SUBORDINATION RESULTS OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

A. O. Mostafa^{*†}, Mohamed K. Aouf^{*} and Teodor Bulboacă[‡]

Received 23:09:2010 : Accepted 23:03:2011

Abstract

Using the method of differential subordination, we investigate some properties of certain classes of multivalent functions, which are defined by means of convolution.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Multivalent functions, Convolution product, Differential subordination.

2000 AMS Classification: 30 C 45.

1. Introduction

Let $A_n(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad p, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\},\$$

which are analytic and *p*-valent in the unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. If *f* and *g* are analytic functions in U, we say that *f* is subordinate to *g*, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a *Schwarz function w*, which (by definition) is analytic in U, with w(0) = 0, and |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z)), z \in U$. Furthermore, if the function *g* is univalent in U, then we have the equivalence (cf., e.g., [18] and [19])

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U)$$

For functions f given by (1.1) and $g \in A_n(p)$ given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. E-Mail: (A.O. Mostafa) adelaeg254@yahoo.com (M.K. Aouf) mkaouf127@yahoo.com [†]Corresponding Author.

[‡]Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania. E-mail: bulboaca@math.ubbcluj.ro

the Hadamard product (convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p} = (g * f)(z)$$

For the functions $f, g \in A_n(p)$ we define the linear operator $D_{\lambda,p}^m : A_n(p) \to A_n(p)$, where $\lambda \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, by

$$D^{0}_{\lambda,p}h(z) = h(z),$$

$$D^{1}_{\lambda,p}h(z) = (1-\lambda)h(z) + \frac{\lambda z}{p} (h(z))',$$

and

$$D^m_{\lambda,p}h(z) = D^1_{\lambda,p}\left(D^{m-1}_{\lambda,p}h(z)\right)$$

(1.2)
$$= z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^m a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \ m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where h = f * g.

From (1.2) we may easily deduce that

(1.3)
$$\frac{\lambda z}{p} \left(D^m_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) \right)' = D^{m+1}_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z) - (1-\lambda) D^m_{\lambda,p}(f*g)(z),$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For the special case p = 1, the operator $D_{\lambda,p}^m(f * g)$ was introduced and studied by Aouf and Mostafa [4], while for different choices of the function g, the operator $D_{\lambda,p}^m(f*g)$ reduces to several interesting operators as follows:

(i) For
$$b_{k+p} = 1$$
 for all $k \ge n \left(\text{or} \quad \widetilde{g}(z) = z^p + \frac{z^{p+n}}{1-z} \right)$, we have
 $D^m_{\lambda,p}(f * \widetilde{g})(z) \equiv D^m_{\lambda,p}f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p} \right)^m a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \ \lambda \ge 0.$

Taking in this special case $\lambda = 1$, we have $D_{1,p}^m(f * \tilde{g}) \equiv D_p^m f$, where D_p^m is the *p*-valent Sălăgean operator introduced and studied by Kamali and Orhan [14] (see also [3]);

(ii) For m = 0 and

(1.4)
$$g_*(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{p+l+\lambda(k-p)}{p+l} \right]^s z^k, \ (\lambda \ge 0, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ l, s \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

we see that $D^0_{\lambda,p}(f * g_*) = f * g_* = I_p(s, \lambda, l) f$, where $I_p(s, \lambda, l)$ is the generalized multiplier transformation which was introduced and studied by Cătas [7]. The operator $I_p(s, \lambda, l)$ contains as special cases the multiplier transformation (see [8]), the generalized Sălăgean operator introduced and studied by Al-Oboudi [1], which in turn contains as a special case the Sălăgean operator (see [24]).

For p = 1 and

$$g_{**}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\beta)}{\Gamma(k+1-\beta)} \left(1 + \lambda(k-1) \right) \right] z^k,$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, we see that $D_{\lambda,1}^m(f * g_{**}) \equiv D_{\lambda}^{m,\beta}f$ is the fractional differential multiplier operator defined and studied by Al-Oboudi and Al-Amoudi in [2].

