Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics \bigcap Volume 42 (1) (2013), 31 – 41

Cofibration Category and Homotopies of Three–Crossed Complexes

Received 16 : 09 : 2011 : Accepted 20 : 02 : 2012

Hasan Atik[∗]

Abstract

In this work, we show that category of totally free 2–crossed complexes and that of totally free 3–crossed complexes are cofibration categories in the sense of Baues $([4])$. We also explore homotopies for 3–crossed modules and 3–crossed complex morphisms.

1. Introduction

Crossed modules were first defined by Whitehead in [15]. They model homotopy connected 2–types. Conduche ([9]), in 1984, described the notion of 2–crossed modules as a model for homotopy connected 4–types. Eventually, Arvasi, Uslu and Kuzpinari ([2]) introduced 3–crossed modules as a model for homotopy connected 4–types. The definition of a homotopy of morphisms of crossed complexes is well known due to Whitehead and this was put in the general context of crossed complexes (of groupoids) by Brown and Higgins in [6]. Also homotopies for 2–crossed complexes can be found in Martin's work [11]. By following Martin's method we give homotopies for 3–crossed complexes.

T.Porter explains cofibration category as follows: The notion of cofibration category was introduced by Hans–Joachim Baues as a variant of the category of cofibrant objects, (for which, see category of fibrant objects and dualise). The axioms are substantially weaker than those of Quillen's model category [13], but add one axiom to those of K. S. Brown. In the first chapter of his book, Algebraic Homotopy, Baues suggests two criteria for an axiom system:

1. The axioms should be sufficiently strong to permit the basic constructions of homotopy theory. 2. The axioms should be as weak (and as simple) as possible, so that the constructions of homotopy theory are available in as many contexts as possible.

Baues in [3] has shown that category of totally free crossed complexes and category of totally free quadratic complexes are cofibration category.

In this article, we obtain similar results. We show that the category of totally free 2–crossed complexes is a cofibration category and we define homotopies for morphisms of 2–crossed complexes. Then we get the following result: Homotopy classes of category of

[∗]Melik¸sah University, Science and Art Faculty, Mathematics Department, Kayseri, TURKEY e–Mail: hatik@meliksah.edu.tr

totally free 2–crossed complexes is equivalent to the localization of 2–crossed complexes with respect to weak equivalences.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Definition. A Baues "cofibration category" is a category (C, cof, we) consisting of a category C and two distinguished classes of maps cof and we, called "cofibrations" and "weak equivalences" respectively. A map in C is a trivial cofibration if it is both a weak equivalence and a cofibration. Maps in C are subject to the axioms below:

BCF1: All isomorphisms of C are trivial cofibrations. Cofibrations are stable under composition.

BCF2: (Two out of three axiom) If f, g are maps of C such that gf is defined, if any two of f, g, gf are weak equivalences, then so is the third.

BCF3: (Push out axiom) Given a solid diagram

in C, with i being a cofibration, then the pushout exists in C and \overline{i} is a cofibration. Moreover:

(a) if f is a weak equivalence, so is \overline{f} ,

(b) if i is a weak equivalence, so is \overline{i} .

BCF4: (Factorization axiom) Any map of C admits a factorization as a cofibration followed by a weak equivalence.

BCF5: (Axiom on fibrant models) For each object X in C there is a trivial cofibration $X \to RX$ where RX is fibrant in C. An object R in a cofibration category is fibrant if each trivial cofibration $i : R \to Q$ admits a retraction $r : Q \to R$ such that $ri = 1$. We call $X \to RX$ a fibrant model of X; if X is fibrant we take $RX = X$.

2.2. Definition. We call $(C, \text{cof}, \text{we})$ a "cofibration structure" if all axioms of cofibration category are satisfied except the axiom $BCF3(a)$.

Hence a cofibration structure which satisfies $BCF3(a)$ is a cofibration category. For example, let (C, cof, fib, we) be a model category in the sense of Quillen, then (C, cof, we) is a cofibration structure. An object X in a category C is cofibrant if $* \to X$ is a cofibration where ∗ is the initial object. A full subcategory of a category C consisting of cofibrant objects is denoted by C_c .

