Effect of Ionic Liquids on Vapor Liquid Equilibrium of Methanol + Ethyl acetate
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Abstract
The objective of this research work is to determine the effect of ionic liquids on the vapor liquid equilibrium behaviour of methanol and ethyl acetate binary system at atmospheric pressure. The ionic liquids used in the experiments are: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium Chloride \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Cl}]\), 1-ethyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium Bromide \([\text{EMPyr}][\text{Br}]\). Vapour-liquid equilibrium (VLE) experiments were conducted with Othmer still experimental setup and the VLE data sets were tested for thermodynamic consistency. The average deviations of experimental results were within the experimental toleration limits and satisfied the Gibbs-Duhem equation. The results showed that the addition of ionic liquids (ILs) caused salting-out effect of methanol from the system. For the same concentrations of the ionic liquids, \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Cl}]\) is observed to be more effective than the \([\text{EMPyr}][\text{Br}]\) in breaking the azoetropes and in increasing the salting effect. For the 15% concentration of \([\text{EMPyr}][\text{Br}]\) the azeotrope was completely disappeared.
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1. Introduction
One percent error in measuring the thermodynamic vapour liquid equilibrium data leads to a hundred percent failure of the final designs of industrial equipment’s and finally it can lead to industrial disasters. In this context while designing the industrial operations especially the more energy consumed equipment’s like separation equipment and the reactors more care should be taken. Separation of azo trope is one the challenging area in designing the separation equipment. In the conceptual design stage if errors occurred in predicting the vapour-liquid equilibrium (VLE), it leads to huge energy and economic losses. Especially in the case of azo trope compositions, Methanol and ethyl acetate mixture is one that kind mixture.

Coming to the importance of this study, the worldwide consumption of methanol is steadily increasing steadily. The reason for this demand is it is used as a starting material for the production of various products like acids, acetates, acrylates, amines fuel additives, aldehydes [1-4]. In the production of biodiesel methanol is used for the transesterification reaction and ethyl acetate is used in inter esterification reaction [5-7]. Ethyl acetate and methanol mixture is used in the pharmaceutical industry for the preparation of substances used for medical treatment. Ethyl acetate is used as solvent in industrial operations and it forms azo trope with methanol [8,9]. To separate the azo trope extractive distillation is the mostly used technique [10-11]. Extracting agent influences the cost of the equipment used in the separation [12-13]. Non-corrosive, non-toxic and having low vapor pressure are the features of the Ionic liquids which makes them as a good choice as extracting agent [16-18]. Various studies [19-24] reported the advantages of the Ionic liquids (ILs) as extractive agents.

From the open literature, it has been identified that methanol and ethyl acetate forms a minimum boiling azeotropic mixture. Several research have been done on breaking the mixture using salt and ionic liquid, but very few of them has had successful result on the system. The combination of ionic liquids like 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium diethylphosphate \([\text{EMIM}][\text{DEP}]\) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium dibutylphosphate \([\text{BMIM}][\text{DBP}]\) are used as entrainers to break the azo trope by Zhang et al [24] and they succeeded in breaking the azo tropes. This work motivated the present study to investigate the effect of ionic liquids, like 1-ethyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium Bromide \((\text{EMPyr})[\text{Br}]\) and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium Chloride \((\text{EMIM})[\text{Cl}]\) at different concentrations in breaking the azeotropic mixture of methanol and ethyl acetate.

2. Experiments
2.1 Materials
Methanol (99.0 wt %) was supplied by Titan Biotech Ltd., Rajasthan. Ethyl acetate was obtained from Nice Chemicals Private Ltd., Cochin with purity 99.0 wt %. Calcium chloride, \([\text{EMPyr}][\text{Br}]\) and \([\text{EMIM}][\text{Cl}]\) were procured from Sigma Aldrich Corp., Bengaluru. An anhydrous salt was used for the experiment. The digital LCD thermometer temperature test pen as shown in Figure 1 was supplied by Electromania Digital.

