Fault Current Limitation and Contraction of Voltage Dips Thanks to D-FACTS and FACTS Cooperation

J. Khazaie[1], D. Nazarpour, M. Farsadi, M. Mokhtari[1], and S.Badkubi[1]

[1]Department of electrical engineering, Urmia University, Iran
javad_khazaie@yahoo.com, d.nazarpour@Urmia.ac.ir, *m.farsadi@urmia.ac.ir,*
Maghsood.mokhtari@yahoo.com and salman.badkubi@yahoo.com

Abstract: *This paper presents the instantaneous response of the Distributed Static Series Compensator (DSSC) in fault current limitation of disturbed lines. The DSSC has the ability to adjust the impedance of the power system in order to increase the power transfer capacity. The injected voltage is implemented here to limit the fault currents.Moreover, the STATCOM which is a renowned FACTS device is also implemented for fault current limiting. The fault current will be greatly reduced, if the STATCOM make the bus voltage adjacent to the fault bus decrease its voltage. Furthermore, it is also revealed that, operation of both DSSC and STATCOM will greatly reduce the fault currents in the power systems. The effectiveness of the DSSC in reduction of instantaneous voltage dip range during fault current limiting mode, also is investigated. PSCAD/EMTC is used to validate the claims.*

Key Words*: Distributed Static Sereis Compensator (DSSC), Fault Current Limitation (FCL), STATCOM, Voltage Dip*

1. Introduction

The increasing power demand and major environmental constraints require a solution for transmission systems. Increasing generation is one of the solutions in high load density networks. This solution on one hand and interconnections between systems on the other hand, increase the fault current in power system. If the fault current rating of the equipment in the system is exceeded, the equipment must be either upgraded or replaced. The both of those solutions for equipment are either very cost or time intensive procedures [1].

So, fault current limiters can be implemented [2]-[4]. Nowadays, investigations are being carried out to study the coordination of the distance protection and the line apparent impedance variation of these lines used with FACTS (Flexible AC Transmission Systems) devices, mainly those connected in series with the line [5]. These devices increase the power handling capacity of the line and improve the transient stability as well as limiting fault currents. Many authors have studied the FACTS for fault current limiting (FCL) mode. In these papers different methods have been applied for fault current limiting purpose [6], [7]. But FACTS devices have some constraints for applying in fault current limiting (FCL) mode, which include:

- High cost resulting from device complexity and component requirements [8];
- High magnitude of the fault current passing through their converters which makes the FACTS devices bypass themselves for protecting.

Recently, a new concept from the family of Distributed FACTS, (D-FACTS) has been introduced as a way to remove these barriers. Distributed nature of the suggested systems makes it possible to have fine granularity in the system rating [9].

The distributed static series compensator (DSSC) uses a low-power single-phase inverter which attaches to the transmission conductor and dynamically controls the impedance of the transmission line, allowing control of active power flow in the line [10]. Also the DSSC concept overcomes limitations of the FACTS devices mentioned above. Small rated (10KVA) single phase inverter and a single turn transformer (SST) suggests that the DSSC will be possible to realize extremely low cost [11]. Single turn transformer is the key factor for the DSSC in tolerating high fault currents. It uses the transmission line conductor as a secondary winding and is designed with a high turn ratio that reduces the current handled by the inverter. This means that, there is no need to bypass to protect itself in high fault currents in the inverter side. In this paper, the

DSSC is utilized for fault current limitation based on impedance control. In disturbances, the DSSC injects voltage in series with the line which can be emulated as a reactance, increases the line reactance and fault current limitation will be achieved.

Static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) is a well-known member of FACTS family, which is connected in shunt with the system, resembles in many respects, a rotating synchronous condenser used for voltage control and reactive power compensation. From the power system dynamic stability view point, the STATCOM provides good damping characteristics as it is able to transiently exchange the active power with the system. While the primary purpose of the STATCOM is to support the bus voltage by injecting (or absorbing) the reactive power, an additional strategy can be used with the STATCOM to limit the fault current. It consists of utilizing the STATCOM in such a way to force the voltage at the point where its converter is connected, to reduce its magnitude. It is observed that, with this implementation, the total reduction of the short circuit current can be improved.