(iii) For m = 0 and

(1.5)
$$g^*(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdot \ldots \cdot (\alpha_l)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \cdot \ldots \cdot (\beta_s)_k} \frac{z^{k+p}}{(1)_k},$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = \overline{1, l}$, and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, ...\}$, $j = \overline{1, s}$, with $l \leq s+1$, $l, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we see that $D^0_{\lambda, p}(f * g^*) = f * g^* \equiv \mathrm{H}^p_{l, s}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s)f = \mathrm{H}^p_{l, s}[\alpha_1]f$, where $\mathrm{H}^p_{l, s}[\alpha_1]$ is the Dziok-Srivastava operator introduced and studied by Dziok and Srivatava [9] (see also [10] and [11]).

The operator $H_{l,s}^{p}[\alpha_{1}]$ contains in turn many interesting operators, such as the Hohlov linear operator (see [13]), the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator (see [6] and [23]), the Ruscheweyh derivative operator (see [22]), the Bernardi-Libera-Livingston operator (see [5], [15] and [16]), and the Owa-Srivastava fractional derivative operator (see [20]).

Using the linear operator $D_{\lambda,p}^m$, we define a new subclass of the class $A_n(p)$ as follows:

1.1. Definition. For fixed parameters A and B, with $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, for $\lambda > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $g \in A_n(p)$, we say that a function $f \in A_n(p)$ is in the class $T_{p,n}^m(\lambda; A, B)$, if it satisfies the following subordination condition

(1.6)
$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}(f*g)(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

A function f analytic in U is said to be convex of order η , $\eta < 1$, if $f'(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \eta, \ z \in \mathrm{U}.$$

If $\eta = 0$, then the function f is convex.

It is easy to check that, if $h(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$, then $h'(0) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\frac{1-Bz}{1+Bz} > 0, z \in U$, whenever $|B| \leq 1$ and $A \neq B$, hence h is convex in the unit disc. If $B \neq -1$, from the fact that $h(\overline{z}) = \overline{h(z)}, z \in U$, we deduce that the image h(U) is symmetric with respect to the real axis, and that h maps the unit disc U onto the disc $\left|w - \frac{1-AB}{1-B^2}\right| < \frac{A-B}{1-B^2}$. If B = -1, the function h maps the unit disc U onto the half plane $\operatorname{Re} w > \frac{1-A}{2}$, hence we obtain:

1.2. Remark. The function $f \in A(p)$ is in the class $T_{p,n}^m(\lambda; A, B)$ if and only if

$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}(f*g)(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} - \frac{1-AB}{1-B^{2}} < \frac{A-B}{1-B^{2}}, \ z \in \mathcal{U}, \text{ for } B \neq -1,$$

and

Re
$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}(f*g)(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} > \frac{1-A}{2}, \ z \in U, \text{ for } B = -1.$$

Denoting by $T_{p,n}^m(\lambda;\gamma)$ the class of functions $f \in A_n(p)$ that satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}(f * g)(z))'}{z^{p-1}} > \gamma, \ z \in \operatorname{U} \quad (0 \le \gamma < p),$$

where $g \in A_n(p)$, we have $T_{p,n}^m(\lambda;\gamma) = T_{p,n}^m\left(\lambda; 1 - \frac{2\gamma}{p}, -1\right)$.

In the present paper, we derive several inclusion relationships for the function class $T^m_{p,n}(\lambda; A, B)$.

2. Preliminaries

To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

2.1. Lemma. [12] Let h be a convex function in U with h(0) = 1. Suppose also that the function φ given by

(2.1)
$$\varphi(z) = 1 + c_{p+n} z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots,$$

is analytic in U. Then

$$\varphi(z) + \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (\operatorname{Re} \gamma \ge 0, \ \gamma \ne 0),$$

implies

(2.2)
$$\varphi(z) \prec \psi(z) = \frac{\gamma}{n} z^{-\frac{\gamma}{n}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} h(t) \,\mathrm{d} t \prec h(z),$$

and ψ is the best dominant of (2.2).

