{1-c}t$. (F_3) : Let t, t' > 0be and $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t') = t - at - c(t + t') \le 0$, which implies $t \le \frac{c}{1-(a+c)}t'$ and (F_3) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = \frac{c}{1 - (a + c)}t.$

Example3.9.

 $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - c \max\{t_4 + t_5, 2t_6\},\$ where a > 0, $b, c \ge 0$, a + b + 3c < 1. (F_1) : Obviously. (F_2) : Let $u, v \geq 0$ be and $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - av - bv - c(2u + v) \le 0$ which implies $u \leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-2c}v$ and (F_2) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = \frac{a+b+c}{1-2c}t.$ (F_3) : t, t' > 0Let be and $F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t - at - c \max\{t,2t'\} \le 0$. If t > 2t'then $t(1-(a+c)) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $t \le 2t'$ which implies $t \leq \frac{2c}{1-a}t'$ and (F_3) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = \frac{2c}{1-a}t.$

Example3.10.

$$\begin{split} F(t_1,...,t_6) &= t_1 - c \max\{t_2,t_3,\sqrt{t_4t_6},\sqrt{t_5t_6}\}\,, \quad where \\ c &\in (0,1) \;. \end{split}$$

 (F_1) : Obviously.

(F₂): Let $u, v \ge 0$ be and $F(u, v, v, u, u + v, 0) = u - cv \le 0$ which implies $u \le cv$ and (F₂) is satisfied for $\phi_1(t) = ct$.

(F₃): Let t, t' > 0 be and $F(t,t,0,0,t,t') = t - c \max\{t, \sqrt{tt'}\} \le 0$. If t > t' then $t(1-c) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $t \le t'$ which implies $t \le ct'$ and (F_3) is satisfied for $\phi_2(t) = ct$.

4. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 4.1 Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. Suppose that F(G(Tx,Ty,Ty),G(x,y,y),G(x,Tx,Tx),(4.1) $G(y,Ty,Ty),G(x,Ty,Ty),G(y,Tx,Tx)) \le 0,$ for all $x, y \in X$ where F satisfies condition (F₃). Then T has at most a fixed point. *Proof.* Suppose that T has two distinct fixed points u, v. Then by (4.1) we have successively F(G(Tu,Tv,Tv),G(u,v,v),G(u,Tu,Tu)) $G(v, Tv, Tv), G(u, Tv, Tv), G(v, Tu, Tu)) \leq 0,$ $F(G(u,v,v), G(u,v,v), 0, 0, G(u,v,v), G(v,u,u)) \le 0,$ which implies by (F_3) that $G(u, v, v) \leq \phi_2(G(v, u, u)).$ Similarly, we have $G(v, u, u) \leq \phi_2(G(u, v, v)).$ Hence

 $G(u, v, v) \le \phi_2(G(v, u, u)) \le \phi_2^2(G(u, v, v)) < G(u, v, v),$ a contradiction. Hence u = v.

Theorem 4.2 Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space. Suppose that (4.1) holds for all $x, y \in X$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}_{\Phi}$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By (4.1) for y = Tx we obtain

 $F(G(Tx, T^{2}x, T^{2}x), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(x, Tx, Tx),$ $G(Tx, T^{2}x, T^{2}x), G(x, T^{2}x, T^{2}x), 0) \leq 0.$ By rectangle inequality and (F_{1}) we have that

 $F(G(Tx, T^{2}x, T^{2}x), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(Tx, T^{2}x, T^{2}x), G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(Tx, T^{2}x, T^{2}x), 0) \le 0.$ By (F_{2}) we obtain

