

\mathcal{X} -GORENSTEIN PROJECTIVE AND \mathcal{Y} -GORENSTEIN INJECTIVE MODULES

Fanyun Meng^{*†} and Qunxing Pan[‡]

Received 21:06:2010 : Accepted 26:01:2011

Abstract

Let \mathcal{X} be a class of right R -modules that contains all projective right R -modules. The notion of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules was introduced by D. Bennis and K. Ouarghi (*\mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules*, International Mathematical Forum **5**(10), 487–491, 2010). In this paper, we introduce \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules, where \mathcal{Y} is a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. We show that the principal results on Gorenstein modules remain true for \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules, \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules.

Keywords: \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules, \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules, \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules.

2000 AMS Classification: 16 E 10, 16 E 30.

1. Introduction

In [6], Enochs and Jenda defined the Gorenstein injective modules over an arbitrary ring R . Recall that a right R -module M is called Gorenstein injective if there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of injective right R -modules with $M = \ker(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$, and which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(E, -)$ is applied for any injective right R -module E .

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.
E-mail: fanyunmengnju@gmail.com

[†]Corresponding Author.

[‡]School of Science, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China.
E-mail: pqxjs98@njau.edu.cn

In [2], Bennis *et al.* introduced the notion of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules. Let \mathcal{X} be a class of right R -modules that contains all projective right R -modules. A right R -module M is called \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow P^{-2} \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of projective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}, F)$ is exact whenever $F \in \mathcal{X}$.

In this paper, we introduce \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules, where \mathcal{Y} is a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. A right R -module M is called \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of injective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$, and which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. A left R -module M is called \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow F^{-2} \longrightarrow F^{-1} \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of flat left R -modules such that $M = \ker(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$, and which remains exact whenever $G \otimes_R -$ is applied for any $G \in \mathcal{Y}$.

We mainly show that principal results on Gorenstein modules remain true for \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules, \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules.

Section 2 introduces \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules and studies their relations with Gorenstein injective modules. For a ring R with $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$, it is shown that the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein injective right R -modules if and only if every module in \mathcal{Y} has finite injective dimension. We also define the \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension of a module and a ring. Using the functors $\text{Ext}_R^i(-, -)$, we give some characterizations of a module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension. For a ring R with $r\mathcal{Y}\text{-GID}(R) < \infty$, we get that $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory.

Section 3 deals with \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules, in a way much similar to how we treat the \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules in Section 2.

Section 4 introduces \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules and studies their relations with \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules and \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules. Let \mathcal{X} be a class of right R -modules that contains all projective right R -modules and \mathcal{Y} a class of left R -modules that contains all injective left R -modules. If $\mathcal{Y}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, then every \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat. For a right coherent ring, we get that M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module. We also define the \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension of a module and a ring. Using the functors $\text{Tor}_i^R(-, -)$, we give some characterizations of a left R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension over a right coherent ring R . If R is a right coherent ring with $l\mathcal{Y}\text{-GFD}(R) < \infty$, then $(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp)$ is a perfect complete hereditary cotorsion theory.

Next we recall some notions and facts required in the paper. In [4], Ding *et al.* introduced the notion of strongly Gorenstein flat modules. A right R -module M is called strongly Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow P^{-2} \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of projective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}, F)$ is exact whenever F is flat. Obviously, \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules generalize both Gorenstein projective modules and strongly Gorenstein flat modules.

In [13], Mao and Ding introduced Gorenstein FP -injective modules. A right R -module M is called Gorenstein FP -injective if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of injective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$, and which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any FP -injective module H . Obviously, \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules generalize both Gorenstein injective modules and Gorenstein FP -injective modules.

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of R -modules and M an R -module. Following [5], we say that a homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow C$ is a \mathcal{C} -preenvelope if $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and the abelian group homomorphism $\text{Hom}(\phi, C') : \text{Hom}(C, C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, C')$ is surjective for each $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. A \mathcal{C} -preenvelope $\phi : M \rightarrow C$ is said to be a \mathcal{C} -envelope if every endomorphism $g : C \rightarrow C$ such that $g\phi = \phi$ is an isomorphism. Dually we have the definitions of a \mathcal{C} -precover and a \mathcal{C} -cover. \mathcal{C} -envelopes (\mathcal{C} -covers) may not exist in general, but if they exist, they are unique up to isomorphism.

