

POLİTEKNİK DERGİSİ JOURNAL of POLYTECHNIC

ISSN: 1302-0900 (PRINT), ISSN: 2147-9429 (ONLINE) URL: http://dergipark.org.tr/politeknik



Modeling of bio-oil production by pyrolysis of woody biomass: artificial neural network approach

Odunsu biyokütlenin pirolizi ile biyoyağ üretiminin modellenmesi: yapay sinir ağları yaklaşımı

Yazarlar (Authors): Günay ÖZBAY¹, Erkan Sami KOKTEN²

ORCID¹: 0000-0002-7951-8421 ORCID²: 0000-0003-3428-4534

<u>Bu makaleye şu şekilde atıfta bulunabilirsiniz (To cite to this article)</u>: Özbay G and Kokten E. S., "Modeling of bio-oil production by pyrolysis of woody biomass: artificial neural network approach", *Politeknik Dergisi*, 23(4): 1255-1264, (2020).

Erişim linki (To link to this article): <u>http://dergipark.org.tr/politeknik/archive</u>

DOI: 10.2339/politeknik.659136

Modeling of Bio-Oil Production by Pyrolysis of Woody Biomass: Artificial Neural Network Approach

Highlights

- * This paper is dedicated to developing an ANN model in order to model the pyrolysis liquid product
- Artificial neural networks (ANNs) have been widely used in the field of process simulation as a result of its ability to solve complex and multivariable problems.
- Pyrolysis is a thermal decomposition process converting biomass into char (solid), bio-oil (liquid), and gas products

Graphical Abstract

This study is dedicated to developing a reliable artificial neural network (ANN) model to model the pyrolysis liquid product (bio-oil).



Figure. Comparison of the experimental data with the predicted results

Aim

In this study, it is aimed to model the pyrolysis liquid product using the ANN method. Due to the different characteristics of different biomass types and pyrolysis methods, only slow and intermediate pyrolysis data from woody biomass were considered in order to attain more homogeneous features

Design & Methodology

ANN model development procedures, materials, and bio-oil production conditions are described in Section 3. Developed ANN model.

Originality

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) have been widely used in the field of process simulation as a result of its ability to solve complex and multivariable problems. By using the ANN method, it is possible to optimize the input parameters in order to increase the production of bio-oil.

Findings

The relative impact results revealed that the most important parameter that affects the bio-oil yield was catalyst type (11.4%).

Conclusion

It can be concluded that it is possible to reduce the number of the required pyrolysis experiments by the developed ANN model.

Declaration of Ethical Standards

The author(s) of this article declare that the materials and methods used in this study do not require ethical committee permission and/or legal-special permission.

Modeling of Bio-Oil Production by Pyrolysis of Woody Biomass: Artificial Neural Network Approach

Research Article / Araştırma Makalesi

Günay ÖZBAY^{1*}, Erkan Sami KOKTEN²

¹Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Aksaray University, Aksaray, Turkey ²Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Karabük University, Karabük, Turkey (Gelis/Received : 13.12.2019 ; Kabul/Accepted : 23.12.2019)

ABSTRACT

This study is dedicated to developing a reliable artificial neural network (ANN) model to model the pyrolysis liquid product (biooil). Some related parameters with the bio-oil yield such as the pyrolysis temperature, duration, catalyst type, catalyst ratio, particle size, proximate, and ultimate analysis of the biomass were tested. Due to the different characteristics of different biomass types and pyrolysis methods, only slow and intermediate pyrolysis data from woody biomass were used in modeling. The correlation coefficients (R) were 0.992, 0.933, and 0.951 for training, validation, and testing, respectively. In order to evaluate the predictability of the ANN model, the predicted results were compared with the experimental results that were not introduced before. The simulated data were in good agreement with the experimental results indicating the reliability of the developed model. The relative impact results revealed that the most important parameter that affects the bio-oil yield was catalyst type (11.4%).

Keywords: Artificial neural network, bio-oil, catalyst, modeling, pyrolysis.

Odunsu Biyokütlenin Pirolizi ile Biyoyağ Üretiminin Modellenmesi: Yapay Sinir Ağları Yaklaşımı

ÖΖ

Bu çalışma, piroliz sıvı ürününü (biyoyağ) modellemek için güvenilir bir yapay sinir ağı (YSA) modeli oluşturmak amacıyla yapılmıştır. Bu maksatla piroliz sıcaklığı, piroliz süresi, katalizör türü, katalizör oranı, biyokütle parçacık boyutu ve biyokütle kısmi ve kesin analizi gibi biyoyağ verimliliği ile ilgili parametreler test edilmiştir. Modellemede, farklı biyokütle tiplerinin ve piroliz yöntemlerinin neden olduğu farklı karakteristiklerden dolayı, yalnızca odunsu biyokütleden yavaş ve orta piroliz yöntemleri ile elde edilen sıvı ürün verimlilikleri dikkate alınmıştır. Sonuç olarak, korelasyon derecesini gösteren R değerleri eğitim, doğrulama ve test adımları için sırasıyla 0.992, 0.933 ve 0.951 bulunmuştur. YSA modelinin güvenilirliğini değerlendirmek amacıyla tahminlenen değerler, daha önce modele tanıtılmamış yeni deneysel veriler ile kıyaslanmıştır. Buna göre, simülasyon sonuçlarının deneysel sonuçlar ile uyum içerisinde bulunduğu ve oluşturulan modelin güvenilir olduğu tespit edilmiştir. Ayrıca, girdi parametrelerinin çıktı üzerine etkileri incelendiğinde, biyoyağ verimliliğini etkileyen en önemli parametrenin katalizör türü (%11.4) olduğu belirlenmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Yapay sinir ağları, biyoyağ, katalizör, modelleme, piroliz.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every year, large quantities of wood waste are generated by wood-products industries. Recently, the conversion of biomass residues to value-added products has received considerable interest [1-3]. Pyrolysis is a thermal decomposition process converting biomass into char (solid), bio-oil (liquid), and gas products [4, 5]. The biooil produced from biomass pyrolysis can be used to produce fuels and chemical products [6-8]. The yield of bio-oil is affected by various factors, including pyrolysis conditions, properties of biomass, the addition of catalyst and pyrolysis method [9, 10]. The pyrolysis of biomass is a very complex process due to the multivariable factors that affect the yield of bio-oil. It is essential to simulate the pyrolysis process considering the high cost of largescale experimental tests and time consuming of these experiments. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) have been widely used in the field of process simulation as a result of its ability to solve complex and multivariable problems. By using the ANN method, it is possible to optimize the input parameters in order to increase the production of bio-oil which have the potential to produce fuels and chemicals.