3. Cofibrations in the Category of 2–crossed Complexes

The following definition of 2–crossed module is equivalent to that given by Conduché. A 2–crossed module of groups consists of a complex of groups

 $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M \xrightarrow{\partial_1} N$

together with (a) actions of N on M and L so that ∂_2 , ∂_1 are morphisms of N–groups, and (b) an N–equivariant function

$$
\{\quad,\quad\} : M \times M \longrightarrow L,
$$

called a Peiffer lifting. This data must satisfy the following axioms:

2CM1) ∂2{m, m⁰ } = mm⁰m[−]¹ [∂]1^mm0−¹ 2CM2) {∂2l, ∂2l 0 } = [l 0 , l] 2CM3) (i) {mm⁰ , m⁰⁰} = [∂]1^m{m⁰ , m⁰⁰}{m, m⁰m⁰⁰m0−¹ } (ii) {m, m⁰m⁰⁰} = {m, m⁰ } mm0m−¹ {m, m⁰⁰} 2CM4) {m, ∂2l}{∂2l, m} = [∂]1^mll[−]¹ 2CM5) n {m, m⁰ } = { ⁿm,ⁿ m⁰ }

for all $l, l' \in L, m, m', m'' \in M$ and $n \in N$.

3.1. Definition. [12] A 2-crossed complex $C = \{C_n, d_n, \{-, \} \}$ is a diagram

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_5} C_4 \xrightarrow{d_4} C_3 \xrightarrow{d_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0
$$

of homomorphisms between groups such that $d_{n-1}d_n = 1$ for $n \geq 2$ and such that the following properties are satisfied. The $({ \, , \, , \, } \, , d_2, d_1)$ is a 2-crossed module. Moreover C_n is a right π –module.

A map $f: C \to C'$ between 2-crossed complexes is a family of homomorphisms between groups for $n \geq 1$

$$
f_n: C_n \to C'_n
$$
 with $f_{n-1}d_n = d_nf_n$

such that (f_3, f_2, f_1) is a map between 2–crossed modules.

Let X_2Comp be the category of 2–crossed complexes and maps, we define the homotopy groups

$$
\pi_1(C) = \pi = \text{coker}(d_1)
$$

$$
\pi_n(C) = \frac{\ker d_n}{\text{im}d_{n+1}}, \quad n \ge 1.
$$

A map
$$
f_n
$$
 is a weak equivalence if $\pi_n(f_n)$ is an isomorphism for $n \ge 1$. We call a 2-crossed complex C totally free if C_0 is a free group, $d_1 : C_1 \to C_0$ is a free pre-crossed module, and $d_2 : C_2 \to C_1$ is given by a free 2-crossed module. Totally free objects form a full subcategory of 2-crossed complexes. We denote it by **FreeX₂**. Clearly, **FreeX₂ \subset X₂Comp**.

3.2. Definition. A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ in 2–crossed complexes is a cofibration if f is a free extension in each degree n for $n \geq 1$.

Here we say that f is a free extension in degree n with basis $\partial_n : X_n \to B_{n-1}$ where X_n is a set and a map $j: X_n \to B_n$ with $d_n j = \partial_n$ which satisfy the following universal property. Let B' be any 2-crossed complex, A^n, B^n, B'^n be n-skeleton of A, B, B' and let $b: A \to B', a^{n-1}: B^{n-1} \to B'^{n-1}$ be 2-crossed complex maps with $a^{n-1}f^{n-1} = b^{n-1}$: $A^{n-1} \to B'^{n-1}$ and assume a function $c: X_n \to B'_n$ is chosen such that the following diagram of unbroken arrows commutes.

Then there is a unique 2-crossed complex map $a: B^n \to B'^n$ for which a_n extends the diagram commutatively. It is clear that cofibrant objects in 2–crossed complexes are exactly the totally free 2–crossed complexes. Then

$Free X_2 = X_2 Comp_c$

where \mathbf{X}_2 Comp_c denotes full subcategory of category of 2–crossed complexes consisting of cofibrant objects. The next lemma shows that free extension in each degree exists.

3.3. Lemma. Let A^n be an n–skeleton and assume $f^{n-1}: A^{n-1} \to B^{n-1}$ and a function $\partial_n: X_n \to B_{n-1}$ are given. Then a free extension $f: A^n \to B^n$ with basis ∂_n exists provided that $d_{n-1}\partial_n = 1$.

Proof is analogue to the case of free extensions in the quadratic complexes in [3].

3.4. Theorem. The category of 2–crossed complexes with cofibrations and weak equivalences is a cofibration structure for which all objects are fibrant.

Proof. We first check $(BCF4)$. We obtain a factorization

 $f: A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{q} C$

of $f : A \to C$ such that i is a cofibration and q is a weak equivalence.

For $n = 1$, B_1 is free product of A_1 and $F(X_1)$ where X_1 is a set and $F(X_1)$ is the free group generated by X_1 . We choose X_1 and q_1 such that $B_1 \to C_1 \to \pi_1(C_1)$ is surjective.