A module M is said to have a special \mathcal{C} -precover [7, Definition 7.1.6] if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$. M is said to have a special \mathcal{C} -preenvelope [7, Definition 7.1.6] if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F \in {}^\perp\mathcal{C}$.

A pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ of classes of right R -modules is called a cotorsion theory (cotorsion pair) [7, Definition 7.1.2] if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$. A pair of classes $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^\perp)$ is said to be cogenerated by a set \mathcal{D} [9, Definition 1.1.7] if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{D}^\perp$. A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called complete [11, Lemma 2.2.6] if every R -module has a special \mathcal{C} -preenvelope (and a special \mathcal{F} -precover). A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is said to be hereditary [8] if whenever

$$0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow L'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact with $L, L'' \in \mathcal{F}$ then L' is also in \mathcal{F} , or equivalently, if whenever

$$0 \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow C'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact with $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ then C'' is also in \mathcal{C} .

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary, $\text{r.gldim}(R)$ (resp. $\text{wdim}(R)$) stands for the right (resp. the weak) global dimension of R . For an R -module M , the character module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by M^+ , $\text{fd}(M)$, $\text{id}(M)$ and $\text{pd}(M)$ stand for the flat, injective and projective dimensions of M respectively, $\text{Gfd}(M)$, $\text{Gid}(M)$ and $\text{Gpd}(M)$ denote the Gorenstein flat, injective and projective dimensions of M respectively. $\text{r.Ggldim}(R)$ (resp. $\text{l.Ggldim}(R)$) denotes the right (resp. the left) Gorenstein global dimension of R .

2. \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules

2.1. Definition. Let \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. A right R -module M is called \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of injective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$, and which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$.

The sequence \mathcal{E} is called a \mathcal{Y} -complete injective resolution.

2.2. Remark. (1) Obviously, we have the following implications:

injective modules \implies \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules \implies Gorenstein injective modules.

(2) Let \mathcal{Y} be the class of injective right R -modules, then \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincide with Gorenstein injective right R -modules.

(3) Let \mathcal{Y} be the class of FP -injective right R -modules, then \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincide with Gorenstein FP -injective right R -modules [13].

(4) If \mathcal{Y} is the class of Gorenstein injective right R -modules, then every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module is injective. Indeed, for any \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module M , we have an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

with I injective and K \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any module $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module is Gorenstein injective, we let $H = K \in \mathcal{Y}$, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, I) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, K) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus M is a direct summand of I , hence M is injective.

2.3. Proposition. *A right R -module M is injective if and only if M belongs to \mathcal{Y} and M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.*

Proof. If M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, then by the definition of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules, we have an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with I injective and G \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since M belongs to \mathcal{Y} , we apply $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ to the above exact sequence, then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, I) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus M is a direct summand of I , hence M is injective.

The converse is trivial. □

2.4. Corollary. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) \mathcal{Y} is the class of injective right R -modules.
- (2) Every $H \in \mathcal{Y}$ is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is trivial by Remark 2.2(1).

(2) \implies (1) By Proposition 2.3, we know that \mathcal{Y} is the class of injective right R -modules. □

Let \mathcal{Y} be the class of FP -injective right R -modules in Corollary 2.4. Then we have the following result which is a generalization of [13, Proposition 2.7].

2.5. Corollary. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) R is right noetherian.
- (2) Every FP -injective right R -module is Gorenstein FP -injective.

Proof. We only note that R is right noetherian if and only if every FP -injective right R -module is injective [14, Theorem 3]. □

2.6. Theorem. *Let R be a ring with $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein injective right R -modules.*
- (2) *Every module in \mathcal{Y} has finite injective dimension.*

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let M be any Gorenstein injective right R -module, by hypothesis we know that M is also \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Thus there is an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

with I injective and G \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ is applied for any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. For any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H, I) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H, G) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\text{Ext}_R^1(H, M) = 0$ for any Gorenstein injective right R -module M . Hence by [15, Proposition 2.5], H has finite injective dimension.