2.2. Lemma. [25] Let Φ be analytic in U, with

 $\Phi(0) = 1 \quad and \quad \operatorname{Re} \Phi(z) > \frac{1}{2}, \ z \in \operatorname{U}.$

Then, for any function F analytic in U, the set $(\Phi * F)(U)$ is contained in the convex hull of F(U), i.e. $(\Phi * F)(U) \subset \operatorname{co} F(U)$.

For real or complex numbers a, b and c, the Gauss hypergeometric function is defined by

(2.3)
$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b,c;z) = 1 + \frac{a \cdot b}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1) \cdot b(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \frac{z^{2}}{2!} + \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}(b)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}, \quad a,b \in \mathbb{C}, \ c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,-1,-2,\dots\}$$

where $(d)_k = d(d+1) \dots (d+k-1)$ and $(d)_0 = 1$. The series (2.3) converges absolutely for $z \in U$, hence it represents an analytic function in U (see [26, Chapter 14]).

Each of the following identities are fairly well-known:

2.3. Lemma. [26, Chapter 14] For all real or complex numbers a, b and c, with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$, the following equalities hold:

(2.4)
$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b,c;z)$$

where $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} b > 0$,

(2.5)
$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b,c;z) = (1-z)^{-a} _{2}F_{1}\left(a,c-b,c;\frac{z}{z-1}\right),$$

and

$$(2.6) _2F_1(a, b, c; z) =_2 F_1(b, a, c; z).$$

3. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that

$$\alpha > 0, -1 \le B < A \le 1, \lambda \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

3.1. Theorem. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that the function $f \in A_n(p)$ satisfies the subordination condition

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h\right)(z)\right)'+\alpha\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}}\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where h = f * g, and $\lambda > 0$. Then

(3.1)
$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where

(3.2)
$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1, \frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n} + 1; \frac{Bz}{1 + Bz}\right), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 + \frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n + p}Az, & \text{if } B = 0, \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (3.1). Furthermore,

where

(3.4)
$$\eta = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1, \frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n} + 1; \frac{B}{B - 1}\right), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n + p}A, & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases}$$

The inequality (3.3) is the best possible.

Proof. If we let

(3.5)
$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^m h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}}, \ z \in \mathbf{U},$$

then φ is of the form (2.1), and it is analytic in U. Applying the identity (1.3) in (3.5) and differentiating the resulting equation with respect to z, we get

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'+\alpha\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m+1}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}}=\varphi(z)+\frac{\alpha\lambda z\varphi'(z)}{p}\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 for $\gamma = \frac{p}{\alpha \lambda}$, we deduce that $\underline{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^m h(z)\right)'}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n}h(z))}{pz^{p-1}} \\ \prec Q(z) \\ &= \frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n} z^{-\frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n}-1} \frac{1+At}{1+Bt} \,\mathrm{d}\,t \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1-\frac{A}{B})(1+Bz)^{-1} \,_{2}F_{1}\left(1,1,\frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n}+1;\frac{Bz}{1+Bz}\right), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1+\frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n+p}Az, & \text{if } B = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we have also made a change of variables followed by the use of the identities (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6). Next we will show that

$$\inf \{ \operatorname{Re} Q(z) : |z| < 1 \} = Q(-1).$$

Indeed, for $|z| \leq r < 1$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \ge \frac{1-Ar}{1-Br}$$

Setting

$$G(z,s) = \frac{1 + Azs}{1 + Bzs}$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}\,\nu(s) = \frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n} s^{\frac{p}{\alpha\lambda n} - 1} \,\mathrm{d}\,s, \ 0 \le s \le 1,$$

which is a positive measure on the closed interval [0, 1], we have $Q(z) = \int_{0}^{z} G(s, z) d\nu(s)$,

and thus

$$\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \ge \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Asr}{1 - Bsr} \, \mathrm{d}\, \nu(s) = Q(-r), \ |z| \le r < 1.$$

Letting $r \to 1^-$ in the above inequality, we obtain the assertion (3.2).

