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When the ancient world began to decline, the lands of the Asia Minor were already littered with a plethora of Greek and Latin inscriptions in stone: laws, letters, decrees, honorific, votive or funerary inscriptions, invocations and all kinds of texts which dated from the Archaic period at the earliest to Late Antiquity at the latest. This material had lost its initial purpose, and its content was considered to be incomprehensible or inaccessible to the people. However, new life was given to it during the Late Antique and Byzantine period, when it was used as building material. In their new positions, many of these blocks of stones had their inscribed surfaces visible, and this feature poses a series of questions related to the possible perceptions that Byzantine society had for the written word: a) Did the masons and builders understand that those were inscriptions? b) Did the presence of the text affect the way in which the material was to be treated? c) Can we trace any kind of respect towards these examples of the written word?

The textual sources of the Byzantine period only briefly state anything about such matters and in most cases are related to certain and limited cycles of scholars. According to the 10th c. bishop of Kyzikos Theodore, the inscriptions should be acknowledged as evidence of a bygone prosperity – «παλαιᾶς εὐδαιμονίας ἐν μὲν γράμμασιν ἀμυδρὰ λείψανα». Their content, however, was inaccessible for many. Already from the 5th century, an ancient text recovered in the walls of Chalcedon was treated as an oracle and changed the attitude of emperor Valens towards the city. According to Tziatzi-Papagianni 2012, 6, Letter n. A.1, lines 22-23. The same issues are traceable in other Byzantine provinces as well; in a yet unpublished paper delivered at the XV. Internationaler Kongress für griechische und lateinische Epigraphik (Vienna, 28 August - 1 September 2017) under the title “Ancient Texts in Byzantine Context. Remarks on the Function of Ancient Greek Inscriptions Reused in Byzantine Church Architecture”, I have studied the relevant material from Greece.

1 In terms of chronology, I am following the division used in the recent volume on the Archaeology of Byzantine Anatolia: Early Late Antique Period, 3rd-mid 5th centuries; Early Byzantine period, 5th-7th centuries; Invasion period, 7th-9th centuries; Middle Byzantine period, 9th-11th centuries (Niewöhner 2017, 4 and 6).

2 The same issues are traceable in other Byzantine provinces as well; in a yet unpublished paper delivered at the XV. Internationaler Kongress für griechische und lateinische Epigraphik (Vienna, 28 August - 1 September 2017) under the title “Ancient Texts in Byzantine Context. Remarks on the Function of Ancient Greek Inscriptions Reused in Byzantine Church Architecture”, I have studied the relevant material from Greece.

to the 8th century Parastaseis syntomoi chronikai, the inscriptions were thought to be a code with prophecies about the future, accessible only to men of extraordinary wisdom. Even a scholar of the rank of Michael Psellus could not manage explain the meaning of an inscription when he was asked to in the reign of Constantine X Doukas (1059-1067). On the other hand, several intellectuals searched for inscriptions of literary value: Gregory of Kampsa copied himself epigrams and other texts from stones found in Asia Minor and the Greek mainland in the 9th century.

Outside the literary circles, it is more than obvious that there would have been a complete incapability of even reading the texts. However, the existence of letters on stones must have been easily understood by every person in Byzantium, as it would have been familiar at least optically from the inscriptions which accompanied the scenes and the holy persons depicted on both portable icons and frescoes.

Conversely, the stones themselves can actually give us some hints about their new life or treatment from their placement or the way in which they were used. However, dealing with the Asia Minor material is a somewhat difficult task. The number of the reused inscriptions is enormous and to overview all cases at once is infeasible. Furthermore, in different kinds of publications, the texts are not always accompanied by the information concerning the way in which each stone was reused, which overlooks much of what is of interest here—a feature that used to be the norm in early publications. Hence, the most secure cases suitable for study are the inscriptions still left in situ or those that were published with a detailed record of their data. In this article, we will limit ourselves only to a brief overview by choosing those cases that are well studied and fully published in order to make observations, which may have a general value.