(2) \implies (1) Let M be any Gorenstein injective right R -module, then there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \cdots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of injective right R -modules with $M = \ker(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$, which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(E, -)$ is applied for any injective right R -module E .

Let H be any module in \mathcal{Y} . By (2), we may assume $\text{id}(H) = n < \infty$. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 0$, by the definition of Gorenstein injective modules, $\text{Hom}_R(H, \mathcal{E})$ is exact. For $n \geq 1$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0,$$

where E is an injective right R -module and $\text{id}(N) = n - 1$.

Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0$$

with the first two complexes exact by induction. Hence $\text{Hom}_R(H, \mathcal{E})$ is exact. Thus M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Since every \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module is Gorenstein injective, the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein injective right R -modules. \square

2.7. Corollary. *Let R be a ring with $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$ and $\text{wdim}(R) < \infty$. Then the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein injective right R -modules.*

Proof. By [1, Corollary 1.2], we know that if $\text{wdim}(R) < \infty$ then

$$\text{r.gldim}(R) = \text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty.$$

Thus every right R -module has finite injective dimension. Hence by Theorem 2.6, the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein injective right R -modules. \square

2.8. Lemma. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module.*
- (2) *M satisfies the following two assertions:*
 - (a) *$\text{Ext}_R^i(H, M) = 0$ for any right R -module $H \in \mathcal{Y}$ and any $i \geq 1$.*

(b) *There exists an exact sequence of right R -modules*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each E^{-i} is injective and $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ leaves the sequence exact for any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$.

(3) *There exists a short exact sequence of right R -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where I is injective and G is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

Proof. By the definition of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules, we immediately get (1) \iff (2) and (1) \implies (3).

(3) \implies (1) The proof is similar to that of [2, Proposition 2.2]. \square

By Lemma 2.8 and [2, Proposition 2.4], we have the following:

2.9. Proposition. *Every right R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective if and only if every right R -module in \mathcal{Y} is projective.*

In particular, when the above equivalent conditions are satisfied R is quasi-Frobenius. \square

2.10. Proposition. *The class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules is closed under extensions and cokernels of monomorphisms. Furthermore it is closed under direct products and direct summands.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$. First, assume that M' , M'' are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules. By a proof similar to that of [7, Lemma 8.2.1], we can construct an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow E^{-2} \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each E^{-i} is injective and $\text{Hom}_R(H, -)$ leaves the sequence exact for any $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since M' , M'' are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules, $\text{Ext}_R^i(H, M') = \text{Ext}_R^i(H, M'') = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. Using the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(H, M') \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(H, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(H, M'') \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

we get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(H, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all $H \in \mathcal{Y}$. By Lemma 2.8, we know that M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

Next, assume that M' , M are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules. By Lemma 2.8, there exists a short exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where I is injective and G is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & G & \xlongequal{\quad} & G & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

From the left exact column and the fact that the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules is closed under extensions, we know that F is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Thus we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow I \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$, where I is injective and F is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. From Lemma 2.8, we know M'' is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

By the definition of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules, we know that \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules are closed under direct products. Hence \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules are closed under direct summands by [12, Proposition 1.4]. \square

2.11. Definition. We will say that M has \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension less than or equal to n , denoted $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) \leq n$, if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G^{n-1} \rightarrow G^n \rightarrow 0$$

with every G^i being \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. If no such finite sequence exists, define $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) = \infty$; otherwise, if n is the least such integer, define $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) = n$.

Define $r\mathcal{Y}\text{-GID}(R) = \sup\{\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) \mid M \text{ is any right } R\text{-module}\}$.

2.12. Proposition. Let M be a right R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension n . Then there exist exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with I \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, $\text{id}(F) \leq n - 1$, and

$$0 \rightarrow I' \rightarrow F' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with I' \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, $\text{id}(F') \leq n$.