Finally, the estimate (3.3) is the best possible as the function Q is the best dominant of (3.1), which completes the proof of the theorem.

Taking
$$g(z) = z^p + \frac{z^{p+n}}{1-z}$$
 in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result:

3.2. Corollary. If the function $f \in A_n(p)$ satisfy the subordination condition

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)\left(D_{\lambda,p}^m f(z)\right)' + \alpha\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m+1} f(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

with $\lambda > 0$, then

$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}f(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where Q is given by (3.2), and it is the best dominant. Furthermore,

where η is given by (3.4), and the inequality (3.6) is the best possible.

For m = 0 and $g = g^*$ given by (1.5), using the identity

$$z \left(\mathbf{H}_{l,s}^{p}[\alpha_{1}]f(z) \right)' = \alpha_{1} \mathbf{H}_{l,s}^{p}[\alpha_{1}+1]f(z) + (p-\alpha_{1}) \mathbf{H}_{l,s}^{p}[\alpha_{1}]f(z),$$

Theorem 3.1 reduces to the next result:

3.3. Corollary. Let $\lambda > 0$, let $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = \overline{1, l}$, and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, ...\}$, $j = \overline{1, s}$, with $l \leq s+1$, $l, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and suppose that the function $f \in A_n(p)$ satisfy the subordination condition

$$\frac{\left(1-\frac{\lambda\alpha\alpha_1}{p}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{l,s}^p[\alpha_1]f(z)\right)'+\frac{\lambda\alpha\alpha_1}{p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{l,s}^p[\alpha_1+1]f(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}}\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

Then

$$\frac{\left(\operatorname{H}_{l,s}^{p}[\alpha_{1}]f(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

where Q is given by (3.2), and it is the best dominant. Furthermore,

where η is given by (3.4), and the inequality (3.7) is the best possible.

Taking in Theorem 3.1 the parameter m = 0 and $g = g_*$ of the form (1.4), and using the identity (see [7])

$$\lambda z \left(\mathbf{I}_p(s,\lambda,l) f(z) \right)' = (p+l) \, \mathbf{I}_p(s+1,\lambda,l) f(z) - \left[p(1-\lambda) + l \right] \mathbf{I}_p(s,\lambda,l) f(z), \ \lambda \ge 0,$$

we deduce the following result:

3.4. Corollary. Let $\lambda > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $l, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and suppose that the function $f \in A_n(p)$ satisfy the subordination condition

$$\frac{\left[1-\alpha\left(1+\frac{l}{p}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{I}_{p}(s,\lambda,l)f(z)\right)'+\alpha\left(1+\frac{l}{p}\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_{p}(s+1,\lambda,l)f(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}}\prec\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

Then

$$\frac{(\mathbf{I}_p(s,\lambda,l)f(z))'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

where Q is given by (3.2), and it is the best dominant. Furthermore,

where η is given by (3.4), and the inequality (3.8) is the best possible.

3.5. Theorem. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that $f \in T_{p,n}^m(\lambda;\eta)$, $(0 \leq \eta < p)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-\alpha) \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m} h(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m+1} h(z) \right)'}{z^{p-1}} > \eta, \ |z| < R,$$

where h = f * g, and

(3.9)
$$R = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\alpha\lambda n)^2 + p^2} - \alpha\lambda n}{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The result is the best possible.

Proof. Since $f \in T^m_{p,n}(\lambda;\eta)$, we write

(3.10)
$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{z^{p-1}} = \eta + (p-\eta)u(z).$$

Then the function u is of the form (2.1), analytic in U, and has a positive real part in U. Substituting the relation (1.3) in (3.10), and differentiating the resulting equation with respect to z, we have

(3.11)
$$\frac{1}{p-\eta} \left[\frac{(1-\alpha) \left(D^m_{\lambda,p} h(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(D^{m+1}_{\lambda,p} h(z) \right)'}{z^{p-1}} - \eta \right] = u(z) + \frac{\alpha \lambda}{p} z u'(z).$$