Reusing older inscriptions is rather an aspect of using spolia in architecture, which as a practice gained much acceptance from the 3rd century A.D. onwards. What differentiates the blocks of inscriptions from the rest of the reused material is precisely the existence of the written word; they are not simply sculptural architectural members but agents of a verbalized message. The exploitation of inscribed blocks appears in Asia Minor already from the 3rd century when such material was used in the fortifications that were hastily built to protect the cities of Western Asia Minor from the raids of the Goths. A telling example of this is the so-called Gothic wall of Miletus, which was built probably after 263 and was full of spolia.
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5 Cameron – Herrin 1984, 146 (65,16-19).
6 Dagron 1983.
7 PmbZ 22372, 566-567, with further literature. His collection was incorporated into Constantine Kephalas’ Anthology (on the sources of Kephalas see Lauxtermann 2007).
8 On the issue of literacy in medieval Byzantine society see Jeffreys 2008, with further bibliography.
The reuse of the inscriptions became even more widespread during the Early Byzantine period (5th-7th centuries) and this continued through the end of Byzantine sovereignty in the region in the 13th century. In this long period, this practice had periods of intensiveness and periods of decline, according to the historical circumstances prevailing or to the relevant demand in architectural practice. The latter can be categorized into three major fields: fortifications, public infrastructure and churches.

Inscriptions reused in fortifications

As already mentioned, the fortifications were of prime importance in the building activity from the 3rd century onwards. The most common trait of fortifications is the massive reuse of building material from ancient ruined structures; inscriptions were included in this material indistinguishably as we can see them today placed in many different positions. From the very dawn of the Byzantine period this can be exemplified in the city wall of Aphrodisias, which was built by 360 A.D. The epigraphic material –ca. 450 inscriptions– came from pedestals of monuments that were once used to decorate public spaces, like the theater or the stadium, and from funerary monuments that were at the outskirts of the city. It should be noted here that for a part of the material the inscribed surfaces were systematically hidden inside the masonry.

The building of defensive walls reached its peak during the period of the invasions (7th-9th centuries), when many of the cities faced the danger of a Persian or an Arab raid. In Ephesus, Pergamum, Patara and many other inhabited places new walls were built using spolia and among them inscriptions. A remarkable example is that of Sardis, where a fortress was built by the end of the 7th century/early 8th century at the top of the hill of the Acropolis. Notwithstanding the extensive reuse of older material, in this case, there is a conscious effort to arrange the stones in zones horizontally. As it would be normal, among the spolia there is a great number of inscriptions, the most recent of which has been dated to 539.

In the Middle Byzantine period (9th-11th centuries), when the cities gradually shrunk and turned into castles, the use of spolia and inscriptions continued with the same frequency. By the middle of the 9th century the emperor Michael III took up a vast restoration program of the fortifications in the most prominent cities of Asia Minor; the best-preserved parts of the fortifications of the 9th century are those of Nicaea and Ankara. The latter presents an especially interesting case as both Greek and Latin inscriptions have been reused (fig. 1), while new ones were inserted to commemorate the rebuilding of the walls by the emperor. If we take into consideration that Michael
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11 de Staebler 2008a and 2008b.
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III was aware of the value of the written word as an agent through which his deeds could live in perpetuity, then could the mixed use of ancient and new inscriptions have been intended to symbolize a “dialogue” between the past and the present? Nevertheless, the rather random placement of the majority of the inscribed spolia, in an askew or reversed position, does not enhance such an interpretation.

The precinct wall of the upper city in Amorium, one of the most prominent centers of Asia Minor, had great numbers of inscriptions cut in pieces, reused as a building material. Among them stand out the inscribed funerary doorstones and a slab from a Heroon of the Imperial period. It is clear from the way in which they were treated that they were only meant to be used as raw materials.