This paper is dedicated to developing an ANN model in order to model the pyrolysis liquid product. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: A literature review and contribution to literature in the field is given in Section 2. Biomass and pyrolysis data selection from previous studies of literature, ANN model development procedures, materials, and bio-oil production conditions are described in Section 3. Developed ANN model, the relative impact of each input parameter, and accuracy testing of ANN with new pyrolysis runs are discussed in Section 4. Finally, the conclusions are shown in Section 5.

^{*} Sorumlu Yazar (Corresponding Author)

e-posta : gunayozbay@aksaray.edu.tr

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this section, the studies on modeling of processes such as pyrolysis and gasification were reviewed in the recent literature. The main objectives of these type of researches vary in increasing or estimating the product (liquid, gas, and char) yields, determine the effects of input parameters, prediction of outputs such as heating value, hydrogen-rich gas, kinetic parameters, and thermal behaviors. In the literature, there are studies on process modeling using the ANN [11-13], support vector machine (SVM) [14, 15], response surface methodology (RSM) [16-18], and regression analysis [19] methods.

Recently, the ANN has received attention in the modeling of production methods mentioned above. In this way, it is possible to reduce the number of required experiments. The ANN method is also widely used to solve non-linear and complex problems [20, 21]. Puig-Arnavat and co-workers [22] used the ANN for modeling the biomass gasification process. They found that the proposed ANN presented good performance to predict the process parameters.

In literature summary, we reviewed studies on modeling of different outputs (liquid, gas, and char yields, hydrogen-rich gas, heating value etc.) obtained from various feedstocks (wood and animal waste, agricultural residues, scrap tyres, plastic etc.). Table 1a and Table 1b present the literature contributions in this field. The use of wood waste [15, 23-26] and agricultural residues [16, 17, 27, 28] as feedstock are common in the literature due to its low market value and availability [29, 30].

The related variables with output can be used to reach more accurate results. Merdun and Sezgin [24] reported that the models in more homogeneous characteristics (single or a few biomass types) and more related inputs with output may result in better performances. Aydinli et al. [27] specified that in order to attain the more reliable models, the variables such as particle size and residence time as well as the ultimate analysis data can be

Table 1a. Literature summary: Characteristics of the studies on modeling of products from feedstock

	Feedstock (Data)			Р	Considered output						
Literature ^a	Wood waste	Agricultural residues	Animal waste	Other	Slow and intermediate pyrolysis	Fast pyrolysis	Other	Liquid	Gas	Char	Other
[16]		\checkmark						\checkmark			
[17]		\checkmark						\checkmark		\checkmark	
[27]		\checkmark						\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
[14]			\checkmark		\checkmark					\checkmark	
[15]	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\sqrt{b}
[30]	\checkmark	\checkmark					\sqrt{c}		\sqrt{d}		
[11]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\sqrt{e}		$\sqrt{\mathbf{f}}$		
[28]		\checkmark				\checkmark					\sqrt{g}
[23]	\checkmark	\checkmark						\checkmark			
[12]		\checkmark					\sqrt{h}	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
[24]	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
[19]		\checkmark					\sqrt{i}				\sqrt{b}
[18]	\checkmark			√j				\checkmark			
[22]	\checkmark						\sqrt{c}		\sqrt{d}		
[25]	\checkmark								\sqrt{k}		
[13]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	$\sqrt{1}$		\checkmark					$\sqrt{\mathbf{m}}$
[26]	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark					\checkmark	
[20]				\sqrt{n}							$\sqrt{0}$
This study	\checkmark				\checkmark						

a: The symbol " $\sqrt{}$ " refers to a defined characteristic. Triple dot "..." means the pyrolysis method is unspecified