This paper consists of following sections: at first, the DSSC concept and its operation in a power system for power flow control, is investigated in part 2. In the third part, the principle of the fault current limitation based on voltage at the fault point is presented. Principle of the STATCOM and its application for fault current limitation is included in part 4. Simulation results are investigated in the section five consists of the DSSC and the STATCOM fault current limiting mode. Contraction of voltage dip caused by fault is investigated in simulation results. Also it is verified that, with DSSC in action, the voltage dip is contracted. Conclusions are covered at the last section.

2. Distributed Static Series Compensator (DSSC)

2.a DSSC Structure

The DSSC is in fact a single-phase model of a Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC), but in a smaller size, that lies on transmission lines in a distributed manner mainly for power flow control. Each DSSC device is a single-phase, but is deployed on all three phases of a transmission line to preserve the symmetry of the power flow. Each module is suspended from the line conductor or is configured as a replacement for the conductor support clamp on an insulator. So, there is no need for phase-ground insulation in contrast to the SSSC [12]. The low-power intrinsic of the DSSC necessitates the installation of hundreds or thousands DSSC modules in a single transmission line.

Fig.1 illustrates the DSSC module components in a circuit schematic representation [10]. It mainly consists of a current transformer (CT) to provide the feedback signal required, a processing unit serving as the controller, a small-rated (1-20 kVA) single-phase inverter to generate the compensating sinusoidal voltage, a single-turn

transformer (STT) to insert the voltage in the transmission line, a power supply feeding the processor, and a built-in communication hardware to send/receive signals to/from other modules or a centralized controller [13]. A DC capacitor holds the voltage level at the DC bus of the inverter and power losses of the inverter are compensated through the active power absorbed from the line. Harmonic content of the generated voltage are cleansing by an LC filter connected to output of the inverter. The module is bypassed during the sleep mode of DSSC, or in the event of any failure. The required system commands for responding to gradual changes are received from a central control center via a wireless link or power line communication (PLC) technique [14]. An autonomous operating mode could also be considered in which each module works based on a predetermined set points. The STT utilizes the transmission line conductor as the secondary winding and is designed with a high turn ratio (100:1). Hence, the current which is handled by the inverter is reduced and commercial IGBTs can readily be employed for low cost implementations [11]. As depicted in Fig. 1, the transformer core consists of two parts that are clamped around the line conductor in order to form a complete magnetic circuit [15].


Fig. 1. Circuit schematic of a DSSC module.

2.b Control System

The main task of the DSSC is to control the power flow in a transmission line. This objective can be achieved either by direct control in which both the angular position and the magnitude of the output voltage are controlled, or by indirect control in which only the angular position of the output voltage is controlled and the magnitude remains proportional to the DC terminal voltage [16].

The inverters which are controlled in a direct mode encounter with more difficulty and higher cost to implement in comparison with indirectly controlled inverters. Also in a direct control mode the inverter function is usually associated with some drawbacks in terms of increased losses, greater circuit complexity and increased harmonic content in the output, hence the control structure used for the DSSC in this model is based on indirect control. Fig.2 shows an indirect control schematic of a DSSC module [17].


Fig.2 Indirect control of DSSC

Here, the controller is used to retain the charge on the DC bus capacitor and to inject a synchronous voltage that is orthogonal to the line current. In order to control the DC capacitor voltage, a small displacement 'e' beyond the required 90 degree between the injected voltage and the line current is required as a control signal. The phase-locked-loop (PLL) is used to provide the basic synchronization signal 'θ', which is the phase angle of the line current. The error signal that is obtained by comparing V_{dc} with $V_{dc\ ref}$ is passed through a PI controller and the resultant will be the required phase angle displacement 'e' [10].

3. Fault current limitation analysis

Fig.3 shows a power system where lots of DSSCs are established on transmission line. This power system is implemented for current limitation analysis.


Fig.3 Simple power system for fault current limitation analysis

Fig.4 shows the single line diagram of Fig.3. In this figure, ZR is the equivalent impedance of transformer T1, generator G1 and G2, and ZL is the equivalent impedance of line, transformer T2 and generator G3, and V_{se} is the equivalent injected voltage of DSSCs.