For $n = 2$, B_2' is free product of A_2' and $F(X_2)$ where A_2' is the B_1 -pre-crossed module induced from the A_1 –pre–crossed module by the morphism of 2–crossed module $A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ and $F(X_2)$ is the free pre–crossed B_1 –module on the set X_2 . Here we choose a basis X_2 and $\partial_2: B'_2 \to B_1$ such that $\partial_2(B_2)$ is the kernel of $B_1 \to C_1 \to \pi_1(C_1)$. Then we should find q'_2 such that the diagram

$$
B_2' \xrightarrow{q_2'} C_2
$$

\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\downarrow & \\
\downarrow & \\
B_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} C_1\n\end{array}
$$

commutes. The map q'_2 is not surjective. Therefore choose a set X'_2 such that B_2 is free product of B'_2 and $F(X'_2)$. Then take q_2 as carrying kernel of ∂_2 surjectively to kernel of d_2 .

For $n \geq 3$, B_n is chosen in a similar way. This completes the construction of factorization.

We next check that all objects are fibrant. Let a map $i: A \rightarrow B$ be given as a trivial cofibration. We construct inductively a retraction $r : B \to A$ with $ri = 1$ and a homotopy $\alpha : ir \simeq 1_{relA}$ This shows that i is actually a strong deformation retract morphism. Let

$$
(\#) \qquad i: \overset{r_n}{A} \longrightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{g_n} B
$$

be given by the subcomplex B^n of B with $(B^n)_k = B_k$ for $k \leq n$ and $(B^n)_k = A_k$ for $k > n$. The map g_n is the inclusion. We choose inductively a retraction r_n and a homotopy $\alpha_n : ir_n \simeq g_n$ relative to A. Assume r_n and α_n are defined by

$$
(*)\qquad (ir_n)^{-1}g_n=(d\alpha_n)(\alpha_nd).
$$

When we compose each side by d , we get

$$
ir_nd = (g_nd)(d\alpha_nd)^{-1} = d(g_n(\alpha_nd)^{-1}).
$$

Since i is a weak equivalence we can choose a map $x : X_{n+1} \to A_{n+1}$ with $dx = r_n d$. Moreover $(ix)^{-1}g_{n+1}(\alpha_n d)^{-1}$ carries X_{n+1} to the cycles of B by (*). Again since i is a weak equivalence we can choose maps $z: X_{n+1} \to A_{n+1}, y: X_{n+1} \to B_{n+2}$ such that

$$
(iz)(dy) = (ix)^{-1}g_{n+1}(\alpha_n d)^{-1}.
$$

We now define the extension r_{n+1} of r_n by $r_{n+1} = xz$ on X_{n+1} and we define the extension α_{n+1} of α_n by $\alpha_{n+1} = y$ on X_{n+1} . This completes the induction.

Moreover, we construct push outs in 2–crossed complexes

as follows. Let B_n be a free extension of A Then we set B'_n as free extension of A'. The basis of B' is given in degree n by the composition

$$
f_{n-1}d|_{X_n}: X_n \to A_{n-1} \to B_{n-1}, \quad n \ge 2.
$$

The map \overline{f} is the identity on X_n .

Finally we prove $(BCF3b)$. If i is a weak equivalence, then i is a strong deformation retract by $(\#)$. This implies also that \bar{i} is a strong deformation retract. In fact we define the retraction \bar{r} of \bar{i} by fr in (#). And we define the homotopy $\bar{\alpha}$: $\bar{i}\bar{r} \simeq 1_{relA'}$ by $\bar{f}\alpha$ on generators. This shows that \overline{i} is a weak equivalence and $(BCF3b)$ is satisfied.

The next lemma is given in [4].

3.5. Lemma. Let C be a cofibration structure. Then C_c with cofibrations and weak equivalences as in C is a cofibration category.

As a result of above theorem and lemmas we give the following result.

3.6. Corollary. The category of totally free 2-crossed complexes is a cofibration category

4. Homotopy of 2–crossed Modules

Recall the notion of homotopy between crossed complexes in [7]. Now similarly we define homotopy for 2–crossed complexes. Let

$$
A=L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M \xrightarrow{\partial_1} N , A'=L' \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M' \xrightarrow{\partial_1} N'
$$

be two 2–crossed modules and $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ be a 2–crossed module morphism. A homotopy on f is a pair $h = (h_1, h_2)$ of maps where $h_1 : N \to M'$ and $h_2 : M \to L'$ satisfying equations below.