**Inscriptions reused in public infrastructure**

Apart from the defensive walls, the use of inscribed material extended into the construction of public infrastructure. In the new aqueduct, which was built between 549-565 to secure the water supply to the great basilica of St. John the Theologian in Ephesus, large quantities of spolia were utilized, many of which were inscribed. Furthermore, the construction of the piers for the duct was facilitated by the shape of many inscribed blocks of stones, which came from the Artemision of Ephesus; some of them are still visible at the surviving parts of the aqueduct in Selçuk. The same can also be observed on a smaller scale in another aqueduct that was built at the end of the 6th/early 7th century at Mylassa in Karia. The pillars of the arcade were built with ancient material among which were inscriptions too.

**Inscriptions reused in church architecture**

The importance of ecclesiastical buildings in Byzantine architecture is very well-known. Historically the churches are buildings of high aspirations, employing raw materials of good quality among which spolia are also included. The recycling of epigraphic material is extensive and has been found in all the cities of Asia Minor, especially during the Early Byzantine period (5th-7th centuries), at which time numerous basilicas were built. Many of these basilicas occupied central places in the ancient urban fabric, where once many texts of a public character were displayed.

For instance, in Ephesus, both the basilica of Saint Mary (late 4th-early 5th century) and the Justinianic complex of the church of Saint John the Theologian have employed tens of inscriptions as building material. The majority of them most probably were not visible as the walls were covered by marble revetments and plaster, while new ones were added at later phases or during restoration works. Built ca. 500, the Temple Church in Aphrodisias includes numerous inscriptions from
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earlier buildings and especially the pagan temple of Aphrodite. Inscribed material has also been traced in basilicas in Heraclea Pontica, Nakoleia, Hierapolis, Patara and elsewhere.

After the 7th century, church building activity was reduced impressively for quite a long period. The numbers of epigraphic spolia increased during the new flourishing of ecclesiastical architecture from the 9th century onwards. In the so-called Lower City Church at Amorium, which was rebuilt after 838, the excavation works brought to light many fragments that would have been embedded in the vault that collapsed. Still in situ are parts of a Roman inscribed architrave which was immured turned upside down (fig. 2), as well as the base of the column of Conon the Martyr with its corners only trimmed. It is noteworthy that on the latter the clearly legible words Christ and Saint –ἐν ὀνόματι τοῦ Κ(υρίου) κὲ [υἱοῦ] |[Ἰησο]ῦ Χριστοῦ τὸ …– were plastered, as the whole surface of the base. In the great church of Dereağzı in Lycia (ca. 900), four inscriptions were cut in pieces to fill in a cornice while another one was used as a door jamb. Tens of inscribed stones were reused in the Middle Byzantine refurbishment of the Temple Cathedral at Aphrodisias; partially plastered inscriptions were incorporated into the 10th-11th century templon of the same church.

Closely related to the churches are the burial customs, with graves now dug either in their interior or in the surrounding areas. From the numerous burials that were excavated in the Lower City Church of Amorium many examples of reuse of inscribed stones were recorded. The case of the box-shaped grave 19 from the narthex of the church is of interest here, as an Early Christian slab in second use was placed on its side, forming the inner wall of the west side of the grave, where the head of the deceased was to rest (fig. 3); the slab had a cross and the name of an Etherios carved on its surface. The choice of the slab for this funerary context most probably was intentional rather than purely practical, even if the shape and the size of the slab fit the occasion; the presence of a cross on it indicates that intentionality.

It should also be noted however that reusing inscribed material was not a practice limited only to the Christian realm. The Jewish synagogue of Sardis shows that the practise was also common in
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the Jewish communities of Late Antiquity. This luxurious three-aisled complex dated in the second half of the 4th century had inscriptions in the masonry of its pillars. Among the inscribed findings are also listed a block from the Hellenistic Metroon and a text in an unfamiliar Anatolian alphabet and dialect which is known as the “Synagogue Inscription”. Finally, an observation that is worth mentioning is that no traces of plaster were ever found on the pillars, which means that the inscriptions were visible.

