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## ÖZ

In the present study, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ doped electrodes were synthesized to improve the cathode V $(\mathrm{IV}) / \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ redox reaction of all vanadium flow batteries. Cathode electrocatalysts were produced with a two-step hydrothermal method. The crystal structure of the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ doped composites and electrodes were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used for morphological examination of the samples. Surface modification of the electrodes was confirmed by thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) and functional groups on the electrode surface were determined by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). Electrochemical measurements of the electrodes were conducted with cycic activity of cathode V (IV) / V (V) redox reaction. Peak currents of $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72 doped graphite felt and SGL 10AA electrodes are measured as 42.30 and 7.9 mA Vulcan XC-72 improved vanadium flow battery cathode performance.

Bu çalışmada, oluşturulan $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ katkılı elektrotlar, vanadyum akış pilleri katot $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{IV}) / \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ çifti için sentezlenmiştir. Katot elektrokatalizörleri iki aşamada hidrotermal yöntem kullanılarak sentezlendi. Elde edilen $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ katkılı kompozit ve elektrotların kristal yapısı X-işını difraksiyonu (XRD), morfoloji incelemesi için ise taramalı elektron mikroskobu (SEM) kullanılmıştır. Yüzey modifikasyonu termo gravimetrik analiz (TGA) ile doğrulanmış olup yüzeydeki fonksiyonel gruplar X-1şını Fotoelektron Spektroskopisi ile tespit edilmiştir. Elektrotların elektrokimyasal ölçümleri çevrimsel voltametri (CV) kullnılarak yapılmıştır. İlk aşamada oluşturulan $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72 kompoziti ve ikinci aşamada grafit keçe ile karbon kağıt üzerine yüklenen Mn 3 O 4 , katot $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{IV}) / \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ çiftinin elektrokimyasal katalitik aktivitesini artırmıştır. $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ /Vulcan XC-72 katkılı grafit keçe ve SGL 10AA elektrotlarının çalışma akımları sırasıyla 42.30 ve $7.9 \mathrm{~mA}^{\prime} \mathrm{dir}^{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 'ün düşük elektriksel iletkenliği olmasına rağmen Vulcan XC-72 ile oluşturulan kompozitler vanadyum akış pili katot performansını iyileştirmiştir.

## 1. Introduction

Renewable energy systems such as wind and solar systems are intermittent energy generating systems. In order for renewable energy sources to be sustainable and efficient to use, the energy produced must be stored and provided to the system when necessary. Energy storage systems (ESS) can store renewable energy in different forms and, if necessary, convert it back to electrical energy. When the energy storage system is in electrochemical form (e.g. batteries, fuel cells, flow batteries), energy can be stored efficiently, safe, and at a lower cost [1].

The use of redox flow batteries (RFB) that store energy in electrochemical form, in energy storage applications has become widespread in recent years [2]. As a result of the electrochemical reactions in the redox flow batteries, ions flow within the cell and electrons flow in the external circuit [3]. One major difference of flow batteries from secondary batteries such as Li-ion, Lead-Acid, Ni-Cd is that they do not store reactants or products in the cell, instead chemicals are stored in separate storage tanks. The energy capacity of the system increases with the amount of electrolyte (anolyte or catholyte) in the storage tanks. As the active electrode area increases, the current drawn from the cell increases. In addition, the voltage of the flow battery module can be increased with serial connection of the cells. Therefore, the ability to regulate power and energy capacities independently is one of the most important advantages of redox flow batteries.

Among redox flow batteries, vanadium redox flow battery (VRFB) is the most widely studied and developed cell type. As a result, VRFB flow batteries are commercially available and widely used worldwide. The VRFB was first studied by Maria Skyllas-Kazacos [4]. The cathode electrolytes (catholyte) contain $\mathrm{V}^{4+} / \mathrm{V}^{5+}$ ions in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution, while the anode electrolyte (anolyte) consists of $\mathrm{V}^{3+} / \mathrm{V}^{2+}$ ions in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution. The concentration, activity and stability of vanadium ions in electrolytes are critical for vanadium redox flow battery performance [5]. The energy density of a vanadium redox flow cell with an acidic electrolyte having a vanadium concentration of 2 M is about $20-30 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{L}$. The low solubility of vanadium ions and cell voltage are the most important parameters affecting energy density [6]. When the flow battery is in discharge mode, $\mathrm{V}^{5+} / \mathrm{V}^{4+}$ reduction reaction occurs on the cathode side while $\mathrm{V}^{2+} / \mathrm{V}^{3+}$ oxidation reaction occurs on the anode side [7].
(3) Cell: $\mathrm{VO}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}^{3+}$


Protons formed with the electrochemical reactions inside the electrodes are transferred from anode to cathode by means of proton conductive membrane to ensure charge balance in the cell. Carbon-based electrodes are generally used in a typical vanadium flow cell. Carbon electrodes are very advantageous in terms of proper surface area, low cost and anode-cathode stability[8]. Carbon paper, carbon cloth, graphite felt, and carbon fiber are the commonly used electrodes in flow battery systems. The precursor materials commonly used for the production of graphite felt fibers are polyacrylonitrile (PAN) or Rayon, affecting the physical and electrochemical properties of the graphite felt. Graphite felt obtained from PAN fibers shows better electrochemical properties as compared to Rayon based graphite felts [9]. Starting from the precursor material, graphite felt is produced by polymerization, oxidation, carbonization and graphitization processes respectively. In addition, graphite felt has high electroactive surface area, high electrical conductivity ( $370.37 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$ ), good mechanical and chemical stability. On the other hand, the hydrophobic nature of the graphite felt limits performance in vanadium redox flow batteries [10,11]. Among the mentioned electrodes, to improve the weak electrochemical properties of the graphite felt electrode and to enhance the performance of the vanadium redox flow battery, surface modification should be carried out. Some methods used for surface modification are acid treatments [12], thermal treatments [13], thermo-chemical treatment, and hydroxylation [14]. As a result of the acid treatment of graphite felt with sulphuric acid, W. Zang and his coworkers reported that the oxygen-containing functional groups on the graphite felt surface increased. This increase in the surface of oxygen-containing functional groups increased the wettability of the graphite felt and reduced the resistance during charge transfer [15]. In general, precious metals such as Pt, Ru, Pd and Ir are used as electrocatalysts in Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries [16-18]. These precious metals significantly improve the performance of the VRFB, but the cost of these catalysts is quite high. In VRFB systems, instead of precious metals, low-cost metal oxide catalysts such as $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ [19] and $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (referans) can be used. Due to its low electrical conductivity, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ reduces the performance of vanadium redox flow battery by creating resistance during charge transfer. The performance of the vanadium redox flow battery can be increased when $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is used as a composite with a porous material having good electrical conductivity. It is possible to obtain a catalyst with low cost, high conductivity and high electrochemical catalytic activity by providing homogeneous nano scale distribution of metal oxide catalyst in graphite felt electrode [20-22].

In this study, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ metal oxide was used as catalyst. In order to improve the electrode kinetics, the low electrical conductivity of $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was developed by impregnating onto the carbon black and the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC- 72 composite was formed. To enhance the performance of the graphite felt electrode, surface modification was made using acid and thermal treatment methods. The produced $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72 composite was placed in the graphite felt pores with the hydrothermal synthesis technique. X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photo electron spectroscopy (XPS) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) techniques were used in the surface and structural analysis of the graphite felt obtained after surface modification with $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72. Electrochemical measurements were performed by cyclic voltammetry tests.

## 2. Material and Method

### 2.1 Preparation of electrocatalyst

This study was carried out in two steps. In the first step, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72 electrocatalyst was prepared. Vulcan XC-72 (Cabot®) was treated with hydrogen peroxide ( $50 \%$, Tekkim®) for surface activation at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The sample was washed with deionized water until the pH was stabilized and dried in a vacuum oven at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Surface modified Vulcan XC- 72 carbon was mixed in $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(99 \%\right.$, Sigma-Aldrich $\left.{ }^{\circledR}\right)$ for 1 h and after that sample was ultrasonicated for 3 h . The graphite felt ( 3 mm PAN based, Hi-Tech Carbon®) was cut to $3 \mathrm{~cm} \times 3 \mathrm{~cm}$. During the course of hydrothermal reaction, mixture of graphite felt electrode and $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC- 72 suspension was treated in a teflon coated autoclave for 12 h at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The autoclave was cooled to room temperature and the graphite felt electrode was washed with deionized water until pH was stabilized. The resulting graphite felt-based electrode was dried in a vacuum oven at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. To compare $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC- 72 performance, only $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ catalyst was loaded on the graphite felt by hydrothermal method. The $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72 electrocatalyst was loaded on a carbon paper (10AA GDL, SGL Group $®$ ) by hydrothermal method to compare the graphite felt with carbon paper as an alternative electrode.

### 2.2 Characterization

Surface morphology analyses of the samples were performed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with an acceleration voltage of 10 kV (JEOL, JSM-7001F). The X-Ray diffraction (RIGAKU, SMARTLAB) analyses of the samples were conducted using the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha 1$ source with a screening angle of $2-90^{\circ}$, and scanning speed of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, BRUKER, TENSOR 27) was used to determine the thermal history of the electrodes. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (PHI 5000 VersaProbe) was used to observe the functional groups on the surface of the electrodes.

### 2.3 Electrochemical measurements

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) analysis was performed with a scan rate of $5 \mathrm{mVs}^{-1}$ in $0-1.5 \mathrm{~V}$ range using $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{VO} \mathrm{S}_{4}$ ( $99 \%$, Sigma-Aldrich ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( $95-97 \%$, Merck ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) solution. All electrochemical analyzes were performed under an inert atmosphere using a Potentiostat-Galvanostat (Stath, IVIUM) device and a standard three-electrode electrochemical cell. $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}(3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl})$ was used as the reference electrode and platinum wire was used as the counter electrode.

## 3. Result and Discussion

Fig. 1 shows XRD patterns of graphite felt and carbon paper after surface modification of graphite felt and carbon paper with $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72.


Figure 1. XRD paterns of electrodes a) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{GF}$, b) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/GF, c) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/10AA
In XRD analysis, a typical peak (002) was observed at $26.4^{\circ}$ (JCPDS card files, no. 41-1487), which is generally attributed as the characteristic peak of the graphite felt and carbon paper. Observed diffraction peak positions and corresponding crystal planes of the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ crystals on graphite felt and carbon paper are detected at $32.4^{\circ}(103), 36.1^{\circ}$ (2 111 ) and $44.5^{\circ}(220)$ respectively. The resulting relatively low density diffraction peaks are in accordance with the reported values of $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Crystallite size is related to the broadening of a peak in the diffraction pattern. The crystallite size of the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particle prepared by hydrothermal method was calculated using Scherrer formula and the average crystal size was found to be approximately 21 nm , which is in agreement with the reported data (Fig. 2c) in SEM micrograph [23].



Figure 2. SEM images of electrodes a) pristine GF, b) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ based GF, c) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72 based GF, d) pristine SGL 10AA, e) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72 based SGL 10AA, f) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Vulcan}$ XC-72

The micrographs from the SEM analysis shown in Fig 2. exhibits the surface morphology of the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ modified graphite felt electrode. When the SEM images are examined, it can be concluded that the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles are successfully loaded onto the graphite felt.


Figure 3. TGA curves of electrodes under nitrogen

The results of thermogravimetric analysis for graphite felt and carbon paper under nitrogen atmosphere before and after modification are shown in Fig.3. The mass loss of graphite felt and carbon paper after surface modification increased significantly. After the surface modification of electrodes, functional groups containing oxygen were possibly increased on the surface and, accordingly, these functional groups and small amounts of water on the surface were released during TGA analysis, resulting in an increase in mass loss in the electrodes. The increase in these functional groups increases the electroactive area on the surface, resulting in improved electrode kinetics in the vanadium redox flow batteries. TGA analyses showed that the pristine graphite felt and carbon paper remained thermally stable to the temperatures up to $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .70 \%$ and $95 \%$ mass loss were observed on modified graphite felt and carbon paper, respectively. One may conclude that this mass loss supports the presence of functional groups containing oxygen on the electrode surface as a result of modification.


Figure 4. TGA curves of electrodes under air
The results of TGA analysis for graphite felt and carbon paper under oxygen atmosphere before and after modification are given in Fig. 4. Most of the mass loss is due to the combustion reaction of graphite felt and carbon paper in approximately $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. 5 shows the XPS surveys before and after graphite felt and GDL 10 AA are doped with $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72.



Figure 5. XPS surveys of 10AA and graphite felt
In addition, XPS data were used to fit a curve to get C1s and O1s spectra of all electrodes, which are presented in Fig. 6. Spectra are calibrated according to the binding energy of the carbon at 284.7 eV .






Figure 6. XPS curve-fit C1s and O1s spectra of electrodes, pristine GF (a and b) $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72 based GF (c and d), pristine SGL 10AA (e and f), $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72 based SGL 10AA (g and h)

The surface functional group contents of the samples are listed in Table 1 and Table 2. In Table 1, The O/C ratios of graphite felt and carbon paper were compared before and after modification. The O/C ratio was found as 0.15 and 0.17 for graphite felt and carbon paper, respectively, after modification. This result confirms the increase in functional groups containing oxygen on the electrode surface.

Table 1. XPS C1s and O1s spectra data

| Sample | C1s (\%) | O1s (\%) | O/C Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pristine GF | 95.30 | 4.70 | 0.05 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/GF | 87.25 | 12.75 | 0.15 |
| Pristine SGL 10AA | 98.60 | 2.40 | 0.02 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/SGL 10AA | 85.50 | 14.50 | 0.17 |

Table 2. Data of XPS functional groups

|  | C1s |  |  |  |  |  | O1s |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample | C=C | C-C | C-OH | C-O | C=O | -OH | H-O-H | Mn-O-Mn | Mn-O-H |
| Pristine GF | 55.20 | 27.00 | 17.80 | 26,70 | 53 |  | 20.03 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ +Vulcan XC-72/GF | 49.92 |  | 25.63 |  | 23.68 | 36.84 | 8.60 | 27.65 |  |
| Pristine SGL 10AA $^{\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \text { +Vulcan XC-72/SGL }}$ | 31.92 | 25.20 | 23.75 |  | 65.78 | 9.08 | 19.84 |  |  |
| 10 AA | 47.94 | 31.45 | 9.01 |  | 45.02 |  |  | 25.04 | 27.80 |

As can be seen from Fig.6, C1s peak positions and binding energies were attributed to C-C (284.7, 289.9 eV ), C=C (283.75, 284 eV ), C-OH ( $285.9,286.25 \mathrm{eV}$ ) functional groups [24]. When the O1s peak positions and binding energies were examined, C-O (533 eV), C=O (530, 530.8, 531.7, 532.3 eV$),-\mathrm{OH}(532.88 \mathrm{eV}), \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(534.3,534.4,535 \mathrm{eV})$, $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}(529.7 \mathrm{eV})$, Mn-O-H ( 530.76 eV ) functional groups were detected [25].

Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show the cyclic voltammograms of graphite felt and SGL 10AA carbon paper electrode before and after surface modification.


Figure 7. Cyclic voltammograms of graphite felt a scan rate of $5 \mathrm{mVs}^{-1}$ in $0,5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{VOSO}_{4}+0,5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution


Figure 8. Cyclic voltammograms of SGL 10AA a scan rate of $5 \mathrm{mVs}^{-1}$ in $0,5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{VOSO}_{4}+0,5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution

The data obtained from cyclic voltammograms are presented in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table 3. Parameters obtained from CV curves for graphite felt electrode

| Electrode sample | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P a}}(\mathbf{m A})$ | $\mathbf{E P a}_{\mathbf{P a}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P c}}(\mathbf{m A})$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P c}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P} /} / \mathbf{I P c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pristine GF | 36.40 | 1.22 | 27.51 | 0.50 | 1.32 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{GF}$ | 39.55 | 1.32 | 26.60 | 0.45 | 1.48 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/GF | 42.30 | 1.31 | 32.77 | 0.45 | 1.29 |

Table 4. Parameters obtained from CV curves for SGL 10AA

| Electrode sample | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P a}}(\mathbf{m A})$ | $\mathbf{E P a}_{\mathbf{P a}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P c}}(\mathbf{m A})$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P c}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P a}} / \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P c}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pristine Carbon Paper | 6.61 | 1.17 | 4.15 | 0.60 | 1.59 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/Carbon Paper | 7.97 | 1.17 | 3.45 | 0.72 | 2.31 |

Cyclic voltammograms have good characteristic symmetry. The high performance of electrodes containing $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72 is due to the active $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ electrocatalyst. When $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{GF}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72/GF were compared, it was determined that the best performance was obtained with $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+$ Vulcan XC-72 based graphite felt. This high performance is probably resulted from the homogenous distribution of the electrocatalyst by impregnating the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ electrocatalyst with Vulcan XC-72 into the graphite felt. Activation of Vulcan XC-72 carbon black caused $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ crystals to retain in a more stable form on the carbon surface. Electrocatalyst impregnation with surface modification facilitates the transfer of electrons in the graphite felt to enable oxidation at the cathode. In addition, by increasing the wettability of the graphite felt, the transfer of vanadium ions was facilitated, which increased the V (IV)/V (V) cathode electrocatalytic performance.

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ / Vulcan XC-72 composite was synthesized by using hydrothermal method. The performance of the synthesized composite was examined for $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{IV}) / \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ redox reaction. The XRD pattern shows that $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles were successfully dpoed into the electrode structure. XPS results indicate the presence of functional groups on the electrode surface and confirmed the increase in oxygen containing functional groups over the surface. Mass loss seen in TGA results was attributed to functional groups containing oxygen. When the catalytic performance of the electrodes was examined, electrodes prepared with $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72 composite exhibited higher performance than pristine electrodes. The $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ Vulcan XC-72 composite is a promising cathode catalyst for vanadium redox flow battery.
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| :---: | :---: |
| Received: September: 29.2019 <br> Reviewed: October: 21. 2019 <br> Accepted: November: 27. 2019 | Heavy metals are pollutants that are released into the atmosphere usually through industrial or traffic sources. Monitoring the heavy metal pollution is of great importance because, in addition to being carcinogenic in terms of their effects on human health, some are toxic even |
| Keywords: <br> Acer platanoides, <br> Biomonitor. <br> Heavy metal, <br> Organ, <br> Traffic. | in low concentrations. They also tend to bioaccumulate. Using the plants as biomonitors, it is vital to determine the appropriate and effective plant species and the organelles of the plants to monitor each heavy metal type for the most accurate calculations. In this study, changes of the concentrations of $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and Mn elements in Acer platanoides were determined depending on the organelle and traffic density. As a result of the study found out that the elements subject to the study differed significantly at least at a |
| Corresponding Author: *E-mail: mcetin@kastamonu.edu.tr | $95 \%$ confidence level on the organelle basis of the elements other than Ba and Mn. When the changes of the elements depending on the traffic density were separately evaluated, it was determined that Ba and Fe elements increased depending on the traffic density in all organs. |


|  | ÖZ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anahtar Kelimeler: <br> Acer platanoides, <br> Biomonitor, <br> Ağır metal, <br> Organ, <br> Trafik. | Ağır metaller, genellikle endüstriyel veya trafik kaynakları yoluyla atmosfere salınan |
|  | kirleticilerdir. Ağır metal kirliliğinin izlenmesi büyük önem taşımaktadır, çünkü insan |
|  | sağlğı üzerindeki etkileri açısından kanserojen olmasının yanı sıra, bazıları düşük |
|  | konsantrasyonlarda bile toksiktir. Ayrıca biyolojik olarak birikme eğilimindedirler. Bitkileri |
|  | biyo-monitörler olarak kullanmak, her bir ağır metal tipini en doğru hesaplamalar için |
|  | izlemek üzere uygun ve etkili bitki türlerini ve bitkilerin organellerini belirlemek çok |
|  | önemlidir. Bu çalı̧̧mada Acer platanoides lerinde $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Mg}$ ve Mn |
|  | elementlerinin konsantrasyonlarındaki değişiklikler organel ve trafik yoğunluğuna bağlı olarak belirlenmiştir. Çalışma sonucunda, araştrrmaya konu olan elementlerin Ba ve Mn |
|  | dışındaki elementlerin organel bazında en az $\% 95$ güven düzeyinde önemli ölçüde farklılık gösterdiği tespit edilmiştir. Trafík yoğunluğuna bağl elementlerin değişimleri ayrı ayrı |
|  | değerlendirildiğinde, tüm organlardaki trafik yoğunluğuna bağlı olarak Ba ve Fe elementlerinin arttığı belirlenmiştir. |

## 1. Introduction

Today, the most important problems of the world in general are the problems related to population growth. While the world population was only 717 million in 1750, it exceeded 6 billion in 2000 and is estimated to exceed 8 billion in 2025 [1]. In addition to the increase in the world population, the increasing number of the populations living in urban centers has created many challenges. This process ruins nature, pollutes the air, water and soil, and the destroys the ecological balance [2-12]. Air pollution is one of the most important problems of today [10-12]. In fact, it is stated that approximately 6.5 million people die every year from to air pollution related causes. Weather many considered quite clean by country Turkey on air pollution, even in 2016 it is stated that due to the 29 thousand people lost their lives [13].

Metals such as $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Cd}$, As and Pb have serious toxic effects on organisms even at low levels [14-16]. Although micronutrients such as $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Fe}$ and Ni are required for living organisms, including plants, they can also produce harmful effects at high concentrations. Studies show that almost all metals are toxic when taken over a certain amount [17-19]. Since heavy metals are so important to human health, the determination and monitoring of the concentration of heavy metals in the air is extremely important to determine risk zones and risk levels [20-26].

The change of heavy metal pollution in the atmosphere can be determined by direct and indirect methods. However, bioindicators are one of the most effective methods for detecting air pollution. In addition to being cheap and easy, this method can provide information on the effect of heavy metal concentration on the ecosystem [27,28].

Landscaping plants most exposed to air pollution are the best indicators of this pollution. The accumulation of heavy metal pollution caused by fossil fuels in various organs, especially in the regions where traffic is heavy, shows the progress of heavy metal concentration in air over time [5, 15, 21, 24]. Therefore, instead of direct detection of heavy metal pollution, bioindicators or biomonitorers are often used as indicators of pollution [21, 29-31]. In this study, it was aimed to determine the change of some heavy metal concentrations in Acer platanoides leaf seeds and branches grown in Kastamonu city center as in many regions of our country depending on plant organ, washing status and traffic density.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Materials

The study was conducted on samples collected from the city center of Kastamonu. Kastamonu city center was established in a valley as a general view, and the has densest traffic during the day. Samples were collected from regions where traffic density is high, lower and almost no traffic with no vehicles in a radius of at least 50 m .

Kastamonu city center is a region where 2 lanes in each direction pass through a 4 lane highway. The areas where the traffic is less dense are the areas outside the city center where the traffic is flowing along the main road. Taşköprü and İnebolu routes were determined as the areas with less traffic. There is a two-lane road in this region, the traffic is very smooth and the traffic density is quite low compared to the town center. In the absence of traffic, Kastamonu University campus area was selected and the points where no motorway was located at least 50 m near the campus area were selected and samples were collected from these areas. Leaf, seed and branch samples were collected from the same branch towards the end of the 2018 vegetation season, in late August bagged, labeled and takento the laboratory.

### 2.2 Methods

Leaves, branches and seeds in the laboratory were separated and grouped. Then, the branches were broken and crushed to dry more easily, and the seeds were crushed. The seeds were crushed with marble pieces and no metal tools were used. The prepared samples were placed in glass petri dishes and re-labeled. The samples prepared in this way were left to dry for 15 days and the laboratory was ventilated daily. The air-dry samples were put into the oven at 45 oC for one week to allow them to dry completely.

In the next step, the plant samples were milled and pulverized and 0.5 g weighed into tubes designed for microwave. 10 mL of $65 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ was added to the samples. During these processes, fume was worked in. The prepared samples were then burned for 20 minutes at 280 PSI and $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the microwave. The tubes were removed from the microwave after the completion of the treatments and allowed to cool. Deionized water was added to the cooled samples to 50 ml . The prepared samples were read on the ICP-OES device at appropriate wavelengths after filtration through filter paper.

The data obtained were analysed with the help of SPSS, Variance analysis and Duncon test was done in order determine to the means with statistical differences of at least a $95 \%$ confidence level and then to obtain homogeneous groups.

## 3. Ressults

### 3.1. Variation of heavy metal concentrations on organ basis

The variation of heavy metal concentrations on the basis of organs presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Variation of heavy metal concentrations on organ basis

|  | Leaf | Seed | Branch | $l$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ba | 18,367 | 11,533 | 15,122 | 1,800 | 0,187 |
| Al | $158,22 \mathrm{c}$ | $113,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $31,22 \mathrm{a}$ | 58,114 | 0,000 |
| B | $110,11 \mathrm{~b}$ | $42,44 \mathrm{a}$ | $48,44 \mathrm{a}$ | 8,391 | 0,002 |
| Ca | $2694,78 \mathrm{~b}$ | $1899,89 \mathrm{a}$ | $3831,89 \mathrm{c}$ | 13,104 | 0,000 |
| Fe | $411,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $326,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $133,44 \mathrm{a}$ | 11,812 | 0,000 |
| K | $9418,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $15303,00 \mathrm{c}$ | $5566,56 \mathrm{a}$ | 44,058 | 0,000 |
| Mg | $7420,78 \mathrm{~b}$ | $4453,56 \mathrm{a}$ | $8816,78 \mathrm{c}$ | 27,001 | 0,000 |
| Mn | 68,00 | 43,78 | 71,56 | 1,461 | 0,252 |

According to Table 1 was observed that only the change of Ba and Mn concentrations on organ basis was not statistically significant at least a $95 \%$ confidence level, the change of B concentration on organ basis was statistically significant at $99 \%$ and other elements at $99.9 \%$ confidence level. The highest concentrations were found in leaves in Al, B and Fe , in branches in Ca and Mg and in seeds in K .

### 3.2. Variation of heavy metal concentrations in leaves due to traffic density

Variance anlysis and Duncon test results for the variation of heavy metal concentrations due to traffic density was given in Table 2.

Table 2. Variation of heavy metal concentrations in leaves due to traffic density

|  | No traffic | Low Traffic | Dense Traffic | F Value | Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ba | $6,400 \mathrm{a}$ | $22,267 \mathrm{~b}$ | $26,433 \mathrm{~b}$ | 10,011 | 0,012 |
| Al | $137,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $124,67 \mathrm{a}$ | $213,00 \mathrm{c}$ | 3252,053 | 0,000 |
| B | $192,67 \mathrm{c}$ | $75,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $62,00 \mathrm{a}$ | 69636,5 | 0,000 |
| Ca | $3461,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $846,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $3776,67 \mathrm{c}$ | 12485,948 | 0,000 |
| Fe | $329,33 \mathrm{a}$ | $324,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $579,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | 8116,521 | 0,000 |
| K | $4791,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $12675,00 \mathrm{c}$ | $10790,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | 37389,221 | 0,000 |
| Mg | $8910,67 \mathrm{c}$ | $4454,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $8897,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $3,57 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 0,000 |
| Mn | $103,67 \mathrm{c}$ | $53,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $47,33 \mathrm{a}$ | 12988,5 | 0,000 |

As seen in Table 2, the change in traffic density of all elements in leaf samples is statistically significant at least a $95 \%$ confidence level. According to the average values and Duncan test results, Ba and Fe concentrations increase with traffic density and the change of concentrations of other elements was not related to traffic density.

### 3.3. Variation of heavy metal concentrations in seeds due to traffic density

Variance anlysis and Duncon test results for the variation of heavy metal concentrations due to traffic density was given in Table 3.