b: Heating value c: Gasification

d: Gas composition

e: Digestion

f: Specific biogas production

g: Hydrogen-rich gas

h: Flash pyrolysis

i: Proximate & ultimate analysis

j: Scrap tyres & recycled plastic

k: Gas products

1: Pure & mixed pure components

m: Kinetic parameters n: Refuse-derived fuel

o: Temperature dependent weight loss

considered as input parameters. The yields and

		Met	thod							Input	s/Pa	ramet	ers					
Literature ^a	ANN	RSM	SVM	Other	Moisture	Ash content	Volatiles	Fixed carbon	Main components ^b	Elemental composition	Particle size	Catalyst type	Catalyst ratio	Heating rate	Temperature	Duration	Equivalence ratio	Other
[16]		\checkmark													\checkmark	\checkmark		\sqrt{c}
[17]		\checkmark												\checkmark				
[27]	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark						\checkmark			
[14]	\checkmark				\checkmark									\checkmark		\checkmark		\sqrt{d}
[15]	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark							√e
[30]	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark	
[11]	\checkmark															\checkmark		$\sqrt{\mathbf{f}}$
[28]	\checkmark											\checkmark						\sqrt{g}
[23]				$\sqrt{^{h}}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark								\sqrt{i}
[12]	\checkmark										\checkmark							\sqrt{c}
[24]	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark	\sqrt{j}	\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark				\sqrt{i}
[19]				\sqrt{k}		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark								
[18]		\checkmark													\checkmark	\checkmark		$\sqrt{1}$
[22]	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark	$\sqrt{\mathbf{m}}$
[25]	\checkmark										\checkmark				\checkmark			\sqrt{n}
[13]	\checkmark								\checkmark									
[26]				$\sqrt{0}$		\checkmark			\checkmark									$\sqrt{\mathbf{p}}$
[20]																		
This study					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			

a: The symbol " $\sqrt{}$ " refers to a defined characteristic

b: Main components include cellulose, hemicellulose and

lignin

c: Sweep gas flow rate

d: Sample mass e: Fluidization number

f: Feedstock composition

g: Feedstock type

h: An approach to predict pyrolysis output based on the

feedstock element characteristic

composition of the products depend on the pyrolysis conditions, properties of biomass, and pyrolysis method. The parameters that affect the pyrolysis products are particle size, heating rate, pyrolysis temperature, holding time (duration), feedstock type, and the addition of catalyst [31-33]. The various types of catalysts are used in pyrolysis process to improve the quality of the pyrolysis liquid product [34-37]. Zhao and Li [38] examined the impact of catalyst (NaCl) additives on pyrolysis bio-oil. They reported that the quality of bio-oil was enhanced with the addition of the catalyst, because of its higher heating value and lower acidity. i: Heating value

j: Volatile organic carbon

k: Multiple regression analysis

1: Initial pressure

m: Steam to dry biomass ratio n: Space velocity

o: A prediction model based on inputs

p: Extractives

p. Extractiv

There is still a need for research on process modeling using catalysts as an input parameter. In this study, it is aimed to model the pyrolysis liquid product using the ANN method. Due to the different characteristics of different biomass types and pyrolysis methods, only slow and intermediate pyrolysis data from woody biomass were considered in order to attain more homogeneous features. The pyrolysis temperature, duration, catalyst type, catalyst ratio, particle size, proximate and ultimate analysis of the biomass were used as the ANN model inputs, whilst the bio-oil yield was obtained as the output.

3. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Within the activity field of the present study, two related parts are included. In the first part, an ANN model was developed in order to predict the bio-oil yield. In this way, pyrolysis temperature, duration, catalyst type, catalyst ratio, particle size, proximate, and ultimate analysis of the biomass were considered as the model inputs, whilst the bio-oil yield was obtained as the output. In the second part, pyrolysis experiments that were not introduced before were carried out to create new data. Afterward, experimental results were compared with predicted values.

3.1. Biomass and Pyrolysis Data Selection

In the current study, the data that were utilized to create the ANN models were selected from previous studies of literature on biomass pyrolysis. Table A1 (see appendix) summarizes the biomass type, particle size, catalyst type, catalyst ratio, temperature, and duration of the selected data. The data were all selected from slow and intermediate pyrolysis of woody biomass in order to attain the more homogeneous features.

The biomass characteristics that were used to develop the ANN models in the present study were moisture content, ash content, volatiles, fixed carbon, carbon content, oxygen content, hydrogen content, and particle size. The operating conditions of the pyrolysis runs included the temperature, duration, catalyst type, and catalyst ratio.

3.2. ANN Development

Due to its ability to model complex linear and nonlinear input-output correlations, ANN method was used to predict the pyrolysis bio-oil yield [39]. As shown in Figure 1, the ANN architecture proposed in the current study is composed of one input layer, one hidden layer, and one output layer. Twelve input neurons represent twelve inputs including moisture content, ash content, volatiles, fixed carbon, carbon content, oxygen content, hydrogen content, particle size, catalyst type, catalyst ratio, temperature, and duration in the input layer of the network. One output neuron in the output layer reflects the bio-oil yield which is the dependent parameter of the model. The number of hidden neurons and transfer functions were determined by trying 4-20 neurons and tan-sigmoidal (tansig), log-sigmoidal (logsig) and linear (purelin) transfer functions in order to attain the best neural network model.

The feedforward backpropagation neural network which tries to minimize the error between the experimental and predicted values was applied in the current ANN modeling. In the neural network model Levenberg-Marquardt (trainlm) algorithm was used as learning algorithm. The ANN model was developed using the editor in MATLAB® R2018b software. All the data were separated using *dividerand* function for training, validation, and testing as 70% (total of 119), 15% (total of 25), and 15% (total of 25), respectively. The data collected from the literature were used for training,

validation, and testing procedures. Another test was applied to check the accuracy of the developed model with data obtained from pyrolysis experiments. All the data (input and output) were normalized in the range of [0.1, 0.9] before the ANN model development. The normalized values were calculated according to Eq. (1);

$$V_n = 0.8 \times \left[\frac{V - V_{\min}}{V_{\max} - V_{\min}} \right] + 0.1$$
(1)

where v_n is the normalized value, v is the original value,

 $v_{\rm max}$ and $v_{\rm min}$ are the maximum and minimum values of v, respectively.