Fig.4 Single line diagram of proposed power system

Regarding the fault point considered in Fig.4, which can be located at any point along transmission line, the first equation can be expressed as:

$$[E'_1] = [E_1] + [V_{se}] \tag{1}$$

The line current before the fault is given by:

$$[I_L] = [Z_L + Z_R]^{-1} [E'_1 - E_2] \tag{2}$$

So, the voltage at bus 3 before the fault can be expressed as:

$$[V_3] = [E_2] + [Z_R] [I_L] \tag{3}$$

By substituting (2) in (3) and calling M the matrix that represents the voltage divider, yields:

$$[V_3] = ([I] - [M]) [E_2] + [M] [E_1] + [M] [V_{se}] \tag{4}$$

Where, [I] represent the identity matrix, and [M] is expressed as:

$$[M] = [Z_L + Z_R]^{-1} [Z_R] \tag{5}$$

For subtracting the effect of DSSC on fault current, from E_1, E_2, these two terms will be named as V_{uf}. Whereas V_{uf} is uncompensated fault voltage and V_{se} is the DSSCs compensating voltage at the fault point (bus 3). So the compensated voltage V_3 becomes V_f:

$$[V_f] = [V_{uf}] + [M] [V_{se}] \tag{6}$$

The compensation term $[M] [V_{se}]$ should be in opposition to V_{uf} for diminishing V_3, and also V_{se} should be at maximum amplitude during the fault period.

The equations above are applicable on three-phase faults, but for phase-to-ground faults another limitation should be considered. The effect of healthy phases should be clarified to observe whether the coupling effect of unaffected phases can contribute to the fault current limitation or not. For simplification the analysis, the resulting impedance matrix in (5) is expressed as:

$$[M] = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Which factors α and β are dependent on the impedances Z_L, Z_R, and zero and positive sequence values that describe coupling effect between phases. By substituting (7) in (6), yields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{V}_{fa} \\ \overline{V}_{fb} \\ \overline{V}_{fc} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{V}_{ufa} \\ \overline{V}_{ufb} \\ \overline{V}_{ufc} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{V}_{sea} \\ \overline{V}_{seb} \\ \overline{V}_{sec} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (8)$$

And from (8) it is observed that:

$$\overline{V}_{fa} = \overline{V}_{ufa} + \alpha\,\overline{V}_{sea} + \beta\,(\,\overline{V}_{seb} + \overline{V}_{sec}) \qquad (9)$$

The best condition for voltage compensation is attained when $\overline{V}_{sea} = \overline{V}_{seb} = \overline{V}_{sec}$ and $\overline{V}_{fa} = \overline{V}_{ufa} + (\alpha + 2\beta)\,\overline{V}_{sea}$. By choosing $\overline{V}_{sea}$ in opposite position with $\overline{V}_{ufa}$, the $\overline{V}_{fa}$ would be minimum. So the fault current will be greatly diminished.

4. Description of STATCOM structure

The basic STATCOM structure is presented in Fig.5. The STATCOM is a power electronic based synchronous voltage generator (SVG), that generates a three-phase voltage in synchronism with the transmission line voltage from a DC capacitor. It is connected to the transmission line by a coupling transformer. By controlling the output voltage magnitude of the STATCOM, the reactive power exchanges between STATCOM and the transmission system. The STATCOM is based on the principle that regulates the voltage at its terminal with handling the amount of reactive power injected to or absorbed from the power system. When the system voltage is low, it generates the reactive power (capacitive mode) and in a similar way, if the system voltage is high, it absorbs the reactive power (inductive mode). The voltage source converter (VSC) which is connected in the secondary side of a coupling transformer, contributes to perform the variations of the reactive power. The VSC uses forced-commutated power electronic devices (GTOs, IGBTs or IGCTs) to synthesize a voltage from a DC voltage source.