Proof. We will prove the desired result by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, thus there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with E injective and H \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. We also have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, let $n = 1$ and let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} I_1 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with each I_i \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. By the case $n = 0$, we know there is an exact

sequence $0 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0$ with E_0 injective and H_0 \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & G_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & I_0 & \longrightarrow & I_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

From the exact middle column and the fact that \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules are closed under extensions, we know that G_0 is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Thus we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where E_0 is injective and G_0 is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Since G_0 is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where E_1 is injective and H_1 is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & G_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

From the exact middle row, we know that $id(F_1) \leq 1$. Thus we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with $id(F_1) \leq 1$ and H_1 \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

Suppose $n > 1$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} I_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} I_n \rightarrow 0$$

with each I_i \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Let $K_{n-1} = \text{im}(d_0)$, then we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow I_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} I_n \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e. $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(K_{n-1}) = n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis we know there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{id}(F_{n-1}) \leq n - 1$ and H_{n-1} \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H_{n-1} & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_{n-1} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & G_{n-1} & \rightarrow & F_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & I_0 & \rightarrow & K_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

From the exact middle column

$$0 \rightarrow H_{n-1} \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

we know that G_{n-1} is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Thus we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

with G_{n-1} \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective and $\text{id}(F_{n-1}) \leq n - 1$. As in the previous case, since G_{n-1} is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow J \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where J is injective and G_n is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & G_n & \xlongequal{\quad} & G_n & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & F_n & \rightarrow & J & \rightarrow & F_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & G_{n-1} & \rightarrow & F_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Since J is injective and $\text{id}(F_{n-1}) \leq n-1$, we get $\text{id}(F_n) \leq n$ by the middle row. Letting $I = G_{n-1}$, $F = F_{n-1}$, $I' = G_n$, $F' = F_n$, we get the desired result. \square

2.13. Corollary. *Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of right R -modules, where I_0 and I_1 are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules and $\text{Ext}_R^1(I, M) = 0$ for all injective right R -modules I . Then M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.*

Proof. From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0$, we know that $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) \leq 1$. By Proposition 2.12, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective and I is injective. By the assumption $\text{Ext}_R^1(I, M) = 0$, this sequence splits, and hence M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. \square

2.14. Lemma. *Let N be a right R -module, and consider two exact sequences of right R -modules:*

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G^{n-1} \rightarrow G^n \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^{n-1} \rightarrow H^n \rightarrow 0,$$

where G^0, \dots, G^{n-1} and H^0, \dots, H^{n-1} are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective. Then G^n is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective if and only if H^n is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

Proof. Using Proposition 2.10, the proof is similar to that of (i) \implies (iii) in [3, Theorem 1.2.7]. \square

2.15. Proposition. *Let N be a right R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension. Then the following assertions are equivalent for a nonnegative integer n :*

- (1) $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N) \leq n$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^i(I, N) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all injective right R -modules I .
- (3) $\text{Ext}_R^i(E, N) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all right R -modules E of finite injective dimension.
- (4) For every exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G^{n-1} \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0,$$

where each G^i is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective, K^n is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(E, N) \neq 0 \text{ for some } R\text{-module } E \text{ of finite injective dimension}\} = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(I, N) \neq 0 \text{ for some injective } R\text{-module } I\}$.

Proof. Follows from the proof of [12, Theorem 2.20] using Corollary 2.13 and Lemma 2.14. \square

2.16. Corollary. *Let N be a right R -module with $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N) < \infty$. Then $\text{Gid}(N) = \mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Gid}(N) \leq \mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N)$, then from Proposition 2.15 and [12, Theorem 2.22] we know that $\text{Gid}(N) = \mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N)$. \square

2.17. Proposition. *Every right R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension has a special \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective preenvelope.*

Proof. Let M be a right R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with I \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective and $\text{id}(F) \leq \mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) - 1$. Now if G' is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, G') = 0$ which shows that $M \rightarrow I$ is a special \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective preenvelope. \square

Let \mathcal{Y} be the class of all FP -injective right R -modules in Proposition 2.17. Then we have:

2.18. Corollary. *Every right R -module with finite Gorenstein FP -injective dimension has a Gorenstein FP -injective preenvelope.* \square

If \mathcal{Y} is the class of all injective right R -modules in Proposition 2.17, then we get

2.19. Corollary. [12, Theorem 2.15] *Every right R -module with finite Gorenstein injective dimension has a Gorenstein injective preenvelope.* \square

Let $\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)$ be the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules.