Applying the following well-known estimate [17]

$$\frac{|zu'(z)|}{\operatorname{Re} u(z)} \le \frac{2nr^n}{1 - r^{2n}}, \ |z| = r < 1,$$

in (3.11), we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left[\frac{(1-\alpha) \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m} h(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m+1} h(z) \right)'}{z^{p-1}} - \eta \right]$$
$$\geq \operatorname{Re} u(z) \left(1 - \frac{2\lambda \alpha n r^{n}}{p \left(1 - r^{2n} \right)} \right), \ |z| = r < 1$$

It is easy to see that the right-hand side of the inequality (??) is positive whenever r < R, where R is given by (3.9).

In order to show that the bound R is the best possible, we consider the function $f \in A_n(p)$ such that, for the given function $g \in A_n(p)$ we have

$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{z^{p-1}} = \eta + (p-\eta)\frac{1+z^{n}}{1-z^{n}}, \ z \in \mathbf{U} \quad (0 \le \eta < p).$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{p-\eta} \left[\frac{(1-\alpha) \left(D_{\lambda,p}^m h(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m+1} h(z) \right)'}{z^{p-1}} - \eta \right] = \frac{p(1-z^{2n}) - 2\alpha\lambda n z^n}{p \left(1-z^n\right)^2} = 0$$

for $z = R \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{n}\right)$, the proof of the Theorem 3.5 is complete.

Putting $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following result:

3.6. Corollary. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that $f \in T_{p,n}^m(\lambda;\eta)$, $(0 \le \eta < p)$. Then $f \in T_{p,n}^{m+1}(\lambda;\eta)$ for $|z| < R^*$, where

$$R^* = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\lambda n)^2 + p^2} - \lambda n}{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The result is the best possible.

Now we define the integral operator $F_{\delta,p}: A_n(p) \to A_n(p)$ by

$$F_{\delta,p}(f)(z) = \frac{\delta+p}{z^{\delta}} \int_0^z t^{\delta-1} f(t) \,\mathrm{d}\,t, \ z \in \mathcal{U} \quad (\delta > -p)$$

3.7. Theorem. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that $f \in T^m_{p,n}(\lambda; A, B)$. Then

(3.12)
$$\frac{\left(D^m_{\lambda,p}F_{\delta,p}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec \Theta(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

where h = f * g, and the function Θ is given by

$$\Theta(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1, \frac{\delta + p}{n} + 1; \frac{Bz}{1 + Bz}\right), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 + \frac{\delta + p}{p + n + \delta}Az, & \text{if } B = 0, \end{cases}$$

and it is the best dominant of (3.12). Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}F_{\delta,p}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} > \vartheta, \ z \in \operatorname{U} \quad (\delta > -p),$$

where

$$\vartheta = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, 1, \frac{\delta + p}{n} + 1; \frac{B}{B - 1}\right), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{\delta + p}{p + n + \delta}A, & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases}$$

The result is the best possible.

Proof. Letting

(3.13)
$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^m F_{\delta,p} h(z)\right)'}{p z^{p-1}}, \ z \in \mathbf{U},$$

then φ is of the form (2.1), and analytic in U. Using the operator identity

$$z \left(D_{\lambda,p}^m F_{\delta,p} h(z) \right)' = (p+\delta) D_{\lambda,p}^m h(z) - \delta D_{\lambda,p}^m F_{\delta,p} h(z)$$

in (3.13), and differentiating the resulting equation with respect to z, we have

$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} = \varphi(z) + \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{p+\delta} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

Now the remaining part of Theorem 3.7 follows by employing the techniques that were used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\hfill \Box$

It is easy to see that,

$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}F_{\delta,p}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} = \frac{p+\delta}{pz^{p+\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta} \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(t)\right)' \mathrm{d}\,t, \ z \in \mathrm{U},$$

whenever $f \in A_n(p)$ with $\delta > -p$. In view of the above identity, Theorem 3.7 for the special case $A = 1 - 2\eta$ $(0 \le \eta < 1)$ and B = -1 yields the next result:

3.8. Corollary. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that $f \in A_n(p)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} > \eta, \ z \in \operatorname{U} \quad (0 \le \eta < 1),$$

where h = f * g, and $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{p+\delta}{pz^{p+\delta}}\int_{0}^{z}t^{\delta}\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(t)\right)'\mathrm{d}t\right] > \eta + (1-\eta)\left[{}_{2}F_{1}\left(1,1,\frac{p+\delta}{n}+1;\frac{1}{2}\right)-1\right], \ z \in \operatorname{U}\left(\delta > -p\right).$$

The result is the best possible.

3.9. Theorem. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that the function $H \in A_n(p)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{m}H(z)}{z^{p}} > 0, \ z \in \mathbf{U}$$

If the function $f \in A_n(p)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left|\frac{D^m_{\lambda,p}h(z)}{D^m_{p,\lambda}H(z)} - 1\right| < 1, \ z \in \mathbf{U},$$

where h = f * g, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z \left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m} h(z) \right)'}{D_{\lambda,p}^{m} h(z)} > 0, \ |z| < R_{0},$$

where

(3.14)
$$R_0 = \frac{\sqrt{9n^2 + 4p(p+n)} - 3n}{2(p+n)}.$$

Proof. Letting

(3.15)
$$\varphi(z) = \frac{D_{\lambda,p}^m h(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^m H(z)} - 1 = e_n z^n + e_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots, z \in \mathbf{U},$$

then φ is analytic in U, with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$.

Defining the function ψ by

$$\psi(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(z)}{z^n}, & z \in \mathbf{U} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \frac{\varphi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}, & z = 0, \end{cases}$$

then ψ is analytic in U \ {0} and continuous in U, hence it is analytic in the whole unit disc U. If $r \in (0, 1)$ is an arbitrary number, since $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$, we deduce

$$|\psi(z)| \leq \max_{|z|=r} \left| \frac{\varphi(z)}{z^n} \right| \leq \max_{|z|=r} \frac{|\varphi(z)|}{|z|^n} < \frac{1}{r^n}, \ |z| \leq r < 1.$$

By letting $r \to 1^-$ in the above inequality, we get $|\psi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$, i.e. $\varphi(z) = z^n \psi(z)$, where the function ψ is analytic in U, and $|\psi(z)| < 1, z \in U$.

Therefore, (3.15) leads to

$$D^m_{\lambda,p}h(z) = D^m_{\lambda,p}H(z)\left[1 + z^n\psi(z)\right],$$

and differentiating logarithmically with respect to z the above relation, we obtain

(3.16)
$$\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)} = \frac{z\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}H(z)\right)'}{D_{\lambda,p}^{m}H(z)} + \frac{z^{n}\left[n\psi(z) + z\psi'(z)\right]}{1 + z^{n}\psi(z)}$$

Letting $\chi(z) = \frac{D_{\lambda,p}^m H(z)}{z^p}$, we see that the function χ is of the form (2.1), analytic in U with $\operatorname{Re} \chi(z) > 0$ for all $z \in U$, and

$$\frac{z\left(D^m_{\lambda,p}H(z)\right)'}{D^m_{\lambda,p}H(z)} = \frac{z\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)} + p,$$

so we find from (3.16) that

(3.17) Re
$$\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)} \ge p - \left|\frac{z\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)}\right| - \left|\frac{z^{n}\left[n\psi(z) + z\psi'(z)\right]}{1 + z^{n}\psi(z)}\right|, z \in \mathbf{U}.$$

Using the following known estimates [21] (see also [17])

$$\frac{\chi'(z)}{\chi(z)} \bigg| \le \frac{2nr^{n-1}}{1-r^{2n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigg| \frac{n\psi(z) + z\psi'(z)}{1+z^n\psi(z)} \bigg| \le \frac{n}{1-r^n}, \ |z| = r < 1,$$

from (3.17) we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re}\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)\right)'}{D_{\lambda,p}^{m}h(z)} \ge \frac{p-3nr^{n}-(p+n)r^{2n}}{1-r^{2n}}, \ |z|=r<1,$$

and the right-hand side fraction is positive provided that $r < R_0$, where R_0 is given by (3.14).

