

SOME RESULTS RELATED TO A CERTAIN VECTOR FIELD SATISFYING THE LOCAL MÖBIUS EQUATION

Nebi Önder*

Received 15:03:2007 : Accepted 13:11:2007

Abstract

In this paper we prove some results related to a certain vector field satisfying the local Möbius equation on vector fields.

Keywords: Second covariant differential, Divergence, Laplacian, Conformal vector field, Affine conformal vector field, k-nullity vector field, Möbius equation.

2000 AMS Classification: 53C99, 58J99.

1. Introduction

A vector field Z on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to satisfy the local Möbius equation if

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = g(\Delta Z, X)Y$$

for all vector fields X, Y .

It is known that the existence of solutions Z to the local Möbius equation is related to the conformal structure of the manifold, since the divergence $\operatorname{div} Z$ is a solution of the local Möbius equation, i.e.

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\operatorname{div} Z} = \frac{\nabla \operatorname{div} Z}{n} Id$$

and moreover, in such cases $\nabla \operatorname{div} Z$ is a conformal vector field, since $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla \operatorname{div} Z} = 2 \operatorname{Hess}_{\operatorname{div} Z}$. (See also the first four in references.)

The purpose of this paper is to point out such a connection by considering the vector field Z itself. We prove the following:

(*Theorem 3.4*). A nonzero solution Z of the local Möbius equation is conformal, provided that M is compact.

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Mimar Sinan G.S. University, Çırağan Caddesi, Çiğdem Sokak, No: 1, Beşiktaş, 34349 Istanbul, Turkey.
E-mail: zafernebi@hotmail.com

(Theorem 3.5). A nonzero conformal vector field Z satisfying

$$R(X, Y)Z = -[g(\nabla Z, Y)X - g(\nabla Z, X)Y],$$

(which is a consequence of the local Möbius equation), is a solution of the local Möbius equation.

2. Preliminaries

Here, we briefly state the main concepts and definitions used throughout this paper.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n , ∇ the Levi-Civita connection and

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$$

the curvature tensor. We write also $\langle X, Y \rangle$ if this is convenient. The Ricci curvature (tensor) is the trace of $R : \text{trace}(X \rightarrow R(X, Y)Z)$ and is denoted by $\text{Ric}(Y, Z)$. If $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame for TM , then

$$\text{Ric}(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(X_i, Y)Z, X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(Y, X_i)X_i, Z).$$

Thus Ric is a symmetric bilinear form. It could also be defined as a symmetric (1,1) tensor

$$\text{Ric}(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n R(Z, X_i)X_i.$$

The scalar curvature is defined by $Sc = \text{tr Ric}$. Let Z be a vector field on this n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The second covariant differential $\nabla^2 Z$ of Z is defined by

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} Z,$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. We define the Laplacian ΔZ of Z on (M, g) to be the trace of $\nabla^2 Z$ with respect to g , that is,

$$\Delta Z = \text{trace } \nabla^2 Z = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla^2 Z)(X_i, X_i),$$

where $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame for TM .

Also, the affinity tensor $L_Z \nabla$ of Z is defined by

$$(L_Z \nabla)(X, Y) = L_Z \nabla_X Y - \nabla_{L_Z X} Y - \nabla_X L_Z Y,$$

where L_Z is the Lie derivative with respect to Z and $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. (See, for example page 109 of [5]). We define the tension field $\square Z$ of Z on (M, g) to be the trace of $L_Z \nabla$ with respect to g , that is

$$\square Z = \text{trace } L_Z \nabla = \sum_{i=1}^n (L_Z \nabla)(X_i, X_i),$$

where $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame for TM .

By a straightforward computation, it can be shown by using the torsion-free property of ∇ that

$$(L_Z \nabla)(X, Y) = (\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) + R(Z, X)Y,$$

(see page 110 of [5]), and hence

$$\square Z = \Delta Z + \text{Ric}(Z),$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. (Also see page 40 of [6]).

The divergence of a vector field Z , $\text{div}Z$, on (M, g) is defined as

$$\text{div}Z = \text{tr}(\nabla Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_{X_i} Z, X_i)$$

if $\{X_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of TM .

3. Some Results Related to a certain Vector Field

The elementary results of this section could also be collected from [1]. First we state an elementary lemma to be used in the proof of the results of this paper which is, for example, Lemma 3.3 in [1].

3.1. Lemma. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If Z is a vector field on (M, g) satisfying the local Möbius equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = g(\Delta Z, X)Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$, then

- (i) $R(X, Y)Z = -[g(\Delta Z, Y)X - g(X, \Delta Z)Y]$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, and hence

$$\text{Ric}(Z) = -(n-1)\Delta Z,$$
- (ii) $\nabla \text{div}Z = n\Delta Z$, and hence

$$\nabla^2 \text{div}Z = n\nabla \Delta Z,$$

where $\nabla^2 \text{div}Z$ is the Hessian tensor of $\text{div}Z$.

For completeness, we give the proof of this and the other lemmas.

