

A New Modular Space Derived by Euler Totient Function

ISSN: 2651-544X

<http://dergipark.gov.tr/cpost>

Merve İlkhani^{1*} Emrah Evren Kara² Fuat Usta³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce university, Düzce, Turkey, ORCID: 0000-0002-0831-1474

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce university, Düzce, Turkey, ORCID: 0000-0002-6398-4065

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce university, Düzce, Turkey, ORCID: 0000-0002-7750-6910

* Corresponding Author E-mail: merveilkhan@düzce.edu.tr

Abstract: In this study, we introduce the Euler Totient sequence spaces in generalized Orlicz space and we examine some topological properties of these spaces by using the Luxemburg norm.

Keywords: Euler Totient function, Modular space, Orlicz sequence space, Luxemburg norm

1 Introduction and background

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [1] used the idea of Orlicz function M to construct the sequence space ℓ_M of all sequences of scalars (x_k) such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0$. The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a Banach space and it is called as Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is closely related to the space $\ell_p = \{(x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty\}$ which is an Orlicz space with $M(x) = x^p$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Definition 1. [2] A function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an Orlicz function if it is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $M(2x) \leq KM(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. It is easy to see that always $K > 2$.

Equivalently, an Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if $M(lx) \leq K(l)M(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$, where $l > 1$.

A simple example of an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition is given by $M(x) = \alpha|x|^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 1$), since we have $M(2x) = \alpha 2^\alpha |x|^\alpha = 2^\alpha M(x)$.

Definition 2. [2] Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{R} . A function $\rho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a modular if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\rho(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \theta$ (zero vector of X),
- (2) $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (3) $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

If the condition

- (3') $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha\rho(x) + \beta\rho(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$

holds instead of (3), then ρ is called a convex modular.

If ρ is a modular on X , then the linear space

$$X_\rho = \{x \in X : \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \rho(\delta x) = 0\}$$

is called a modular space.

Definition 3. [2] A sequence (x_n) in X_ρ is called ρ -convergent to $x_0 \in X_\rho$ if $\rho(\delta(x_n - x_0)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$.

A sequence (x_n) in X_ρ is called ρ -Cauchy if $\rho(\delta(x_n - x_m)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$.

The space X_ρ is called ρ -complete if every ρ -Cauchy sequence in this space is ρ -convergent.

Definition 4. Let E be a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R} . The generalized Orlicz space is defined as follows:

$$L_M = \{f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is Lebesgue measurable and } \int_E M(\delta |f(x)|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \delta > 0\}.$$

The function $\rho_M : L_M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\rho_M(f) = \int_E M(|f(x)|) dx$$

is a modular on L_M and the space L_M is ρ_M -complete.

The generalized Orlicz space L_M is a Banach space with the Luxemburg norm given by

$$\|f\|_M = \inf\{\gamma > 0 : \rho_M\left(\frac{f}{\gamma}\right) \leq 1\}.$$

Throughout the study, by $\omega(L_M)$, we denote the space of all sequences in L_M .

Let φ denote the Euler function. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 1$, $\varphi(m)$ is the number of positive integers less than m which are coprime with m and $\varphi(1) = 1$. If $p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$ is the prime factorization of a natural number $m > 1$, then

$$\varphi(m) = m \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right).$$

Also, the equality

$$m = \sum_{k|m} \varphi(k)$$

holds for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi(m_1 m_2) = \varphi(m_1) \varphi(m_2)$, where $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime [4]. One can consult to [5] for more details related to these functions.

The Φ -summability was introduced by Schoenberg [3] for the purpose of studying the Riemann integrability of a generalized Dirichlet function in the range $[0, 1]$. This method is called φ -convergence which is a weaker form of usual convergence. The infinite matrix $\Phi = (\phi_{ij})$ is defined as

$$\phi_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j} & , \text{ if } j | i \\ 0 & , \text{ if } j \nmid i \end{cases}$$

The matrix Φ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\phi_{ij}|) < \infty$,
2. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{ij} = 0$ for each fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
3. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{ij} = 1$

and so it is a regular matrix.

By using this matrix, İlkhān and Kara [6] have introduced the sequence spaces $\ell_p(\Phi)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Phi)$ as

$$\ell_p(\Phi) = \left\{ u = (u_n) \in \omega : \sum_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) u_k \right|^p < \infty \right\} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty)$$

and

$$\ell_\infty(\Phi) = \left\{ u = (u_n) \in \omega : \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) u_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

In the literature, there are many papers on sequence spaces using Orlicz function. Later these spaces are generalized by using the Lebesgue integral with Orlicz function. In [7], the authors have generalized the Cesàro sequence spaces in the classical Banach space L_p to the generalized Orlicz space L_M . In this paper, we generalize Euler sequence spaces to the generalized Orlicz space and obtain a modular space. Also, we examine some topological properties of these spaces by using the Luxemburg norm.