Table 3. Changes in heavy metal concentrations depending on traffic density in seeds

|  | No traffic | Low Traffic | Dense Traffic | F Value | Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ba | $8,900 \mathrm{a}$ | $11,967 \mathrm{~b}$ | $13,733 \mathrm{c}$ | 3229,800 | 0,000 |
| Al | $108,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $111,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $119,33 \mathrm{c}$ | 82,091 | 0,000 |
| B | $61,67 \mathrm{c}$ | $30,67 \mathrm{a}$ | $35,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | 3804,500 | 0,000 |
| Ca | $1887,33 \mathrm{a}$ | $1913,00 \mathrm{c}$ | $1899,33 \mathrm{~b}$ | 2226,500 | 0,000 |
| Fe | $218,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $222,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $538,00 \mathrm{c}$ | 33712,000 | 0,000 |
| K | $13700,67 \mathrm{a}$ | $15282,33 \mathrm{~b}$ | $16926,00 \mathrm{c}$ | 1539,500 | 0,000 |
| Mg | $4454,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $4453,33 \mathrm{a}$ | $4452,67 \mathrm{a}$ | 9,333 | 0,014 |
| Mn | $110,00 \mathrm{c}$ | $4,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $17,33 \mathrm{~b}$ | 90004,000 | 0,000 |

As considered Table 3 the change of all elements depending on traffic density was statistically significant at least a $95 \%$ confidence level in seed samples as in leaf samples. According to the average values and Duncan test results, the concentrations of $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{K}$ and Fe increase with the traffic density and the change of the concentration of other elements was not related to the traffic density.

### 3.4. Variation of heavy metal concentrations in branches due to traffic density

Variance anlysis and Duncon test results for the variation of heavy metal concentrations due to traffic density was given in Table 4.

Table 4. Variation of heavy metal concentrations in branches due to traffic density

|  | No traffic | Low Traffic | Dense Traffic | F | Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ba | $8,200 \mathrm{a}$ | $11,767 \mathrm{~b}$ | $25,400 \mathrm{c}$ | 22249,300 | 0,000 |
| Al | $28,33 \mathrm{~b}$ | $48,00 \mathrm{c}$ | $17,33 \mathrm{a}$ | 3258,500 | 0,000 |
| B | $41,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $75,33 \mathrm{c}$ | $29,00 \mathrm{a}$ | 15613,000 | 0,000 |
| Ca | $3818,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $3804,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $3873,00 \mathrm{c}$ | 3567,700 | 0,000 |
| Fe | $56,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $126,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $217,67 \mathrm{c}$ | 35469,800 | 0,000 |
| K | $5682,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | $5788,67 \mathrm{c}$ | $5229,00 \mathrm{a}$ | 467,391 | 0,000 |
| Mg | $8664,00 \mathrm{a}$ | $8926,33 \mathrm{c}$ | $8860,00 \mathrm{~b}$ | 1560,115 | 0,000 |
| Mn | $109,00 \mathrm{c}$ | $69,67 \mathrm{~b}$ | $36,00 \mathrm{a}$ | 9010,750 | 0,000 |

When Table 4 was examined, the change of all elements depending on traffic density was statistically significant at least a $95 \%$ confidence level in branch samples as in leaf and seed samples.

According to the average values and Duncan test results, Ba and Fe concentrations increased with traffic density and the change of concentrations of other elements was not related to traffic density.

## 4. Discussion

As a result of the study, it was determined that the concentrations of elements other than Ba and Mn elements on organ basis were statistically significant at least a $95 \%$ confidence level. This has been demonstrated in numerous studies to date. Mossi [32] stated that the concentrations of $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Cd}$ and Ca in the plants subject to the study were higher than those in the leaves, whereas Mn concentration was higher in the leaves than the branches. Sevik et al. [29] stated that the concentrations of heavy metals in organs were different based on species, for example, the highest Ni concentration was obtained in ornamental plum in seed, horse chestnut, linden and ash, and there was no statistically significant difference between organs in maple.

In studies related to heavy metals, the variation of heavy metal concentrations depending on the organ is often the subject of studies. Mossi [32] leaf and branch, Turkyilmaz et al., [23] bark and wood, Sevik et al., [30] leaf, seed and
branch, Elfantazi et al., [33,34] leaf and branch, Ozel [35] leaf, branch and fruit, Pinar [36] leaf, branch and seed, Akarsu [37] determined the differences between the inner shell, outer shell and wood organelles. In these studies, it has been shown that heavy metal concentrations variedy significantly on an organelle basis.

The exchange of heavy metals in relation to the organ is a complex and not yet fully understood mechanism that is shaped by the structure of the plant and organelle as well as the structure of the heavy metal, the environmental conditions and the mutual interaction between them, and the information on this issue is limited [14, 19, 38]. Heavy metals can enter the plant via root or leaf uptake, but it is very difficult to distinguish whether heavy metals in the plant's internal tissues are taken from the soil or atmosphere because both uptake pathways can work simultaneously [21, 39-41]. Therefore, it is difficult to determine the source of metal deposition particularly in the branches.

One of the most important results of the study was that Ba and Fe concentrations increase with traffic density in all organs. These elements can be very dangerous for human health. Ba (barium), if swallowed, brain, liver, kidney and heart damage and swelling can be seen, reduces nerve reflexes, breathing difficulties, high blood pressure, heart rhythm disorders, muscle weakness, stomach irritations and reflux, inflammation, tumors, constipation, swallowing difficulty, and even damage to paralysis and death [42]. Fe (Iron) is a very important element for the human body, although it is a toxic substance, it is harmful to the body if taken too much. Fe height; excessive fatigue, pain in the joints, pain in the abdominal region, diabetes, rhythm disturbance in the heart, heart attack, heart failure disease, cirrhosis and liver cancer known as liver disease, impotence, infertility, skin color change, menstrual irregularity, osteoarthritis and osteoporosis, hair, eyebrow and eyelash loss, bloating of the liver and spleen, hypothyroidism, high blood sugar, depression, adrenal function problems, neurodegenerative disease and high liver enzymes can be seen. As a result of iron overload due to the high level of iron from food or beverages, Fe can accumulate in internal organs and cause fatal damage to the brain and liver [43]. Therefore, increasing Ba and Fe depending on traffic density is extremely dangerous for human health.

Ba and Fe have been the subject of many studies because they are important for human health. Batır [44] found that the highest concentrations were obtained in all organs of apples in his study on eight different species. In general, the lowest Ba concentrations were obtained in fruits [44]. Saleh [45] stated that Fe concentration in 6 species increased with traffic density. Saleh [45] states that Fe concentration in the subject species varies between $7,860 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $40,573 \mathrm{ppm}$ in areas with low traffic and between $13,033 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $54,353 \mathrm{ppm}$ in areas with high traffic. Similarly, Mossi [32] found that Fe concentration increased due to traffic density, Fe concentration was 45.95 ppm in areas where there was no traffic, and 60.17 ppm in areas with less traffic and 97.91 ppm in areas with high traffic. Ba and Fe have been the subject of numerous other studies on heavy metals [46-48].

Heavy metals in air may accumulate in plant leaves by leaf transfer following precipitation of atmospheric particles on leaf surfaces. The potential for absorbing nutrients, water and metals from leaf parts of plants has long been recognized. However, information about the uptake of metal by plant leaves from the atmosphere is very limited [14].

There are many factors affecting the penetration and accumulation of heavy metals in the air. Studies conducted to date have shown that the diffusion of heavy metals in the atmosphere and the introduction of plants into the plant is a very complex mechanism [14, 32]. The heavy metal accumulation potential of the plants grown in the same environment as well as plant species and plant organ, organelle structure, physico-chemical properties of metals, organelle morphology and surface area, organelle surface texture and size, plant habitus, duration of exposure to heavy metal and amount of particulate matter [14-16, 40]. In addition, environmental conditions, especially air humidity and precipitation, also affect the influx of heavy metals into the plant significantly [14, 35].

In addition to these factors, there are also other factors likely to affect the concentration of heavy metals. For example, the growth performance of plants, for example, morphological, anatomical and phenotypic characteristics, emerges as a result of the interaction of genetic structure and environmental conditions [49,50] and it is known that each genetic structure can give different responses to the same environmental conditions [24-26, 31, 51]. For example, different clones of the same species were found to have different resistance to water and frost stress [21, 24-26, 52]. The components of these factors are therefore likely to affect the factors that influence the uptake of heavy metals in the plant. Because the studies show that many phenological, morphological and anatomical characters are significantly affected by these factors [30, 53-56].

Heavy metal uptake and accumulation in plants are closely related to plant metabolism [14, 29,30]. Therefore, the stress level of the plant that significantly affects plant metabolism [22,23,57], plant origin [53] and hormone applications [58-61] can be expected to affect heavy metal uptake and accumulation of plants.

As a result, the change in heavy metal concentration in plants is the result of a complex mechanism due to the interaction of many factors. However, this mechanism is not fully solved. Information on the uptake of heavy metals from above-ground organs is very limited [14,32]. Therefore, the studies on the subject should be diversified and increased.
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#### Abstract

\section*{ÖZ}

Gelişen teknoloji ile birlikte doğaya salınan endüstriyel atıklardaki artış, hem çevreye hem de insan sağlığına ciddi zarar vermektedir. Atık madde miktarı gün geçtikçe artmakta ve depolama alanları sınırlandırılmaktadır. Günümüzde inşaat sektöründe kullanılabilirlik ve geri kazanım için bazı atık maddeler kullanılmaktadır. Atıkların geri dönüşüm malzemesi olarak kullanılmasının, asfalt beton maliyetinin çoğunluğunu oluşturan bitüm içeriğine katkı olarak yol yapımında kullanıldığı bilinmektedir. Bu çalışmada, modifiye bitümün, atık içeren asfalt betonunun performans özelliklerine etkisi incelenmiştir. Bu malzemelerle modifiye edilmiş bitüm kullanılarak Marshall Tasarım ile örnekler alındı ve sonuçları değerlendirildi. Test sonuçları incelendiğinde; Modifiye örneklerin Marshall kuvvetlerinin az miktarda azaldığı gözlendi. Bununla birlikte, tüm numuneler gerekli standart koşulları sağlar. Bu şekilde, hem çevresel atık değerlendirilir hem de sürdürülebilir yaşam sağlanır.


## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, as a result of the widespread use of automobiles, millions of waste car tires that have long gone away in nature are emerging. Such a considerable amount of waste tires and the recycling methods of these materials have become a necessity to be used in different fields today. With the rapid increase of the world's population, the needs of people such as life, health food and shelter are increasing at the same rate in order to survive. With the increasing needs, the environment becomes polluted at the same speed. So much so that environmental pollution has become one of the most important problems of today. Considering all these problems, by evaluating the waste materials that create storage problems, especially in the coming years, it will contribute to the protection of the environment, which is thought to be
in danger and to reduce the production cost of the product. Pollution of the environment occurs in two ways. They are the natural paths, the waste of all living things except human beings and the direct waste of human beings. In natural pollution, nature can clean the pollution in a short time with the recycling mechanism. However, human origin; especially, pollution caused by industrial activities remains in the nature for a long time and causes negative effects on people. Recycling of construction and demolition wastes has become an area of increasing interest due to its benefits for the protection of the economic and natural environment. In many countries, the importance of the issue has been emphasized in researches on the protection of the natural environment. Researchers have carried out studies revealing the technical details of the subject. The use of recycled materials ensures both the protection of nature and the economic use of natural resources, and gives new generations environmental awareness. In many countries, strict legal regulations have been introduced for the implementation of the recycling of construction waste [1-19].

In recent years, efforts to increase the performance characteristics of roads made with asphalt concrete have gained speed. The cost of the bitumen material has increased the importance of the use of these ground tires as an additive in asphalt concrete. Besides, glass fiber types have been used as additive material in industrial areas since 1940s. They are known for their ability to increase physical properties such as tensile strength, bending strength, impact and stiffness [1]. The effects of waste tires on binder asphalt concrete with Marshall Test and creep performance tests. For the bitumen samples with penetration values of 50-70 and 70-100, the waste tires of different shape and particle sizes were added to the aggregate in concrete asphalt. It has been observed that the asphalt concrete produced using waste material has similar performance characteristics as the asphalt concrete produced under standard conditions [20, 21].

The effect of the modified bitumen car tire on the fatigue behavior of the modified bitumen by using the constant pressure test method and comparing the fatigue time of the sample samples. $5 \%$ of the car used in the tire additive 50 times the fatigue time for the bitumen penetration increased by 100 times the fatigue duration of bitumen modification was observed to increase 23 times [22].

One of the experimental design methods used in the method was to examine the condition of the car tires used as additive in Type- 2 asphalt concrete. The results of the experiment are as follows: waste rubber gradation sieve, mixture temperature $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and aggregate gradation grad, bitumen ratio $5.5 \%$, compression temperature $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and mixing time should be 15 minutes. The effects of 50-70 penetration bitumen on the performance characteristics of wear asphalt concrete, which have been used in certain proportions of waste rubber and glass fiber, were investigated. Samples were obtained with the traditional Marshall design. The void ratio, the void ratio, the practical specific gravity, and the Marshall strength as well as the void and aggregate filled void ratios were compared. Compliance with the necessary boundary conditions in the technical specifications is examined. In this way, it is aimed to evaluate both environmental wastes and sustainable life [1, 21-24].

## 2. Material and Method

The bituminous material utilized in street development comprises essentially of bitumen. Bitumen is a blend of hydrocarbons of regular starting point or a blend of pyrogenic (characteristic, heat-actuated) hydrocarbons, or a mix of both, more often than not in mix with non-metal subordinates, which might be as gas, fluid, semi-strong or solids. What's more, totally broke down in carbon disulfide. The bitumen can be characterized quickly as a mollified fastener, which is extraordinarily arranged for the quality and consistency of the bituminous coatings [25].

Asphalt, which is one of the most established designing materials, is a fastener which is found in the normal state or got amid the refining of unrefined petroleum, which has a solid restricting properties, which might be as strong, semistrong and fluid, which may change from dull dark colored to dark. Asphalts can be partitioned into two gatherings as characteristic asphalts and counterfeit (asphalt) asphalts [26].

Common asphalts are generally found in nature blended with mineral substances. To make it accessible, you have to experience various activities. Normal asphalts are made out of oil by the activity of topographical powers and are commonly blended with mineral totals. Characteristic asphalts are characterized into shake asphalt and lake asphalt [26,27].