The errors of the ANN models during the training, testing, and validation periods is expressed as mean squared error Eq. (2) and mean absolute percentage error Eq. (3);

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \beta_i)^2 \right]$$
(2)

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|\alpha_i - \beta_i|}{\alpha_i} \times 100$$
(3)

where MSE is the mean squared error, MAPE is the mean absolute percentage error, α_i is the experimental values,

and β_i is the predicted values.



Figure 1. The developed ANN model to predict the pyrolysis bio-oil yield

3.3. Relative Impact of Each Input Parameter

The ANN weight matrix and Garson's equation were used in order to calculate the relative impact of the inputs on bio-oil yield [40]. The mentioned equation is shown in Eq. (4);

$$I_{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \left(\left(\frac{|W_{j,i}|}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=12} |W_{i,j}|} \right) \times |LW_{1,j}| \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=12} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \left(\left(\frac{|W_{j,i}|}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=12} |W_{j,i}|} \right) \times |LW_{1,j}| \right) \right)}$$
(4)

where I_i is the relative impact of the *i*th input on the output, *n* is the number of hidden neurons, $IW_{j,i}$ is the weight of inputs to hidden layers, and the $LW_{1,j}$ is the weight of hidden neurons to the output layer.

3.4. Experimental Materials and Pyrolysis Process

In the present paper, scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) sawdust supplied from a commercial wood products company in Karabuk-Turkey was selected as a biomass feedstock. Biomass, having an average 1.22 mm particle size was air dried prior to pyrolysis process. Proximate and ultimate analysis of the biomass are shown in Table 2. The sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and potassium hydroxide (KOH) catalysts were selected in order to apply in catalytic pyrolysis experiments. The slow pyrolysis runs were carried out in a vacuum pyrolysis reactor, which had a stainless-steel cylinder. In an uncatalyzed pyrolysis experiment, the reactor was charged with the 2000 g of biomass. For NaOH and KOH catalytic runs, the reactor was charged with the 2000 g of biomass sample and 200 g (10 wt%) of catalyst. Then, the pyrolysis reactor was externally heated up to the final temperature of 500 °C. After the determined temperature was obtained, the system was maintained at this temperature for 60 min. The same procedure was applied in catalytic pyrolysis experiments. Finally, the pyrolysis reactor was cooled down to the room temperature. The bio-oil yield obtained from each pyrolysis run were determined based on Eq. (5);

$$bio-oil_yield(\%) = \frac{bio-oil_obtained(g)}{biomass_feedstock(g)} \times 100$$
(5)

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Artificial Neural Network Modeling

In the present study, the optimal number of hidden neurons and the transfer function were obtained by trying to minimize the MSE. As shown in Figure 2, the minimum MSE is 0.00058 with ten hidden neurons and *logsig* transfer function at the hidden layer.

The parameters of the developed ANN are shown in Table 3. The feedforward backpropagation neural network composed of one input layer, one hidden layer, and one output layer was applied in the ANN modeling.

the hidden layer and a *purelin* transfer function at the output layer was considered as learning algorithm. The number of neurons in the input, hidden, and output layers were 12, 10 and 1, respectively. The performance and the data division functions determined as MSE and *dividerand*. The data were divided as 70%, 15%, and 15% for training, testing, and validation procedures, respectively.



Figure 2. Plots of the MSE of ANN models for each transfer function in the hidden layer

The correlation coefficient (R), MSE, and MAPE were selected to evaluate network accuracy. The calculated performance evaluation parameters for training, validation, and testing are shown in Table 4. The R values that indicate the correlation degree are 0.992, 0.933, and 0.951 for training, validation, and testing, respectively. The R values close to one represent a strong relationship between the predicted and the intended outputs [44]. The MAPE values were also found to be 2.39%, 5.35%, and 3.70% for training, validation, and testing steps, respectively. The regression between the predicted results and the targets for training, validation, and testing procedures are presented in Figure 3. Figure 4 represents the experimental and predicted values of bio-oil yield. The evaluation parameters showed that the developed ANN model is satisfactory in order to predict the bio-oil yield, considering the inputs including pyrolysis temperature, duration, catalyst type, catalyst ratio, particle size, proximate, and ultimate analysis of the biomass. This result is supported by earlier work. Chen et al. [15] specified that the artificial intelligence models can accurately predict the bio-oil yield, considering the parameters including particle size, temperature, proximate, and ultimate analysis of the biomass.

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of biomass feedstock

Characteristics	Method	Value (wt%)
Proximate analysis (as received basis)		
Moisture	ASTM D 4442-92 [41]	8.44
Volatiles	ASTM E 897-88 [42]	78.16
Ash	ASTM D 1102-84 [43]	0.44
Fixed carbon	Calculated by difference	12.96
Ultimate analysis (as received basis)		
С		46.12
0	Calculated by difference	47.8
Н	-	6.08

The *trainlm* algorithm with a *logsig* transfer function at

Network Feedforward backpropagation	
Number of input layer neurons 12	
Number of hidden layers 1	
Number of hidden layer neurons 10	
Number of output layer neurons 1	
Learning algorithm trainlm	
Hidden layer transfer function logsig	
Output layer transfer function purelin	
Performance function MSE	
Learning cycle 1000 Epochs	
Validation checks 15	
Data division function dividerand	
Data division 70:15:15	

Table 3. The parameters of the ANN model used for the simulation of bio-oil yield



