

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF A CONFORMABLE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM ON TIME SCALES

ZEKI CEYLAN

ABSTRACT. We study a self-adjoint conformable dynamic equation of second order on an arbitrary time scale \mathbb{T} . We state an existence and uniqueness theorem for the solutions of this equation. We prove the conformable Lagrange identity on time scales. Then, we consider a conformable eigenvalue problem generated by the above-mentioned dynamic equation of second order and we examine some of the spectral properties of this boundary value problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the self-adjoint conformable dynamic equation of second order

$$(1.1) \quad Lx = 0, \text{ where } Lx(t) = (px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) + q(t)x^\sigma(t)$$

on an arbitrary time scale \mathbb{T} . Throughout we assume that $p, q \in C_{rd}$ and $p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Continuous conformable calculus is a natural extension of the usual calculus and has yielded several articles such as [1–6]. Some follow-up papers related to Sturm-Liouville equations for conformable calculus include [7–9].

Recently, researchers have started to deal with studies relating to conformable calculus on time scales (see [10–16]).

A conformable derivative on time scales was first introduced in [10] by the formula

$$(1.2) \quad f^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(t)}{t - \sigma(t)} t^{1-\alpha}, & \sigma(t) > t, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} t^{1-\alpha}, & \sigma(t) = t. \end{cases}$$

Note that, if f is Δ -differentiable at a right scattered point $t \in \mathbb{T}_{[0, \infty)}^\kappa$ [17], then f is α -conformable differentiable and for the above definition we have

Date: February, 2020.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34N05, 26A33, 34K08.

Key words and phrases. Time scales, Conformable derivative, Boundary value problems.

$$(1.3) \quad f^{\Delta\alpha}(t) = t^{1-\alpha} f^\Delta(t)$$

where $f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f^\sigma(t) - f(t)}{\sigma(t) - t}$.

The following formula [10, Theorem 4, iv] will be needed in the sequel:

$$(1.4) \quad f(\sigma(t)) = f(t) + \mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}f^{\Delta\alpha}(t).$$

Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are conformable differentiable of order α . Then, if f and g are continuous, then the product $fg : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is conformable differentiable with

$$(1.5) \quad (fg)^{\Delta\alpha} = f^{\Delta\alpha}g + (f\sigma)g^{\Delta\alpha} = f^{\Delta\alpha}(g\sigma) + fg^{\Delta\alpha},$$

if f and g are continuous, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is conformable differentiable with

$$(1.6) \quad \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\Delta\alpha} = \frac{f^{\Delta\alpha}g - fg^{\Delta\alpha}}{g(g\sigma)}$$

valid at all points $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ for which $g(t)g(\sigma(t)) \neq 0$.

This paper consists of five sections. After this Introduction part, we will state an existence and uniqueness theorem in Section 2. In Section 3, we will recall the definition of α -Wronskian and we prove the conformable Lagrange identity after defining the Lagrange bracket of two functions. In Section 4, we will consider a conformable boundary value problem and we will investigate some of its spectral properties after proving the Green's theorem. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 5 by giving some remarks about the current paper.

2. A CONFORMABLE DYNAMIC EQUATION

In this section, we will investigate the self-adjoint conformable dynamic equation (1.1). First, we will state a theorem concerning the existence and uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems for $Lx(t) = f(t)$. Then, we will suggest a method to construct the solutions of (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *If $f \in C_{rd}$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, and x_0, x_0^α are given constants, then the initial value problem*

$$(2.1) \quad Lx(t) = f(t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad x^{\Delta\alpha}(t_0) = x_0^\alpha$$

has a unique solution that exists on the whole time scale \mathbb{T} .

Proof. Define $y(t) = p(t)x^{\Delta\alpha}(t)$. From here we have

$$(2.2) \quad x^{\Delta\alpha}(t) = \frac{y(t)}{p(t)}.$$

With the help of (1.1), (1.4), (1.3) and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) &= (px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) = f(t) - q(t)x^\sigma(t) \\
&= f(t) - q(t)(x(t) + \mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t)) \\
&= f(t) - q(t)x(t) - q(t)\mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \\
&= f(t) - q(t)x(t) - q(t)\mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}\frac{y(t)}{p(t)} \\
&= -q(t)x(t) - \frac{q(t)\mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}}{p(t)}y(t) + f(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix}$. From (1.3) We may compute