Shake asphalt is made out of extremely permeable limestone and, all the more once in a while, asphalt retention of sandstone. The mineral substance typically comprises $90 \%$ of the material and the bitumen proportion is about $10 \%$. Shake asphalts are generally made out of mineral materials, for example, sand stone, limestone, dirt and blend of asphalt of $2 \sim 12 \%$ [28]. Lake asphalt is the most generally utilized and broadly known type of characteristic asphalt. It
is the asphalt sort of mineral material which is spread in bitumen medium as fine grains. It is found as surface stores and above all is Trinidad lake asphalt. The material in the lake is a generally amazing blend of a semi-strong bitumen and fine mineral total [29-31].

Fake asphalts are gotten by refining of unrefined petroleum. These asphalts are additionally called refineries asphalts. The unrefined petroleum from the oil wells goes to the refinery. Here it is released into tanks with siphons. The raw petroleum is then exchanged to the warming towers and afterward conveyed to the refining towers. The effectively unstable parts are expelled from the highest point of these towers and are moved in the coolers. They structure light distillates. The less unstable ones likewise structure medium distillates and the heaviest flies structure substantial distillates. The primary asphalt-containing buildup materials gather at the base of the pinnacle [31-33]. Further refining of lingering materials yields street oils with a class of moderate restoring, leaving the asphalt concrete back. By changing the conditions, asphalt concrete is acquired in the ideal infiltration [34].

Asphalt concretes utilized in street asphalts are oil root asphalt arranged for use in bituminous coatings as far as properties and consistency. Asphalt bond must be warmed so as to have the capacity to be utilized for use. When it chills off, it solidifies again and goes about as a folio. Asphalt bonds are ordered by their level of infiltration, which demonstrates consistency and range from 10 to 300 . As the level of entrance expands, the asphalt concrete diminishes and accordingly the coupling quality abatements. Asphalt bond and asphalt emulsions are the primary material [35]. The asphalt bond which is the essential material of asphalt concrete is gotten by blending a realized asphalt bond with a level of entrance. So as to utilize asphalt, shallow coatings and bituminous macaque, it is created to acquire a liquid asphalt which can be blended with total in virus state or at enough temperature to evaporate dampness in total surface. Other than being utilized as folios in the street, they are additionally utilized in preparing works. They are isolated into three gatherings as quick fix RC class, medium-speed fix MC class and moderate fix SC class. Also, in each class is isolated into classes as per their kinematic thickness esteems demonstrating their level of consistency. The higher the thickness of the asphalt, the more noteworthy the consistency of these numbers. For instance, MC 30 asphalt is a lot more slender and more liquid than MC-3000 [36-38]. As the asphalts are utilized out and about, just asphalt cement remains. This procedure is called restoring. The speedy restoring asphalts are acquired by blending an unstable dissolvable, for example, asphalt cement and fuel. They are utilized in virus atmospheres as they dry rapidly and in circumstances where the blend ought to be blended rapidly. In light of the quick combustibility, exceptionally cautious alert is required $[38,39]$. Medium speed relieving asphalts, asphalt cement and lamp fuel is gotten by blending a medium unpredictable dissolvable. Drying time is quicker [39, 40]. The moderate relieving asphalt are gotten by blending asphalt cement with a high breaking point oil or by direct refining of unrefined petroleum [40,41].

Asphalt emulsions are acquired by scattering a few micron distance across asphalt cement dots independently in water. Scattering of asphalt cement in water can be accomplished by blending. Be that as it may, the emulsion in this way acquired does not keep going long, and a little while later the asphalt dabs hold fast to one another and are isolated from the water. So as to avoid this circumstance, concoction added substances called emulsifiers are utilized. The emulsifier averts the asphalt dots from folding over them as a film. At the point when the asphalt emulsion is laid out and about, the emulsifier vanishes because of its assimilation by totals and residue in the street. This marvel is called cutting the emulsion. As indicated by these shear rates, asphalt emulsions are partitioned into three classes as quick cut, medium cut and moderate shear. These images show numbers and letters that demonstrate the thickness of the emulsion. It demonstrates that there is an emulsion cationic emulsion. A portion of the anionic emulsions seem to have high spinning qualities as estimated by the whirling test [41-44].

The terms anionic and cationic are identified with the electric charges around the bitumen grains. At the point when the two posts, the anode and the cathode are submerged in the fluid, the electric flow goes between the decidedly charged anode and the contrarily charged cathode. In the event that an electric flow is gone through an emulsion containing negative electric charged bitumen particles, the bitumen particles are conveyed in the anode and these emulsions are anionic. The emphatically charged bitumen particles are conveyed in the cathode and these emulsions are cationic. In non-ionic emulsions, bitumen particles are nonpartisan and are not conveyed to the two shafts [45].

Asphalt emulsions, surface coatings, groundwork applications, infiltration macadam coatings, ground adjustment and feeble totals are utilized in the impregnation procedure.

## 3. Result and Discussion

Bituminous blends are utilized as base and wearing courses in an asphalt structure to circulate stresses brought about by stacking and to shield fundamental unbound layers from the impacts of water. A bituminous blends have distinctive kinds of bothers like: exhaustion breaking, rutting, warm splitting, rubbing, and dampness weakness. Out of these rutting is the one that is destined to be an abrupt disappointment, rutting in an asphalt may happen because of poor plan of hot blend black-top. Different troubles are regularly long haul disappointments that appear following a couple of long periods of traffic. A portion of the components causing troubles in bituminous asphalts are high asphalt temperature, substantial hub loads, high tire weight and potentially insufficient fastener and blend particular. Execution of bituminous blends can be characterized by their capacity to oppose perpetual twisting, weariness splitting, dampness actuated harm, warm breaking, and the blend's general solidness. Total degree can influence all these and different properties, for example, slip opposition, field construct ability, and the black-top folio maturing attributes. Structuring a bituminous blend to address the issues of a specific clearing venture requires cautious determination of the total and bitumen to be utilized. A proper bitumen evaluation and substance must be chosen. A good total source and degree should likewise be picked to address the issues of the task. Every one of the four properties will influence the general execution of the bituminous blend. Bituminous blend is made out of roughly $95 \%$, by weight, or $80 \%$, by volume, mineral total. Accordingly it is vital to perceive how total degree can influence the major properties of bituminous blend [46].

The execution of a bituminous blend relies upon outer and inward conditions; the outside conditions being traffic load and natural and the inside conditions being properties of the materials, structure of the blend, plan of the blend, and procedure of the development. Bituminous blend comprises of bitumen folio, totals and air voids. The properties of a bituminous blend rely upon the nature of its segments, the development procedure, and the blend configuration extents [47].

Degree is characterized as the dissemination of molecule sizes communicated as a percent of the all out weight. On the off chance that the particular gravitates of the totals utilized are comparative, the degree in volume will be like the degree in weight. Degree is maybe the most vital property which influences practically all the vital properties of a bituminous blend, including firmness, solidness, toughness, penetrability, usefulness, weariness obstruction, frictional opposition, and protection from dampness harm [48].

Changeless disfigurement in bituminous asphalts, generally alluded to rutting, typically comprises of longitudinal sorrows in the wheel ways, which are an amassing of little measures of unrecoverable misshapen brought about by each heap application. Two instruments are engaged with the development of rutting: traffic intensification and material parallel development [49]. Intensification in a layer happens in the initial couple of summers in the wake of opening to traffic and the level of intensification relies upon the underlying compaction level. The sidelong development of material is identified with the shear obstruction of a bituminous blend material. The total precision and cover content are both significant in the blend shear property [50].

He rutting execution of a bituminous blend depends not just on the properties of the totals and fastener, yet in addition on how these materials cooperate in the blend. Rutting in bituminous blends is constrained by the qualities of the cover and totals and their connection. Rutting can be diminished by expanding the voids in the mineral total, building up least and most extreme air voids substance, restricting the measure of characteristic sand, setting up a base level of pulverized coarse and fine totals, utilizing stiffer cover, or by the utilization of coarser blend degrees. Total degree seemed to have more impact than total sort. He additionally inferred that the temperature powerlessness attributes of the black-top seem to have more impact at longer time of stacking [51].

Bitumen covers are visco-versatile materials whose protection from distortion under burden is extremely touchy to stacking time and temperature. The bitumen thickness straightforwardly influences the quality of bituminous cement in pressure (rutting) for the down to earth scope of temperatures. The log of asphalt opposition and of attachment differs legitimately with the log of black-top thickness [52].

Modulus of versatility in pressure was impacted by the sort of black-top, temperature and measure of horizontal control. The expansion in twisting is identified with the diminishing in fastener thickness at high temperatures $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, in this way prompting a lower interlock between the totals. The commitment of the total skeleton towards the conduct of the blend turns out to be increasingly noteworthy at higher temperatures [53].

## 4. Conclusion

Asphalt concrete is an all-around exorbitant composite material. Overall investigations are gone for decreasing this expense. Then again, ecological contamination is likewise a major issue. In this examination, the utilization of waste from ecological squanders in asphalt concrete was explored. The outcomes can be abridged as pursues; The Marshall quality of tests arranged with altered bitumen is lower than the control tests. Nonetheless, the adjusted and control tests give toughness, which is the specialized determination of the wear layer. Practical explicit loads are higher in charge than in different examples. This is because of the empty structure shaped by the waste tires in the examples. Glass fiber has preferred execution attributes over examples containing just waste elastic. Glass fiber admixtures utilized as dry blend gave preferable outcomes over wet blend tests. Bitumen adjustment of glass strands is very troublesome. Since, contingent upon the kinds of headers set in the bitumen changed blender, there were issues of amassing and tying. This demonstrates the glass filaments added substance utilized in various extents can't be precisely assessed. In any case, an increasingly homogenous circulation can be gotten in the dry blend. Another issue is that the bitumen acquired from bitumen alterations of $1 \%$ and $2 \%$ glass strands has been very thick because of the way that the glass filaments added substance has a parallel component in expanding the thickness of the bitumen by the waste tire. The rotational viscos meter of the bitumen altered utilizing $2 \%$ glass strands demonstrates that the usefulness of the bitumen is very troublesome contrasted with different kinds of bitumen. Thinking about these issues, it was chosen that $2 \%$ of the glass filaments added substances ought to be added dry to the blend.

The glass fiber builds the example qualities by shaping a skeletal structure in the example. Glass fiber tests were lower than those containing just waste elastic, bringing about a progressively practical asphalt concrete. Ecological waste is assessed by the utilization of waste elastic and glass fiber. Feasible condition and life are guaranteed.
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## ÖZ

## Anahtar Kelimeler:

LEA proteinleri, Ziziphus jujuba Mill., Genom çapında analiz, Biyoinformatik.

Bitkilerin normal büyüme ve gelişme süreçlerinde görev alan LEA proteinleri, özellikle tuz stresi ve kuraklık gibi abiyotik stresler karşısında da koruyucu rol üstlenmektedir. Bu zamana kadar birçok bitkide LEA gen ailesi tanımlanmış olmasına karşın, hünnap (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.) genomunda LEA kodlayan genlerin karakterizasyonuna dair kapsamlı bir çalışma yapılmamıştır. Rhamnaceae ailesinin en bilinen üyesi olan hünnap (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.), Türkiye'de yetiştirildiği gibi dünyada da özellikle subtropik ve tropik bölgelerde dağılım göstermektedir. Yüksek miktarda C vitamini ve şeker içeren hünnap; antikanser ve anti-inflamatuvar etkileri ile ekonomik ve biyolojik öneme sahiptir. Bu çalışmada biyoinformatik araçlar kullanılarak hünnap genomunda $L E A$ genlerinin detaylı olarak tanımlanması ve karakterizasyonu amaçlanmıştır. Çalışma sonuçları, literature LEA gen ailesi ile ilgili kapsamlı bilgi sağlamakla birlikte hünnap LEA proteinleri ile ilgili fonksiyonel araştırmalara ön bilgi sunmaktadır.

## 1. Introduction

Plants always interact with the external environment and they do not have the ability to avoid like other living things, so they have to adapt to unsuitable environmental conditions. Stress is a situation that arises from the environmental conditions change so much that the normal growth and development of a plant is influenced negatively. Plants compete against many stress factors throughout their lifespan [1]. They adapt to environmental changes such as cold, salinity, drought, hormone applications, heavy metals, by regulating the expression of different stress genes such as various transcription factors, chaperones, osmotic preservatives, free radical scavengers [2]. LEA proteins that were
initially discovered in cottonseed by the high accumulation of embryogenesis in the ripening period are one of the families of proteins regulated under abiotic stress conditions. LEA proteins, first identified in cotton, were then discovered in seed, seedling and stem of many plants such as wheat, corn, rice, potato, apple, vine, tomato, soybean, carrot and Arabidopsis [3].

LEA proteins, which are involved in the normal plant development, are induced in conditions such as desiccation and ABA stress, thus conserving the plant from adverse conditions [4, 5]. RNA-seq analysis in Prunus mume (Chinese plum) showed that the 30 PmLEA genes were expressed in varying proportions in the flower, root, stem, leaf and fruit of the plant, and 22 of these genes were induced in high amounts in the flower of the plant. RNA-seq analysis in Chinese plum showed that the 30 PmLEA genes were expressed in variable rates in the flower, root, stem, leaf and fruit of the plant and 22 of these genes were stimulated in high amounts in the flower of the plant. In addition, RT-PCR performed in the same plant after ABA application, 19 PmLEA genes were detected to be up-regulated under ABA stress [6]. 26 MeLEA genes were identified in cassava plant (Manihot esculenta Crantz) after it was subjected to drought, salt, osmotic pressure, cold, ABA and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ stress factors. Especially, 9 of 26 MeLEA genes took part in stress response and signaling [7]. In addition to these studies, LEA proteins protected the enzymes from aggregation and inactivation under stress conditions such as LDH, fumarase, citrate synthase, malate dehydrogenase [8].