 $G(Tx, T^{2}x, T^{2}x) \leq \phi_{1}(G(x, Tx, Tx)). \quad (4.2)$ Let $x_{0} \in X$ be and $x_{n} = Tx_{n-1}, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Hence $G(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \phi_{1}(G(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n}))$

$$\leq \phi_1^2(G(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))$$

 $\leq ... \leq \phi_1^n(G(x_0, x_1, x_1)).$

By rectangle inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} G(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\leq G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \ldots + G(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_m) \\ &\leq \phi_1^n (G(x_0, x_1, x_1)) + \ldots + \phi_1^{m-1} (G(x_0, x_1, x_1)) \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \phi_1^k (G(x_0, x_1, x_1)). \end{split}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_1^k (G(x_0, x_1, x_1)) < \infty$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $m > n \ge k$,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \phi_1^k (G(x_0, x_1, x_1)) < \varepsilon .$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2 that $\{x_n\}$ is a *G* - Cauchy sequence in a complete *G* - metric space and so has a limit *u*. We prove that u = Tu. By (4.1) we have successively

$$\begin{split} &F(G(Tx_n,Tu,Tu),G(x_n,u,u),G(x_n,Tx_n,Tx_n),\\ &G(u,Tu,Tu),G(x_n,Tu,Tu),G(u,Tx_n,Tx_n))\leq 0,\\ &F(G(x_{n+1},Tu,Tu),G(x_n,u,u),G(x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}),\\ &G(u,Tu,Tu),G(x_n,Tu,Tu),G(u,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}))\leq 0.\\ &\text{Letting n tend to infinity we obtain}\\ &F(G(u,Tu,Tu),0,0,G(u,Tu,Tu),G(u,Tu,Tu),0)\leq 0.\\ &\text{By}\quad (F_2)\quad \text{we obtain}\quad G(u,Tu,Tu)\leq \phi(0)=0 \ . \ \text{Hence}\\ &u=Tu \ , \text{ and u is a fixed point of T.} \ \text{By Theorem 4.1 u}\\ &\text{is the unique fixed point of T.} \end{split}$$

Corollary 4.1 (Theorem 2.1 [14]) Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfy for all $x, y, z \in X$ the inequality $G(Tx,Ty,Tz) \le k \max\{G(x,y,z), G(x,Tx,Tx), G(y,Ty,Ty),$ $G(x,Ty,Ty), G(y,Tz,Tz), G(z,Tx,Tx)\}$ (4.3)

where $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. If z = y by (4.3) we obtain

 $G(Tx,Ty,Ty) \le k \max\{G(x,y,y), G(x,Tx,Tx), G(y,Ty,Ty), G(x,Ty,Ty), 0, G(y,Tx,Tx)\}.$ By Theorem 4.2 and Example 3.2. T has a unique five

By Theorem 4.2 and Example 3.2, *T* has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 4.2 (Theorem 3.2 [14]) Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le k \max\{G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(y, Tx, Tx), G(y, Tz, Tz) + G(z, Ty, Ty), G(x, Tz, Tz) + G(z, Tx, Tx)\}$$
(4.4)

where $k \in (0,1)$. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. If z = y we obtain by (4.4) that $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le k \max\{G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(y, Tx, Tx), 2G(y, Ty, Ty)\}.$

By Theorem 4.2 and Example 3.9 with a = b = 0 and c = k, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 4.3 (Theorem 2.6 [14]) Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality for all $x, y, z \in X$ $G(Tx,Ty,Tz) \le k \max\{G(y,Ty,Ty) + G(x,Ty,Ty), 2G(y,Tx,Tx)\},$ *Proof.* By Theorem 4.2 and Example 3.9 with a = b = 0 and c = k, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 4.4 (Theorem 2.8 [14]) Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le k \max\{G(z, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Tx, Tx), G(y, Tz, Tz) + G(x, Tz, Tz), G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(y, Ty, Ty)\}$$

$$(4.6)$$

where $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. If z = y by (4.6) we obtain $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le k \max\{G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(x, Ty, Ty), 2G(y, Tx, Tx)\}$

and the proof follows by Corollary 4.3.

