

A REMARK ON MEROMORPHICALLY MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH MISSING COEFFICIENTS

Jin-Lin Liu *

Received 02:08:2010 : Accepted 12:03:2012

Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to derive certain properties of meromorphically multivalent functions with missing coefficients.

Keywords: Analytic function; meromorphically multivalent function, subordination, missing coefficient.

2000 AMS Classification: 30C45.

1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma_p(n)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^{-p+k} \quad (p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk $U^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. For functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ analytic in U , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U , and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in U such that

$$|w(z)| \leq |z| \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in U).$$

Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Many important properties and characteristics of various interesting subclasses of the class $\Sigma_p(n)$ of meromorphically multivalent functions were investigated extensively by several authors (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13]). In this note we shall derive certain properties of meromorphically multivalent functions with missing coefficients.

*Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225002, P.R.China
E-mail: jlliu@yzu.edu.cn

2. Main results

Our main result is the following.

2.1. Theorem. *Let $f(z)$ belong to the class $\Sigma_p(n)$ and satisfy*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{z^{-p}} \prec -p \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1; z \in U).$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\delta) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{-pz^{-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > \rho \quad (|z| < r_n(p, \gamma, \delta, \rho)),$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $0 < \delta < \frac{1-\rho}{1+p}$ and $r_n(p, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ is the smallest root in $(0, 1)$ of the equation

$$[1 + \rho + (p-1)\delta]r^{2n} - 2(1-\delta+n\delta\gamma)r^n + 1 - \rho - (p+1)\delta = 0.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. From (2.1) we can write

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{-pz^{-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} = \frac{1+z^n\varphi(z)}{1-z^n\varphi(z)},$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is analytic and $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ in U . Differentiating both sides of (2.3) logarithmically, we arrive at

$$(2.4) \quad 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = -p + \frac{2n\gamma z^n \varphi(z)}{1 - (z^n \varphi(z))^2} + \frac{2\gamma z^{n+1} \varphi'(z)}{1 - (z^n \varphi(z))^2} \quad (z \in U).$$

Put $|z| = r < 1$ and $\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{-pz^{-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} = u + iv$ ($u, v \in R$). Then (2.3) implies that

$$(2.5) \quad z^n \varphi(z) = \frac{u-1+iv}{u+1+iv}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1-r^n}{1+r^n} \leq u \leq \frac{1+r^n}{1-r^n}.$$

With the help of the Carathéodory inequality (see also [8]):

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq \frac{1-|\varphi(z)|^2}{1-r^2},$$

it follows from (2.5) that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\delta) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{-pz^{-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} \\ & \geq (1-\delta)u - p\delta + 2n\delta\gamma \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^n \varphi(z)}{1 - (z^n \varphi(z))^2} \right\} - 2\delta\gamma \left| \frac{z^{n+1} \varphi'(z)}{1 - (z^n \varphi(z))^2} \right| \\ & \geq (1-\delta)u - p\delta + \frac{n\delta\gamma}{2} \left(u - \frac{u}{u^2 + v^2} \right) + \frac{\delta\gamma}{2} \frac{(u-1)^2 + v^2 - r^{2n}((u+1)^2 + v^2)}{r^{n-1}(1-r^2)(u^2 + v^2)^{1/2}} \\ & = F_n(u, v) \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v} F_n(u, v) = \delta\gamma v G_n(u, v),$$

where $0 < r < 1$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and

$$(2.9) \quad G_n(u, v) = \frac{nu}{(u^2 + v^2)^2} + \frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)(u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{r^{2n}((u+1)^2 + v^2) - ((u-1)^2 + v^2)}{2r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)(u^2 + v^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} > 0$$

because of (2.5) and (2.6). Since $F_n(u, v)$ is a even function of v , from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we see that

$$(2.10) \quad F_n(u, v) \geq F_n(u, 0) = (1 - \delta)u + p\delta + \frac{n\delta\gamma}{2} \left(u - \frac{1}{u}\right) + \frac{\delta\gamma}{2r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} \left[(1 - r^{2n}) \left(u + \frac{1}{u}\right) - 2(1 + r^{2n}) \right].$$

Let us now calculate the minimum value of $F_n(u, 0)$ on the closed interval $\left[\frac{1-r^n}{1+r^n}, \frac{1+r^n}{1-r^n}\right]$. Noting that

$$\frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} \geq n \quad (\text{see [12]})$$

and (2.6), we deduce from (2.10) that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} F_n(u, 0) &= 1 - \delta + \frac{\delta\gamma}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} + n \right) - \frac{1}{u^2} \left(\frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} - n \right) \right] \\ &\geq 1 - \delta + \frac{\delta\gamma}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} + n \right) - \left(\frac{1 + r^n}{1 - r^n} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1 - r^{2n}}{r^{n-1}(1 - r^2)} - n \right) \right] = 1 - \delta + \frac{2\delta\gamma I_n(r)}{(1 - r^n)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_n(r) = \frac{n}{2}(1 + r^{2n}) - r(1 + r^2 + \dots + r^{2n-2}).$$

Also

$$I'_n(r) = n^2 r^{2n-1} - (1 + 3r^2 + \dots + (2n-1)r^{2n-2}).$$

$I'_1(r) = r - 1 < 0$. Suppose that $I'_n(r) < 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{n+1}(r) &= (n+1)^2 r^{2n+1} - (2n+1)r^{2n} - (1 + 3r^2 + \dots + (2n-1)r^{2n-2}) \\ &< n^2 r^{2n} - (1 + 3r^2 + \dots + (2n-1)r^{2n-2}) \\ &< I'_n(r) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by virtue of the mathematical induction, we have $I'_n(r) < 0$ for all $n \in N$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. This implies that

$$(2.12) \quad I_n(r) > I_n(1) = 0 \quad (n \in N; 0 \leq r < 1).$$

In view of (2.11) and (2.12), we see that

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{d}{du} F_n(u, 0) > 0 \quad \left(\frac{1 - r^n}{1 + r^n} \leq u \leq \frac{1 + r^n}{1 - r^n} \right).$$