2.20. Theorem. *If $r\mathcal{Y}\text{-GID}(R) < \infty$, then $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory.*

Proof. We first prove that $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$ is a cotorsion theory.

Obviously, $\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R) \subseteq ({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)))^\perp$. So we only need to prove that $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)$. Let M be any module in $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)))^\perp$, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for any $N \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$. Since $r\mathcal{Y}\text{-GID}(R) < \infty$, M has a finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective dimension. Then by Proposition 2.17, there exists a special \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective preenvelope

$$0 \rightarrow M \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with I \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective and $\text{id}(F) \leq \mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(M) - 1$. Then we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $F \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$, $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$. Thus M is a direct summand of I , hence M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective by Proposition 2.10. Hence $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)))^\perp = \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)$, and so $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$ is a complete cotorsion theory.

By Proposition 2.10, we know that the class of all \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective modules is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms. Thus $({}^\perp(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R)), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GJ}(R))$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory. \square

3. \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules

3.1. Definition. [2, Definition 2.1] Let \mathcal{X} be a class of right R -modules that contains all projective right R -modules. A right R -module M is called \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \dots \rightarrow P^{-2} \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of projective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}, F)$ is exact whenever $F \in \mathcal{X}$.

The sequence \mathcal{P} is called an \mathcal{X} -complete projective resolution.

3.2. Lemma. [2, Theorem 2.3] 1. Let $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of R -modules, where C is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective. Then, A is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective if and only if B is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective.

2. Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of R -modules. Then, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective if and only if M_i is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective for every $i \in I$. \square

3.3. Remark. (1) Obviously, we have the following implications:

projective modules \implies \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules \implies Gorenstein projective modules.

(2) Let \mathcal{X} be the class of projective right R -modules. Then the class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein projective right R -modules.

(3) Let \mathcal{X} be the class of flat right R -modules. Then the class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules coincides with the class of strongly Gorenstein flat right R -modules [4].

(4) If \mathcal{X} is the class of Gorenstein projective right R -modules, then every \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -module is projective.

3.4. Proposition. A right R -module M is projective if and only if M belongs to \mathcal{X} and M is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.3. \square

3.5. Corollary. The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) \mathcal{X} is the class of projective right R -modules.
- (2) Every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Trivial by Remark 3.3 (1).

(2) \implies (1) By Proposition 3.4, we know that \mathcal{X} is the class of projective right R -modules. \square

Let \mathcal{X} be the class of flat right R -modules in Corollary 3.5, then we have

3.6. Corollary. [4, Proposition 2.15] The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is right perfect.
- (2) Every flat right R -module is strongly Gorenstein flat. \square

3.7. Theorem. Let R be a ring with $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein projective right R -modules.
- (2) Every module in \mathcal{X} has finite projective dimension.

Proof. Using [15, Proposition 2.5], the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.6. \square

Let \mathcal{X} be the class of flat right R -modules in Theorem 3.7. Then we have the following result which generalizes [4, Corollary 2.8]:

3.8. Corollary. Let R be a ring with $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$. Then the class of strongly Gorenstein flat modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein projective modules.

Proof. By [1, Corollary 2.7], we know that if $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$, then $\text{pd}(F) < \infty$ for any flat right R -module F . Thus by Theorem 3.7 we get the desired results. \square

3.9. Corollary. *Let R be a ring with $\text{r.Gldim}(R) < \infty$ and $\text{wdim}(R) < \infty$. Then the class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules coincides with the class of Gorenstein projective right R -modules.*

Proof. Using Theorem 3.7, the proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.7. \square

3.10. Definition. We will say that M has \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective dimension less than or equal to n , denoted $\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) \leq n$, if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with every P_i being \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective. If no such finite sequence exists, define $\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) = \infty$; otherwise, if n is the least such integer, define $\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) = n$.