Although there are different opinions on the classification of LEA proteins, based on conserved motif sequences, it is possible to classify as LEA (1-6), Dehydrin and Seed Maturation Protein (SMP). According to biochemical structure of LEA proteins, whereas Ala, Ser and Gly amino acids are situated in high quantity in the structure of highly hydrophilic LEA proteins; Cys and Trp amino acids are present in small amounts [8, 9]. Jujube (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.) is a bramble fruit tree that grows mainly in the subtropical and tropical regions and also America, Europe and Australia, especially in South and East Asia. In Turkey, jujube especially is grown in Mediterranean and Aegean Region also is found in the Central Anatolia and Marmara Region [10, 11]. Jujube, the most economically valuable member of the Rhamnaceae family, contains high vitamin C and sugar content and the cultivation sites are becoming widespread all over the world. Jujube also can grow in nutritionally poor soil and arid areas also can tolerate drought and salinity [12]. Jujube demonstrates neuroprotective activities, antioxidative, anti-inflammatory and anticancer activity in terms of its contents as triterpenic acid active substances, polysaccharide and flavonoid [13].

Liu et al. (2014) declared its whole genome sequence and in 2016, its chloroplast genome sequence was also completed. Jujube was found to contain 32000 genes [14]. However, no studies have been fulfilled to identify and characterize jujube genes other than MAPKK gene family [15]. In the present study, it was aimed to identify the ZjuLEA gene family and LEA proteins by revealing gene structure, conserved motifs, chromosomal distributions etc. in jujube by using bioinformatics tools.

## 2. Material and Method

## Determination of Lea Genes in Jujube Genome

In order to ascertain LEA genes in jujube genome, firstly LEAP database was used to obtain LEA protein sequences (http://forge.info.univ-angers.fr/~gh/Leadb/index.php, PMID: 20359361). Secondly, jujube genome sequence was retrieved from NCBI database. Afterwards BLASTP search was run to detect homologous LEA protein sequences in jujube genome. Predicted ZjuLEA proteins were confirmed by analyzing conserved regions with Pfam database (https://pfam.xfam.org). Expasy PROTPARAM (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/) database was used to identify certain biochemical properties such as amino acid length, molecular weight, and theoretical isoelectric point of the proteins.

## Phylogenetic Analysis and Identification of Conserved Motifs

Aligned amino acid sequences using ClustalW were utilized to conduct a phylogenetic tree with MEGA7 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis) software. Genetic relationships were deduced from maximum likelihood method with 1000 bootstrap value. This tree was regulated in Interactive Tree of Life (ITOL) database [16] in order to determine the classes formed in the phylogenetic tree constructed. For detection of the conserved motifs of ZjuLEA proteins, MEME Suit online tool was used (http://meme-suite.org).

## Chromosome and Exon-Intron Localizations of ZjuLEA Genes

Estimated positions of ZjuLEA genes were determined with PHYTOZOME12 database (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). Exon-intron organizations of ZjuLEAs were enlightened via comparing coding sequences (CDS) with genomic sequences through Online Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS) tool (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn).

## Gene Ontology Analysis and Homology Modelling of ZjuLEA Proteins

The Blast2GO program [17] ) is a bioinformatics program that applies functional analogy, has loaded amino acid sequences of ZjuLEA proteins. Functional analysis of ZjuLEA proteins in terms of possible biological roles, cellular localization and molecular processes were provided with Blast2GO program (https://www.blast2go.com). Estimated three-dimensional structure of ZjuLEA proteins were anticipated with online protein structure prediction server Phyre2 (Protein Homology/ analogY Recognition Engine V 2.0).

## Determination of ZjuLEA Gene Targetting miRNAs

In order to find out miRNAs targeting ZjuLEA transcripts, miRBase v21 (http://www.mirbase.org/) and psRNATarget (Plant Small RNA Target Analysis Server) were utilized (http://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/).

## Orthologous Relationships of ZjuLEA Proteins

Amino acid sequences from Arabidopsis thaliana, banana (Musa acuminate), orange (Citrus sinensis) and peach (Prunus persica) were used for comparison of peptide sequences of ZjuLEA proteins with BlastP in Phytozome database. CLC Genomic Workbench was utilized to analyze duplication assessment of ZjuLEA proteins.

## Synonymous and Non-synonymous Change Rates

ZjuLEA protein sequences and orthologs in peach, banana, orange and Arabidopsis were aligned using ClustalOmega online tool. PAL2NAL online tool was utilized to calculate homologous (Ks) and non-homologous (Ka) change rates via alignment of amino acid sequences of orthologous pairs and their respective cDNA sequences. Time (million years ago, Mya) of duplication and divergence of each $L E A$ genes were calculated with the equation $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Ks} / 2 \mathrm{k}$ $\left(\mathrm{k}=6.5 \times 10^{-9}\right)$ [18].

## 2. Result and Discussion

## Determination of Lea Genes in Jujube Genome

According to Blastp and Pfam analysis, a total of 93 LEA genes (ZjuLEAI-93) were identified in jujube genome. LEA genes diversely distributed across various taxonomic groups. 79 LEA genes in cucumber, 74 StLEA genes in potato, 68 SbLEA in sorghum, 60 VvLEA in grape, 33 in tea plant and 27 members in tomato were reported in previous studies [18-23]. Although number of LEA genes shows great variety in plants, it seems that allopolyploids tend to have higher numbers. For example, in the study of cotton Magwanga et al. identified 242 LEA genes in G. hirsutum, 142 in G. raimondii, 136 in G. arboretum. Similarly, Liu et al. reported 121 LEA genes in wheat and Liang et al. confirmed 108 members in canola [24-26]. On the contrary, aquatic plants Physcomitrella patens and Lotus japonicus have only 18 and 19 LEAs, respectively suggesting terrestrial plants subjected to drought stress seem to have more LEAs than the aquatics [20,27-28]. Generally, similar number and distribution of $L E A$ genes are expected between close phylogenetic relationship and group classifications. However, being both members of Rosales, Chinese plum (Prunus mите) and jujube have distinct gene numbers as 30 and 93 LEAs, respectively. Evolutionary variations of the whole genomes and extensive changes in the environment could have contributed to this occurrence.

Amino acid length of ZjuLEA proteins varied between 89-515 amino acids in length. Molecular weight of the proteins ranged from 4.14982 kDa to 29.12380 kDa . Isoelectric points ( pI ) of ZjuLEA proteins changing from 4.72 to10.81 and most of the proteins (78 proteins) showed alkaline character (Supplementary Table S1 in appendix). Consistent with our results Brachypodium distachyon, cucumber and tomato LEA proteins also showed basic character [18, 29-30].

## Phylogenetic Analysis and Identification of Conserved Motifs

According to conserved domain analysis, ZjuLEA genes classified into 6 main subfamilies namely ZjuLEA1, ZjuLEA2, ZjuLEA3, ZjuLEA4, ZjuLEA5 (Small hydrophilic plant seed protein), ZjuLEA6 (Late embryogenesis abundant protein 18). ZjuLEA2 was the largest family with 60 members while ZjuLEA4 and ZjuLEA6 subfamilies contained only one gene each. Consistent with our results, LEA6 subfamily is the smallest group in various plant species like grape, wheat [21,25]. In their work, with 60 genomes of diverse plant species Artur et al. stated LEA6 group as the smallest subfamily with 89 identified genes while LEA2 subfamily as the most abundant with 3126 genes [31]. Group 2 LEA proteins was found to accumulate in several plant tissues not only during normal growth conditions but also with desiccation stress [8]. Several previous studies on tea plant, grape, sorghum, potato, cucumber, cotton also reported LEA2 genes as predominant group as well. Segmental and tandem duplications and transpositional events could be the main reasons of expansion of LEA2 genes among higher plants [21, 31].

To understand the evolutionary relationships of 93 ZjuLEA proteins, a phylogenetic tree was created using maximum likelihood method with 1000 bootstrap value. Based on the phylogenetic tree, ZjuLEAs divided into six main clusters (Cluster1-6) (Figure 1). Although distributed to all of the clusters dominantly, ZjuLEA2 genes mostly located in Cluster-2. The only members of LEA4 and LEA6 subfamilies placed in Cluster-6 and Cluster-5, respectively. Also, all members of the LEA5 subfamily (ZjuLEA-24, ZjuLEA-55 and ZjuLEA-56) located in Cluster-4.


Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of jujube LEA gene family. The proteins were divided into six distinct clusters: Cluster 1red, Cluster 2-blue, Cluster 3-orange, Cluster 4-dark green, Cluster 5-grey, Cluster 6-light green colored

## Chromosome and Exon-Intron Localizations of ZjuLEA Genes

In order to understand LEA subfamilies and phylogenetic tree profoundly, conserved motif compositions and exonintron structure found in ZjuLEA proteins were examined. 37 of $Z j u L E A$ genes had no introns whereas the other genes had one or two introns except ZjuLEA8 which was the only gene with 3 introns (Figure 2). Major part of intronless genes were accumulated in ZjuLEA2 subgroup. Members of ZjuLEA1, ZjuLEA3, ZjuLEA5 subfamilies had only one intron region. Stress related genes reported to have smaller number of introns so as to respond immediately under stress conditions. Consistent with our results, Chinese plum, tomato and potato had one or two introns. All members of LEA5 subfamily (ZjuLEA24, ZjuLEA55 and ZjuLEA56) localized in 4th cluster, supporting the phenomena that closely related LEAs had similar exon-intron feature [22, 24].


Figure 2. Exon-intron structure of $Z j u L E A$ genes

On the other side, LEA2 group members were predominant in all clusters of phylogenetic tree. Distribution of same group members between different clusters could be explained by significant motif composition differences. A total of twenty motifs predicted for ZjuLEA proteins (Table 1). Similar motif organization was observed between all LEA3 proteins sharing motif 1 , motif 2 and motif 9 but located in different clusters of the phylogenetic tree. Some members (ZjuLEA02, ZjuLEA15, ZjuLEA20, ZjuLEA28, ZjuLEA63, ZjuLEA66, ZjuLEA68, ZjuLEA69, ZjuLEA93) of LEA2 proteins included motif 3, 4, 6 and 12 while some members (ZjuLEA01, ZjuLEA06, ZjuLEA25, ZjuLEA40, ZjuLEA53, ZjuLEA54, ZjuLEA58, ZjuLEA59, ZjuLEA76, ZjuLEA86, ZjuLEA89, ZjuLEA90) shared motif 3, 13, 14. However, motif 3 was the common conserved sequence present in all LEA2 proteins. Diverse motif patterns in the same group suggesting functional variations between the proteins $[26,32]$.

Table 1. 30 different motifs identified in ZjuLEA proteins

| Motif No. | Sites | $E$-value | Amino acid sequence composition of the motif | Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motif 1 | 13 | 2.4e-480 | GSGRNVVKKSGEEIVGSTEKVSWTPDPVTGYYRPENGAQEIDVAELRAML | 50 |
| Motif 2 | 12 | 5.4e-231 | MARSFSNAKLLSALVVDGFSTAISRRGYA | 29 |
| Motif 3 | 51 | 4.0e-169 | IJWLVLRPKKPKFTV | 15 |
| Motif 4 | 35 | 1.8e-150 | ARNPNKKIGIYYDRL | 15 |
| Motif 5 | 4 | 7.6e-103 | DYEVWLCDVSIGGAELLKSTQINKNGITYIDVPITFRPKDFGSALWDMMR | 50 |
| Motif 6 | 4 | 2.0e-094 | EHENDKDKEKGGFIEKVKDFIHDIGEKIEEAIGFGKPTADVTAIHIPSIN | 50 |
| Motif 7 | 4 | 2.7e-093 | RLTLPVEKTGEIPIPYKPDIDIEKIKFEQFSFEETVAVLHLKLENKNDFD | 50 |
| Motif 8 | 7 | $1.4 \mathrm{e}-122$ | IDINYLIESDGRKLVSGLIPDAGTIHAHGEETVKIPVSLIYDDIKNTYDD | 50 |
| Motif 9 | 12 | 2.2e-092 | ASQGVVSSVARGGAG | 15 |
| Motif 10 | 19 | $3.0 \mathrm{e}-062$ | RSCCCCCTCWL | 11 |
| Motif 11 | 34 | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-113$ | LPPFYQGHKNTTVLSVVLGGQ | 21 |
| Motif 12 | 23 | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-088$ | TGVVPJDLKLLGRVRWKVGTW | 21 |
| Motif 13 | 15 | 3.2e-122 | VKVKNPNFGSFKYDNSTVSFSYRGSVVGZVRIQKGKAKAR | 40 |
| Motif 14 | 15 | 4.2e-106 | DLSSGVLTLNSNTKMTGKVKLJGIIKKKSAEMBCTISINV | 41 |
| Motif 15 | 7 | 6.8e-095 | GHIDVDTPFGAMKLPISKEGGTTRLKKKKEDGGDDDDDDED | 41 |
| Motif 16 | 3 | 4.6e-069 | WIGTSWCWSENAMDNARERADIAAGNAKLRAQETMQDARENTNSWTDWAF | 50 |
| Motif 17 | 6 | 2.9e-059 | AITYGEDKEATAYNEGKKPVEZSDAAAIZAGEPRDTGNYEFAPGGASKAA | 50 |
| Motif 18 | 3 | 1.4e-049 | EEAKQKISIGSDNTEEAKVPMSEAIDFGIEKASNAYDEAKRKFNQASNMA | 50 |
| Motif 19 | 4 | 5.8e-044 | YGVYVKCDVLVGIKKGVLGQVPLLGSPGC | 29 |
| Motif 20 | 4 | 6.7e-039 | ENFYIGEGSDFTGVPTDMJSMNASVKLTFRNPATFFGIHVSSTPJDLSYS | 50 |

ZjuLEA genes unevenly spread through all twelve chromosomes of jujube. Chromosome 1, which is the largest chromosome of jujube genome, included the highest content of genes with the number of thirteen [14]. Twelve genes located on Chromosome 12 and both Chromosome 2 and 8 had 10 ZjuLEA genes each. On the other side, Chromosome

7 and 10 had only one member ZjuLEA38 and ZjuLEA51, respectively. In addition, a total of 22 ZjuLEA genes could not place on any chromosome and indicated on scaffold base (Figure 3).