ANN	R	MSE	MAPE (%)
Training	0.992	0.0003	2.39
Validation	0.933	0.0013	5.35
Testing	0.951	0.0010	3.70



Figure 3. Comparison of the experimental data with the predicted results





4.2. Relative Impact

The relative impact of the twelve input parameters determined using Eq. (3) is presented in Figure 5. All the selected parameters have a significant effect on pyrolysis bio-oil yield. The most important parameter that affects the bio-oil yield was found to be catalyst type (11.4%). The effect of the catalysts on bio-oil yield is attributed to decomposition reactions accelerating with the chemical additives [35, 45]. The next parameters that affect the bio-oil yield were the biomass characteristics (C, H, O, moisture, volatiles, ash content, fixed carbon) and the catalyst ratio (7.2%-10.9%). The impact of particle size, temperature, and duration were 7.1%, 6.2%, and 6.2%, respectively. The effect of particle size is generally attributed to uniformly heating of smaller particles [46]. The temperature and duration impacts are mainly the results of the decomposition of biomass bonds at the higher temperatures and the gasification/thermal cracking of the products in longer reaction time, respectively [32, 47, 48].



Figure 5. Relative impact (%) of each input parameters on biooil yield

4.3. Testing the Accuracy of the Developed ANN Model

To check the accuracy of the developed model, the final testing procedure is important. The results obtained from the pyrolysis runs were used for testing the ANN predictability. The bio-oil production conditions for temperature, duration, and biomass particle size were 500 $^{\circ}$ C, 60 min, and ~1.22 mm, respectively. Two different catalysts, NaOH and KOH, were also tested to evaluate the effects of catalysts on bio-oil yield. The pyrolysis experiments were performed in defined conditions to

create new data. Afterward, the new experimental results were compared with the predicted results (Table 5).

The result showed that the developed model is able to accurately predict the pyrolysis bio-oil yield. The ANN model predicted the bio-oil yield of 50.47% in pyrolysis conditions of temperature 500 °C, duration 60 min, uncatalyzed, particle size 1.22 mm, and biomass type of scots pine. During the experimental run, the bio-oil yield of 52.19% was obtained in the same pyrolysis conditions. The bio-oil yields of 47.46% (NaOH) and 43.55% (KOH) were predicted in conditions of temperature 500 °C, duration 60 min, catalysts NaOH and KOH, catalyst ratio 10 wt%, particle size 1.22 mm, and biomass type of scots pine. During pyrolysis experiments, the bio-oil yields of 46.42% (NaOH) and 45.17% (KOH) were acquired in the same conditions. The simulated data are in good agreement with the experimental results (given in Table 5) indicating the reliability of the developed model in the prediction of the pyrolysis oil yield.

As shown in Table 5, catalysts led to a decrease in biooil yield. This result is supported by earlier works. Özbay [35] reported that the use of KOH as a catalyst caused a decrease in the liquid yield. Guedes et al. [32] stated that in spite of the lower oil yield, catalytic pyrolysis improves the quality of the final product.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study is devoted to developing a reliable model which can be used for pyrolysis liquid product prediction. In this way, an ANN model was developed to predict the yield of bio-oil. The network architecture with ten hidden neurons and *logsig* transfer function at the hidden layer acquired the minimum MSE of 0.00058 and the highest R-value of 0.9849. The reliability of the model was tested with unseen data obtained from pyrolysis experiments. The results revealed that the prediction performance of the developed model for this unseen dataset was satisfactory. According to the relative impact results, the most important parameter that affects the biooil yield were catalyst type. The next parameters that affect the bio-oil yield were the biomass characteristics, catalyst ratio, particle size, temperature, and duration, respectively. It can be concluded that it is possible to reduce the number of the required pyrolysis experiments by the developed ANN model. And, the yield of bio-oil

Table 5. Experimental (unseen) and predicted results at different operating parameters (Moisture: 8.44%; ash content:0.44%; volatiles: 78.16%; fixed carbon: 12.96%; C: 46.12%; O: 47.8%; H: 6.08%)

Method	Biomass Feedstock	Temperature (°C)	Duration (min)	Catalyst type	Catalyst ratio (wt%)	Particle size (mm)	Bio-oil yield (%)
ANN	Scots pine	500	60	None	-	1.22	50.47
Experimental	Scots pine	500	60	None	-	1.22	52.19
ANN	Scots pine	500	60	NaOH	10	1.22	47.46
Experimental	Scots pine	500	60	NaOH	10	1.22	46.42
ANN	Scots pine	500	60	KOH	10	1.22	43.55
Experimental	Scots pine	500	60	KOH	10	1.22	45.17

from the pyrolysis process can be predicted with minor deviation by a well-trained ANN model.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The support from TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) [Project grant number: 115O453] for this research are gratefully acknowledged. This study was made as a part of the Ph.D. thesis by Erkan Sami KÖKTEN in the Institute of Graduate Programs, Karabük University, [Adviser: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Günay ÖZBAY].

APPENDIX A

Table A1

DECLARATION OF ETHICAL STANDARDS

The author(s) of this article declare that the materials and methods used in this study do not require ethical committee permission and/or legal-special permission.

REFERENCES

- Boateng A. A., Mullen C. A., Goldberg N. M., Hicks K. B., Devine T. E., Lima I. M., and McMurtrey A. J. E., "Sustainable production of bioenergy and bio-char from the straw of high-biomass soybean lines via fast pyrolysis", *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 29(2): 175-183, (2010).
- [2] Branca C., Galgano A., Blasi C., Esposito M., and Di Blasi C., "H₂SO₄-catalyzed pyrolysis of corncobs", *Energy & Fuels*, 25(1): 359-369, (2011).