Fig.5 Basic diagram of STATCOM

4.a STATCOM Control

In order to control the power electronic switches, sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM) is utilized. This strategy is used to synthesize a sinusoidal wave form proportional in magnitude to the modulation gain (k) and shifted by the phase angle α. The advantage of pulse width modulation is that, both parameters k and α can be independently controlled. As the phase angle of the voltage on the converter side is changed with respect to the phase angle of the AC system voltage, the STATCOM will attempt to generate or absorb active power form the AC system. The exchanged active power will charge or discharge the internal DC capacitors.

The primary control targets of a STATCOM are to control the AC line voltage (Vs) and the DC capacitor voltage (V_{dc}). The AC voltage control is achieved by filtering out the second harmonic and the low frequencies of the AC voltage and then a lead-lag and PI controller are applied to the voltage error in order to attain the modulation phase shift α. The DC capacitor voltage error is put through a PI controller to provide the modulation index gain k. Fig.6 shows the control block of the STATCOM. The STATCOM data expressed as: Rated Power = 100MVA, Rated Voltage = 26 KV, Frequency = 60 Hz, XT(sh) = 0.1 p.u


Fig.6 Block control of STATCOM

4.b STATCOM Implementation for Fault Current Limiting

While the primary purpose of STATCOM is to support the bus voltage by injecting (or absorbing) reactive power, an additional strategy can be used with the STATCOM to limit the fault current. It consists of utilizing the STATCOM in such way to force the voltage, at the point where its converter is connected, to reduce its magnitude. To expand the subject it can be mentioned that the voltage near the fault point is close to zero, so, if the voltage at the generation side decreased, the fault current at the generation side will be decreased and similar discription can be mentioned for the reverse action, if the voltage at the generation side increased, the difference between these two voltage can produce large current which will be dangerous for the generators and other important apparatuses in the power system. So, with decreasing the voltage at the generation side, the fault current will be decreased greatly and this will help the power system to recover. This duty can be achieved easily with implementing the STATCOM in power system, the STATCOM can immediately change the bus voltage and as a result decrease the fault current in the system. It is observed that, with this implementation, the total reduction of the short circuit current can be improved. If STATCOM connect to bus 3 in Fig.4 which fault occurs on, with decreasing the bus voltage in fault duration, fault current can be decreased significantly as it is shown in equation (9). The first term of equation (9) can be adjusted with STATCOM implementation for fault limiting mode.

5. Simulation Results

The new concept of the DSSC in fault current limiting mode mentioned above is applied to 12 bus power system shown in Fig.7. The current limiting effect is evaluated through digital simulation studies in time domain complementary with PSCAD/EMTDC simulations.


Fig.7. 12 Bus system for analyzing fault current limiting

Table I shows the power system constants which are used in time domain simulations. It should be noted that,

the PI-sections are used for modeling the transmission lines. Specification of the DSSC model is shown in Table II.

Table I. Power system constants

Generators	
H:inertia constant	1.7 s
D:Mechanical damping	0.01 pu
Vbase:Rated RMS phase voltage	22 KV
Ibase:Rated RMS phase current	18.3 KA
VT:Terminal voltage magnitude at t=0	1.01 pu
Pheta:Terminal voltage phase at t=0	0.17 rad
Xd:Direct-Axis Reactance	1.5 pu
$X^{'}d$: Direct-Axis Transient reactance	0.4 pu
$X^{''}d$: Direct-Axis sub-transient reactance	0.35 pu
Xq:Quad-Axis reactance	1.2 pu
$X^{''}q$: Quad-Axis Sub-transient reactance	0.29 pu
Ra=Armature Resistance	0.002 pu
AGFC:Air Gap Factor	1
Transmission lines	
Rated voltage L-L	230 KV
MVA for all phases	250
Line length:(2-5),(4-5),(4-6)	300 Km
Line length:(1-2),(3-4)	100 Km
Line length:(7-8)	600 km
Specification of load	
Load on bus 2	280+j200
Load on bus 3	320+j240
Load on bus 4	320+j80
Load on bus 5	100-j20
Transformers	
1000MVA, 22/230Kv, DY, X_L=0.01p.u ,60Hz, Ideal transformer	Bus10-2 Bus12-6 Bus11-3 Bus 1-9
1000MVA, 230/345Kv, YY, X_L=0.01p.u ,60Hz, Ideal transformer	Bus 9-7 Bus 3-8