Figure 3. Chromosomal distribution of $L E A$ genes in jujube

## Gene Ontology Analysis and Homology Modelling of ZjuLEA Proteins

The cellular component, biological process and molecular function of ZjuLEA genes analysis were performed using the Blast2GO program. The ZjuLEA proteins mainly play part in response to stimulus and also in single-organism process, signaling, cellular process, regulation of biological process and biological regulation. LEA proteins especially overexpresses in stress conditions and mostly have role in protecting the organism. Altunoglu et al. [18] analyzed the LEA proteins in cucumber and they declared that these proteins mostly have role in response stimulus. LEA proteins of cotton exposed to drought stress was also investigated and they are mostly responsible for response stimulus. In addition, they have announced that most of them got signal transducer activity [24]. Almost all ZjuLEA proteins had signal transducer activity and some of them possessed catalytic activity. The cellular components of LEA genes of cotton [24] and Vitis vinifera [21] were exhibited in membrane and membrane parts. When investigated cellular components of ZjuLEA proteins, it was declared that most of them are distributed in the membrane and membrane parts. Also they appeared in cell part, cell, cell junction, symplast and organelle (Figure 4).


Figure 4. Gene ontology analysis of ZjuLEA proteins

## Determination of ZjuLEA Gene Targetting miRNAs

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) which are approximately 21-24 base length are noncoding RNA molecules taking important part in regulation of gene expression in eukaryotes and viruses [33]. miRNAs are in interaction with mRNA that cause degradation and repression of translation [34]. When analyzed miRNAs targeting to ZjuLEA genes, the most targeted ZjuLEA genes by miRNAs are respectively ZjuLEA-88 (33 miRNAs), ZjuLEA-29 (31 miRNAs), ZjuLEA-28 (30 miRNAs) and ZjuLEA-6 (26 miRNAs) (Figure 5). In addition, ZjuLEA-24, ZjuLEA-38, ZjuLEA-55, ZjuLEA-57, ZjuLEA-61 and ZjuLEA-64 genes was not targeted any miRNAs (Supplementary Table S2 in appendix). One of the most preserved miRNAs in plants during evolutionary process is miR156. miR156 and miR157 extremely similar and highly conserved in plants [35]. Kavas et al. [36] studied that the SBP genes of potato commonly targeted by miR156 and miR157. HSP70 genes of common bean targeted by twenty-four miRNAs and one of them is miR156 [37]. In the present study, majority of ZjuLEA genes were targeted by miR156 (58 genes) and miR157 (24 genes).


Figure 5. The most targeted ZjuLEA genes and their targeting miRNAs

## Orthologous Relationships of ZjuLEA Proteins

Estimated three-dimensional structures of ZjuLEA proteins which aligns Hidden-Markov models search were done by selecting the intensive mode in the Phyre2 database. As a result of modeling ZjuLEA proteins, it was seen that $\alpha$ helix structure dominated in ZjuLEA proteins (Figure 6). Despite both $\alpha$-helix and $\beta$-sheets structure of LEA proteins are created during slow-drying, $\alpha$-helix are only created during rapid-drying [38, 39]. LEA proteins are composed of a facultative and non-periodic linear $\alpha$-helix which built the main hydrophobic interaction between monomers without thermodynamically dominant state [25]. $\alpha$-helical structure was reported to be the dominant form under dry state of FTIR analysis in Typha latifolia, soybean, pea, a nematode and a rotifer [40]. According to the molecular function of ZjuLEA proteins, the $\alpha$-helix structure correlates with the role of ZjuLEA proteins.


Figure 6. Predicted three-dimensional structure of LEA proteins

## Synonymous and Non-synonymous Change Rates

To evaluate the timing of gene duplication results in genome, in addition to divergence of orthologues, nonsynonymous ( Ka ) versus the synonymous ( Ks ) substitution rate $(\mathrm{Ka} / \mathrm{Ks}$ ) was calculated for 54 tandem duplicated ZjuLEA genes to assess evolutionary distribution of ZjuLEA gene family. Substitution rates estimated for orthologous genes of ZjuLEA from orange (Citrus sinensis), banana (Musa accuminata), peach (Prunus persica) and Arabidopsis
thaliana (Supplementary Table S3 in appendix). Mean average of $\mathrm{Ka} / \mathrm{Ks}$ ratios between jujube and Arabidopsis, banana, orange and peach were $0.06,1.11,0.09$ and 0.12 , respectively. When the divergence times between ZjuLEA and genes from other fruit species were analyzed, the latest separated genes from ZjuLEA were peach genes with mean of 84 MYA (million years ago). The average divergence time of jujube from orange, Arabidopsis and banana was 90, 162 and 262 MYA, respectively (Figure 7). In addition, the most ortologous genes were determined between jujube and peach LEA genes. According to divergence time and ortologous genes of jujube and other plants, jujube and peach may be closer than other plants. Segmental and tandem duplications make possible gene family enlargement [23, 41]. Genome wide studies of $L E A$ genes exhibited that 22 genes in tomato [30], eight genes in potato [42], eight genes in purple false brome [29], 17 genes in Chinese plum [6], 56 genes in cucumber [18] and 42 genes in Arabidopsis [40] appeared segmental and tandem duplication. These duplications can be dedicated to $L E A$ gene family extension between various plants.


Figure 7. Estimation of gene duplications and divergence times (MYA) of ZjuLEA genes with orthologous LEA gene pairs between Z. jujube, Prunus persica (peach), Musa accuminata (banana), Citrus sinensis (orange) and Arabidopsis thaliana

As a result, a total of 93 ZjuLEA genes were identified and characterized in terms of phylogenetic analysis, estimation of 3D structure, miRNA identification and duplication events. These results can be used for identification of LEA genes in other organisms and functional characterization for agronomical traits in future studies.
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## Appendix

Supplementary Table S1. Catalog of ZjuLEAs genes.

| ID | Domain | NCBI Accession No. | Physical position on Ziziphus jujuba genome |  |  | Protein length (aa) | Molecular weight (Da) | pl | Instability index | Stable or unstable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Chromosome | Start position (bp) | End Position (bp) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZjuLEA-01 | LEA_2 | XP_015883598.1 | Chr1 | 13,803,284 | 13,803,865 | 193 | 21460,93 | 8,67 | 26,23 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-02 | LEA_2 | XP_015884343.1 | Chr1 | 14,115,965 | 14,116,889 | 225 | 25390,02 | 9,54 | 38,48 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-03 | LEA_2 | XP_015883649.1 | Chr1 | 14,119,099 | 14,199,668 | 189 | 21505,05 | 9,86 | 32,89 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-04 | LEA_1 | XP_015895645.1 | Chr1 | 30,737,488 | 30,738,425 | 105 | 11396,14 | 9,30 | 30,05 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-05 | Root cap | XP_015895968.1 | Chr1 | 31,107,215 | 31,108,620 | 344 | 38130,23 | 7,83 | 34,88 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-06 | LEA_2 | XP_015902379.1 | Chr1 | 36,661,028 | 36,663,912 | 251 | 28119,34 | 9,68 | 41,28 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-07 | LEA_2 | XP_015902388.1 | Chr1 | 36,661,028 | 36,663,912 | 235 | 26444,30 | 9,39 | 41,82 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-08 | LEA_2 | XP_015867106.1 | Chr1 | 39,272,239 | 39,276,043 | 477 | 43200,00 | 10,04 | 69,51 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-09 | LEA_2 | XP_015867462.1 | Chr1 | 39,467,779 | 39,470,188 | 217 | 24398,16 | 7,72 | 48,54 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-10 | LEA_2 | XP_015867988.1 | Chr1 | 39,921,650 | 39,925,112 | 318 | 35360,27 | 4,72 | 21,29 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-11 | LEA_2 | XP_015867996.1 | Chr1 | 39,921,650 | 39,925,112 | 318 | 35360,27 | 4,72 | 21,29 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-12 | LEA_2 | XP_015868000.1 | Chr1 | 39,921,650 | 39,925,112 | 318 | 35360,27 | 4,72 | 21,29 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-13 | LEA_2 | XP_015867981.1 | Chr1 | 39,921,650 | 39,925,112 | 318 | 35360,27 | 4,72 | 21,29 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-14 | LEA_2 | XP_015872567.1 | Chr2 | 343,256 | 345,848 | 253 | 27580,43 | 10,53 | 49,23 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-15 | LEA_2 | XP_015873001.1 | Chr2 | 337,886 | 338,65 | 215 | 23913,84 | 9,59 | 32,41 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-16 | LEA_2 | XP_015873376.1 | Chr2 | 2,716,331 | 2,718,509 | 275 | 30353,98 | 9,40 | 53.99 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-17 | LEA_2 | XP_015873377.1 | Chr2 | 2,716,331 | 2,718,519 | 275 | 30353,98 | 9,04 | 53.98 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-18 | UD | XP_015873480.1 | Chr2 | 3,794,354 | 3,795,016 | 220 | 24426,92 | 9,16 | 57,72 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-19 | UD | XP_015873626.1 | Chr2 | 5,630,277 | 5,631,128 | 197 | 22881,57 | 9,79 | 49,76 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-20 | LEA_2 | XP_015873634.1 | Chr2 | 5,640,567 | 5,641,520 | 199 | 22816,54 | 9,42 | 38,74 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-21 | Root cap | XP_015874391.1 | Chr2 | 14,129,616 | 14,130,853 | 382 | 41380,89 | 8,48 | 12,96 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-22 | LEA_2 | XP_015874632.1 | Chr2 | 18,035,416 | 18,036,360 | 182 | 19846,74 | 5,85 | 29,00 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-23 | LEA_2 | XP_015875454.1 | Chr2 | 23,776,051 | 23,778,885 | 289 | 29826,80 | 5,10 | 34,01 | stable |


| ZjuLEA-24 | SMP | XP_015877411.1 | Chr3 | 17,110,931 | 17,111,748 | 93 | 10012,93 | 6,13 | 27,33 | stable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-25 | LEA_2 | XP_015878291.1 | Chr3 | 24,498,287 | 24,498,937 | 216 | 23655,41 | 9,71 | 32.88 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-26 | LEA_2 | XP_015878292.1 | Chr3 | 24,500,565 | 24,501,323 | 219 | 24453,40 | 9,52 | 53,07 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-27 | LEA_2 | XP_015878308.1 | Chr3 | 25,504,871 | 24,505,816 | 195 | 21499,24 | 10,79 | 27,75 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-28 | LEA_2 | XP_015878616.1 | Chr3 | 26,268,493 | 26,269,876 | 210 | 23399,16 | 9,68 | 33,21 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-29 | LEA_2 | XP_015879734.1 | Chr4 | 7,802,571 | 7,803,783 | 261 | 28724,79 | 9,79 | 47,13 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-30 | LEA_2 | XP_015879927.1 | Chr4 | 8,881,687 | 8,882,944 | 264 | 29014,69 | 9,65 | 48,63 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-31 | LEA_2 | XP_015880534.1 | Chr4 | 15,484,146 | 15,485,565 | 246 | 27531,54 | 9,09 | 46,70 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-32 | LEA_2 | XP_015881075.1 | Chr4 | 21,177,722 | 21,178,279 | 185 | 20787,64 | 9,47 | 23,22 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-33 | LEA_2 | XP_015882906.1 | Chr5 | 14,231,262 | 14,232,352 | 251 | 27717,63 | 9,76 | 35,89 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-34 | LEA_2 | XP_015883846.1 | Chr5 | 29,576,091 | 29,577,480 | 251 | 27689,68 | 9,82 | 37,45 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-35 | LEA_2 | XP_015884283.1 | Chr6 | 1,841,515 | 1,843,369 | 321 | 35486,67 | 10,03 | 62,89 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-36 | LEA_2 | XP_015884414.1 | Chr6 | 2,839,148 | 2,840,407 | 269 | 29399,90 | 9,95 | 29,51 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-37 | LEA_2 | XP_015885407.1 | Chr6 | 9,811,092 | 9,812,096 | 256 | 28849,30 | 9,48 | 39,13 | stbale |
| ZjuLEA-38 | SMP | XP_015888177.1 | Chr7 | 22,191,905 | 22,193,143 | 252 | 25797,56 | 4,76 | 36,97 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-39 | LEA_2 | XP_015888818.1 | Chr8 | 613,837 | 615,892 | 248 | 27867,81 | 10,81 | 45,07 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-40 | LEA_2 | XP_015888819.1 | Chr8 | 820,289 | 821,417 | 220 | 24511,92 | 9,92 | 38,83 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-41 | LEA_2 | XP_015888908.1 | Chr8 | 1,526,917 | 1,529,582 | 241 | 26924,63 | 10,22 | 47,69 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-42 | LEA_2 | XP_015888909.1 | Chr8 | 1,526,917 | 1,529,582 | 241 | 26924,63 | 10,22 | 47,69 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-43 | LEA_2 | XP_015888911.1 | Chr8 | 1,526,917 | 1,529,582 | 203 | 22212,08 | 9,98 | 40,89 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-44 | LEA_2 | XP_015888912.1 | Chr8 | 1,526,917 | 1,529,582 | 203 | 22212,08 | 9,98 | 40,89 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-45 | LEA_2 | XP_015889834.1 | Chr8 | 6,769,735 | 6,771,377 | 319 | 35204,16 | 10,06 | 56,79 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-46 | UD | XP_015890004.1 | Chr8 | 7,855,860 | 7,858,074 | 379 | 41861,19 | 5,06 | 29,45 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-47 | UD | XP_015890005.1 | Chr8 | 7,855,859 | 7,858,073 | 376 | 4149,82 | 5,11 | 28,86 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-48 | UD | XP_015890006.1 | Chr8 | 7,855,859 | 7,858,073 | 363 | 40194,37 | 5,09 | 26,17 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-49 | SMP | XP_015891517.1 | Chr9 | 1,713,945 | 1,715,293 | 260 | 26791,51 | 4,99 | 30,49 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-50 | UD | XP_015891973.1 | Chr9 | 3,941,549 | 3,943,558 | 213 | 23681,82 | 9,79 | 42,51 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-51 | LEA_2 | XP_015894546.1 | Chr10 | 4,494,298 | 4,496,240 | 338 | 38414,09 | 10,32 | 57,77 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-52 | LEA_2 | XP_015896074.1 | Chr11 | 801,828 | 803,002 | 226 | 23629.44 | 9,64 | 42,54 | stable |