Table F1. The include of the concelled data about slow and interinediate pyrorysis of woody bronna	Table A1. The literature of	the collected	data about slow	and intermediate	pyrolysis o	f woody biomass
---	-----------------------------	---------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------	-----------------

Biomass	Biomass particle size (mm)	Catalyst type	Catalyst ratio (wt%)	Temperature	Duration (min)	Reference
Masson pine (Pinus massonia L.)	0.149-0.841	Uncatalyzed	-	773 (K)	-	[64]
MCPB ^a , Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.) and Oriental beech (<i>Fagus orientalis</i> L.)	0.85-1.6	Uncatalyzed FeCl ₃ Na ₂ CO ₃ K ₂ CO ₃	10	400-600 (°C)	60	[65]
Eucalyptus	0.85	Uncatalyzed	-	300-800 (°C)	30	[49]
Oil palm (Trunk)	1-2	Uncatalyzed	-	500 (°C)	60	[50]
Umbrella tree (<i>Maesopsis eminii</i> L.)	<1	Uncatalyzed	-	500 (°C)	60	[51]
MCPB ^a	~1	Uncatalyzed AlCl ₃ TiCl ₄ FeCl ₃ NaOH KOH Na ₂ CO ₃ K ₂ CO ₃	10	400-700 (°C)	60	[3]
Chinese fir	200-40 (meshes)	Uncatalyzed	-	500 (°C)	30	[52]
Moso bamboo (Phyllostachys edulis L.)	0.25-0.38	Uncatalyzed	-	300-700 (°C)	10	[53]
Eucalyptus	<2	Uncatalyzed	-	350 (°C)	70	[54]
Giant leucaena	0.075-0.150	Uncatalyzed NiMo/Al ₂ O ₃	10-30	325-400 (°C)	0-60	[55]
Untreated and heat-treated ash wood (Fraxinus excelsior L.)	<1	Uncatalyzed	-	350-600 (°C)	30	[56]
Fir wood (Abies bornmülleriana Mattf.)	<1	Uncatalyzed	-	350-600 (°C)	30	[57]
Turkish pine (Pinus brutia Ten.)	<1	Uncatalyzed KOH ZnCL ₂ ZnO	5 10 15 20	350-600 (°C)	30	[35]
Pine wood (pellet)	15-45	Uncatalyzed	-	350-550 (°C)	15	[58]
Rubberwood and Malaysian wood pellets	~15 (Rubberwood) ~40 (Malaysianwood)	Uncatalyzed	-	500-800(°C)	20	[59]
Hornbeam (Carpinus betulus L.)	<1	Uncatalyzed	-	400-600 (°C)	30	[60]
Eucalyptus	<10	Uncatalyzed	-	750 (°C)	30	[61]
Paulownia wood (P. tomentose L.)	0.224-1.8	Uncatalyzed	-	623-873 (K)	30	[62]
White pine	~5.5	Uncatalyzed	-	520 (°C)	30	[63]

Confiler of interestarticleboard

The authors declare that they have no conflict interest.

[3] Özbay G., Özçifçi A., and Karagöz S., "Catalytic pyrolysis of waste melamine coated chipboard", *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 32(1): 156-161, (2013).

- [4] Murata K., Liu, Y., Inaba M., and Takahara I., "Catalytic fast pyrolysis of jatropha wastes", *Journal of Analytical* and Applied Pyrolysis, 94: 75-82, (2012).
- [5] Özbay G., Özçifçi A., and Kokten E. S., "The pyrolysis characteristics of wood waste containing different types of varnishes", Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 40(5): 705-714, (2016).
- [6] Bennett N. M., Helle S. S., and Duff S. J., "Extraction and hydrolysis of levoglucosan from pyrolysis oil", Bioresource technology, 100(23): 6059-6063, (2009).
- [7] Bridgwater A. V., "Review of fast pyrolysis of biomass and product upgrading", *Biomass and bioenergy*, 38: 68-94, (2012).
- [8] Ikura M., Stanciulescu M., and Hogan E., "Emulsification of pyrolysis derived bio-oil in diesel fuel", *Biomass and bioenergy*, 24(3): 221-232, (2003).
- [9] Kan T., Strezov V., and Evans, T. J., "Lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis: A review of product properties and effects of pyrolysis parameters", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57: 1126-1140, (2016).
- [10] Lenz V., and Ortwein A., "Smart Biomass Heat-heat from solid biofuels as an integral part of a future energy system based on renewables", *Chemical Engineering and Technology*, 40(2): 313-322, (2017).
- [11] Ghatak M. D., and Ghatak A., "Artificial neural network model to predict behavior of biogas production curve from mixed lignocellulosic co-substrates", *Fuel*, 232: 178-189, (2018).
- [12] Madhu P., Matheswaran M. M., and Periyanayagi G., "Optimization and characterization of bio-oil produced from cotton shell by flash pyrolysis using artificial neural network", *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 39(23): 2173-2180, (2017).
- [13] Sunphorka S., Chalermsinsuwa B., and Piumsomboon, P., "Artificial neural network model for the prediction of kinetic parameters of biomass pyrolysis from its constituents", *Fuel*, 193: 142-158, (2017).
- [14] Cao H., Xin Y., and Yuan Q., "Prediction of biochar yield from cattle manure pyrolysis via least squares support vector machine intelligent approach", *Bioresource technology*, 202: 158-164, (2016).
- [15] Chen X., Zhang H., Song Y., and Xiao R., "Prediction of product distribution and bio-oil heating value of biomass fast pyrolysis", *Chemical Engineering and Processing-Process Intensification*, 130: 36-42, (2018).
- [16] Abnisa F., Daud W. W., and Sahu J. N., "Optimization and characterization studies on bio-oil production from palm shell by pyrolysis using response surface methodology", *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(8): 3604-3616, (2011).
- [17] Angin D., and Egrisogut Tiryaki A., "Application of response surface methodology and artificial neural network on pyrolysis of safflower seed press cake", *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 38(8): 1055-1061, (2016).
- [18] Pinto F., Paradela F., Gulyurtlu I., and Ramos A. M., "Prediction of liquid yields from the pyrolysis of waste mixtures using response surface methodology", *Fuel processing technology*, 116: 271-283, (2013).
- [19] Phichai K., Pragrobpondee, P., Khumpart, T., and Hirunpraditkoon S., "Prediction heating values of lignocellulosics from biomass characteristics", *Int. J.*

