

A NOTE ON MULTIPLIERS OF SUBTRACTION ALGEBRAS

Sang Deok Lee * and Kyung Ho Kim † ‡

Received 30:01:2012 : Accepted 20:03:2012

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of normal ideal of a subtraction algebra and study properties in connection with multipliers of subtraction algebras. The image and inverse image of a normal ideal of a subtraction algebra are proved to be again normal ideals. Also, we characterize the normal ideals of direct products of subtraction algebras. Finally, the concept of a weak congruence is introduced in subtraction algebras and obtain an interconnection between multipliers and weak congruences.

Keywords: Subtraction algebra, multiplier, normal ideal, non-expansive, $Ker f$.

2000 AMS Classification: 08A05, 08A30, 20L05.

1. Introduction

In [4] a partial multiplier on a commutative semigroup (A, \cdot) has been introduced as a function F from a nonvoid subset D_F of A into A such that $F(x) \cdot y = x \cdot F(y)$ for all $x, y \in D_F$. In this paper, we introduce the concept of normal ideal of a subtraction algebra and study properties in connection with multipliers of subtraction algebras. The image and inverse image of a normal ideal of a subtraction algebra are proved to be again normal ideals. Also, we characterize the normal ideals of direct products of subtraction algebras. Finally, the concept of a weak congruence is introduced in subtraction algebras and obtain an interconnection between multipliers and weak congruences.

*Department of Mathematics, Dankook University, Cheonan, 330-714, Korea. E-mail: (S. D. Lee) sdlee@dankook.ac.kr

†Corresponding author.

‡Department of Mathematics, Korea National University of transportation, Chungju, 380-702, Korea. E-mail: (K. H. Kim) ghkim@ut.ac.kr

2. Preliminaries

By a *subtraction algebra* we mean an algebra $(X; -)$ with a single binary operation “ $-$ ” that satisfies the following identities: for any $x, y, z \in X$,

- (S1) $x - (y - x) = x$;
- (S2) $x - (x - y) = y - (y - x)$;
- (S3) $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - y$.

The last identity permits us to omit parentheses in expressions of the form $(x - y) - z$. The subtraction determines an order relation on X : $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a - b = 0$, where $0 = a - a$ is an element that does not depend on the choice of $a \in X$. The ordered set $(X; \leq)$ is a semi-Boolean algebra in the sense of [1], that is, it is a meet semilattice with zero 0 in which every interval $[0, a]$ is a Boolean algebra with respect to the induced order. Here $a \wedge b = a - (a - b)$; the relative complement b' of an element $b \in [0, a]$ is $a - b$; and if $b, c \in [0, a]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} b \vee c &= (b' \wedge c')' = a - ((a - b) \wedge (a - c)) \\ &= a - ((a - b) - ((a - b) - (a - c))). \end{aligned}$$

In a subtraction algebra, the following properties are true: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (p1) $(x - y) - y = x - y$.
- (p2) $x - 0 = x$ and $0 - x = 0$.
- (p3) $(x - y) - x = 0$.
- (p4) $x - (x - y) \leq y$.
- (p5) $(x - y) - (y - x) = x - y$.
- (p6) $x - (x - (x - y)) = x - y$.
- (p7) $(x - y) - (z - y) \leq x - z$.
- (p8) $x \leq y$ if and only if $x = y - w$ for some $w \in X$.
- (p9) $x \leq y$ implies $x - z \leq y - z$ and $z - y \leq z - x$.
- (p10) $x, y \leq z$ implies $x - y = x \wedge (z - y)$.
- (p11) $(x \wedge y) - (x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge (y - z)$.
- (p12) $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - (y - z)$.

A non-empty subset I of a subtraction algebra X is called a *subalgebra* if $x - y \in I$ for all $x, y \in I$. A mapping d from a subtraction algebra X to a subtraction algebra Y is called a *morphism* if $d(x - y) = d(x) - d(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. A self map d of a subtraction algebra X which is a morphism is called an *endomorphism*.