$$
h_1(nn') = {}^{f_0(n')-1}h_1(n)h_1(n')
$$

\n
$$
h_2(mm') = ({}^{(f_1m')(h_1\partial_1m')})^{-1}({f_1m', {}^{f_0\partial_1m'}^{-1}(h_1\partial_1m^{-1})}h_2(m))h_2(m')
$$

\n
$$
\partial_2h_2({}^nm) = h_1(n)f_0(n)h_1\partial_1(m^{-1}){}^{f_0\partial_1(m^{-1})}h_1(n)f_1(m^{-1})\partial_1h_1(n)f_1(n)h_1\partial_1(m)
$$

\n
$$
\partial_2h_2(m)\partial_1h_1(n^{-1})f_0(n^{-1})
$$

\n
$$
h_2\partial_2({}^nl) = f_0(n)f_2(l^{-1})\partial_1h_1(n)f_2(l)\partial_3h_2(l)\partial_1h_1(n^{-1})f_0(n^{-1})
$$

Such a function h is called a quadratic f -derivation.

4.1. Proposition. Given a homotopy as above, the formulas $f'_0(n) = f_0(n) \partial_1 h_1(n)$ $f'_{1}(m) = f_{1}(m)h_{1}\partial_{1}(m)\partial_{2}h_{2}(m)$ $f'_{2}(l) = f_{2}(l)h_{2}\partial_{2}(l)$ for all $n \in N, m \in M, l \in L$ define a morphism of 2-crossed modules.

We leave the proof as an exercise.

4.1. Homotopies of 2-crossed Complexes. Let A and A' be two 2-crossed complexes and let f be a 2-crossed complex map $A \to A'$. A quadratic f-derivation is a sequence of maps $h_i: A_i \to A'_{i+1}$ such that (h_2, h_1) is a quadratic f-derivation of 2crossed modules and all the remaining maps are A_1 –equivariant for $n = 3$ and $A_1/\partial A_2$ – equivariant for $n \geq 4$. We say that two 2–crossed complex maps are homotopic if there exists a quadratic f–derivation such that

$$
f'_1(a) = f_1(a)\partial_2 h_1(a)
$$
 and $f'_n(a) = f_n(a)(h_{n-1}\partial(a))(\partial h_n(a))$ for $n \ge 2$.

In $[6]$ Brown and Higgins extended the notion of homotopy to n–fold homotopies. In this manner a 0-fold homotopy between two 2-crossed complexes B and C is simply a morphism $B \to C$. For $n \geq 1$ an n–fold homotopy $B \to C$ is a pair (h, f) , where $f: B \to C$ is a morphism of crossed complexes and h is a map of degree n from B to C i.e., $h: B_k \to C_{k+n}$. 1–fold homotopy is the homotopy we have just defined above.

Moreover, we have equivalence of categories. In $[4]$, a corollary $(IV.5.7)$ for quadratic complexes is given. Since we have homotopy relation for 2-crossed complexes and Corollary 3.6 then we can give analogue lemma for 2–crossed complexes.

4.2. Lemma. Homotopy classes of the category of totally free 2-crossed complexes is equivalent to the localization of 2–crossed complexes with respect to weak equivalences which can be pictured as a functor M,

$\mathrm{M}:\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{X}_2\mathrm{Comp})\longrightarrow \mathrm{FreeX}_2/\simeq$

For the proof, see [4]; for the localization of a category with respect to a class of morphisms see [13].

5. Cofibrations in the Category of 3–crossed Complexes

We follow conventions of [2] for the definition of 3–crossed modules.

5.1. Definition. A 3–crossed module consists of a complex of groups

$$
K \xrightarrow{\partial_3} L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M \xrightarrow{\partial_1} N
$$

together with an action of N on K, L, M and an action of M on K, L and an action of L on K so that ∂_3 , ∂_2 , ∂_1 are morphisms of N, M–groups. And the M, N–equivariant liftings

$$
\{\quad, \quad \}_{(1)(0)}: L \times L \longrightarrow K, \quad \{\quad, \quad \}_{(0)(2)}: L \times L \longrightarrow K, \{\quad, \quad \}_{(2)(1)}: L \times L \longrightarrow K, \quad \{\quad, \quad \}_{(1,0)(2)}: M \times L \longrightarrow K, \{\quad, \quad \}_{(2,0)(1)}: M \times L \longrightarrow K, \quad \{\quad, \quad \}_{(0)(2,1)}: L \times M \longrightarrow K, \{\quad, \quad \}: M \times M \longrightarrow L
$$

are called 3–dimensional Peiffer liftings. This data must satisfy the axioms $(3CM1 3CM18$) given in [2].

Here we give the definition of 3–crossed complex of groups.