Chem. Mol. Nucl. Mater. Metall. Eng, 7: 214-217. (2013).

- [20] Çepelioğullar Ö., Mutlu İ., Yaman S., and Haykiri-Acma H., "A study to predict pyrolytic behaviors of refuse-derived fuel (RDF): artificial neural network application", *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 122: 84-94, (2016).
- [21] Mikulandrić R., Lončar D., Böhning D., Böhme R., and Beckmann M., "Artificial neural network modelling approach for a biomass gasification process in fixed bed gasifiers", *Energy conversion and management*, 87: 1210-1223, (2014).
- [22] Puig-Arnavat M., Hernández J. A., Bruno J. C., and Coronas A., "Artificial neural network models for biomass gasification in fluidized bed gasifiers", *Biomass* and bioenergy, 49: 279-289, (2013).
- [23] Lim C. H., Mohammed I. Y., Abakr Y. A., Kazi F. K., Yusup S., and Lam H. L., "Novel input-output prediction approach for biomass pyrolysis", *Journal of cleaner production*, 136: 51-61, (2016).
- [24] Merdun H., Sezgin I. V., "Modeling of pyrolysis product yields by artificial neural networks", *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER)*, 8(2): 1178-1188, (2018).
- [25] Sun Y., Liu L., Wang Q., Yang X., and Tu X., "Pyrolysis products from industrial waste biomass based on a neural network model", *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 120: 94-102, (2016).
- [26] Wang H., Wang X., Cui Y., Xue Z., and Ba Y., "Slow pyrolysis polygeneration of bamboo (Phyllostachys pubescens): Product yield prediction and biochar formation mechanism", *Bioresource technology*, 263: 444-449, (2018).
- [27] Aydinli B., Caglar A., Pekol S., and Karaci A., "The prediction of potential energy and matter production from biomass pyrolysis with artificial neural network", *Energy Exploration and Exploitation*, 35(6): 698-712, (2017).
- [28] Karaci A., Caglar A., Aydinli B., and Pekol S., "The pyrolysis process verification of hydrogen rich gas (H– rG) production by artificial neural network (ANN)", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 41(8): 4570-4578., (2016).
- [29] Perez L. B., and Cortez L. A. B., "Potential for the use of pyrolytic tar from bagasse in industry", *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 12(5): 363-366, (1997).
- [30] George J., Arun P., and Muraleedharan C., "Assessment of producer gas composition in air gasification of biomass using artificial neural network model", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 43 (20): 9558-9568, (2018).
- [31] Akhtar J., and Amin N. S., "A review on operating parameters for optimum liquid oil yield in biomass pyrolysis", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16 (7): 5101-5109, (2012).
- [32] Guedes R. E., Luna A. S., and Torres A. R., "Operating parameters for bio-oil production in biomass pyrolysis: A review", *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 129: 134-149, (2018).
- [33] Qiang L., Wen-Zhi L., and Xi-Feng Z., "Overview of fuel properties of biomass fast pyrolysis oils", *Energy Conversion and Management*, 50(5): 1376-1383, (2009a).

