

## COUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

W. Shatanawi\*

Received 23:05:2010 : Accepted 13:08:2010

### Abstract

T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham (*Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Analysis **65**, 1379–1393, 2006), V. Lakshmikantham and Lj. B. Ćirić (*Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis **70**, (2009) 4341–4349, 2009) introduced the concept of a coupled coincidence point of a mapping  $F$  from  $X \times X$  into  $X$  and a mapping  $g$  from  $X$  into  $X$ . In the present paper, we prove a coupled coincidence fixed point theorem in the setting of a generalized metric space in the sense of Z. Mustafa and B. Sims.

**Keywords:** Common fixed Point, Coupled coincidence fixed point, Generalized metric space.

*2000 AMS Classification:* 54H25, 47H10, 54E50.

### 1. Introduction

The study of common fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been researched extensively by many mathematicians since fixed point theory plays a major role in mathematics and applied sciences. For a survey of coincidence point theory in metric and cone metric spaces, we refer the reader (as examples) to [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 17, 18, 19, 24]. Mustafa and Sims [14] introduced a new notion of generalized metric space called a  $G$ -metric space. Mustafa, Sims and others studied fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions (see [13, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23]). Abbas and Rhoades [2] obtained some common fixed point theorems for non commuting maps without continuity, satisfying different contractive conditions in the setting of generalized metric spaces. While V. Lakshmikantham *et al.* in [5, 11] introduced the concept of a coupled coincidence point of a mapping  $F$  from  $X \times X$  into  $X$  and a mapping  $g$  from  $X$  into  $X$ , and studied fixed point theorems in

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Hashemite University, P.O. Box 150459, Zarqa 13115, Jordan.  
E-mail: swasfi@hu.edu.jo

partially ordered metric spaces. In [20] S. Sedghi *et al.* proved a coupled fixed point theorem for contractive mappings in complete fuzzy metric spaces. The aim of the present paper is to prove a coupled coincidence fixed point theorem in the setting of a generalized metric space in the sense of Z. Mustafa and B. Sims.

## 2. Basic Concepts.

The following definition was introduced by Mustafa and Sims [14].

**2.1. Definition.** see [14]. Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  a function satisfying the following properties:

- (G<sub>1</sub>)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ,
- (G<sub>2</sub>)  $0 < G(x, x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,
- (G<sub>3</sub>)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq y$ ,
- (G<sub>4</sub>)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ , symmetry in all three variables,
- (G<sub>5</sub>)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

Then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically, a  $G$ -metric on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

**2.2. Definition.** [14]. Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $(x_n)$  a sequence of points of  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is said to be the limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$ , if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ , and we say that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$  or that  $(x_n)$   $G$ -converges to  $x$ .

Thus,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq k$ .

**2.3. Proposition.** [14] *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ .
- (2)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (3)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (4)  $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**2.4. Definition.** [12] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. A sequence  $(x_n)$  is called  $G$ -Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $n, m, l \geq k$ ; that is  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m, l \rightarrow +\infty$

**2.5. Proposition.** [14] *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy.*
- (2) *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $n, m \geq k$ .*

**2.6. Definition.** [14] Let  $(X, G)$  and  $(X', G')$  be  $G$ -metric spaces and  $f : (X, G) \rightarrow (X', G')$  a function. Then  $f$  is said to be  $G$ -continuous at a point  $a \in X$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in X$  and  $G(a, x, y) < \delta$  implies  $G'(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$ . A function  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -continuous at all  $a \in X$ .

**2.7. Proposition.** [14] *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the function  $G(x, y, z)$  is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.*

The following are examples of  $G$ -metric spaces.

**2.8. Example.** [14] Let  $(\mathbf{R}, d)$  be the usual metric space. Define  $G_s$  by

$$G_s(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then it is clear that  $(\mathbf{R}, G_s)$  is a  $G$ -metric space.

**2.9. Example.** [14] Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ . Define  $G$  on  $X \times X \times X$  by

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, a, a) &= G(b, b, b) = 0, \\ G(a, a, b) &= 1, \quad G(a, b, b) = 2 \end{aligned}$$

and extend  $G$  to  $X \times X \times X$  by using the symmetry in the variables. Then it is clear that  $(X, G)$  is a  $G$ -metric space.