5.2. Definition. A 3-crossed complex $C = \{C_n, d_n, \{-, \}_{(2)(1)}, \{-, \}\}$ is a diagram of homomorphisms between groups

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{d_5} C_4 \xrightarrow{d_4} C_3 \xrightarrow{d_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0
$$

such that $d_{n-1}d_n = 1$ for $n \geq 2$ and $(\{\ ,\ \}_{(2)(1)}, \{\ ,\ \}, d_3, d_2, d_1)$ is a 3-crossed module with $\pi = \text{coker} d_1$; hence ker d_2 is a π -module. Moreover; C_n is a right π module. A map $f: C \to C'$ between 3-crossed complexes is a family of homomorphisms between groups for $n \geq 1$

$$
f_n: C_n \to C'_n
$$
 with $f_{n-1}d_n = d_n f_n$

such that (f_4, f_3, f_2, f_1) is a map between 3-crossed modules. Let \mathbf{X}_3 Comp be the category of 3–crossed complexes and maps, we define the homotopy groups

$$
\pi_1(C) = \pi = \text{coker}(d_1)
$$

$$
\pi_n(C) = \frac{\ker d_n}{\text{im}d_{n+1}}, \quad n \ge 2.
$$

We call a 3–crossed complex C totally free if C_1 is a free group, $d_2: C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ is a free pre–crossed module, and d_3, d_2, d_1 are given by a free 3–crossed module. Let Free $X_3 \subset X_3$ Comp be the full subcategory consisting of totally free 3–crossed complexes. In the second section, we introduced cofibrations in the category of 2–complexes by use of the universal properties of free extensions. In the same way we now define cofibrations in the category of 3–crossed complexes.

5.3. Definition. A map $f : A \to B$ in 3–crossed complexes is a cofibration if f is a free extension in each degree $n, n \geq 1$.

Here we define a free extension in each degree n literally in the same way as in 2 crossed complexes.

The cofibrant objects in 3–crossed complexes are exactly the totally free 3–crossed complexes; hence we get the notation

$$
Free X_3 = X_3 Comp_c
$$

5.4. Lemma. Let A^n be an n–skeleton of a 3–crossed complex A, let f^{n-1} : A^{n-1} \rightarrow B^{n-1} be a morphism in 3-crossed complex and let $\partial_n : X_n \to B_{n-1}$ be a function. Then a free extension $f: A^n \to B^n$ with basis ∂_n exists provided that $d_{n-1}\partial_n = 1$.

Proof. If X is a set, $F(X)$ will denote the free group on X. For $n = 1$ we set free product of groups;

$$
B_1 = A_1 * F(X_1).
$$

For $n = 2$ we consider the free pre–crossed module

$$
\overline{d_2} : \overline{B_2} = F((A_2 \cup X_2) \times B_1) \to B_1
$$

with basis (f_1d_2, ∂_2) : $A_2 \cup X_2 \rightarrow B_1$ where $A_2 \cup X_2$ is disjoint union. The inclusion $i: A_2 \to \overline{B_2}$, however, is not a map between pre–crossed modules. Let U be the normal subgroup of $\overline{B_2}$ generated by the relations

$$
i(x)i(y)i(xy)^{-1} \simeq 1
$$

$$
i(x^{\alpha})(^{f_1 \alpha}i(x))^{-1} \simeq 1
$$

for $x, y \in A_2, \alpha \in A_1$. Then $\overline{d_2}$ induces the pre-crossed module $d_2 : B_2 = \overline{B_2}/U \to B_1$. One readily checks that d_2 has the universal property of free extensions. For $n = 3$, we consider the free pre–crossed module

$$
\overline{d_3} : \overline{B_3} = F((A_3 \cup X_3) \times B_2) \to B_2
$$

with basis $(f_2d_3, \partial_3) : A_3 \cup X_3 \to B_2$ where $A_3 \cup X_3$ is disjoint union. The map $j : A_3 \to$ $\overline{B_3}$, is not a map between pre–crossed modules. Let V be the normal subgroup of $\overline{B_3}$ generated by the relations

$$
j(x)j(y)j(xy)^{-1} \approx 1
$$

\n
$$
j(x^{\alpha})(^{f_1\alpha}j(x))^{-1} \approx 1
$$

\n
$$
j\{-1, 1\} (v)(\{-1, 1\}f_2(v) \times f_2(v))^{-1} \approx 1
$$

where $x, y \in A_3, \alpha \in A_1, v \in A_2 \times A_2$, and $\overline{\{-, ,\}}: B_2 \times B_2 \to \overline{B_3}$. Then $\overline{d_3}$ induces the pre–crossed module $d_3 : B_3 = \overline{B_3}/V \rightarrow B_2$.