Define $\text{r}\mathcal{X}\text{-GPD}(R) = \sup\{\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) \mid M \text{ is any right } R\text{-module}\}$.

3.11. Proposition. *Let M be a right R -module with finite \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective dimension n , then there exist exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with G \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective and $\text{pd}(H) \leq n - 1$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow H' \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow 0$$

with G' \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective and $\text{pd}(H') \leq n$.

Proof. Using the fact that the class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules is closed under extensions, the proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.12. \square

3.12. Corollary. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of right R -modules, where G_0 and G_1 are \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules and $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, Q) = 0$ for all projective right R -modules Q . Then M is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective. \square

3.13. Lemma. *Let M be a right R -module, and consider two exact sequences of right R -modules:*

$$0 \longrightarrow G_n \longrightarrow G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n \longrightarrow H_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow H_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where G_0, \dots, G_{n-1} and H_0, \dots, H_{n-1} are \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective, then G_n is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective if and only if H_n is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.2, the proof is similar to that of (i) \implies (iii) in [3, Theorem 1.2.7]. \square

3.14. Proposition. *Let M be a right R -module with finite \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective dimension, then the following assertions are equivalent for a nonnegative integer n :*

- (1) $\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) \leq n$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all projective right R -modules P .
- (3) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, Q) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all right R -modules Q of finite projective dimension.
- (4) For every exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each G_i is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective, K_n is \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, Q) \neq 0 \text{ for some } R\text{-module } Q \text{ of finite projective dimension}\} = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, P) \neq 0 \text{ for some projective } R\text{-module } P\}$.

Proof. Using Corollary 3.12 and Lemma 3.13, the proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.15. \square

3.15. Corollary. *Let M be a right R -module with $\mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) < \infty$, then $\text{Gpd}(M) = \mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Gpd}(M) \leq \mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M)$, then from Proposition 3.14 and [12, Theorem 2.20] we know that $\text{Gpd}(M) = \mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M)$. \square

3.16. Proposition. *Every right R -module with finite \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective dimension has a special \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective precover.*

Proof. Let M be a right R -module with finite \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective dimension. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with G \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective and $\text{pd}(H) \leq \mathcal{X}\text{-Gpd}(M) - 1$. Now if G' is an \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(G', H) = 0$, which shows that $G \rightarrow M$ is a special \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective precover. \square

Let \mathcal{X} be the class of flat right R -modules in Proposition 3.16, then we have

3.17. Corollary. *Every right R -module with finite strongly Gorenstein flat dimension has a strongly Gorenstein flat precover.*

If \mathcal{X} is the class of projective right R -modules in Proposition 3.16, then we get

3.18. Corollary. [12, Theorem 2.10] *Every right R -module with finite Gorenstein projective dimension has a Gorenstein projective precover.* \square

Let $\mathcal{X}\text{-}\mathcal{GP}(R)$ be the class of \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -modules.

3.19. Theorem. *If $r\mathcal{X}\text{-GPD}(R) < \infty$, then $(\mathcal{X}\text{-}\mathcal{GP}(R), (\mathcal{X}\text{-}\mathcal{GP}(R))^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.20. \square

4. \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat modules

4.1. Definition. Let \mathcal{Y} be a class of right R -modules that contains all injective right R -modules. A left R -module M is called \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow F^{-2} \longrightarrow F^{-1} \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of flat left R -modules such that $M = \ker(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$, which remains exact whenever $G \otimes_R -$ is applied for any $G \in \mathcal{Y}$.

The sequence \mathcal{F} is called a \mathcal{Y} -complete flat resolution.

4.2. Proposition. *Let \mathcal{X} be a class of right R -modules that contains all projective right R -modules, and \mathcal{Y} a class of left R -modules that contains all injective left R -modules. If $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, then every \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -module is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat.*

Proof. Let M be any \mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective right R -module, then there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \dots \longrightarrow P^{-2} \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of projective right R -modules such that $M = \ker(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}, F)$ is exact whenever $F \in \mathcal{X}$.