**2.10. Definition.** [14] A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is called  $G$ -complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ .

**2.11. Definition.** [5] An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

**2.12. Definition.** [11] An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y) = gx$  and  $F(y, x) = gy$ .

**2.13. Definition.** [11] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. Then we say that the mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  are commutative if  $gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy)$ .

### 3. Main Results

We start our work by proving the following crucial lemma.

**3.1. Lemma.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that

$$(3.1) \quad G(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(z, w)) \leq k(G(gx, gu, gz) + G(gy, gv, gw))$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v \in X$ . Assume that  $(x, y)$  is a coupled coincidence point of the mappings  $F$  and  $g$ . If  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then

$$F(x, y) = gx = gy = F(y, x).$$

*Proof.* Since  $(x, y)$  is a coupled coincidence point of the mappings  $F$  and  $g$ , we have  $gx = F(x, y)$  and  $gy = F(y, x)$ . Assume  $gx \neq gy$ . Then by (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(gx, gy, gy) &= G(F(x, y), F(y, x), F(y, x)) \\ &\leq k(G(gx, gy, gy) + G(gy, gx, gx)). \end{aligned}$$

Also by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(gy, gx, gx) &= G(F(y, x), F(x, y), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq k(G(gy, gx, gx) + G(gx, gy, gy)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$G(gx, gy, gy) + G(gy, gx, gx) \leq 2k(G(gx, gy, gy) + G(gy, gx, gx)).$$

Since  $2k < 1$ , we get

$$G(gx, gy, gy) + G(gy, gx, gx) < G(gx, gy, gy) + G(gy, gx, gx),$$

which is a contradiction. So  $gx = gy$ , and hence

$$F(x, y) = gx = gy = F(y, x). \quad \square$$

**3.2. Theorem.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that

$$(3.2) \quad G(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(z, w)) \leq k(G(gx, gu, gz) + G(gy, gv, gw))$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v \in X$ . Assume that  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,

- (2)  $g(X)$  is  $G$ -complete, and
- (3)  $g$  is  $G$ -continuous and commutes with  $F$ .

If  $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then there is a unique  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $gx = F(x, x) = x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0, y_0 \in X$ . Since  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ , we can choose  $x_1, y_1 \in X$  such that  $gx_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $gy_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$ . Again since  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ , we can choose  $x_2, y_2 \in X$  such that  $gx_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$  and  $gy_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$ . Continuing this process, we can construct two sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$  and  $gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$ . For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , by (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) = G(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n), F(x_n, y_n))$$

$$(3.4) \quad \leq k(G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n) + G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n)).$$

From

$$G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n) = G(F(x_{n-2}, y_{n-2}), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))$$

$$\leq k(G(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + G(gy_{n-2}, gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1})),$$

and

$$G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) = G(F(y_{n-2}, x_{n-2}), F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))$$

$$\leq k(G(gy_{n-2}, gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}) + G(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})),$$

we have

$$G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n) + G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n) \leq 2k(G(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + G(gy_{n-2}, gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}))$$

holds for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus, we get that

$$G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \leq k(G(gx_{n-1}, gx_n, gx_n) + G(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_n))$$

$$\leq 2k^2(G(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + G(gy_{n-2}, gy_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}))$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(2k)^n(G(gx_0, gx_1, gx_1) + G(gy_0, gy_1, gy_1)).$$

Thus for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(2k)^n(G(gx_0, gx_1, gx_1) + G(gy_0, gy_1, gy_1)).$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $m > n$ . By Axiom  $G_5$  of the definition of  $G$ -metric spaces, we have

$$G(gx_n, gx_m, gx_m) \leq G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) + G(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}, gx_{n+2})$$

$$+ \dots + G(gx_{m-1}, gx_m, gx_m).$$

Since  $2k < 1$ , by (3.5) we get that

$$G(gx_n, gx_m, gx_m) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (2k)^i \right) (G(gx_0, gx_1, gx_1) + G(gy_0, gy_1, gy_1))$$

$$\leq \frac{(2k)^n}{2(1-2k)} (G(gx_0, gx_1, gx_1) + G(gy_0, gy_1, gy_1)).$$

Letting  $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} G(x_n, gx_m, gx_m) = 0.$$