For $n = 4$, the 3-crossed module $B⁴$ is as follows. Let

$$
\overline{B_4} \to B_3 \to B_2 \to B_1
$$

be the free 3–crossed module with Peiffer map $\overline{\{\ ,\ ,\ }\}_{(2)(1)}: B_3\times B_3\to \overline{B_4}$ with basis $(f_3d_4, \partial_4): A_4 \cup X_4 \rightarrow B_3$. The inclusion $k: A_4 \rightarrow \overline{B_4}$, however, is not a map between 3–crossed modules. Let Y be the normal subgroup of $\overline{B_4}$ generated by the relations

$$
k(x)k(y)k(xy)^{-1} \approx 1
$$

\n
$$
k(x^{\alpha})(^{f_1 \alpha}k(x))^{-1} \approx 1
$$

\n
$$
k\{-\frac{1}{2}(2)(1)(p)(\{-\frac{1}{2}(2)(1)f_3(p) \times f_3(p))^{-1} \approx 1\}
$$

where $x, y \in A_4$, $\alpha \in A_1$, $p \in A_3 \times A_3$. Then above diagram induces the commutative diagram

where $B_4 = \overline{B_4}/Y$ is the quotient group with induced action of B_1 and diagram is a well defined 3–crossed module. The bottom row with $\{\ ,\ \}_{(2)(1)}$ is a well defined 3–crossed module and one readily checks the universal property of free extensions is satisfied. Finally, for $n \geq 5$, B_n is the direct sum of a free R-module generated by X_n and K. Hence K is the tensor product of A_n and group ring of $\pi_1(A)$

5.5. Theorem. The category of 3–crossed complexes with cofibrations and weak equivalences is a cofibration structure for which all objects are fibrant.

We use the same arguments as in the proof of parallel theorem in 2–crossed complexes. Moreover we prove that all objects are fibrant by showing that $i : A \rightarrow B$ is a strong deformation retract morphism. A retraction r and a homotopy $\alpha : ir \simeq 1$ is obtained by the same formula. We construct push outs in X_3Comp

$$
B \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} B'
$$

\n
$$
i \qquad \qquad \uparrow \overline{i}
$$

\n
$$
A \longrightarrow A'
$$

as follows. Let B_n be a free extension of A Then we set B'_n as free extension of A'. The basis of B' is given in degree n by the composition

 $f_{n-1}d|_{X_n}: X_n \to A_{n-1} \to B_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$

As a result of above theorem and lemmas we have the following corollary.

5.6. Corollary. The category of totally free 3–crossed complexes is a cofibration category.

6. Homotopy of 3–crossed Modules

Let $A = K \xrightarrow{\partial_3} L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M \xrightarrow{\partial_1} N$, $A' = K' \xrightarrow{\partial_3} L' \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M' \xrightarrow{\partial_1} N'$ be two 3–crossed modules and $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a 3–crossed module morphism. A homotopy on f is a pair $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$ of maps where $h_1 : N \to M'$, $h_2 : M \to L'$, and $h_3: L \to K'$ satisfying equations below.

$$
h_1(nn') = {}^{f_0(n')^{-1}}h_1(n)h_1(n')
$$

\n
$$
h_2(mm') = ({}^{(f_1m')(h_1\partial_1 m'))^{-1}}({f_1m', {}^{f_0\partial_1 m'^{-1}}(h_1\partial_1 m^{-1})}h_2(m))h_2(m')
$$

\n
$$
\partial_2 h_2({}^n m) = h_1(n) f_0(n)h_1\partial_1(m^{-1})f_0\partial_1(m^{-1})h_1(n) f_1(m^{-1})\partial_1 h_1(n) f_1(n)h_1\partial_1(m)
$$

\n
$$
\partial_2 h_2(m)\partial_1 h_1(n^{-1})f_0(n^{-1})
$$

\n
$$
\partial_3 h_3(l') = h_2\partial_2(l')^{-1} f_2(l'^{-1})h_2\partial_2(l)\partial_3 h_3(l) f_2(l')h_2\partial_2(l')\partial_3 h_3(l')
$$

\n
$$
h_3\partial_3(kk') = f_3(k')^{-1}h_3\partial_3(k) f_3(k')h_3\partial_3(k')
$$

\n
$$
\partial_3 h_3({}^n l) = h_2\partial_2({}^n l)^{-1} f_0(n) f_2(l^{-1})\partial_1 h_1(n) f_2(l)h_2\partial_2(l)\partial_3 h_3(l)\partial_1 h_1(n^{-1})f_0(n^{-1})
$$

\n
$$
h_3\partial_3({}^n k) = f_0(n) f_3(k^{-1})\partial_1 h_1(n) f_3(k)\partial_3 h_3(k)\partial_1 h_1(n^{-1})f_0(n^{-1})
$$

Such a function h is called a 2-quadratic f -derivation.