For any $E \in \mathcal{Y}$, since $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, we get that $E^+ \in \mathcal{X}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, E^+)$ to the exact sequence \mathcal{P} gives an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^1, E^+) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^0, E^+) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^{-1}, E^+) \longrightarrow \dots$$

But the above sequence is naturally isomorphic to

$$\dots \longrightarrow (P^1 \otimes_R E)^+ \longrightarrow (P^0 \otimes_R E)^+ \longrightarrow (P^{-1} \otimes_R E)^+ \longrightarrow \dots$$

Therefore we have an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \otimes_R E \longrightarrow P^0 \otimes_R E \longrightarrow P^1 \otimes_R E \longrightarrow \dots$$

Thus M is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat. □

4.3. Corollary. [4, Proposition 2.3] *Let R be a left coherent ring. Then every strongly Gorenstein flat right R -module is Gorenstein flat.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{X} be the class of flat right R -modules and \mathcal{Y} the class of FP -injective left R -modules. If R is a left coherent ring, then $\mathcal{Y}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ [10, Theorem 2.2]. From Proposition 4.2, we get the desired results. □

4.4. Proposition. *For any left R -module M , we consider the following conditions.*

- (1) M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module.
- (2) M^+ is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module.

Then (1) \implies (2). If R is right coherent, then also (2) \implies (1).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [12, Theorem 3.6]. □

4.5. Proposition. *If R is right coherent, then the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules is closed under extensions, kernels of epimorphisms, direct sums and direct summands.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.10 and the equivalence in Proposition 4.4, we get that the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms. By the definition of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules, we easily get that the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules is closed under arbitrary direct sums. Now, comparing this fact with [12, Proposition 1.4], we get that the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules is closed under direct summands. □

4.6. Proposition. *Let R be a right coherent ring and*

$$0 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

a short exact sequence, where G_0 and G_1 are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules and $\text{Tor}_1^R(I, M) = 0$ for all injective right R -modules I . Then M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module.

Proof. Let $H_0 = G_0^+$ and $H_1 = G_1^+$. Then from Proposition 4.4 we know that H_0 and H_1 are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^+ \longrightarrow H_0 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where H_0 and H_1 are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules. By [7, Theorem 3.2.1], we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(I, M^+) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(I, M)^+ = 0$$

for all injective right R -modules I . Thus from Corollary 2.13 we get that M^+ is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module. Hence M is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -module by Proposition 4.4. \square

4.7. Definition. We will say that M has \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension less than or equal to n , denoted by $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(M) \leq n$, if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with every F_i being \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat. If no such finite sequence exists, define $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(M) = \infty$; otherwise, if n is the least such integer, define $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(M) = n$.

Define $l\mathcal{Y}\text{-GFD}(R) = \sup\{\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(M) \mid M \text{ is any left } R\text{-module}\}$.

4.8. Proposition. Let R be a right coherent ring and M a left R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension n . Then there exist exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with G \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat, $\text{fd}(H) \leq n - 1$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow H' \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow 0$$

with G' \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat and $\text{fd}(H') \leq n$.

Proof. Using the fact that the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules is closed under extensions over a right coherent ring R , the proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.12. \square

4.9. Proposition. Let R be a right coherent ring and N a left R -module with finite \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat dimension, then the following assertions are equivalent for a nonnegative integer n :

- (1) $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(N) \leq n$.
- (2) $\text{Tor}_i^R(I, N) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all injective right R -modules I .
- (3) $\text{Tor}_i^R(E, N) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all right R -modules E of finite injective dimension.
- (4) For every exact sequence of left R -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each G_i is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat, K_n is \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(E, N) \neq 0 \text{ for some } R\text{-module } E \text{ of finite injective dimension}\} = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(I, N) \neq 0 \text{ for some injective } R\text{-module } I\}$.

Proof. From Propositions 4.4 and 2.15, we know that $\mathcal{Y}\text{-Gfd}(N) = \mathcal{Y}\text{-Gid}(N^+)$. Using Proposition 2.15 and the adjointness isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(L, M^+) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(L, M)^+$$

for all right R -modules L , we can easily get the desired results. □

Let $\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ be the class of \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein flat left R -modules.