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{1-\alpha}z^{\Delta}(t) &= t^{1-\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\Delta}(t) \\ y^{\Delta}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \\ y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y(t)}{p(t)} \\ f(t) - q(t)x(t) - q(t)\mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}\frac{y(t)}{p(t)} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{y(t)}{p(t)} \\ -q(t)x(t) - q(t)\mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}\frac{y(t)}{p(t)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f(t) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p(t)} \\ -q(t) & \frac{-q(t)\mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}}{p(t)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f(t) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a system of dynamic equations whose components are rd-continuous. Therefore by Theorem 5.24 [18] we observe that a unique solution exists. \square

Now, let us suggest a method to construct solutions of the self-adjoint conformable dynamic equation (1.1). To do this, first, assume that x is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). Then

$$x^2(t) + (px^{\Delta_\alpha})^2(t) \neq 0$$

holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and for each t , we can find real numbers $\varrho(t) > 0$ and $\varphi(t)$ with $0 \leq \varphi(t) \leq 2\pi$ such that the equations

$$(2.3) \quad x(t) = \varrho(t) \sin \varphi(t),$$

$$(2.4) \quad p(t)x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) = \varrho(t) \cos \varphi(t)$$

are satisfied. We call (2.3) and (2.4) the Prüfer transformation.

Theorem 2.2. *If x is a nontrivial solution of (1.1) and if ϱ and φ are defined by (2.3) and (2.4), then the equations*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad \varrho^{\Delta_\alpha} &= \frac{\varrho}{p} \cos \varphi (\sin \varphi)^\sigma - \varrho q \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi)^\sigma - \frac{\varrho \mu q t^{\alpha-1}}{p} \cos \varphi (\cos \varphi)^\sigma \\
&\quad - \varrho (\sin \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} (\cos \varphi)^\sigma - \varrho (\cos \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} (\cos \varphi)^\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad (\sin \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} (\cos \varphi)^\sigma &- (\cos \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} (\sin \varphi)^\sigma = \frac{1}{p} \cos \varphi (\cos \varphi)^\sigma \\
&\quad + q \sin \varphi (\sin \varphi)^\sigma + \frac{\mu q t^{\alpha-1}}{p} \cos \varphi (\sin \varphi)^\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

are satisfied.

Proof. Using the product rule for (2.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{\Delta_\alpha}(\sin \varphi)^\sigma + \varrho(\sin \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} &= (\varrho \sin \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} = x^{\Delta_\alpha} = \frac{1}{p}(px^{\Delta_\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\varrho \cos \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

while doing the same for (2.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{\Delta_\alpha}(\cos \varphi)^\sigma + \varrho(\cos \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} &= (\varrho \cos \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} = (px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} \\ &= -q(x + \mu t^{\alpha-1}x^{\Delta_\alpha}) \\ &= -qx - \frac{\mu qt^{\alpha-1}}{p}(px^{\Delta_\alpha}) \\ &= -q\varrho \sin \varphi - \frac{\mu qt^{\alpha-1}}{p}\varrho \cos \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used that x is a solution of (1.1) and got help from equation (1.4). Hence we obtain the two equations

$$(2.7) \quad \varrho^{\Delta_\alpha}(\sin \varphi)^\sigma + \varrho(\sin \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} = \frac{1}{p}\varrho \cos \varphi,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \varrho^{\Delta_\alpha}(\cos \varphi)^\sigma + \varrho(\cos \varphi)^{\Delta_\alpha} = -q\varrho \sin \varphi - \frac{\mu qt^{\alpha-1}}{p}\varrho \cos \varphi.$$

We now multiply (2.7) by $(\sin \varphi)^\sigma$ and (2.8) by $(\cos \varphi)^\sigma$ and add the resulting equations to obtain (2.5). To verify (2.6), we multiply (2.7) by $(\cos \varphi)^\sigma$ and (2.8) by $-(\sin \varphi)^\sigma$ and add the resulting equations. Dividing the obtained equation by $\varrho > 0$ directly yields (2.6). \square

Observe that the conformable dynamic equation (2.6) for φ is independent of ϱ . Of course it might be difficult to solve this equation, but once a solution of (2.6) is obtained, the linear conformable dynamic equation (2.5) for ϱ is readily solved.

3. CONFORMABLE LAGRANGE IDENTITY ON TIME SCALES

In this section, we collect some knowledge needed in the rest of this paper. First, we introduce the definition of α -Wronskian and give one of its properties, then we define the Lagrange bracket of two functions and prove the conformable Lagrange identity on time scales.

Definition 3.1. If $x, y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are conformable differentiable on \mathbb{T}^κ , then we define the α -Wronskian of x and y by

$$W_\alpha(x, y)(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x(t) & y(t) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.2. If $x, y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are conformable differentiable on \mathbb{T}^κ , then

$$W_\alpha(x, y)(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x^\sigma(t) & y^\sigma(t) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

holds for $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$.