The major phases of reuse

The cases presented so far from these sites monuments can in fact offer us a basis to create an overall picture of the reuse of the inscribed material in Byzantine Asia Minor. From this picture, we can distinguish three major phases in this practice; the first one can be placed in the 5th-6th centuries and coincides with the eagerness to spread the new cult; hence building activity was intense due to the erection of the new religious spaces. Furthermore, the great number of the basilicas that were built in the old centers of the ancient urban fabric rearranged the latter giving to it a new form. This activity consequently nullified the inscriptions that were once displayed in the centers of the cities and gave them new uses as they were to be treated as raw materials.

The second phase can be well placed in the 7th century when the invasions of the Persians and the Arabs lead to the accumulation of numerous of spolia as construction material for the erection of new fortifications in towns and cities. In certain cases, the way in which these new defensive walls were built expresses clearly the duress under which they were created. Only sporadically is there a concern in using the material in a more systematic manner.

Finally, the third phase belongs to the Middle Byzantine period where again the church architecture flourishes and epigraphic material is reused frequently. In this last phase, a new category of reused material is now employed: Christian inscriptions from the ruined basilicas and funerary monuments.

The treatment of written word

The way in which epigraphic material was treated leads us to another array of observations. If we take a better look at the inscriptions we can see that a great percentage of them was left intact; this occurred mainly in the case of bases or pedestals whose cubic shape made it easy for the stone masons to insert them into all kinds of masonry, from walls to aqueducts or other robust structures. The same is also true for the large architrave blocks, which were quite easily used as lintels or transoms. Conversely, some other blocks would have to be cut in pieces in order to fit other more demanding occasions during the erection of a building. This presumably was dictated by practical reasons too; some of the inscribed blocks would have been difficult to move due to their excessive weight or the builders would have to elevate the blocks quite high during building activity.

39 An inscribed statue base was also found embedded into one of the walls of the building, in an upside-down position (Mitten – Scorziello 2008, 142, fig. 11-13). On the issue of the date of the synagogue see also Magness 2005, who attributes it to the mid-6th century.

40 Mitten – Scorziello 2008, 145-146, fig. 17, 20-21, with further bibliography.
Whichever the case might have been, it should be noted that either intact or cut, the epigraphic material was placed in such a way that the inscribed surface was often left visible. This was not a choice due to some kind of respect for the text itself, as in its new position it could also be placed on its reverse side; rather, being smoother, the inscribed surface was more suitable to the stone masons to elevate it in a vertical wall. It is also not mutually excludable that in cases, e.g. in the walls of Sardis or Ankara where the zones of the marble spolia have irrefutably decorative purposes, an acknowledgement of the aesthetic value of the material determined their placement.

However, visibility of the inscriptions itself was not an obligatory practice; a large number of the material discussed was inserted facing into the masonry and only the cases where a building had been reduced to ruins have allowed us today to locate and study these texts. For instance, at the city wall of Aphrodisias many of the inscriptions were turned to the inside of the masonry; according to Peter de Staebler this seems to have been an intentional choice, because the officials wanted to mitigate the dissatisfaction that might have been caused to the citizens by the destruction of many graves and public monuments for the defensive needs of the city.41

Be that as it may, the treatment of the material shows that in many instances it was considered to be ready-made stone fabric to use on the spot. This assertion is enhanced by the examples where the inscriptions were occasionally used in the paving of buildings, as in the Transept basilica at Patara (fig. 4)42, or in the Middle Byzantine church at Komana Pontica.43 Slightly different is the case of the basilica of the west cemetery at Assos which was restored in the 11th century and was paved with slabs of sarcophagi, some of which, however, were inscribed too.44 The existence of letters, which presumably were identified as such, was not an obstacle to give this material a new use, although the congregation would have to step on them. The incorporation of inscribed spolia in pavements of the 4th-5th century central ecclesiastical complex at Gerasa, Jordan, has been interpreted by Moralee as a mean to declare the defeat of the pagan past or even to humiliate the remaining pagan population of the city.45 Studying the same practice in the West, in early mediæval churches of Rome, Coates-Stephens finds only practical motives and “a complete lack of interest in, or awareness of, the inscriptions themselves”.46