A nonempty subset I of a subtraction algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies

- (I1) $0 \in I$,
- (I2) for any $x, y \in X$, $y \in I$ and $x - y \in I$ implies $x \in I$.

For an ideal I of a subtraction algebra X , it is clear that $x \leq y$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$ for any $x, y \in X$. If $x \leq y$ implies $d(x) \leq d(y)$, d is called an *isotone mapping*.

3. Multipliers in subtraction algebras

In what follows, let X denote a subtraction algebra unless otherwise specified.

3.1. Definition. [7] Let $(X, -, 0)$ be a subtraction algebra. A self-map f is called a *multiplier* if

$$f(x - y) = f(x) - y$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

3.2. Example. [7] Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a subtraction algebra with the following Cayley table

-	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a
b	b	b	0

Define a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, a \\ b & \text{if } x = b \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that f is a multiplier of subtraction algebra X .

3.3. Lemma. [7] *Let f be a multiplier in subtraction algebra X . Then we have $f(0) = 0$.*

3.4. Proposition. *Let X be a subtraction algebra. A multiplier $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an identity map if it satisfies $f(x) - y = x - f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. Suppose that f satisfy the identity $f(x) - y = x - f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $f(x) = f(x - 0) = f(x) - 0 = x - f(0) = x - 0 = x$. Thus f is an identity map. \square

3.5. Proposition. [7] *Let f be a multiplier of a subtraction algebra X . Then f is idempotent, that is, $f^2 = f \circ f = f$.*

In general, every multiplier of X need not be identity. However, in the following theorem, we give a set of conditions which are equivalent to be an identity multiplier.

3.6. Theorem. *Let X be a subtraction algebra. A multiplier f of X is an identity map if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y \in X$,*

- (i) $f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$,
- (ii) $x - f^2(y) = f(x) - f(y)$.

Proof. The condition for necessary is trivial. For sufficiency, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. Then for $x, y \in X$, we get $x - f(y) = x - f^2(y) = f(x) - f(y) = f(x - y)$. Also, by the definition of the multiplier, we have $f(x - y) = f(x) - y$. Hence

$$f(x - y) = f(x) - y = x - f(y).$$

By Proposition 3.4, f is an identity multiplier of X . \square

3.7. Definition. Let X be a subtraction algebra. A non-empty set F of X is called a *normal ideal* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $0 \in F$,
- (ii) $x \in F$ and $y \in X$ imply $x - y \in F$.

3.8. Example. Let $X = \{0, a, b, 1\}$ in which “-” is defined by

-	0	a	b	1
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0
b	b	b	0	0
1	1	b	a	0

It is easy to check that $(X; -, 0)$ is a subtraction algebra. Now consider $F = \{0, a\}$. Then it is easy to check that F is a normal ideal of X .

3.9. Proposition. *Let X be a subtraction algebra. For any $a \in X$, $S_a = \{x - a \mid x \in X\}$ is a subalgebra of X .*

Proof. Let $x - a, y - a \in S_a$. Then $(x - a) - (y - a) = (x - (y - a)) - a \in S_a$. Therefore S_a is a subalgebra of X . \square

3.10. Proposition. *Let X be a subtraction algebra. For any $a \in X$, S_a is a normal ideal of X .*

Proof. Clearly, $0 - a = 0 \in S_a$. Let $r \in X$ and $b \in S_a$. Then $b = x - a$ for some $x \in X$. Hence $b - r = (x - a) - r = (x - r) - a \in S_a$. Therefore S_a is a normal ideal of X . \square

3.11. Proposition. *Let X be a subtraction algebra. For $u, v \in X$, the set*

$$X(u, v) = \{x \mid (x - u) - v = 0\}$$

is a subalgebra of X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in X(u, v)$. Then we have $(x - u) - v = 0$ and $(y - u) - v = 0$. Hence $((x - y) - u) - v = ((x - u) - y) - v = ((x - u) - v) - y = 0 - y = 0$, which implies $x - y \in X(u, v)$. This completes the proof. \square

3.12. Proposition. *Let X be a subtraction algebra. For $u, v \in X$, the set*

$$X(u, v) = \{x \mid (x - u) - v = 0\}$$

is a normal ideal of X , and $u, v \in X(u, v)$.