Table II.Specification of DSSC

Transformer	1/75
Inverters (single phase PWM inverter)	Power Rating:10 MVA Power devices: IGBT PWM carrier frequency: 12 kHz
Capacity of DC side	2.1 mF
Filter	
Cut-off frequency	375 Hz
L	1.8 mH
C	200 μF

In order to analyze the outcome of current limiting, the DSSC is controlled for compensating the reactance of transmission line in normal operation for power flow control. A single-phase to ground fault occurs on phase A of line 1-6 at time 10 sec and lasts for 1 sec. The fault location is 200Km farther than bus 6. It is assumed that, DSSCs are off and they link to power system at 10.05 sec. For simplicity, pre-charged capacitors are considered. This assumption is reasonable, because in real, the DSSCs are in operation and they change the mode of operation in faults, so no time is needed for charging of each DSSC during fault.


Fig.8 The line 1-6 currents before and after the fault on 10 sec

Fig.8 shows the fault current at the left-hand side of the fault before and after implementation of the DSSCs. It is presumed that, during the fault just the left-hand side DSSCs are in operation, and they are in inductive mode. For better view, the DSSCs begin to operate at time 10.05sec. It is observed in Fig.8 that, the limitation of current is improved up to 1.8p.u. The fault current which has amplitude of 6p.u in 10.05sec decreases to 4.2p.u when the DSSCs are implemented for limiting the fault current.


Fig.9 Current on line 1-6 during fault with DSSC

Fig.9 exhibits the currents at the right-hand side of the fault occurrence. It is clear that, this current is lower than left-hand side current, because the generator reactance diminishes this current. Also the line reactance of the right-hand side is greater than the left-hand side because fault occurs on 200km farther on bus 6. So the right-hand side is larger than left-hand side and has more reactance. Fig.9 reveals that, with operation of DSSCs on the right-hand side of the fault, the fault current will be significantly decreased. Now consider a STATCOM is implemented on bus 6 for controlling its voltage. When the fault occurs, its reference voltage decreases from 1p.u to 0.8p.u to limit the fault current. The signal for changing the reference value of STATCOM comes from over current relay, so a little time delay for receiving the signal form relay and dynamic response of STATCOM controller should be taken into account. Fig.10 shows the bus voltage of STATCOM (bus6) during its operation. The STATCOM is in operation at time 6.5 sec and the fault occurs at time 10 sec. The STATCOM operation at 6.5 sec leads to a transient state at the bus voltage, but after a short span of time, the STATCOM fixes the bus voltage at 1p.u. when fault occurs, the reference value of the STATCOM is adjusted to 0.8p.u in order to limit the right-hand side fault current. The right-hand side fault current with implementation of STATCOM is shown in Fig.11. It should be noted that, only DSSCs in the right-hand side of the fault are in operation and they are operating in inductive mode.


Fig.10 Voltage of bus 6 during simulation

It is observed from Fig.11 that, the fault current decreases significantly from 2p.u to 1.1p.u. It is a go0d characteristic of both DSSC and STATCOM in power system that not only they can do their operation (power flow control by DSSC and voltage control and dynamic stability by STATCOM) in power system, but also with a little change in their operations, they can limit the fault currents. It should be noted that, in this case, the DSSCs are in line at time 10.05sec.


Fig.11 The current limitation with STATCOM and DSSCs

a. Contraction of range of Instantaneous voltage drop by Fault Current Limiting of DSSC

The main cause for an instantaneous voltage drop is a fault like a stroke of lightning. The fault position is regional and distributed unequally. The possibility of voltage drop caused by a fault in a distance increases if the power system is integrated. By installing a fault current limiter near the point where the fault rate is high, the instantaneous voltage drops in the entire power system can be reduced. This is because the fault current limit is equivalent to lengthening the electrical distance between faults, making the faults remote.

Fig.12 shows the RMS value of generator terminal voltage at bus 12. For simplicity, just phase A is shown. It is observed from Fig.12 that, in presence of the DSSC, the voltage on bus12 is improved up to 0.08p.u during the fault occurrence.