2.2 Method
Vapour-liquid equilibrium (VLE) data of methanol-ethyl acetate system at isobaric condition was determined by VLE setup supplied by New Venus Industries, Chennai, India maintained at atmospheric pressure and it is shown in
The apparatus consists of a still connected to a condenser with reflux. The system is heated using a thermostat, with a heating temperature range of 0 to 100°C. The reboiler was a 500 ml capacity round bottom flask. The neck is heated externally using a nichrome wire. The chamber is completely surrounded by the cooling water jacket with water pumped continuously throughout the procedure.

For methanol-ethyl acetate system, different mixtures of calculated concentrations were prepared ranging from 0 to 1.0 mole fraction of methanol with intervals of 0.1. 100 ml solution of methanol and ethyl acetate was added to the still with known compositions. Methanol volume considered as v ml and the remaining volume of ethyl acetate is (100 - v) ml. Mole fraction of methanol is calculated using the equation (1). In Table 1 mole fractions of components in the mixtures are given and in Table 2 physical properties are presented.

\[
x = \frac{(v_m \rho_m + v_e \rho_e) v_m}{(v_m \rho_m + v_e \rho_e) + (100 - v_m) \rho_e}
\]

\[\text{(1)}\]

**Table 1. Amounts of methanol and ethyl acetate: solution number (S’ No.), methanol mole fraction (x_m), methanol volume (v_m), and ethyl acetate volume (v_e)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution No.</th>
<th>Methanol mole Fraction</th>
<th>Methanol Volume, v_m (cm^3)</th>
<th>Ethyl Acetate Volume, v_e (100-v_m)cm^3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Physical properties of solvents.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Boiling point (°C)</th>
<th>Specific Gravity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Present Work</td>
<td>Literature*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>77.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data obtained from NIST WebBook [25]

The concentrations of the residue and distillate were obtained from the calibration curve (Figure 3) using interpolation. The above procedure was repeated for the system with salt concentrations of 5 % and 10 % wt of methanol. This was followed by the subsequent tabulation and interpretation. T-x, y and x-y plots were plotted to find the azotropic nature of the mixture at temperature and composition at 1 atm.

### 3. Consistency of VLE data

The isobaric VLE data of methanol-ethyl acetate system is examined through a test of thermodynamic consistency [26], using Margules mathematical equations and Gibbs Duhem equation.

In this method, reduced T-x,y data can be obtained by using the parameter excess Gibbs free energy as a function of composition. From the previous studies model parameters are obtained and the composition of vapour phase is calculated from the known composition of other phase at desired temperature and pressure within the valid range using Antoine equation,

\[
\log p_i^\text{sat} = A - \frac{B}{T + C}
\]

where, \(A, B, C\) are Antoine constants, which are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Methanol} & : A = 5.20409, B = 1581.341, C = -33.5 \\
\text{Ethyl Acetate} & : A = 4.22809, B = 1245.702, C = -55.189
\end{align*}
\]

Our purpose is to use the test for the consistency with respect to the Gibbs Duhem equation of a Txy data set. Equation (3) is written with experimental values, calculated by Equation (4).

\[
x_i \ln y_1 + x_2 \ln y_2
\]

\[
x_i \ln y_1 + x_2 \ln y_2 = \frac{y_i p}{x_i v_i^\text{sat}}
\]

The Margules equation is given by,

\[
\frac{dG}{dx} = \frac{y_i}{x_i} - \frac{y_j}{x_j}
\]
\[ \ln \gamma_1 = x_2^2 [A_{12} + 2(A_{21} - A_{12})x_1] \]  
(5)

\[ \ln \gamma_2 = x_1^2 [A_{21} + 2(A_{12} - A_{21})x_2] \]  
(6)

If a data set is reduced so as to make the residuals in \( G / RT \) scatter about zero, then the derivative \( \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1} \) is effectively zero, reducing (eq. 3) to:

\[ \delta \ln \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = x_1 \frac{d \ln \gamma_1}{dx_1} + x_1 \frac{d \ln \gamma_2}{dx_1} \]  
(7)

4. Results and Discussion

Binary vapour liquid equilibrium (VLE) data for methanol (1) + ethyl acetate (2) at 101.325 kPa is measured and the experimental data was calibrated for thermodynamic consistency using the calibration curve as shown in Figure 3. Calibration curve shows the minimum deviations and it can be used to calculate the mole fractions at specified specific gravities of the samples.