4.10. Corollary. *Let R be a right coherent ring. If $l\mathcal{Y}\text{-GFD}(R) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ is closed under direct limits.* □

4.11. Lemma. [9, Proposition 3.2.2] *Let R be any ring, \aleph_β an infinite cardinal number such that $\text{Card}(R) \leq \aleph_\beta$, and M any R -module. Then, for any submodule $A \leq M$ with $\text{Card}(A) \leq \aleph_\beta$, there exists a pure submodule $S \leq M$ such that $A \leq S$ and $\text{Card}(S) \leq \aleph_\beta$.* □

4.12. Proposition. *Let R be a right coherent ring and S a pure submodule of $F \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$. Then $S \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ and $F/S \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$.*

Proof. From the pure exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F/S \longrightarrow 0$, we get a split exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow (F/S)^+ \longrightarrow F^+ \longrightarrow S^+ \longrightarrow 0$. By Proposition 4.4, we know F^+ is a \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -module. From the split exact sequence, we know that both S^+ and $(F/S)^+$ are direct summands of F^+ . Thus by Proposition 2.10, S^+ and $(F/S)^+$ are \mathcal{Y} -Gorenstein injective right R -modules. By Proposition 4.4 again, $S \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ and $F/S \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$. □

4.13. Theorem. *Let R be a right coherent ring and $l\mathcal{Y}\text{-GFD}(R) < \infty$. Then*

$$(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp)$$

is a perfect complete hereditary cotorsion theory.

Proof. Let $\text{Card}(R) = \aleph_\beta$ and let X be a set of representatives of all modules $G \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ with $\text{Card}(G) \leq \aleph_\beta$. Then by a proof analogous to that of [9, Theorem 3.2.3], we get that $\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp = X^\perp$. Thus $(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp)$ is cogenerated by a set. From Proposition 4.5, Corollary 4.10 and the fact that $\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ contains all projective modules, we know that $(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp)$ is a perfect complete cotorsion theory by [9, Corollary 3.1.11 and Proposition 3.1.13]. By Proposition 4.5, we know that if

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence with $B, C \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$, then $A \in \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$. Thus

$$(\mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R), \mathcal{Y}\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)^\perp)$$

is a hereditary cotorsion theory. □

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11071111).

References

- [1] Bennis, D. and Mahdou, N. *Global Gorenstein dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2), 461–465, 2010.
- [2] Bennis, D. and Ouarghi, K. *\mathcal{X} -Gorenstein projective modules*, International Mathematical Forum **5** (10), 487–491, 2010.
- [3] Christensen, L. W. *Gorenstein Dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1747** (Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2000).
- [4] Ding, N. Q., Li, Y. L. and Mao, L. X. *Strongly Gorenstein flat modules*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **86**, 323–338, 2009.
- [5] Enochs, E. E. *Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents*, Israel J. Math. **39**, 189–209, 1981.
- [6] Enochs, E. E. and Jenda, O. M. G. *Gorenstein injective and Gorenstein projective modules*, Math. Z. **220**, 611–633, 1995.
- [7] Enochs, E. E. and Jenda, O. M. G. *Relative Homological Algebra*, GEM **30** (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2000).
- [8] Enochs, E. E., Jenda, O. M. G. and López-Ramos, J. A. *The existence of Gorenstein flat covers*, Math. Scand. **94**, 46–62, 2004.
- [9] Enochs, E. E. and Oyonarte, L. *Covers, envelopes and cotorsion theories* (Nova Science Publishers, Inc, New York, 2002).
- [10] Fieldhouse, D. J. *Character modules, dimension and purity*, Glasgow Math. J. **13**, 144–146, 1972.
- [11] Göbel, R. and Trlifaj, J. *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*, GEM **41** (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2006).
- [12] Holm, H. *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **189**, 167–193, 2004.
- [13] Mao, L. X. and Ding, N. Q. *Gorenstein FP-injective and Gorenstein flat modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **7**, 491–506, 2008.
- [14] Megibben, C. *Absolutely pure modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (4), 561–566, 1970.
- [15] Tamekante, M. *The right orthogonal class $\mathcal{SP}(R)^\perp$ via Ext*, arXiv: 0911.1272.