Corollary 4.5 (Theorem 2.1 [3]) Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx,Ty,Tz) &\leq k \max\{G(x,y,z),G(x,Tx,Tx),G(y,Ty,Ty),\\ \underline{G(x,Ty,Ty)+G(z,Tx,Tx)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\frac{2}{G(y,Tz,Tz) + G(z,Ty,Ty)}}{\frac{2}{G(x,Tz,Tz) + G(z,Tx,Tx)}},$$

where $k \in (0,1)$. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. If z = y by (4.7) we obtain $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le k \max\{G(x, y, y), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), \frac{G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(y, Tx, Tx)}{2}\}.$

By Theorem 4.2 and Example 3.3, *T* has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 4.6 (Theorem 2.2 [3]) Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx,Ty,Tz) &\leq k \max\{G(x,y,z), G(x,Tx,Tx), G(y,Ty,Ty), \\ G(x,Ty,Ty), G(z,Tx,Tx)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Then, T has a unique fixed point. Proof. If y = z we obtain

$$G(Tx,Ty,Ty) \le k \max\{G(x,y,y), G(x,Tx,Tx), G(y,Ty,Ty), G(x,Ty,Ty), G(y,Tx,Tx)\}.$$

By Theorem 4.2 and Example 3.2, *T* has a unique fixed point.

Remark 4.1.

1) In the proof of Theorem 2.2 [3], $k \in [0,1)$.

2) By Examples 3.1, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.10 we obtain new results.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., Nazir, T. and Radanović, S., "Some periodic point results in generalized metric spaces", *Appl. Math. and Computation*, 217: 4094 – 4099, (2010).
- [2] Altun, I., Turkoglu, D., "Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation", *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 13(4): 1291 – 1304, (2009).
- [3] Chung, R., Kasian, T., Rasie A. and Rhoades, B. E., "Property (P) in G - metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Art. ID 401684, p.12, (2010).
- [4] Dhage, B. C., "Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point", *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 84: 329 – 336, (1992).
- [5] Dhage, B. C., "Generalized metric spaces and topological structures I", *Anal. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iasi Ser. Mat.* 46(1): 3 – 24, (2000).
- [6] Karayian H., Telci, M., "Common fixed points of two maps in complete G - metric spaces", *Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inf., Univ. V. Alecsandri Bacău*, 20(2): 39 – 48, (2010).
- [7] Manro, S., Bhatia S. S., Kumar, S., "Expansion mappings theorems in G - metric spaces", *Intern. J. Contemp. Math., Sci.*, 5(51): 2529 – 2535, (2010).
- [8] Mustafa, Z., Obiedat, H., "A fixed point theorem of Reich in G - metric spaces", *Cubo A Math. J.*, 12: 83 – 93, (2010).
- [9] Mustafa, Z., Obiedat H., Awawdeh, F., "Some fixed point theorems for mappings on G complete metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory* and Applications, Article ID 189870, p.12, (2008).
- [10] Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W., Bataineh, M., "Fixed point theorem on uncomplete G - metric spaces", *J. Math. Statistics*, 4(4): 190 – 201, (2008).
- [11] Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W., Bataineh, M.,

"Existence of fixed point results in G - metric spaces", *Intern. J. Math., Math. Sci.*, Article ID 283028, 10 pages, (2009).

- [12] Mustafa, Z., Sims, B., "Some remarks concerning D - metric spaces", *Intern. Conf. Fixed Point. Theory and Applications*, Yokohama, 189 – 198, (2004).
- [13] Mustafa, Z., Sims, B., "A new approach to generalized metric spaces", J. Nonlinear Convex Analysis, 7: 289 – 297, (2006).
- [14] Mustafa, Z., Sims, B., "Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 917175, 10 pages, (2009).

- [15] Obiedat, H., Mustafa, Z., "Fixed point results on nonsymmetric G - metric spaces", *Jordan J. Math. Statistics*, 3(2): 39 – 48, (2010).
- [16] Popa, V., "Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings", *Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău*, 7: 129 – 133, (1997).
- [17] Popa, V., "Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying implicit relations", *Demonstratio Math.*, 32: 157 – 163, (1999).
- [18] Shatanawi, W., "Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying Φ - maps in G - metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 181650, 9 pages, (2010).