VLE data is plotted for the base case mixture containing the methanol (1) and ethyl acetate (2) and is shown in Figure 4. From this plot, it is observed that at \( x_1 = 0.657 \) we have the azeotrope. Objective of this study is to break the azeotrope or to shift the azeotrope towards the maximum separation point. Temperature versus composition data is plotted in Figure 5 and the azeotrope is identified at the temperature 65.9°C and at atmospheric pressure of 101.325 kpa.

4.1 Effect of Ionic liquid [EMIM][Cl]

Effect of Ionic liquid (5% [EMIM][Cl]) on VLE is given in Figure 6. It is observed that azeotrope is shifted from \( x_1 = 0.65 \) to \( x_1 = 0.75 \) (when compared to Figure 5). This change shows the improvement in breaking the azeotrope and it is a positive sign for the effective separation.

4.2 Effect of Ionic Liquid [EMPyr][Br]

Similarly experiments were conducted to observe the effect of the second ionic liquid [EMPyr][Br] on VLE.
behavior of Methanol (1) + Ethyl acetate (2) system. Effect of varying concentrations of the ionic liquid [EMPYrr][Br] on VLE are shown in Figure 8. From Figure 8, it is evident that the azeotrope shifts from \( x_1 = 0.67 \) to 0.74, 0.85 and almost 1.0 for the concentrations of ionic liquid [EMPYrr][Br] of 5%, 10% and 15% respectively. It shows that the separation efficiency is improved by breaking the azeotrope and the objective of the thermodynamic experiment has been considered as success.

![Figure 8. Effect of Ionic liquid [EMPYrr][Br] concentration on separation of Azeotrope.](image)

Moreover, it is observed that with increasing concentration of ionic liquid, the azeotrope shifts to the right as was in the earlier case (Figure 7).

4.3 Consistency Test for Binary VLE data

The VLE data obtained for without entrainer was validated by consistency test using thermodynamic equations and it was found to vary from the Gibbs Duhem ideality relation. This variance can be either due to less data points for the system or the experimental error. Consistency test plot is shown in Figure 9. Scattering of the experimental points shows that the data is thermodynamically consistent.

![Figure 9. Thermodynamic consistency test.](image)

5. Conclusions

Binary VLE data for Methanol and Ethyl acetate system containing the ionic liquids ([EMIM][Cl] and [EMPYrr][Br]) were obtained at 101.325 kpa. The ionic liquids (ILs) have shown significant effect on azeotropic system of Methanol and Ethylacetate. For the same concentrations of the ionic liquids [EMPYrr][Br] and [EMIM][Cl] has shown strong salting out effect on methanol. It shows that lesser amounts of the ionic liquid [EMIM][Cl] is enough to break the azeotrope. This strong salting out effect resulted in the complete disappearance of the azeotropic point. Thus these ionic liquids are suitable candidates for azeotropic distillation.

In addition to this, the recoverability of ionic liquid is expected to have significant advantage while using the same as entrainer for distillation. This characteristic feature will help towards making the distillation process more economic due to its reusability since the price of ionic liquids is speculated to come down substantially in the near future. This study helps in designing the industrially safe and energy efficient operations.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Name</th>
<th>Chemical Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium Chloride</td>
<td>[EMIM][Cl]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-ethyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium Bromide</td>
<td>[EMPYrr][Br]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of sample, ml</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pressure, bar</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs free energy</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Gas Constant</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapour phase mole fraction</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid phase mole fraction</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margules parameters</td>
<td>( A_{12}/A_{21} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight, g/mol</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>Density, g/cm(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>Theoretical Activity coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma^* )</td>
<td>Experimental Activity coefficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts and Superscripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscript</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sat</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Component name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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