Proof. Obviously, $0, u, v \in X(u, v)$. Let $x, r \in X$ be such that $x \in X(u, v)$. Then $(x - u) - v = 0$, and so $((x - r) - u) - v = ((x - u) - r) - v = ((x - u) - v) - r = 0 - r = 0$. This implies $x - r \in X(u, v)$. This completes the proof. \square

3.13. Proposition. *Let F is a normal ideal of X . For any $w \in X$, the set*

$$F_w = \{x \mid x - w \in F\}$$

is a subalgebra of X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in F_w$. Then $x - w, y - w \in F$. Therefore, $(x - y) - w = (x - w) - (y - w) \in F$, which implies $x - y \in F_w$. This completes the proof. \square

3.14. Proposition. *If F is a normal ideal of X , the set F_w is a normal ideal containing F and w .*

Proof. Let $w \in X$. Since $0 - w = 0 \in F$, we have $0 \in F_w$. Let $x, r \in X$ be such that $x \in F_w$. Then $x - w \in F$. Therefore, $(x - r) - w = (x - w) - r \in F$, which implies $x - r \in F_w$. Obviously, F_w contains F and w . This completes the proof. \square

Let X_1 and X_2 be two subtraction algebras. Then $X_1 \times X_2$ is also a subtraction algebra with respect to the point-wise operation given by

$$(a, b) - (c, d) = (a - c, b - d)$$

for all $a, c \in X_1$ and $b, d \in X_2$.

3.15. Proposition. *Let X_1 and X_2 be two subtraction algebras. Define a map $f : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$ by $f(x, y) = (x, 0)$ for all $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$. Then f is a multiplier of $X_1 \times X_2$ with respect to the point-wise operation.*

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f((x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)) &= f(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) \\ &= (x_1 - x_2, 0) \\ &= (x_1 - x_2, 0 - y_2) \\ &= (x_1, 0) - (x_2, y_2) \\ &= f(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is a multiplier of the direct product $X_1 \times X_2$. \square

3.16. Theorem. *If F_1 and F_2 are normal ideals of X_1 and X_2 respectively, then $F_1 \times F_2$ is a normal ideal of the product algebra $X_1 \times X_2$.*

Proof. Let F_1 and F_2 be normal ideals of X_1 and X_2 respectively. Since $0 \in F_1$ and $0 \in F_2$, we have $(0, 0) \in F_1 \times F_2$. Let $(x, y) \in X_1 \times X_2$ and $(x_1, y_1) \in F_1 \times F_2$. Also, since F_1 and F_2 are normal ideals of X_1 and X_2 respectively, we get $x_1 - x \in F_1$ and $y_1 - y \in F_2$. Hence $(x_1, y_1) - (x, y) = (x_1 - x, y_1 - y) \in F_1 \times F_2$. Therefore, $F_1 \times F_2$ is a normal ideal of $X_1 \times X_2$. \square

3.17. Theorem. *Let f be a multiplier of subtraction X . For any normal ideal F of X , both $f(F)$ and $f^{-1}(F)$ are normal ideals of X .*

Proof. Clearly, $0 = f(0)$. Let $x \in X$ and $a \in f(F)$. Then $a = f(s)$ for some $s \in F$. Now $a - x = f(s) - x = f(s - x) \in f(F)$ because $s - x \in F$. Therefore $f(F)$ is a normal ideal of X . Since F is a normal ideal of X , we obtain $f(0) = 0 \in F$. Hence $0 = f^{-1}(F)$. Let $x \in X$ and $a \in f^{-1}(F)$. Then $f(a) \in F$. Since F is a normal ideal, we get $f(a - x) = f(a) - x \in F$. Hence $a - x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Therefore $f^{-1}(F)$ is a normal ideal of X . \square