Thus  $(gx_n)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy in  $g(X)$ . Similarly, we may show that  $(gy_n)$  is  $G$ -Cauchy in  $g(X)$ . Since  $g(X)$  is  $G$ -complete, we get that  $(gx_n)$  and  $(gy_n)$  are  $G$ -convergent to

some  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  respectively. Since  $g$  is  $G$ -continuous, we have  $(ggx_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $gx$  and  $(ggy_n)$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $gy$ . Also, since  $g$  and  $F$  commute, we have  $ggx_{n+1} = g(F(x_n, y_n)) = F(gx_n, gy_n)$ , and

$$ggy_{n+1} = g(F(y_n, x_n)) = F(gy_n, gx_n).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(ggx_{n+1}, F(x, y), F(x, y)) &= G(F(gx_n, gy_n), F(x, y), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq k(G(ggx_n, gx, gx) + G(ggy_n, gy, gy)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and using the fact that  $G$  is continuous on its variables, we get that

$$G(gx, F(x, y), F(x, y)) \leq k(G(gx, gx, gx) + G(gy, gy, gy)) = 0.$$

Hence  $gx = F(x, y)$ . Similarly, we may show that  $gy = F(y, x)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $(x, y)$  is a coupled fixed point of the mappings  $F$  and  $g$ . So

$$gx = F(x, y) = F(y, x) = gy.$$

Since  $(gx_{n+1})$  is subsequence of  $(gx_n)$  we have that  $(gx_{n+1})$  is  $G$  convergent to  $x$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(gx_{n+1}, gx, gx) &= G(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y), F(x, y)) \\ &= G(F(x_n, y_n), F(x, y), F(x, y)) \\ &\leq k(G(gx_n, gx, gx) + G(gy_n, gy, gy)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and using the fact that  $G$  is continuous on its variables, we get that

$$G(x, gx, gx) \leq k(G(x, gx, gx) + G(y, gy, gy)).$$

Similarly, we may show that

$$G(y, gy, gy) \leq k(G(x, gx, gx) + G(y, gy, gy)).$$

Thus

$$G(x, gx, gx) + G(y, gy, gy) \leq 2k(G(x, gx, gx) + G(y, gy, gy)).$$

Since  $2k < 1$ , the last inequality happens only if  $G(x, gx, gx) = 0$  and  $G(y, gy, gy) = 0$ . Hence  $x = gx$  and  $y = gy$ . Thus we get

$$gx = F(x, x) = x.$$

To prove the uniqueness, let  $z \in X$  with  $z \neq x$  such that

$$z = gz = F(z, z).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, z, z) &= G(F(x, x), F(z, z), F(z, z)) \\ &\leq 2kG(gx, gz, gz) \\ &= 2kG(x, z, z). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2k < 1$ , we get  $G(x, z, z) < G(x, z, z)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point. □

**3.3. Corollary.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that*

$$(3.6) \quad G(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(u, v)) \leq k(G(gx, gu, gu) + G(gy, gv, gv))$$

for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Assume  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (2)  $g(X)$  is  $G$ -complete, and
- (3)  $g$  is  $G$ -continuous and commutes with  $F$ .

If  $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then there is a unique  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $gx = F(x, x) = x$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking  $z = u$  and  $v = w$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Corollary.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that

$$(3.7) \quad G(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(u, v)) \leq k(G(x, u, u) + G(y, v, v))$$

for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . If  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then there is a unique  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $F(x, x) = x$ .

*Proof.* Define  $g : X \rightarrow X$  by  $gx = x$ . Then  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy all the hypothesis of Corollary 3.1. Hence the result follows.  $\square$

Now, we introduce some examples of our theorem.

**3.5. Example.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ . Define  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  by

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z|$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G)$  is a complete  $G$ -metric space. Define a map

$$F : X \times X \rightarrow X$$

by  $F(x, y) = \frac{1}{6}xy$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Also, define  $g : X \rightarrow X$  by  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$  for  $x \in X$ . Since

$$|xy - uv| \leq |x - u| + |y - v|$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(z, w)) &= \frac{1}{6}|xy - uv| + \frac{1}{6}|xy - zw| + \frac{1}{6}|uv - zw| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6}(|x - u| + |y - v|) + \frac{1}{6}(|x - z| + |y - w|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}(|u - z| + |v - w|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}(G(gx, gu, gz) + G(gy, gv, gw)) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $x, y, u, v, z, w \in X$ . It is an easy matter to see that  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy all the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1. Thus  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point. Here  $F(0, 0) = g(0) = 0$ .