- [34] Chen M. Q., Wang J., Zhang M. X., Chen M. G., Zhu X. F., Min F. F., and Tan Z. C., "Catalytic effects of eight inorganic additives on pyrolysis of pine wood sawdust by microwave heating", *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 82 (1): 145-150, (2008).
- [35] Özbay G., "Catalytic pyrolysis of pine wood sawdust to produce bio-oil: effect of temperature and catalyst additives", *Journal of Wood Chemistry and technology*, *35*(4): 302-313, (2015).
- [36] Goyal H. B., Seal D., and Saxena R. C., "Bio-fuels from thermochemical conversion of renewable resources: a review", *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 12 (2): 504-517, (2008).
- [37] Qiang L., Wen-Zhi L., Dong Z., and Xi-Feng Z., "Analytical pyrolysis–gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (Py–GC/MS) of sawdust with Al/SBA-15 catalysts", *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 84(2): 131-138, (2009-b).
- [38] Zhao N., and Li B. X., "The effect of sodium chloride on the pyrolysis of rice husk", *Applied energy*, 178: 346-352, (2016).
- [39] Öztemel E., "Yapay Sinir Ağları". *Papatya Press*, (in Turkish), İstanbul, (2006).
- [40] Garson G. D. "Interpreting neural-network connection weights", *AI expert*, 6(4): 46-51, (1991).
- [41] ASTM D 4442-92 (ASTM Standarts), "Standard Test Methods for Direct Moisture Content Measurement of Wood and Wood-Base Materials", (2003).
- [42] ASTM E 897-88 (ASTM Standarts), "Standard test method for volatile matter in analysis sample refuse derived fuel", (2004).
- [43] ASTM D 1102-84 (ASTM Standards), "Standard test method for ash in wood", (1983).
- [44] Bewick V., Cheek L., and Ball J., "Statistics review 7: Correlation and regression", *Critical care*, 7(6): 451., (2003).
- [45] Zhang H. Xiao R., Huang H., and Xiao G., "Comparison of non-catalytic and catalytic fast pyrolysis of corncob in a fluidized bed reactor", *Bioresource Technology*, 100(3): 1428-1434, (2009).
- [46] Haykiri-Acma H., "The role of particle size in the nonisothermal pyrolysis of hazelnut shell", *Journal of* analytical and applied pyrolysis, 75 (2): 211-216, (2006).
- [47] Isahak W. N. R. W., Hisham M. W., Yarmo M. A., and Hin T. Y. Y., "A review on bio-oil production from biomass by using pyrolysis method", *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 16 (8): 5910-5923, (2012).
- [48] Tsai W. T., Lee M. K., and Chang Y. M., "Fast pyrolysis of rice husk: Product yields and compositions", *Bioresource technology*, 98(1): 22-28, (2007).
- [49] Sulaiman W. R. W., and Lee E. S., "Pyrolysis of eucalyptus wood in a fluidized-bed reactor", *Research on Chemical Intermediates*, 38(8): 2025-2039, (2012).
- [50] Abnisa F., Arami-Niya A., Daud W. W., Sahu J. N., and Noor I. M., "Utilization of oil palm tree residues to produce bio-oil and bio-char via pyrolysis", *Energy conversion and management*, 76: 1073-1082, (2013).
- [51] Lee Y., Park J., Ryu C., Gang K. S., Yang W., Park Y. K., and Hyun S., "Comparison of biochar properties from biomass residues produced by slow pyrolysis at 500 °C", *Bioresource technology*, 148: 196-201, (2013).

- [52] Wu W., and Qiu K., "Vacuum co-pyrolysis of Chinese fir sawdust and waste printed circuit boards. Part I: Influence of mass ratio of reactants", *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 105: 252-261, (2014).
- [53] Chen D., Liu D., Zhang H., Chen Y., and Li Q., "Bamboo pyrolysis using TG–FTIR and a lab-scale reactor: Analysis of pyrolysis behavior, product properties, and carbon and energy yields", *Fuel*, 148: 79-86, (2015).
- [54] Doumer M. E., Arízaga G. G. C., da Silva D. A., Yamamoto C. I., Novotny E. H., Santos J. M., and Mangrich A. S., "Slow pyrolysis of different Brazilian waste biomasses as sources of soil conditioners and energy, and for environmental protection", *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 113: 434-443, (2015).
- [55] Klaigaew K., Wattanapaphawong P., Khuhaudomlap N., Hinchiranan N., Kuchontara P., Kangwansaichol K., and Reubroycharoen P., "Liquid phase pyrolysis of giant leucaena wood to bio-oil over NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst", *Energy Procedia*, 79: 492-499, (2015).
- [56] Özbay G., Kılıc Pekgözlü A., and Ozcifci A., "The effect of heat treatment on bio-oil properties obtained from pyrolysis of wood sawdust", *European journal of wood and wood products*, 73(4): 507-514, (2015).
- [57] Özbay G., "Pyrolysis of Firwood (*Abies bornmülleriana* Mattf.) Sawdust: Characterization of Bio-Oil and Bio-Char", *Drvna industrija*, 66(2): 105-114 (2015).
- [58] Gómez N., Rosas J. G., Cara J., Martínez O., Alburquerque J. A., and Sánchez M. E., "Slow pyrolysis of relevant biomasses in the Mediterranean basin. Part 1. Effect of temperature on process performance on a pilot scale", *Journal of cleaner production*, 120: 181-190, (2016).
- [59] Halim S. A., and Swithenbank J., "Characterisation of Malaysian wood pellets and rubberwood using slow pyrolysis and microwave technology", *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 122: 64-75, (2016).
- [60] Morah U., Yavuzel N., and Şensöz S., "Pyrolysis of hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus* L.) sawdust: Characterization of bio-oil and bio-char", *Bioresource technology*, 221: 682-685, (2016).
- [61] Adrados A., Lopez-Urionabarrenechea A., Acha E., Solar J., Caballero B. M., and de Marco I., "Hydrogen rich reducing gases generation in the production of charcoal from woody biomass carbonization", *Energy Conversion and Management*, 148: 352-359, (2017).
- [62] Yorgun S. and Yıldız D., "Slow pyrolysis of paulownia wood: Effects of pyrolysis parameters on product yields and bio-oil characterization", *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 114: 68-78, (2015).
- [63] Wang Y., Kang K., Yao Z., Sun G., Qiu L., Zhao L., and Wang G., "Effects of different heating patterns on the decomposition behavior of white pine wood during slow pyrolysis", *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 11(5): 218-223., (2018).
- [64] Xu Y., Wang T., Ma L., Zhang Q., and Wang L., "Upgrading of liquid fuel from the vacuum pyrolysis of biomass over the Mo–Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts", *Biomass* and bioenergy, 33(8): 1030-1036, (2009).
- [65] Özbay G., "Liquefaction of wood and wood-based composed materials sawdust by thermal and catalytic pyrolysis method", *PhD Dissertation, in Karabük University Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences*, (2012)