2 Main results

Now, we introduce the Euler Totient sequence spaces in generalized Orlicz space as follows:

$$W(M, \Phi) = \{(f_k) \in \omega(L_M) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(\lambda |f_k - f_0|) = 0 \text{ for some } \lambda > 0, f_0 \in L_M\},$$

$$W^\infty(M, \Phi) = \{(f_k) \in \omega(L_M) : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(\lambda |f_k|) = 0 \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

Theorem 1. *If the Orlicz function M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, then the following equalities hold:*

$$W(M, \Phi) = \{(f_k) \in \omega(L_M) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(|f_k - f_0|) = 0, f_0 \in L_M\},$$

$$W^\infty(M, \Phi) = \{(f_k) \in \omega(L_M) : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(|f_k|) = 0\}.$$

Proof: Denote the right hand side of the first equality by $W_0(\rho_M, \Phi)$. It is clear that $W_0(\rho_M, \Phi) \subset W(M, \Phi)$.

Now, choose $(f_k) \in W(M, \Phi)$. If $\lambda \geq 1$, we have $(f_k) \in W_0(\rho_M, \Phi)$ since M is a non-decreasing function. If $\lambda < 1$, there exists $K(\lambda) > 0$ such that $M(\frac{x}{\lambda}) \leq K(\lambda)M(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$ since M satisfies Δ_2 -condition. Hence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(|f_k - f_0|) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \int_E M\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} |f_k(x) - f_0(x)|\right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{K(\lambda)}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \int_E M(\lambda |f_k(x) - f_0(x)|) dx \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves that $(f_k) \in W_0(\rho_M, \Phi)$. Hence, we conclude that $W(M, \Phi) = W_0(\rho_M, \Phi)$. □

Remark 1. *Note that if the Orlicz function M is defined by $M(x) = |x|^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$, then the space is reduced to the following space*

$$W(p, \Phi) = \{(f_k) \in \omega(L_p) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \int_E |f_k(x) - f_0(x)|^p dx = 0, f_0 \in L_p\},$$

where $L_p = \{f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_E |f(x)|^p dx < \infty\}$.

Using the fact that ρ_M is a convex modular on L_M , we obtain the following results.

Theorem 2. *The function $\rho : \omega(L_M) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by*

$$\rho(f) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \rho_M(f_k)$$

is a convex modular, where $f = (f_k) \in \omega(L_M)$.

Theorem 3. *The space*

$$W^\infty(M, \Phi) = \{f \in \omega(L_M) : \rho(\lambda f) < \infty, \lambda > 0\}$$

is a modular space.

Proof: Clearly, the space $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ is linear. Also, $(\omega(L_M))_\rho = \{f \in \omega(L_M) : \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \rho(\lambda f) = 0\} \subset W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ holds. To prove the inverse inclusion, choose $f \in W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ which means $\rho(\lambda f) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$. By convexity of ρ , for $|\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}| < 1$, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \rho(\alpha f) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \rho(\lambda f) = 0.$$

This implies that $f \in (\omega(L_M))_\rho$. □

Since ρ is a modular, we can define the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ as

$$\|f\|_\rho = \inf\{\gamma > 0 : \rho\left(\frac{f}{\gamma}\right) \leq 1, f \in W^\infty(M, \Phi)\}.$$

Definition 5. *Let (f^n) be a sequence in $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$.*

It is said to be ρ -convergent or modular convergent to $f \in W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\lambda(f^n - f)) = 0$.

It is said to be ρ -Cauchy if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\lambda(f^n - f^m)) = 0$.

Theorem 4. *The space $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ is ρ -complete.*

Theorem 5. *The space $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$ is complete with the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$.*

Theorem 6. *If the Orlicz function M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, then the norm convergence and modular convergence are equivalent.*

Theorem 7. *The space $W(M, \Phi)$ is a closed subspace of $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$.*

Proof: It is clear that $W(M, \Phi)$ is a linear subspace of $W^\infty(M, \Phi)$. Now, let (f^m) be a convergent sequence in $W(M, \Phi)$. Since $f^m \in W(M, \Phi)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $f_0^m \in L_M$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(\lambda(f_k^m - f_0^m)) = 0$. Also, since (f^m) is convergent, then $\rho(\lambda(f^m - f)) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for some $f = (f_k) \in W^\infty(M, \Phi)$. Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(\lambda(f_k^m - f_k)) \rightarrow 0$$

. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k|n} \varphi(k) \rho_M(\lambda(f_k - f_0^m)) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which implies that $f = (f_k) \in W(M, \Phi)$. Thus the space $W(M, \Phi)$ is closed. □

3 References

- [1] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math., **10** (1971), 379-390.
- [2] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Space*, New York, Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [3] I. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **66** (1959), 361-375.
- [4] E. Kovac, *On φ convergence and φ density*, Math. Slovaca **55** (2005), 329-351.
- [5] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman, H. L. Montgomery, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, (5th edition), Wiley, New York, 1991.
- [6] M. İlkhani, E. E. Kara, *A new Banach space defined by Euler totient matrix operator*, Oper. Matrices, **13**(2) (2019), 527-544.
- [7] H. Haryadi, S. Supama, A. Zulijanto, *A generalization of Cesaro sequence spaces in the Orlicz space*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1008** (2018), 012020.