On the other hand, there are also cases where the inscribed surface was chiseled or damaged before it was used. An inscription from the basilica that was built in the cela of Apollo’s temple at Didyma had a very deep groove for the securing of a vertically placed slab, which of course destroyed a large part of the text.47 In another instance, at Sardis, an inscription was turned into a threshold with notches.48 Nevertheless, the letters were still visible on both blocks of stones. Similarly, in the Byzantine shop W8 of Sardis two inscriptions were built in a small basin with the addition of large,
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well-carved crosses. In one of the inscriptions, the cross spreads above the whole ancient text which has been turned upside down, while in the other, the text above the upper parts of the cross arms was first erased. This might have been done in order to make terms the upper part of the sacred symbol clearer.

Removing the letters, although it may have been easy for the stonemasons, was only seldomly practiced from what can be observed. The door lintel of the Temple Church in Aphrodisias (ca. 500), originally coming from the pagan temple, was reused after having the references to Aphrodite and her cult chiseled. The synthronon of the cathedral of Hierapolis (1st half of the 6th century) consisted of inscribed podia whose texts were erased. The inscription of Philippus Attius in the walls of Side (2nd half of 4th century) replaced an older text which was erased, and this was also the case for the inscription of the comes Phronton (probably 5th century). In the last two cases, the letters had to be removed because the stonemason wanted to carve new texts within the existing relief frames of tabulae ansatae.

A rare epigraphic palimpsest is found in Sardis: a Roman podium with a Latin inscription honoring Septimius Severus was reused twice, once in 459, when on one of its sides was carved a declaration of the guild of the building artisans of the city, and another in 535, where a speech of a governor of Lydia was carved in the place of the old Latin text. Interestingly enough, the last inscription did not harm the first line of the older text which started with the words Imp(eratori) Caesa[r](①) (fig. 5), a possible indication of respect towards imperial power, which was represented by these widely known titles. The coexistence of old and new inscriptions was common too. In Heraclea Pontica, a large inscribed architrave mentioning an emperor of the 1st or 2nd A.D. was turned into a sarcophagus in an upside down position, probably in the Middle Byzantine period (fig. 6). On the narrowest of the three fasciae and above the flipped Roman inscription, the new funerary text commemorating the passing of the servant of God Nikolaos was carved.

There is at last another issue, concerning the perceptions about the magical or supernatural power of word in its written form. Inscriptions on the Byzantine magical amulets were necessary to enact their apotropaic power. Could the texts on stone be treated as a channel of magical messages or

50 Crawford 1990, 29, n. IN59.3-4, fig. 68. See also SEG 43.863 and 46.1525.
51 Sitz 2019a, 149-151, fig. 4-6.
52 Ritti 2006, 84.
54 Nollé 2001, 467-469, n. 149.
56 Buckler – Robinson 1932, n. 18, pl. VII.
57 Buckler – Robinson 1932, n. 20, pl. VIII, fig. 13.
58 Cf. the reused door lintel over the northern entrance to the nave of Temple Church in Aphrodisias, where a dedication to Augustus Caesar Pater Patriae was left intact (Sitz 2019a, 148-149).
60 Foskolou 2014.
even of evil power, given their pagan origins? The existence of fear of this kind towards inscriptions may explain the insertion of crosses into ancient texts, as for example the cross with the letters A and Ω into an inscription in Aphrodisias.\textsuperscript{61} Although such motives are not easily traceable, this case should not be excluded.

Conclusion

The scholarly research has the tendency to identify in the use of spolia in Byzantium intentions with symbolic motives, such as the victory over the pagan past,\textsuperscript{62} the reflection of historical awareness,\textsuperscript{63} or the declaration of a local identity.\textsuperscript{64} For inscribed spolia material, however, which have not attracted as much the interest of the researchers as the architectural ones, other interpretations proposed include attitudes towards the past or memory, and in specific instances even motivations such as the declaration of “Greekness” in environments where new populations of a different origin were settled, such as the Slavs in the south of Greece.\textsuperscript{65}