3.18. Definition. [4] Let f be a multiplier of a subtraction algebra X . Define the kernel of the multiplier f by

$$\text{Ker } f = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}.$$

3.19. Proposition. *For any multiplier f of a subtraction algebra X , $\text{Ker } f$ is a normal ideal of X .*

Proof. Clearly, $0 \in \text{Ker } f$. Let $a \in \text{Ker } f$ and $x \in X$. Then $f(a - x) = f(a) - x = 0 - x = 0$. Hence $a - x \in \text{Ker } f$, which implies that $\text{Ker } f$ is a normal ideal of X . \square

3.20. Definition. Let f be a multiplier of a subtraction algebra. An element $a \in X$ is called a *fixed element* if $f(a) = a$.

Let us denote the set of all fixed elements of X by $\text{Fix}_f(X) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = x\}$ and the image of X under the multiplier f by $\text{Im}(f)$.

3.21. Lemma. *Let f be a multiplier of subtraction algebra X . Then $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Fix}_f(X)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \text{Fix}_f(X)$. Then $x = f(x) \in \text{Im}(f)$. Hence $\text{Fix}_f(X) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$. Now let $a \in \text{Im}(f)$. Then we get $a = f(b)$ for some $b \in X$. Thus $f(a) = f(f(b)) = f(b) = a$, which implies $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq \text{Fix}_f(X)$. Therefore, $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Fix}_f(X)$. This completes the proof. \square

3.22. Theorem. *Let f be a multiplier of a subtraction algebra X . then we have*

- (i) $\text{Fix}_f(X)$ is a normal ideal of X .
- (ii) $\text{Im}(f)$ is a normal ideal of X .

Proof. (i) Since $f(0) = 0$, we have $0 \in \text{Fix}_f(X)$. Let $x \in X$ and $a \in \text{Fix}_f(X)$. Then $f(a) = a$. Now $f(a - x) = f(a) - x = a - x$. Hence $a - x \in \text{Fix}_f(X)$. Therefore, $\text{Fix}_f(X)$ is a normal ideal of X .

(ii) Obviously, $0 = f(0)$. Let $x \in X$ and $a \in \text{Im}(f)$. Then $a = f(b)$ for some $b \in X$. Now $a - x = f(b) - x = f(b - x) \in f(X)$. Therefore, $\text{Im}(f)$ is a normal ideal of X . \square

Let us recall from [4] that the composition of two multipliers f and g of a subtraction algebra X is a multiplier of X where $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

3.23. Theorem. *Let f and g be two multipliers of X such that $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $f = g$.
- (ii) $f(X) = g(X)$.
- (iii) $Fix_f(X) = Fix_g(X)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): It is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Assume that $f(X) = g(X)$. Let $x \in Fix_f(X)$. Then $x = f(x) \in f(X) = g(X)$. Hence $x = g(y)$ for some $y \in X$. Now $g(x) = g(g(y)) = g^2(y) = g(y) = x$. Thus $x \in Fix_g(X)$. Therefore, $Fix_f \subseteq Fix_g$. Similarly, we can obtain $Fix_g(X) \subseteq Fix_f(X)$. Thus $Fix_f(X) = Fix_g(X)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that $Fix_f(X) = Fix_g(X)$. Let $x \in X$. Since $f(x) \in Fix_f(X) = Fix_g(X)$, we have $g(f(x)) = f(x)$. Also, we obtain $g(x) \in Fix_g(X) = Fix_f(X)$. Hence we get $f(g(x)) = g(x)$. Thus we have

$$f(x) = g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x) = (f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)) = g(x).$$

Therefore, f and g are equal in the sense of mappings. \square

3.24. Definition. Let X be a subtraction algebra. An equivalence relation θ on X is called a *weak congruence* if $(x, y) \in \theta$ implies $(x - a, y - a)$ for any $a \in X$.

Clearly, every congruence on X is a weak congruence on X . In the following theorem, we have an example for a weak congruence in terms of multipliers.