Proof. For $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, we have by equation (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} x^\sigma(t) & y^\sigma(t) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \end{pmatrix} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} x(t) + \mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) & y(t) + \mu(t)t^{\alpha-1}y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} x(t) & y(t) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= W_\alpha(x, y)(t) \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the desired result. \square

Now, let us define the Lagrange bracket of two conformable functions, before proving the conformable Lagrange identity.

Definition 3.3. If $x, y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are conformable differentiable on \mathbb{T}^κ , then the Lagrange bracket of x and y is defined by

$$\{x; y\}(t) = p(t)W_\alpha(x, y)(t)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$.

Define the set \mathbb{D} to be the set of all functions $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $x^{\Delta_\alpha} : \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous. A function $x \in \mathbb{D}$ is then said to be a solution of (1.1) provided $Lx(t) = 0$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}$. The below-given theorem follows immediately.

Theorem 3.4 (Conformable Lagrange Identity). *If $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$, then*

$$x^\sigma(t)Ly(t) - y^\sigma(t)Lx(t) = \{x; y\}^{\Delta_\alpha}(t)$$

holds for $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}$.

Proof. By the product rule (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x; y\}^{\Delta_\alpha} &= \{xpy^{\Delta_\alpha} - px^{\Delta_\alpha}y\}^{\Delta_\alpha} \\ &= x^\sigma(py^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} + (py^{\Delta_\alpha})x^{\Delta_\alpha} - y^\sigma(px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} - y^{\Delta_\alpha}(px^{\Delta_\alpha}) \\ &= x^\sigma(py^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} - y^\sigma(px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} \\ &= x^\sigma(py^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} - y^\sigma(px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} + qx^\sigma y^\sigma - qx^\sigma y^\sigma \\ &= x^\sigma((py^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} + qy^\sigma) - y^\sigma((px^{\Delta_\alpha})^{\Delta_\alpha} + qx^\sigma) \\ &= x^\sigma Ly - y^\sigma Lx \end{aligned}$$

on \mathbb{T}^{κ^2} . \square

4. A CONFORMABLE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM AND GREEN'S FUNCTION

In this section, we consider a conformable boundary value problem of the form

$$(4.1) \quad Lx + \lambda x^\sigma = 0, \quad R_a(x) = R_b(x) = 0,$$

where $Lx = x^{\Delta_\alpha \Delta_\alpha} + qx^\sigma$ such that $q : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous, and

$$R_a(x) = \gamma_1 x(\rho(a)) + \gamma_2 x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)), \quad R_b(x) = \delta_1 x(\rho(b)) + \delta_2 x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(b))$$

such that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)(\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2) \neq 0$ hold.

A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an eigenvalue of (4.1) provided there exists a non-trivial solution x of the conformable boundary value problem (4.1). Such an x is then called an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

We define the inner product of x and y on $[\rho(a), b]$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \int_{\rho(a)}^b x(t)y(t)\Delta_\alpha t := \int_{\rho(a)}^b x(t)y(t)t^{\alpha-1}\Delta t,$$

and we say that x and y are orthogonal on $[\rho(a), b]$ provided $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. The norm of x is defined by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}.$$

The next theorem follows immediately from Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 4.1 (Green's Theorem). *If $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$, then*

$$\langle x^\sigma, Ly \rangle - \langle y^\sigma, Lx \rangle = \{x; y\}(b) - \{x; y\}(a)$$

holds.

Proof. This results from integrating both sides of the conformable Lagrange identity in Theorem 3.4 on $[a, b]$ and using the definition of the inner product. \square

It is easy to see that $W_\alpha(x, y)(\rho(a)) = 0$ if $R_a(x) = R_a(y) = 0$ and $W_\alpha(x, y)(b) = 0$ if $R_b(x) = R_b(y) = 0$. Indeed, from Definiton 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_\alpha(x, y)(\rho(a)) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} x(\rho(a)) & y(\rho(a)) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) & -\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} y^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) \\ x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) & y^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $R_b(x) = R_b(y) = 0$ implies $W_\alpha(x, y)(b) = 0$ follows similarly.