**3.6. Example.** Let  $X = [-1, 1]$ . Define  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  by

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z|$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G)$  is a complete  $G$ -metric space. Define a map

$$F : X \times X \rightarrow X$$

by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{8}y^2 - 1$$

for  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $F(X \times X) = [-1, -\frac{3}{4}]$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} G(F(x, y), F(u, v), F(u, v)) &= \frac{1}{4}(|x^2 - u^2 + y^2 - v^2|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(2|x - u| + 2|y - v|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(G(x, u, u) + G(y, v, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 3.2,  $F$  has a unique fixed point. Here  $x = 2 - 2\sqrt{2}$  is the unique fixed point of  $F$ ; that is,  $F(x, x) = x$ .

## References

- [1] Abbas, M. and Jungck, G. *Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341**, 416–420, 2008.
- [2] Abbas, M. and Rhoades, B.E. *Common fixed point results for noncommuting mapping without continuity in generalized metric spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **215**, 262–269, 2009.
- [3] Abdeljawad, Th. *Completion of cone metric spaces*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **39**, 67–74, 2010.
- [4] Beg, I. and Abbas, M. *Coincidence point and invariant approximation for mappings satisfying generalized weak contractive condition*, Fixed Point Theor. Appl., Article ID 74503, 1–7, 2006.
- [5] Bhaskar, T. G and Lakshmikantham, G. V. *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Analysis **65**, 1379–1393, 2006.
- [6] Haung, L.G. and Zhang, X. *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332**, 1468–1476, 2007.
- [7] Jungck, G. *Commuting maps and fixed points*, Am. Math. Monthly **83**, 261–263, 1976.
- [8] Jungck, G. *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Sci. **9** (4), 771–779, 1986.
- [9] Jungck, G. *Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **103**, 977–983, 1988.
- [10] Jungck, G. and Hussain, N. *Compatible maps and invariant approximations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325** (2), 1003–1012, 2007.
- [11] Lakshmikantham, V. and Ćirić, Lj.B. *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis **70**, 4341–4349, 2009.
- [12] P. P. Murthy, P. P. and Tas, K. *New common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings in 2-metric spaces*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **38**, 285–291, 2009.
- [13] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B. *Some Remarks concerning  $D$ -metric spaces*, in: Proc. Int. Conf. on Fixed Point Theor. Appl., Valencia (Spain), July 2003, pp. 189–198.
- [14] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B. *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2), 289–297, 2006.
- [15] Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W. and Bataineh, M. *Existence of fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume, Article ID 283028, 10 pages, 2009.
- [16] Mustafa, Z., Obiedat, H. and Awawdeh, F. *Some common fixed point theorem for mapping on complete  $G$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theor. Appl. Article ID 189870, 12 pages, 2008.
- [17] Pant, R. P. *Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **188**, 436–440, 1994.
- [18] Popa, V. and Mocanu, M. *Altering distance and common fixed points under implicit relations*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **38**, 329–337, 2009.
- [19] Sahin, I. and Telci, M. *Fixed points of contractive mappings on complete cone metric spaces*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **38**, 59–67, 2009.
- [20] Sedghi, S., Altun, I. and Shobe, N. *Coupled fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis **72**, 1298–1304, 2010.
- [21] Shatanawi, W. *Common fixed point result for two self-maps in  $G$ -metric space*, submitted.
- [22] Shatanawi, W. *Some fixed point theorems in ordered  $G$ -metric spaces and applications*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011 (2011), Article ID 126205, 11 pages, doi:10.1155/2011/126205
- [23] Shatanawi, W. *Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\Phi$ -maps in  $G$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), Article ID 181650, 9 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/181650
- [24] Shatanawi, W. *Some common coupled fixed point results in cone metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Analysis **4** (48), 2381–2388, 2010.