Fig.12 Contraction of voltage dips on bus12

Fig.13 shows the voltage dip during fault on bus 11 of power system. In presence of the DSSC the voltage dip on terminal voltage of generator on bus 11 is not significantly improved. It is acceptable because the DSSCs are compensating the line 1-6 reactance, and the distance between line1-6 and bus 12 is 600Km. The long line has large reactance that the compensation with DSSC has no significant effect on its voltage.


Fig.13 Voltage dips during fault on bus 11with DSSC in line1-6

In this case of study because of long distance between different buses, improvements of voltage dips on the other buses like bus 3 or bus 10 in presence of the DSSC in line 1-6 is not significant. The long transmission lines have large reactance that the DSSC on line 1-6 cannot alter the reactance of farther lines considerably. But the DSSC is a cost-effective device and can distribute along the transmission lines. If the large number of DSSCs are connected to the transmission lines, the contraction of voltage dips caused by faults can be improved significantly. If the large number of DSSCs are connected to the different transmission lines, the contraction of voltage dips caused by faults can be improved significantly that is our undergoing investigation that not included in this paper.

6. Conclusion

This paper focuses on a basis of manipulating the series voltage injected by DSSC in order to limit the fault currents drawn by the power system. The analysis is focused on single-phase-to-ground fault on the transmission lines and could be effectively extended to other types of faults. It has been shown that, the STATCOM can also contribute to limit the fault currents by decreasing its reference voltage in fault duration. Implementation of both DSSC and STATCOM results good effect in fault current limitation process. Contraction of voltage dip occurs on system buses during the fault period which can be greatly achieved by inserting DSSCs in inductive mode on disturbed lines. Implementation of the DSSC in whole system not only improves the overall stability and capacity of power system, but also can

contract together voltage dips and fault currents in power system. If the DSSCs in the power system are operating in capacitive mode and a fault occurs on the power system, all the DSSCs on the power system should switch to inductive mode. This would limit the fault current and contract the voltage dip on entire system.

7. References

[1] SIEMENS "SCCL-Short-Circuit Current Limitation with FACTS in HighVoltageSystems",*www.ptd.siemens.de/SCCL_Application_Features_V2.pdf*

[2] Endo, M.; Hori, T.; Koyama, T.; Kaiho, K.; Yamaguchi, I.; Arai, K.; Mizoguchi, H.; Yanabu, S*"Development of a superconducting fault current limiter using various high-speed circuit breakers"*, *Electric Power Applications, IET , vol.3 No.4 2009 , Page(s): 363 – 370*

[3] Cai, Y.; Okuda, S.; Odake, T.; Yagai, T.; Tsuda, M.; Hamajima, T *"Study on Three-Phase Superconducting Fault Current Limiter"*, IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, 2010 , vol.20 No.3 Page(s): 1127 - 1130 .

[4] Rozenshtein, V.; Friedman, A.; Wolfus, Y.; Kopansky, F.; Perel, E.; Yeshurun, Y.; Bar-Haim, Z.; Ron, Z.; Harel, E.; Pundak, N.; "Saturated Cores FCL—A New Approach" IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, 2007 , vol.17 No.2 Page(s): 1756 - 1759 .

[5] Duangkamol, K.; Mitani, Y.; Tsuji, K.; Hojo, M; "Fault current limiting and power system stabilization by static synchronous series compensator", Power System Technology, 2000. Proceedings. PowerCon 2000. Volume: 3, 2000, Page(s): 1581-1586.

[6] Takeshita, M.; Sugihara, H.; "Effect of fault current limiting of UPFC for power flow control in loop transmission", Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exhibition 2002: Asia Pacific. IEEE/PES, vol.3, 2002, page(s): 2032-2036.

[7] Vasquez Arnez, R. L; Zanetta, L.C.; "Effective Limitation of Line FaultCurrents by Means of Series-Connected VSI-Based FACTS Devices''. In: Symposium of Specialists in Electric Operational and Expansion Planning, Florianópolis, Brasil, SEPOPE, 2006

[8] Deepak M. Divan; William E. Brumsickle; Robert S. Schneider; Bill Kranz; Randal W. Gascoigne; Dale T. Bradshaw; Michael R. Ingram; Ian S. Grant;" A distributed Static Series compensator system for Realizing Active Power Flow Control on Existing Power Lines" Power Delivery , IEEE Transaction on vol:22, 2007, page(s): 642-649.