3.10. Theorem. Let $g \in A_n(p)$ be a given function, and suppose that the function $H \in A_n(p)$ satisfies the inequality

(3.18) Re
$$\frac{H(z)}{z^p} > \frac{1}{2}, z \in U.$$

If $f \in T^m_{p,n}(\lambda; A, B)$, then
 $f * H \in T^m_{p,n}(\lambda; A, B).$

Proof. A simple calculation shows that

$$\frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}(f*g*H)(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} = \frac{\left(D_{\lambda,p}^{m}(f*g)(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} * \frac{H(z)}{z^{p}}.$$

Using the assumption (3.18) and the fact that the function $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is convex in U, from Lemma 2.2 follows

$$\frac{\left(D^m_{\lambda,p}(f*g*H)(z)\right)'}{pz^{p-1}} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

that is $f*H \in T^m_{p,n}(\lambda; A, B).$

3.11. Remark. Specializing in the above results the parameters λ and m, and the function g, we obtain new results corresponding to the operators defined in the introduction.

References

- Al-Oboudi, F. M. On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27, 1429–1436, 2004.
- [2] Al-Oboudi, F. M. and Al-Amoudi, K. A. On classes of analytic functions related to conic domains, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 (1), 655-û667, 2008.
- [3] Aouf, M. K. and Mostafa, A. O. On a subclass of n-p-valent prestarlike functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 55 (4), 851–861, 2008.
- [4] Aouf, M.K. and Mostafa, A.O. Sandwich theorems for analytic functions defined by convolution, Acta Univ. Apulensis 21, 7–20, 2010.
- [5] Bernardi, S.D. Convex and univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 429–446, 1996.
- [6] Carlson, B.C. and Shaffer, D.B. Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15, 737–745, 1984.
- [7] Cătaş, A. On certain classes of p-valent functions defined by multiplier transformations, in Proc. of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications, Istanbul, Turkey, 241–250, 2007.
- [8] Cho, N.E. and Kim, T.G. Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40 (3), 399–410, 2003.
- Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H. M. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 103, 1–13, 1999.
- [10] Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H. M. Some subclasses of analytic functions with fixed argument of coefficients associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 5, 115–125, 2002.
- [11] Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H. M. Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transf. Spec. Funct. 14, 7–18, 2003.
- [12] Hallenbeck, D.J. and Ruscheweyh, St. Subordination by convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52, 191–195, 1975.
- [13] Hohlov, Yu. E. Operators and operations in the univalent functions (in Russian), Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 10, 83–89, 1978.
- [14] Kamali, M. and Orhan, H. On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 41 (1), 53–71, 2004.
- [15] Libera, R. J. Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, 755– 658, 1965.
- [16] Livingston, A.E. On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17, 352–357, 1966.
- [17] MacGregor, T.H. Radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14, 514–520, 1963.
- [18] Miller, S. S. and Mocanu, P. T. Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (2), 157–171, 1981.

- [19] Miller, S. S. and Mocanu, P. T. Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications (Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000).
- [20] Owa, S. and Srivastava, H. M. Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math. 39, 1057–1077, 1987.
- [21] Patel, J. Radii of γ-spirallikeness of certain analytic functions, J. Math. Phys. Sci. 27, 321–334, 1993.
- [22] Ruscheweyh, St. New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49, 109–115, 1975.
- [23] Saitoh, H. A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations, Math. Japon. 44, 31–38, 1996.
- [24] Sălăgean, G. S. Subclasses of Univalent Functions, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin) 1013, 362–372, 1983.
- [25] Singh, R. and Singh, S. Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106, 145–152, 1989.
- [26] Whittaker, E. T. and Watson, G. N. A Course of Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; With an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions, Fourth Edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927).