3.25. Theorem. *Let f be a multiplier of a subtraction algebra X . Define a binary operation θ_f on X as follows:*

$$(x, y) \in \theta_f \text{ if and only if } f(x) = f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then θ_f is a weak congruence on X .

Proof. Clearly, θ_f is an equivalence relation on X . Let $(x, y) \in \theta_f$. Then we have $f(x) = f(y)$. Now for any $a \in X$, we have

$$f(x - a) = f(x) - a = f(y) - a = f(y - a).$$

Hence $(x - a, y - a) \in \theta_f$. \square

3.26. Lemma. *Let f be a multiplier of a subtraction algebra X . Then*

- (i) $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in f(X)$.
- (ii) If $(x, y) \in \theta_f$ and $x, y \in f(X)$, $x = y$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in f(X)$. Then $x = f(a)$ for some $a \in X$. Now $f(x) = f^2(x) = f(f(x)) = f(a) = x$.

(ii) Let $(x, y) \in \theta_f$ and $x, y \in f(X)$. Then by (i), $x = f(x) = f(y) = y$. \square

3.27. Theorem. *Let X be a subtraction algebra and let F be a normal ideal of X . Then there exists multiplier f of X such that $f(X) = F$ if and only if $F \cap \theta_f(x)$ is a single-ton set for all $x \in X$, where θ_f is the congruence class of x with respect to θ_f .*

Proof. Let f be a multiplier of X such that $f(X) = F$. Then clearly θ_f is a weak congruence on X . Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary element. Since $f(x) = f^2(x)$, we get $(x, f(x)) \in \theta_f$. Hence $f(x) \in \theta_f(x)$. Also, $f(x) \in f(X) = F$, which implies $f(x) \in F \cap \theta_f(x)$. Therefore $F \cap \theta_f(x)$ is non-empty. Let a, b be two element of $F \cap \theta_f(x)$. Then by Lemma 3.26, we get $a = b$. Hence $F \cap \theta_f(x)$ is a single-ton set. Conversely, assume that $F \cap \theta_f(x)$ is a single-ton set for all $x \in X$. Let x_0 be the single element of $F \cap \theta_f(x)$. Now define a self map as follows,

$$f : X \rightarrow X \text{ by } f(x) = x_0$$

for all $x \in X$. By the definition of the map f , we get $f(a) \in F$ and $f(f(a)) = f(a)$. Since F is normal, we get $f(a) - b \in F$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(a)) = f(a) &\Rightarrow (f(a), a) \in \theta_f \\ &\Rightarrow (f(a - b), a - b) \in \theta_f \\ &\Rightarrow f(a) - b \in \theta_f(a - b) \\ &\Rightarrow f(a) - b \in F \cap \theta_f(a - b) \quad (f(a) - b \in F) \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(a - b) \in F \cap \theta_f(a - b)$ and $F \cap \theta_f(a - b)$ is a single-ton set, we get $f(a - b) = f(a) - b$. Therefore f is a multiplier of X . \square

References

- [1] Abbott, J. C. *Sets, Lattices and Boolean Algebras*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston 1969.
- [2] Firat, A. *On f -derivations of BCC-algebras*, *Ars Combinatoria*, **XCVIIA**, 377–382, 2010.
- [3] Gierz, G., Hofmann, K. H., Keimel, K., Lawson, J. D., Mislove, M., Scott, D. S. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [4] Larsen, R. *An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [5] Prabhayak C., and Leerawat, U. *On derivations of BCC-algebras*, *Kasetsart J.* **43**, 398–401, 2009.
- [6] Schein, B. M. *Difference Semigroups*, *Comm. in Algebra* **20**, 2153–2169, 1992.
- [7] Yon, Y. H., and Kim, K. H. *Multipliers in subtraction algebras*, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, **73** (2-3), 117–123, 2011.
- [8] Zelinka, B. *Subtraction Semigroups*, *Math. Bohemica*, **120**, 445–447, 1995.