Proof. (i). Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \nabla_{X,Y}^2 Z - \nabla_{Y,X}^2 Z \\ &= g(\Delta Z, X)Y - g(\Delta Z, Y)X \\ &= -[g(\Delta Z, Y)X - g(\Delta Z, X)Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{Ric}(Z), X) &= g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n R(Z, X_i)X_i, X\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(Z, X_i)X_i, X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n R(Z, X_i, X_i, X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n R(X_i, X, Z, X_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(R(X_i, X)Z, X_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(-g(\Delta Z, X)X_i + g(\Delta Z, X_i)X, X_i) \\ &= -g(\Delta Z, X) \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n g(\Delta Z, X_i)g(X, X_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame for TM near $p \in M$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{Ric}(Z), X) &= -ng(\Delta Z, X) + g(\Delta Z, X) \\ &= (-n + 1)g(\Delta Z, X) \\ &= -(n - 1)g(\Delta Z, X) \\ &= g(-(n - 1)\Delta Z, X). \end{aligned}$$

(ii). Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be an adapted orthonormal frame near $p \in M$, that is, $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame in TM with $(\nabla X_i)_p = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then at $p \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla \text{div} Z, X) &= X(\text{div} Z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n Xg(\nabla_{X_i} Z, X_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g(\nabla_X \nabla_{X_i} Z, X_i) + g(\nabla_{X_i} Z, \nabla_X X_i)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [g((\nabla^2 Z)(X, X_i), X_i) - g(\nabla_{\nabla_X X_i} Z, X_i)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(g(\Delta Z, X)X_i, X_i) \\ &= g(\Delta Z, X) \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, X_i) \\ &= ng(\Delta Z, X) \\ &= g(n\Delta Z, X). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that $\nabla \text{div} Z = n\Delta Z$ and hence $\nabla^2 \text{div} Z = n\nabla \Delta Z$. \square

3.2. Lemma. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If Z is a non-zero vector field on (M, g) satisfying the local Möbius equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = g(\Delta Z, X)Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and ΔZ is a non-zero conformal vector field on (M, g) , then

$$\nabla^2 \text{div} Z = \frac{\Delta \text{div} Z}{n} \text{id}.$$

Proof. Since ΔZ is non-zero, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\text{div} Z$ is non-constant and $\nabla^2 \text{div} Z = n\nabla \Delta Z$. Hence, $\nabla \Delta Z$ is self-adjoint and can be written as $\nabla \Delta Z = \frac{\text{div} \Delta Z}{n} \text{id} + \sigma$, where σ is the traceless self-adjoint part of $\nabla \Delta Z$. But, since ΔZ is assumed to be a conformal vector field, $\sigma = 0$ (see page 173 Of [5]), and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \text{div} Z &= n\nabla \Delta Z \\ &= n\left(\frac{\text{div} \Delta Z}{n} \text{id}\right) \\ &= \text{div} \Delta Z \text{id} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \text{div} Z}{n} \text{id}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Delta \text{div} Z = n \text{div} \Delta Z$ by Lemma 3.1. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Let (M, g) be an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If Z is a non-zero vector field on (M, g) satisfying the local Möbius equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = g(\Delta Z, X)Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, then it also satisfies the equation

$$\square Z + \frac{n-2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z = 0$$

on (M, g) .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \square Z + \frac{n-2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z &= \Delta Z + \operatorname{Ric}(Z) + \frac{n-2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z - (n-1)\Delta Z + \frac{n-2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z - \frac{n-1}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z + \frac{n-2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= \frac{-n+2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z + \frac{n-2}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.4. Theorem. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. If Z is a non-zero vector field on (M, g) satisfying the local Möbius equation*

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = g(\Delta Z, X)Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, then Z is a conformal vector field on M .

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.3 (see page 47 of [6]).

□

3.5. Theorem. *Let (M, g) be an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. If Z is a non-zero conformal vector field on (M, g) satisfying the equation*

$$R(X, Y)Z = -[g(\Delta Z, Y)X - g(X, \Delta Z)Y],$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, then Z satisfies the local Möbius equation

$$(\nabla^2 Z)(X, Y) = g(\Delta Z, X)Y.$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. This can be easily obtained from the equation

$$\square Z = \Delta Z + \operatorname{Ric}(Z),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Z &= \frac{2-n}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z + \frac{n-1}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\nabla \operatorname{div} Z, \end{aligned}$$

since Z is conformal (see page 47 of [6]) and by Lemma 3.1.

□

References

- [1] Erkekoğlu, F. : *On Special Cases of Local Möbius Equations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **67/1-2**, (2005), 155-167.
- [2] Erkekoğlu, F., Garcia-Rio, E., Kupeli, D.N. and Ünal, B. : *Characterizing Specific Riemannian Manifolds By Differential Equations*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, **76(2)**, (2003) 195-219.
- [3] Erkekoğlu, F., Kupeli, D.N. and Ünal, B.: *Some Results Related To The Laplacian On Vector Fields*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **69/1-2**, (2006), 137-154.
- [4] Garcia-Rio, E., Kupeli, D.N. and Ünal, B.: *On a Differential Equation Characterizing Euclidean Sphere*, Journal of Differential Equations, **194**, (2003) 287-299.
- [5] Poor, W.A.: *Differential Geometric Structures*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
- [6] Yano, K.: *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.