6.1. Proposition. Given a homotopy as above, the formulas

 $f'_0(n) = f_0(n) \partial_1 h_1(n)$ $f'_{1}(m) = f_{1}(m)h_{1}\partial_{1}(m)\partial_{2}h_{2}(m)$ $f'_{2}(l) = f_{2}(l)h_{2}\partial_{2}(l)\partial_{3}h_{3}(l)$ $f'_{3}(k) = f_{3}(k)h_{3}\partial_{3}(k)$ for all $n \in N, m \in M, l \in L$ define a morphism of 3-crossed modules.

Proof.

$$
f'_0(nn') = f_0(nn')\partial_1h_1(nn')
$$

= $f_0(n)f_0(n')\partial_1(f^{0(n')-1}h_1(n)h_1(n'))$
= $f_0(n)f_0(n')f_0(n')^{-1}\partial_1h_1(n)f_0(n')\partial_1h_1(n'))$
= $f_0(n)\partial_1h_1(n)f_0(n')\partial_1h_1(n'))$
= $f'_0(n)f'_0(n')$

then f_0' is a group homomorphism.

$$
f'_{1}(mm') = f_{1}(mm')h_{1}\partial_{1}(mm')\partial_{2}h_{2}(mm')
$$

= $f_{1}(m)f_{1}(m')h_{1}\partial_{1}(mm')\partial_{2}h_{2}(mm')$
= $f_{1}(m)f_{1}(m')f_{0}\partial_{1}(m')h_{1}\partial_{1}(m)h_{1}\partial_{1}(m')\partial_{2}h_{2}(mm')$
= $f'_{1}(m)\partial_{2}h_{2}(m^{-1})h_{1}\partial_{1}(m^{-1})f_{1}(m')f_{0}\partial_{1}(m')h_{1}\partial_{1}(m)h_{1}\partial_{1}(m')\partial_{2}h_{2}(mm').$
(1)

On the other hand

$$
\partial_2 h_2(mm') = h_1 \partial_1(m')^{-1} f_1(m')^{-1} \partial_2 (\{f_1 m', f_0 \partial_1 m'^{-1} (h_1 \partial_1 m^{-1})\} h_2(m)) f_1(m') h_1 \partial_1(m') \partial_2 h_2(m')
$$

\n
$$
= h_1 \partial_1(m')^{-1} f_1(m')^{-1} \langle f_1 m', f_0 \partial_1 m'^{-1} (h_1 \partial_1 m^{-1}) \rangle \partial_2 h_2(m) f_1(m') h_1 \partial_1(m') \partial_2 h_2(m')
$$

\n
$$
= h_1 \partial_1(m')^{-1} f_1(m')^{-1} f_1 m' f_0 \partial_1 m'^{-1} (h_1 \partial_1 m^{-1}) f_1(m')^{-1} h_1 \partial_1(m) \partial_2 h_2(m) f'_1(m')
$$

\n(2)
\n
$$
= h_1 \partial_1(m')^{-1} f_0 \partial_1 m'^{-1} (h_1 \partial_1 m^{-1}) f_1(m')^{-1} h_1 \partial_1(m) \partial_2 h_2(m) f'_1(m').
$$

From (1) and (2) we get

$$
f_1'(mm') = f_1'(m) f_1'(m')
$$

$$
f_2'(ll') = f_2(l')h_2\partial_2(l')\partial_3h_3(l')
$$

= $f_2(l)f_2(l')h_2\partial_2(l')\partial_3h_3(l')$
= $f_2'(l)\partial_3h_3(l^{-1})h_2\partial_2(l^{-1})f_2(l')h_2\partial_2(ll')\partial_3h_3(l)'$
= $f_2'(l)f_2'(l')$

$$
f'_3(kk') = f_3(kk')h_3\partial_3(kk')
$$

= $f_3(k)f_3(k')h_3\partial_3(kk')$
= $f'_3(k)h_3\partial_3(k^{-1})f_3(k')h_3\partial_3(kk')$
= $f'_3(k)f'_3(k')$

Then $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is a homomorphism. Now we show that f is a morphism of 3–crossed modules.