In the material from Asia Minor, to the degree that it has been examined so far, it seems that practical reasons prevailed. This can be observed in the defensive walls, starting from the case of Aphrodisias with the great number of askew, reversed and hidden texts. A parallel case comes from insular Greece: on the island of Aegina there is the so-called “Inscriptions Wall” of Late Antiquity, which was built with numerous ancient inscriptions both visible on its surface or hidden in its masonry.\textsuperscript{66} As Jon Frey has suggested, the stones were used as raw material, meaning that they were to be placed in the most logical and practical way into the wall. The same practicality must have dominated the ecclesiastical architecture as well, where the masons placed many of the inscriptions reversed or on the inside of a wall.\textsuperscript{67} Additionally, those that were left facing out of the wall were also covered by marble revetments, mural paintings or plasters.

Clearly, the Christian masons worked in a purely technical manner and seem to have ignored the content of the inscribed blocks of stones. Did they employ a different treatment in the case of Christian inscriptions? It seems not, with the exceptions of those instances were a cross was carved on them. The pedestal of Conon at Amorium, with the words Christ and Saint plastered, is quite revealing.

Concluding from the above overview of the epigraphic material from Asia Minor, it can be stated that the way these stones were treated depended mainly on practical factors. The Byzantine world continued to use the written word on a monumental scale, but with a new meaning and purpose than previously. Inscriptions were now used to secure the orthodox doctrine through the numerous names, quotations and epigrams displayed in the Christian church, to teach and guide the faithful, to submit their prayers to God, to commemorate acts of patronage and personal devotion,
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\textsuperscript{67} Karydis comes to the same conclusion, of basically utilitarian motives, discussing the building materials of Early Byzantine vaults in west Asia Minor (Karydis 2011, 42).
as well as to commemorate the deceased members of church and lay elites. The still present ancient texts were more or less incomprehensible for members of late antique and medieval society, illiterate and literate together. Thus, both the ancient and early Christian texts became raw building materials until the modern era, when awakening of interest in antiquity lead to the systematic recording and study of these stones.
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Classical, Roman and Late antiquity inherited in the land of Asia Minor thousands of older Greek and Latin inscriptions on stone, of various content and purposes. With the collapse of the ancient world and the transition to the medieval period, this material lost its significance as conveyor of public and private texts and became incomprehensible to the viewers. In the meantime, contemporary epigraphy followed a different orientation and acquired new values, in the service of Christianity and the Eastern Roman imperial institutions.

Fortifications, public infrastructure and churches predominate in the building activity in the region during this long period. Taking place primarily at ancient cities and sites full of earlier material, architectural production extensively reused spolia of various kinds and periods. These spolia included many inscriptions, which were embedded -intact or reworked- in various structures. The walls of Ankara, the churches of Ephesus and other monuments are representative of this practice, which was later exercised by the Seljuks and the Ottoman Turks too.

The presence of inscribed spolia in Byzantine monuments of Asia Minor raises several questions about the attitude towards the written word in a society which was still using the same language,
in a somehow changed form, but was sharing a different culture. Based on selected cases of reused epigraphic material from the Asia Minor, this article argues that inscriptions were treated mainly in practical terms. Being more or less incomprehensible by illiterate and literate Byzantines, inscribed stones became raw building materials available to be recycled in fortifications, secular buildings and churches.

**Keywords**: Inscriptions, spolia, reuse, Asia Minor, Byzantine era.
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**Fig. 1** Ankara, Latin inscription reused in the walls (Mitchell – French 2012, n. 32).

**Fig. 2** Amorium, roman architrave embedded in Lower City Church masonry (Lightfoot 2010, Abb. 13).
Fig. 3) Amorium, Lower City Church, early Christian slab in grave 19 (Ivison 2010, Abb. 13).

Fig. 4) Patara, Transept basilica, inscription reused as pavement slab (Adak 1996, n. 6).
Fig. 5) Sardis, roman podium with an epigraphic palimpsest (Buckler – Robinson 1932, fig. 13).

Fig. 6) Heracleia of Pontus, roman architrave reused as sarcophagus (Hoepfner 1966, Pl. 10c).