Further it follows from (2.7), (2.10) and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{-pz^{-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} - \rho \geq F_n \left(\frac{1 - r^n}{1 + r^n}, 0 \right) - \rho \\
 & = (1 - \delta) \frac{1 - r^n}{1 + r^n} + \delta \frac{-p - 2n\gamma r^n + pr^{2n}}{1 - r^{2n}} - \rho \\
 (2.14) \quad & = \frac{J_n(r)}{1 - r^{2n}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_n(r) = [1 + \rho + (p - 1)\delta]r^{2n} - 2(1 - \delta + n\delta\gamma)r^n + 1 - \rho - (p + 1)\delta.$$

Note that $J_n(0) = 1 - \rho - (p + 1)\delta > 0$ and $J_n(1) = -2n\delta\gamma < 0$. If we let $r_n(p, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ denote the smallest root in $(0, 1)$ of the equation $J_n(r) = 0$, then (2.14) yields the desired result (2.2).

To see that the bound $r_n(p, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ is the best possible, we consider the function

$$(2.15) \quad f(z) = -p \int_0^z t^{-p-1} \left(\frac{1+t^n}{1-t^n} \right)^\gamma dt.$$

It is clear that for $z = r \in (r_n(p, \gamma, \delta, \rho), 1)$,

$$(1 - \delta) \left(\frac{rf'(r)}{-pr^{-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{rf''(r)}{f'(r)} \right) - \rho = \frac{J_n(r)}{1 - r^{2n}} < 0,$$

which shows that the bound $r_n(p, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ can not be increased.

Taking $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem, we get the following.

2.2. Corollary. *Let $f(z)$ belong to the class $\Sigma_p(n)$ and satisfy*

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{z^{-p}} \prec -p \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) \quad (z \in U).$$

Then

$$(2.17) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \delta) \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{pz^{-p}} \right) + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > \rho \quad (|z| < r_n(p, 1, \delta, \rho)),$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $0 < \delta < \frac{1-\rho}{1+p}$ and $r_n(p, 1, \delta, \rho)$ is the smallest root in $(0, 1)$ of the equation

$$[1 + \rho + (p - 1)\delta]r^{2n} - 2(1 - \delta + n\delta)r^n + 1 - \rho - (p + 1)\delta = 0.$$

The result is sharp.

Letting $p = 1$ in Theorem, we have

2.3. Corollary. *Let $f(z)$ belong to the class $\Sigma_p(n)$ and satisfy*

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{z^{-2}} \prec - \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1; z \in U).$$

Then

$$(2.19) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \delta) \left(-\frac{f'(z)}{z^{-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > \rho \quad (|z| < r_n(1, \gamma, \delta, \rho)),$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $0 < \delta < \frac{1-\rho}{2}$ and $r_n(1, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ is the smallest root in $(0, 1)$ of the equation

$$(1 + \rho)r^{2n} - 2(1 - \delta + n\delta\gamma)r^n + 1 - \rho - 2\delta = 0.$$

The result is sharp. □

3. Acknowledgement

The author would like to express sincere thanks to the referee for careful reading and suggestions which help me to improve the paper.

References

- [1] Ali R. M. and Ravichandran, V. *Differential subordination for meromorphic functions defined by a linear operator*, J. Anal. Appl. **2**, 149–158, 2004.
- [2] Ali, R. M., Ravichandran V., Seenivasagan, N. *Subordination and superordination of the Liu-Srivastava linear operator on meromorphic functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **31** (2), 193–207, 2008.
- [3] Ali, R. M. Ravichandran V., Seenivasagan, N. *On subordination and superordination of the multiplier transformation for meromorphic functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **33** (2), 311–324, 2010.
- [4] Cho, N. E. Kwon O. S., Srivastava, H. M. *Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **16**, 647–659, 2005.
- [5] Cho, N. E. Kwon O. S., Srivastava, H. M. *Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **300**, 505–520, 2004.
- [6] Cho N. E., Noor, K. I. *Inclusion properties for certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **320**, 779–786, 2006.
- [7] Liu J.-L., Srivastava, H. M. *Some convolution conditions for starlikeness and convexity of meromorphically multivalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **16**, 13–16, 2003.
- [8] MacGregor, T. H. *The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14**, 521–524, 1963.
- [9] Ravichandran, V. Kumar S. S., Subramanian, K. G. *Convolution conditions for spiral-likeness and convex spirallikeness of certain meromorphic p -valent functions*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **5** (1), Article 11, 7 pp. (electronic), 2004.
- [10] Ravichandran, V., Kumar S. S., Darus, M. *On a subordination theorem for a class of meromorphic functions*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **5** (1), Article 8, 4 pp. (electronic), 2004.
- [11] Supramaniam, S., Ali, R. M., Lee, S. K., Ravichandran, V. *Convolution and differential subordination for multivalent functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **32** (2), 351–360, 2009.
- [12] Yang D.-G. and Liu, J.-L. *On a class of analytic functions with missing coefficients*, Appl. Math. Comput. **215**, 3473–3481, 2010.
- [13] Yuan, S.-M., Liu Z.-M., Srivastava, H. M. *Some inclusion relationship and integral preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337**, 505–515, 2008.