$$
f'_1(^n m) = f_1(^n m)h_1 \partial_1(^n m) \partial_2 h_2(^n m)
$$

= $f_0^{(n)} f_1(m)h_1(n\partial_1 mn^{-1})\partial_2 h_2(^n m)$
= $f_0(n) f_1(m) f_0(n)^{-1} f_0(n) (f_0 \partial_1(m)^{-1} (h_1(n))h_1 \partial_1(m))h_1(n)^{-1} \partial_2 h_2(^n m)$
= $f_0(n) f_1(m) f_0(n)^{-1} f_0(n)^{f_0 \partial_1(m)^{-1}} (h_1(n))h_1 \partial_1(m) f_0(n)^{-1} h_1(n)^{-1} \partial_2 h_2(^n m)$
= $f'_0(^n) f'_1(m)$

$$
f'_2(^n l) = f_2(^n l) h_2 \partial_2(^n l) \partial_3 h_3(^n l)
$$

= $^{f_0(n)} f_2(l) h_2 \partial_2(^n l) \partial_3 h_3(^n l)$
= $f_0(n) f_2(l) f_0(n)^{-1} h_2 \partial_2(^n l) \partial_3 h_3(^n l)$
= $^{f'_0(n)} f'_2(l)$

$$
f'_3(^n k) = f_3(^n k) h_3 \partial_3(^n k)
$$

= ${}^{f_0(n)} f_3(k) h_3 \partial_3(^n k)$
= $f_0(n) f_3(k) f_0(n)^{-1} h_3 \partial_3(^n k)$
= ${}^{f'_0(n)} f'_3(k)$

6.1. Homotopies of 3-crossed Complexes. Let A and A' be two 3-crossed complexes and let f be a 3-crossed complex map $A \to A'$. A 2-quadratic f-derivation is a sequence of maps $h_i: A_i \to A'_{i+1}$ such that (h_3, h_2, h_1) is a 2-quadratic f-derivation of 3–crossed modules and all the remaining maps are A_1 –equivariant for $n = 4$ and $A_1/\partial A_2$ –equivariant for $n \geq 5$. We say that two 3–crossed complex maps are homotopic if there exists a 2–quadratic f –derivation such that

 $f'_1(a) = f_1(a)\partial_2 h_1(a)$ and $f'_n(a) = f_n(a)(h_{n-1}\partial(a))(\partial h_n(a))$ for $n \geq 2$.

Here we can write a lemma by the light of the Lemma 3.6.

6.2. Lemma. Homotopy classes of category of totally free 3–crossed complexes is equivalent to the localization of 3–crossed complexes with respect to weak equivalences.

References

- [1] Arvasi, Z. and Ulualan, E. Quadratic and 2–crossed modules of algebras, Algebra Colloquium, 14 No. 4, 669–686, 2007.
- Arvasi, Z., Kuzpinari, T.S. and Uslu, E.O. *Three–crossed Modules*, Homology Homotopy Appl., 11, 161–187, 2009.
- [3] Baues, H. J. Combinatorial homotopy and 4 -dimensional complexes, (Walter de Gruyter, 1991).
- [4] Baues, H. J. Algebraic homotopy, (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1998).
- [5] Brown, R. and Higgins, P. J. The classifying space of a crossed complex, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 110, 95–120, 1991.
- [6] Brown R. and Higgins, P. J. Tensor Products and Homotopies for ω–groupoids and Crossed Complexes, J.P.A.A 47, 11–44, 1987.
- [7] Brown, R. and Golanski, M. A model structure for the homotopy theory of crossed complexes, Cah. Top. Géom. Diff. Cat, 30, 61-82, 1989.
- [8] Brown, R. and İçen, İ. Homotopies and automorphisms of crossed modules over groupoids, Appl. Categorical Structure, 11, 185–206, 2003.
- [9] Conduché, D. Modules croisés gé néralisés de longueur 2, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 34, 155–178, 1984.
- [10] Kamps, K. H. Kan–Bedingungen und abstrakte Homotopie theorie, Math. Z., 124, 215–236, 1972.
- [11] Martin, Joao Faria Homotopies of 2–crossed complexes and the homotopy category of pointed 3–types, http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0605364v1.pdf, 2011.
- [12] Mutlu, A. and Porter, T. Freeness conditions for 2–crossed modules and complexes, Theory and Applications of Categories, 4 No. 8, 174–194, 1998.
- [13] Quillen D. Lecture Notes in Math., Homotopical Algebra, 11, 185-206, 1967.
- [14] Radulescu–Banu, Andrei Cofibrations in Homotopy Theory, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/ 0610009v4, 2009.
- [15] Whitehead, J. H. C. Combinatorial homotopy II, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55, 453–496, 1949.

 \Box