Now, we shall provide a characterization for the eigenvalues of (4.1). For this, we denote the unique solutions (see Theorem 2.1) of the conformable initial value problem

$$Lx + \lambda x^\sigma = 0, \quad x(\rho(a)) = \gamma_2, \quad x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a)) = -\gamma_1$$

by $x(\cdot, \lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and we put $\Lambda(\lambda) = R_b(x(\cdot, \lambda))$. With this notation in mind, we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. *λ is an eigenvalue of (4.1) if and only if $\Lambda(\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. If $R_b(x(\cdot, \lambda)) = 0$, then $x = x(\cdot, \lambda)$ satisfies

$$Lx + \lambda x^\sigma = 0, \quad R_a(x) = R_b(x) = 0,$$

i.e., λ is an eigenvalue of (4.1). Conversely, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be an eigenvalue of (4.1) with corresponding eigenfunction x . Then because of the unique solvability of the conformable initial value problem (observe $R_a(x) = 0$), the equation $x = cx(\cdot, \lambda)$ holds with $c = \frac{\gamma_2 x(\rho(a)) - \gamma_1 x^{\Delta_\alpha}(\rho(a))}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2}$. Hence $R_b(x(\cdot, \lambda)) = 0$ which gives us the desired result. \square

The proof of Theorem 4.2 also shows that all eigenvalues of (4.1) are simple.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we deal with a self-adjoint conformable dynamic equation of second order on an arbitrary time scale. We prove an existence and uniqueness theorem for the solutions of this equation and we suggest a method to construct these solutions via Prüfer transformation. Then we prove the conformable Lagrange identity. After that, we derive a conformable boundary value problem which consists of the above-mentioned conformable dynamic equation and boundary conditions. We prove Green's theorem with the help of conformable Lagrange identity and we provide a characterization for the eigenvalues of this conformable boundary value problem. Presented results of this paper are generalizations of some results in [17] via conformable derivative.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, *On conformable fractional calculus*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 279(2015), 57-66.
- [2] M. Abu Hammad, R. Khalil, *Abel's formula and Wronskian for conformable fractional differential equations*, Internat. J. Diff. Equ. Appl., 13(2014), No. 3, 177-183.
- [3] M. Abu Hammad, R. Khalil, *Conformable fractional heat differential equations*, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math., 94(2014), No. 2, 215-221.
- [4] H. Abu-Shaab, R. Khalil, *Solution of some conformable fractional differential equations*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 103(2015), No. 4, 667-673.
- [5] M. J. Lazo, D. F. M. Torres, *Variational calculus with conformable fractional derivatives*, IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, 4(April 2017), No. 2.
- [6] W. Rosa, J. Weberspil, *Dual conformable derivative: Definition, simple properties and perspectives for applications*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 117(2018), 137-141.
- [7] D. Anderson, R. I. Avery, *Fractional-order boundary value problem with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 29(2015), 1-10.
- [8] H. Batarfi, J. Losada, J. J. Nieto, W. Shammakh, *Three-point boundary value problems for conformable fractional differential equations*, Journal of Function Spaces, Volume 2015, Article ID 706383, 6 pages, doi:10.1155/2015/706383.
- [9] B. P. Allahverdiev, H. Tuna, Y. Yalcinkaya, *Conformable fractional Sturm-Liouville equation*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 42(2019), 3508-3526.
- [10] N. Benkhattou, S. Hassani, D. F. M. Torres, *A conformable fractional calculus on arbitrary time scales*, Journal of King Saud University-Science, 28(2016), 93-98.
- [11] M. Bohner, V. F. Hatipoğlu, *Dynamic Cobweb models with conformable fractional derivatives*, Nonlinear Anal., Hybrid Syst. 32(2019), 157-167.
- [12] T. Gulsen, E. Yilmaz, S. Goktas, *Conformable fractional Dirac system on time scales*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2017:10, 2017.
- [13] T. Gulsen, E. Yilmaz, H. Kemaloglu, *Conformable fractional Sturm-Liouville equation and some existence results on time scales*, Turk. J. Math. 42(2018), No. 3, 1348-1360.
- [14] S. Rahmat, M. Rafi, *A new definition of conformable fractional derivative on arbitrary time scales*, Adv. Difference Equ., 2019:354, 2019.
- [15] D. F. Zhou, X. X. You, *A new fractional derivative on time scales*, Adv. Appl. Math. Anal., 11(2016), No. 1, 1-9.
- [16] C. Zhang, S. Sun, *Sturm-Picone comparison theorem of a kind of conformable fractional differential equations on time scales*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 55(2017), 191-203, doi:10.1007/s12190-016-1032-9.
- [17] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston Inc. Boston, MA, 2001.
- [18] W. Kelley, A. Peterson, *The Theory of Differential Equations Classical and Qualitative*, Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004.

MERSIN UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 33343, MERSIN, TURKEY.

Current address: Mersin University, Institute of Science, Department of Mathematics, 33343, Mersin, Turkey.

Email address: z2.ceylann@gmail.com