[9] Divan, D. et al. "A distributed static series compensator system for realizing active power flow control on existing power lines". IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, 22: 642-649. DOI: 10.1109/TPWRD.2006.887103.

[10] P. Fajri; D. Nazarpour; S. Afsharnia;" A PSCAD/EMTDC Model for Distributed Static Series Compensator (DSSC)", Electrical Engineering ,2008. ICEE 2008. Second International Conference on Publication Date: 25-26 March 2008.

[11] Johal, H.; Divan, D.; "Design consideration for series connected distributed FACTS converters", Industry Applications Conference, 2005. Fourtieth IAS Snnual Meeting. 2005, vol. 2, page(s): 889-895.

[12] L. Gyugyi; C. D. Schauder; K. K. Sen;" Static Synchronous Series Compensator: a solid-state Approach to the Series Compensation of Transmission Lines", IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, vol. 12, No.1, Jan 1997, Page(s): 406-417.

[13] Deepak Divan; "Distributed Intelligent Power Networks-A New Concept for Improving T&D System Utilization and Performance", IEEE Transmission and Distribution Conference, 2005, New Orleans, Louisiana.

[14] D. J. Marihart; "Communications technology guidelines for EMS/SCADA systems" IEEE Trans. Power Delivery. Vol. 16 No. 2, Apr 2001, page(s): 181-188.

[15] M. Rauls; "Analysis and Design of High Frequency Co-Axial winding Transformers" MS Thesis, University of Wisconsin Madison. US, 1992.

[16] N.G. Hingorani and L. Gyugyi, Understanding FACTS: Concepts and technology of flexible ac transmission system. IEEE Press, NY, 2000.

[17] M. Khalilian; M. Mokhtari; D. Nazarpour; B. Tousi; "Transient Stability enhancement by DSSC with a Fuzzy Supplementary Controller", Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology, Vol.5 No.3 .2010, page(s): 415-422.

Short Biography

Javad Khazaie was born in Ghaemshahr, Iran, 1987. He received the B.Sc. degree from the University of Nooshirvani, Babol (Iran). Currently, he is studying his M.Sc on electrical engineering in urmia university, urmia, Iran. His research interests are in the Flexible AC Transmission Systems(FACTS), Power Quality, and Active Power Filters. He is a member of the International Electrical and Electronic Engineers.

Daryoosh Nazarpour Received B.S degree from Iran Univesity of Science and Technology in 1982 and M.S degree in Electric Power Engineering from Tabriz University, Iran in 1988 and received Ph.D from Tabriz university, Iran in 2005. He is now Assistant Professor in the Urmia University, Iran. His research interests Are primarily in advanced Power Electronic and Flexible AC Transmission System(FACTS)..

Mortaza Farsadi was born in Khoy, Iran in1957. He received his PHD in electrical engineering (high-

voltage), from department of electrical and electronics engineering, middle east technical university (METU) and Istanbul Technical University (ITU), Turkey 1989. He is now assistant professor in the department of electrical engineering, Urmia university, Iran. His main research interests are in high voltage engineering industrial power system studies and Flexible AC Transmissin Systems (FACTS).

Maghsoud Mokhtari received the B.Sc. degree from Birjand University, Birjand (Iran). Currently, he is studying his M.Sc on electrical engineering in urmia university, urmia, Iran. His research interests are in the area of Advanced Power Electronics, Power system Dynamics, and Flexible AC Transmission Systems(FACTS).

Salman Badkubi was born in Isfahan, Iran, 1984. He received the B.Sc. degree from Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan (Iran). Currently, he is studying his M.Sc on electrical engineering in urmia university, urmia, Iran. His research interests are in the area of Flexible AC Transmission Systems(FACTS), Power Quality, and Active Power Filters. He is a member of the International Electrical and Electronic Engineers.