| ZjuLEA-53 | LEA_2 | XP_015896527.1 | Chr11 | 4,190,247 | 4,191,497 | 218 | 24217,21 | 9,32 | 36,73 | stable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-54 | LEA_2 | XP_015896529.1 | Chr11 | 4,185,916 | 4,186,850 | 210 | 23735,71 | 10,27 | 40,13 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-55 | LEA_5 | XP_015897237.1 | Crh11 | 13,796,194 | 13,797,221 | 113 | 12419,55 | 6,31 | 51,06 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-56 | LEA_5 | XP_015897238.1 | Crh11 | 13,799,892 | 13,800,595 | 93 | 10349,41 | 6,92 | 41,95 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-57 | UD | XP_015897483.1 | Chr11 | 15,623,977 | 15,624,743 | 201 | 22395,21 | 9,21 | 32,45 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-58 | LEA_2 | XP_015897772.1 | Chr11 | 18,430,724 | 18,432,205 | 201 | 21448,91 | 9,75 | 34,73 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-59 | LEA_2 | XP_015897785.1 | Chr11 | 18,440,052 | 18,441,831 | 209 | 22946,51 | 9,95 | 30,20 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-60 | LEA_2 | XP_015898307.1 | Chr12 | 2,914,810 | 2,916,737 | 264 | 29491,83 | 9,75 | 48,03 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-61 | LEA_2 | XP_015898332.1 | Chr12 | 3,213,226 | 3,215,156 | 210 | 23649,38 | 8,79 | 43,87 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-62 | LEA_4 | XP_015898588.1 | Chr12 | 4,276,914 | 4,276,766 | 515 | 56357,80 | 5,97 | 20,71 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-63 | LEA_2 | XP_015898720.1 | Chr12 | 5,347,957 | 5,348,598 | 213 | 24084,20 | 9,82 | 33,51 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-64 | LEA_2 | XP_015898846.1 | Chr12 | 5,890,140 | 5,891,156 | 229 | 25656,04 | 9,80 | 24,07 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-65 | LEA_2 | XP_015898847.1 | Chr12 | 5,882,530 | 5,883,566 | 226 | 25489,68 | 9,64 | 32.78 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-66 | LEA_3 | XP_015898848.1 | Chr12 | 5,878,305 | 5,879,570 | 215 | 24457,35 | 9,98 | 31,54 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-67 | LEA_2 | XP_015898851.1 | Chr12 | 5,892,852 | 5,893,687 | 228 | 25619,85 | 9,67 | 27,42 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-68 | LEA_2 | XP_015898858.1 | Chr12 | 5,866,601 | 5,873,228 | 249 | 27763,80 | 9,51 | 45,00 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-69 | LEA_2 | XP_015898859.1 | Chr12 | 5,866,601 | 5,873,228 | 249 | 27763,80 | 9,51 | 45,00 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-70 | LEA_1 | XP_015898949.1 | Chr12 | 6,825,759 | 6,827,127 | 193 | 19646,19 | 9,10 | 15.86 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-71 | LEA_3 | XP_015899882.1 | Chr12 | 17,537,001 | 17,537,628 | 101 | 10735,18 | 9,39 | 47,97 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-72 | LEA_3 | XP_015870974.1 | NW | 425 | 1,37 | 253 | 27427,17 | 10,27 | 22,24 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-73 | LEA_2 | XP_015872420.1 | NW | 734 | 1,924 | 254 | 29123.07 | 10,02 | 35,10 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-74 | LEA_3 | XP_015870897.1 | NW | 781 | 1,768 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-75 | LEA_3 | XP_015872231.1 | NW | 986 | 1,496 | 103 | 10811,12 | 9,63 | 33,09 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-76 | LEA_2 | XP_015866337.1 | NW | 1,663 | 2,265 | 200 | 22079,81 | 9,81 | 27.84 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-77 | LEA_3 | XP_015870749.1 | NW | 1,758 | 2,745 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-78 | LEA_3 | XP_015869267.1 | NW | 1,769 | 2,769 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-79 | LEA_3 | XP_015870734.1 | NW | 5,818 | 6,819 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-80 | LEA_3 | XP_015870652.1 | NW | 6,055 | 7,05 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-81 | LEA_6 | XP_015870785.1 | NW | 6,177 | 7,172 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |


| ZjuLEA-82 | LEA_3 | XP_015870582.1 | NW | 6,44 | 7,435 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-83 | LEA_3 | XP_015870569.1 | NW | 6,443 | 7,438 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-84 | LEA_3 | XP_015868932.1 | NW | 8,513 | 9,512 | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-85 | LEA_3 | XP_015868536.1 | NW | 19 K | 20 K | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-86 | LEA_2 | XP_015867337.1 | NW | 22 K | 22 K | 200 | 22128,87 | 9,77 | 20,01 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-87 | LEA_3 | XP_015869198.1 | NW | 23 K | 24 K | 99 | 10332,66 | 9,69 | 34,03 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-88 | UD | XP_015867416.1 | NW | 37 K | 39 K | 477 | 52808,53 | 9,14 | 38,33 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-89 | UD | XP_015867339.1 | NW | 45 K | 46 K | 182 | 20181,53 | 9,77 | 36,07 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-90 | UD | XP_015867340.1 | NW | 49K | 49 K | 182 | 20223,53 | 9,78 | 31,90 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-91 | LEA_1 | XP_015865991.1 | NW | 64 k | 65 k | 117 | 12951,86 | 9,50 | 36,70 | stable |
| ZjuLEA-92 | LEA_6 | XP_015901635.1 | NW | 170 K | 171 K | 89 | 9697,56 | 5,31 | 48,74 | unstable |
| ZjuLEA-93 | LEA_2 | XP_015900439.1 | NW | 480 K | 481 K | 215 | 24457,35 | 9,98 | 31,54 | stable |

UD: undefined
SMP: seed maturation protein
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Supplementary Table S2. Targeted ZjuLEA genes and their targeting miRNAs.

| miRNA_Acc. | Target_Acc. | Exp. | UPE | miRNA_aligned_fragment | Target_aligned_fragment | Inhibition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR $837-5 p$ | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AAAAAAAGAAGAAGAGAGGGAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | AAAAAAGCAAAAGAAGCUGAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR777 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UACGCAUUGAGUUUCGUUGCUU | AAACGGUGAGACUUGAUUUGUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4243 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAAUUGUAGAUUUCGUAC | AAACUCGAUCUGUAAUUUAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-3p | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAAAAACUGAUGG | AAAUUAAUUCGUUGUUUGUUU | Translation |
| ath-miR399c-5p | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GGGCAUCUUUCUAUUGGCAGG | AACGGCAAUGGGAAGAUGACU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8165 | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAUGGAGGCAAGUGUGAAGGA | AACUCCACGGUUGCCUUCAGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR413 | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAGUUUCUCUUGUUCUGCAC | AAGAAGAACAAGAAAAACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-70 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | AAGAGUAUUGAAAAGAGGGGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR158a-5p | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUUUGUCUACAAUUUUGGAAA | AAGCCAAAGUUGAAGAAAAGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-69 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | AAGCUCAAAUCACUUUUCUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR859 | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCUCUGUUGUGAAGUCAAA | AAGGAUUAUACAACGGAGAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR773b-3p | ZjuLEA-37 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGAUUCCAGCUUUUGUCUC | AAGUUGAAAACUGGAAGCAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR420 | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAACUAAUCACGGAAAUGCA | AAUAUUUUGGUGGUUAUUUUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR163 | ZjuLEA-51 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAGAGGACUUGGAACUUCGAU | AAUCAUCCUUGAAGUUCUCUUCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156g | ZjuLEA-34 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AAUCUCAUUUUCUUCUGAUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5648-3p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCUGAAGAAAAUAGCGGCAU | AAUCUUUUAUUUUUUUUGGGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR822-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUGCAAAUGCUUUCUACAGG | AAUGUGAGAAGCGUGUGCAUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR779.2 | ZjuLEA-45 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAUUGGAAAUUUCGUUGACU | AAUUAUCAAAAUUAUCAAUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | ACAAGA-AAAACAAAGGCUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | ACAAGA-AAAACAAAGGCUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR399b | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGCCAAAGGAGAGUUGCCCUG | ACAAGAAGCUCUUCUUUGGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR399c-3p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGCCAAAGGAGAGUUGCCCUG | ACAAGAAGCUCUUCUUUGGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR858b | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUUG | ACAGCUCGUACGGACGACGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5653 | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGUUGAGUUGAGUUGAGUUGGC | ACAGGCUCAUAUCAACUCAAGCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5997 | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAAACCAAGUAGCUAAAUAG | ACAUUUAUUUAUUUGUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-17 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | ACCCUGCUGUUGCUGCUGCCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5014a-5p | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACACUUAGUUUUGUACAACAU | ACCUUCCAGAAGACUAAGUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-45 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | ACGUCACGUCCACACCCCUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR826b | ZjuLEA-54 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUUUUGGACACGUGAAAAU | AGAAUCCCGUAUUCAAAACCA | Translation |


| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-46 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | AGACGAUGGAGGUAGGGAUGA | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-13 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | AGAGCA--CGAGAAUGACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-5p | ZjuLEA-11 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGCAAAUGCGGAUAUCAAUGU | AGAUUUAUUUAUGCAUUUGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR5657 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGACAAGGUUAGAUUUGGUG | AGCAAGAUUUGGCUUUGUUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR408-5p | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGGGAACAAGCAGAGCAUG | AGCCUUCUGCUUUUUCCCUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-68 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAU | AGCUCAAAUCACUUUUCUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-3p | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAAAAACUGAUGG | AGCUUGGUUUCUUGUUCUUUU | Translation |
| ath-miR156h | ZjuLEA-92 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | AGGCUAAUUUUUUUUUGUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | AGGGGAUGAUCAUGAAUAUGG | Translation |
| ath-miR5997 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAAACCAAGUAGCUAAAUAG | AGGUGUAGCUAAUUGGUAUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR837-3p | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAAAAACUGAUGG | AGGUUUGGUUUUUGUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR825 | ZjuLEA-71 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUCAAGAAGGUGCAUGAAC | AGUUUUCUUUCUUCUUGAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR857 | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUGUAUGUUGAAGGUGUAU | AUACAGUUUUGAUAUAUAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | AUACUAUGGCUCCUCCUACCA | Translation |
| ath-miR156a-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156b-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156c-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156d-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156e | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156f-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156g | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR157d | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | AUAUCUUCUUCUUGUUAUUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR843 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUAGGUCGAGCUUCAUUGGA | AUCAAAGGGACUCCACCUAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR163 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAGAGGACUUGGAACUUCGAU | AUCCGAGUUCCCGGUUUCCUUCAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5663-3p | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAUGCAAAUCCUUAGCU | AUCUAUGGCUUUGUAUUAUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | AUCUCACUCCCCCAUUUUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5019 | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUGGGAAAGAAAAACUCUU | AUGAUUUAUUUAUUCUCAAUA | Translation |
| ath-miR829-3p. 1 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGCUCUGAUACCAAAUGAUGGAAU | AUGGCAU-GUUUGGGAUUGGAGCU | Translation |
| ath-miR868-5p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUGUCGUAAUAGUAGUCAC | AUGGCUUCUAUUUCGUCAUGG | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5656 | ZjuLEA-19 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACUGAAGUAGAGAUUGGGUUU | AUGUUCUAUUUCUAUUUUAGA | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AUGUUGACGAGAAGUGAUUGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR415 | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACAGAGCAGAAACAGAACAU | AUGUUUUCCAUUUGCUCUGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-3p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUUCCUCAAAUUUAUCCAA | AUUCAUACUUUUGAGGAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5665 | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGUGGACAAGAUCUGGGAU | AUUGCAGAUCUUGUGCAUGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR780.1 | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUAGCAGCUGUUGAGCAGGU | AUUUGUGCAGGAGCUGCUGGG | Translation |
| ath-miR870-3p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAUUUGGUGUUUCUUCGAUC | AUUUUAUGAAAAGCCAAGUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR5641 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAAGAUGAUAGAAUUA | AUUUUCUUUUCUCUUCUUUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR5022 | ZjuLEA-03 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GUCAUG-GGGUAUGAUCGAAUG | AUUUUGAUUAUAUUCACAUGAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5628 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAAUAGCGAAGAUAUGAUUA | CAAACAUAUCUUUGAUAAUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5628 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAAUAGCGAAGAUAUGAUUA | CAAACAUAUCUUUGAUAAUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | CAACCUUGUGAAGAAAACGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAAGAGCAAGAC-CAAAUUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAAGAGCAAGAC-CAAAUUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5015 | ZjuLEA-67 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGUGUUAUGUGUAGUCUUC | CAAGGUUAAAUGUGACGUCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5663-3p | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAUGCAAAUCCUUAGCU | CAAUAGGGACUUGAAUUUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR823 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGUGGUGAUCAUAUAAGAU | CACUAAUAUGAGUACUACUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAGAAAAGAAAUACAAACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAGAAAAGAAAUACAAACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | CAGAGACGCUUCGCUUAAUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR157a-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CAGCCGAGAAGGCUAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CAGCCGAGAAGGCUAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR858a | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUU | CAGCUCGUACGGACGACGCAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5642a | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCGCGCUUGUACGGCUUU | CAGGCGGUGUAGGUGCGACA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5642b | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCGCGCUUGUACGGCUUU | CAGGCGGUGUAGGUGCGACA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-46 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR417 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAGGUAGUGAAUUUGUUCGA | CAUAUCACAUUCACUAUCUAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5662 | ZjuLEA-66 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGGUGACCAUUGGAGAUG | CAUCUCCGGUCGUUACCAUU | Translation |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | CAUUUUCCUUUUUCUUUGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-20 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | CCAAGCAAACAAGAAGCAAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5640 | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGGAAUUAGAUUCA | CCAAUUCAGGUUCUUCUCUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4245 | ZjuLEA-37 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAAAGUUUUAUACUGACAAU | CCAGUGAGAAUGAAACUUUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | CCAUUACUCCUCCACCACCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5020c | ZjuLEA-72 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCAUGGAAGAAGGUGAGAC | CCCUUAACUCUUUCCUUGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR398a-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGGAGUGGCAUGUGAACACA | CCGGUUUGCCUGUUGCUCCUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395a | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395d | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395e | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5658 | ZjuLEA-16 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAAA | CCUCGUCGUCGUCUUCGUCGG | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5017-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUAUACCAAAUUAAUAGCAAA | CCUUCUAGUUGUUUGGUGUAG | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | CCUUUCUCUUCUCUUCUCUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR869.1 | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUUCAAUUCUGGUGUUG | CGAGACC-GAAUUGGGUCAGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR417 | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAGGUAGUGAAUU--UGUUCGA | CGGAACACCAGUUCAUCACCUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5654-3p | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAUGCUUUGGGAUUUAUU | CGGCAAUUUCAGAUCCUCUUCCG | Translation |
| ath-miR $837-3 \mathrm{p}$ | ZjuLEA-54 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAA-AAACUGAUGG | CUAAUAGUUUGUUUGUUUGUUU | Translation |
| ath-miR774b-5p | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAUGAAGAUAUGGGUGAU | CUCACCCACAUUUGCAUUUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR167c-3p | ZjuLEA-23 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAGGUCAUGCUGGUAGUUUCACC | CUCGAAGCUACCGCCAUGACCGC | Translation |
| ath-miR172b-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172b-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172e-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172e-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR846-5p | ZjuLEA-01 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CAUUCAAGGACUUCUAUUCAG | CUGAUGAGAAUUUCUUGGGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-63 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | CUGCCACCCUUGCACCUCCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | CUGCCUCUGCUACAGCUUCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157a-3p | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCU-AGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CUGGACAGAAGGCUCAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-3p | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCU-AGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CUGGACAGAAGGCUCAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | CUUGAAGUAGCUUGAGCAUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | CUUGAAGUAGCUUGAGCAUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR397b | ZjuLEA-45 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAUCGUUGAUG | CUUGAAUGGUGCACCCAAUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR405a | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAGUUGGGUCUAACCCAUAACU | CUUGAUGGGGUAGAGCUGGCUCAC | Translation |
| ath-miR405b | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAGUUGGGUCUAACCCAUAACU | CUUGAUGGGGUAGAGCUGGCUCAC | Translation |
| ath-miR405d | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAGUUGGGUCUAACCCAUAACU | CUUGAUGGGGUAGAGCUGGCUCAC | Translation |
| ath-miR5014a-3p | ZjuLEA-68 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGUACAAAUUUAAGUGUACG | CUUGCACAUAAAUUUUUCUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5014a-3p | ZjuLEA-69 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGUACAAAUUUAAGUGUACG | CUUGCACAUAAAUUUUUCUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5663-3p | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAUGCAAAUCCUUAGCU | AUCUAUGGCUUUGUAUUAUUA | Cleavage |


| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | AUCUCACUCCCCCAUUUUAA | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR5019 | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUGGGAAAGAAAAACUCUU | AUGAUUUAUUUAUUCUCAAUA | Translation |
| ath-miR829-3p. 1 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGCUCUGAUACCAAAUGAUGGAAU | AUGGCAU-GUUUGGGAUUGGAGCU | Translation |
| ath-miR868-5p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUGUCGUAAUAGUAGUCAC | AUGGCUUCUAUUUCGUCAUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5656 | ZjuLEA-19 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACUGAAGUAGAGAUUGGGUUU | AUGUUCUAUUUCUAUUUUAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AUGUUGACGAGAAGUGAUUGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR415 | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACAGAGCAGAAACAGAACAU | AUGUUUUCCAUUUGCUCUGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-3p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUUCCUCAAAUUUAUCCAA | AUUCAUACUUUUGAGGAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-3p | ZjuLEA-34 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUUCCUCAAAUUUAUCCAA | AUUCAUACUUUUGAGGAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5665 | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGUGGACAAGAUCUGGGAU | AUUGCAGAUCUUGUGCAUGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR780.1 | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUAGCAGCUGUUGAGCAGGU | AUUUGUGCAGGAGCUGCUGGG | Translation |
| ath-miR870-3p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAUUUGGUGUUUCUUCGAUC | AUUUUAUGAAAAGCCAAGUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR5641 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAAGAUGAUAGAAUUA | AUUUUCUUUUCUCUUCUUUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR5022 | ZjuLEA-03 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GUCAUG-GGGUAUGAUCGAAUG | AUUUUGAUUAUAUUCACAUGAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5628 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAAUAGCGAAGAUAUGAUUA | CAAACAUAUCUUUGAUAAUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5628 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAAUAGCGAAGAUAUGAUUA | CAAACAUAUCUUUGAUAAUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR5654-3p | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAUGCUUUGGGAUUUAUU | CAACAUUCCCAAAGUACUUUCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | CAACCUUGUGAAGAAAACGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAAGAGCAAGAC-CAAAUUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAAGAGCAAGAC-CAAAUUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5015 | ZjuLEA-67 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGUGUUAUGUGUAGUCUUC | CAAGGUUAAAUGUGACGUCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5663-3p | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAUGCAAAUCCUUAGCU | CAAUAGGGACUUGAAUUUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR823 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGUGGUGAUCAUAUAAGAU | CACUAAUAUGAGUACUACUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAGAAAAGAAAUACAAACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAGAAAAGAAAUACAAACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | CAGAGACGCUUCGCUUAAUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR157a-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CAGCCGAGAAGGCUAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CAGCCGAGAAGGCUAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR858a | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUU | CAGCUCGUACGGACGACGCAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5642a | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCGCGCUUGUACGGCUUU | CAGGCGGUGUAGGUGCGACA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5642b | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCGCGCUUGUACGGCUUU | CAGGCGGUGUAGGUGCGACA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-46 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-47 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-48 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR417 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAGGUAGUGAAUUUGUUCGA | CAUAUCACAUUCACUAUCUAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5662 | ZjuLEA-66 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGGUGACCAUUGGAGAUG | CAUCUCCGGUCGUUACCAUU | Translation |
| ath-miR5662 | ZjuLEA-93 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGGUGACCAUUGGAGAUG | CAUCUCCGGUCGUUACCAUU | Translation |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | CAUUUUCCUUUUUCUUUGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-20 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | CCAAGCAAACAAGAAGCAAAU | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5640 | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGGAAUUAGAUUCA | CCAAUUCAGGUUCUUCUCUCU | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR4245 | ZjuLEA-37 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAAAGUUUUAUACUGACAAU | CCAGUGAGAAUGAAACUUUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | CCAUUACUCCUCCACCACCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5020c | ZjuLEA-72 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCAUGGAAGAAGGUGAGAC | CCCUUAACUCUUUCCUUGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR398a-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGGAGUGGCAUGUGAACACA | CCGGUUUGCCUGUUGCUCCUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395a | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395d | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395e | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5658 | ZjuLEA-16 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAAA | CCUCGUCGUCGUCUUCGUCGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5017-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUAUACCAAAUUAAUAGCAAA | CCUUCUAGUUGUUUGGUGUAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | CCUUUCUCUUCUCUUCUCUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-72 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | CCUUUCUUUUCUUUUCUCUUC | Translation |
| ath-miR401 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGAAACUGGUGUCGACCGACA | CUUUGGUUGGUACCGGUUUGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR403-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUUUGUGCUUGAAUCUAAUU | GAAAAGAUUAAAACACAGAGCA | Translation |
| ath-miR840-3p | ZjuLEA-19 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGUUUAGGUCCCUUAGUUUC | GAAACGUGGGGGUCUAAACCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR420 | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAACUAAUCACGGAAAUGCA | GAAAUUUUUGUGAUGAGUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5655 | ZjuLEA-01 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGUAGACACAUAAGAAGGAG | GAAUUUCUUGGGUGACUACUU | Translation |
| ath-miR869.2 | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUGGUGUUGAGAUAGUUGAC | GACAGCGAGUUCAGCACCGGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5655 | ZjuLEA-02 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGUAGACACAUAAGAAGGAG | GACCACCAUAUGUUUUUACUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-3p | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUUUAUAUCCGCAUUUGCGCA | GACGCGGCUGUGGAUAUCGGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8171 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAGGUGGGCCAGUGGUAGGA | GACUGCUACUAGUCCACUCAU | Translation |
| ath-miR773b-3p | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGAUUCCAGCUUU-UGUCUC | GAGAAACAAGGUUGGAAUCAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-71 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | GAGAAACUAAAGGCAAGCUGC | Translation |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-71 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | GAGAAACUAAAGGCAAGCUGC | Translation |
| ath-miR838 | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCUUCUACUUCUUGCACA | GAGGCAA-AAGCAGAAGAGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR841a-3p | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUCUAGUGGGUCGUAUUCA | GAGGUGAGAGCCACUGGGAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR866-5p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAAGGAACGGAUUUUGUUAA | GAUACAAUAUUUUUUUCUUGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932a | ZjuLEA-89 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GAUCAUUGUUAUCGCAAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932b-3p | ZjuLEA-90 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GAUCAUUGUUAUCGCAAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR868-3p | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUUCUUAAGUGCUGAUAAUGC | GCAACACCAGUACCUAAGAAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | GCAACGCUGCUUCUGCUGCUG | Translation |
| ath-miR5012 | ZjuLEA-52 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUACUGCUACUUGUGUUCC | GCAAUCUAAGUGGUAAUAAAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5027 | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACCGGUUGGAACUUGCCUUAA | GCAAUGCCAGUUUUAGGCGGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5636 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGUAGUUGCAGAGCUUGACGG | GCGUCAAUGGCUGCAGCUGCG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645a | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645b | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645d | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645e | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645f | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR407 | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUAAAUCAUAUACUUUUGGU | GCUAAUAGUGUAAGAGUUAAA | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5022 | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GUCAUGGGGUAUGAUCGAAUG | GCUUGGAUCAUAUUACAUGUC | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR773a | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGCUUCCAGCUUUUGUCUC | GGAAAGGAAGCAGGAAGCAGA | Translation |
| ath-miR162a-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGGCAGCGGUUCAUCGAUC | GGAAGGUGGACCUCUGCCUUUG | Translation |
| ath-miR173-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCGCUUGCAGAGAGAAAUCAC | GGAAUUUUGCUUUGCAUGUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-17 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | GGACGAUAACGGUGAAGGUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8166 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGAGUGUAGAAAGUUUCUCA | GGAGCAGCCGUCUACGUUCUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-69 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | GGCCAAAUAGACCCAGCUUAU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167a | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167b | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167c | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167d | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167e | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167f | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR3932a | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GGUCAUUGUUAUCACAGCUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932b-3p | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GGUCAUUGUUAUCACAGCUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR863-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAGAGCAACAAGACAUAAU | GUAAUGAUUUGUUGUUUCCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5024-5p | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGACAAGGCCAAGAUAUAACA | GUACAUAUCUUCUUCUUGUUAU | Translation |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | GUACCAUGGCCAGUGCUGCCA | Translation |
| ath-miR5631 | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCAGGAAAGACAUAAUUUU | GUAGUUUUGUUCUUCUUGCCU | Translation |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | GUAUUGAUUUUAUUUUUUUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | GUCUCUUCCUCCUCUUCUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR171a-3p | ZjuLEA-23 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAUUGAGCCGCGCCAAUAUC | GUGGCCGCCGCGGCUCAAUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | GUUAAGAUUCCAAAGAGGAGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR5020a | ZjuLEA-70 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAAGGUGAGACUUGCA | GUUAGGUUUCGUCGUUUUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5017-3p | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUAUACCAAAUUAAUAGCAAA | GUUGUUAUUA-UUUGGUAGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR172d-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAACAUCUUCAAGAUUCAGA | GUUUAAUUUUGAGAGUGUUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5648-5p | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGGAAAUAUUUGGCUUGACU | GUUUCAGCCGAAUAUUUUCAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | GUUUCUUGUUCUUUUACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156j | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAC | GUUUUCUCUCUCUUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR858b | ZjuLEA-56 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUUG | UAAAGGAGGACAGACAAGGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR857 | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUGUAUGUUGAAGGUGUAU | UAACGACUUUAACGAGCAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR447a-3p | ZjuLEA-32 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGGGACGAGAUGUUUUGUUG | UAAUAAAACUUUUCGUCCUGGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR447b | ZjuLEA-32 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGGGACGAGAUGUUUUGUUG | UAAUAAAACUUUUCGUCCUGGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | UACAAUGUGCAAGAAGCUGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5023 | ZjuLEA-11 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUAGUGGAUAAGGGGGC | UACACUUUAUCUGCUCCUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | UAGAUGAGAGAGAGAGAGGGGGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156h | ZjuLEA-52 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | UAGUUUUUUUUUUUUUGGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4245 | ZjuLEA-51 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAAAGUUUUAUACUGACAAU | UAUUUUUGUAUAAAAAUUUGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR172d-5p | ZjuLEA-25 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAACAUCUUCAAGAUUCAGA | UCAAAAUCUUGAAGGUGUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR842 | ZjuLEA-26 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUGGUCAGAUCCGUCAUCC | UCAUGGUGGUUCUGAUCGUAA | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5648-3p | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCUGAAGAAAAUAGCGGCAU | UCCUCCUUAUUUUCUUUGGAA | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR396b-3p | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCAAGAAAGCUGUGGGAAA | UCGAUCCCAGCUUUCUUCAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8121 | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAAGUAUAAUGGUUUAGUGGUUUG | UCGUAAAAUUAACCAUUUUAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR778 | ZjuLEA-41 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCUUGGUUUAUGUACACCG | UCUCCUACAGGAACCAAGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157d | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157a-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157c-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR171b-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUCACG | UCUGCUACUGGUGCUGCUCGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR171c-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUCACG | UCUGCUACUGGUGCUGCUCGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | UCUUAGCGUUGCAUUUGAAGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | UGAAAGGAAUAAGAGAAUGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | UGAAAGGAAUAAGAGAAUGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156a-3p | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUGCUCUUUCUGUCAGA | UGAGAGAGAGAGAGGGGGGAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2938 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUCUUUUGAGAGGGUUCCAG | UGCAUAUUCUUUCAAAAGGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR777 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UACGCAUUGAGUUUCGUUGCUU | UGGCAGGUAAACUCGAUCUGUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR835-5p | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUUGCAUAUGUU--CUUUAUC | UGUAAAGUCGAUAUAUGAAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5658 | ZjuLEA-01 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAAA | UGUCACUAUCAUUUUCUUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR870-3p | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAUUUGGUGUUUCUUCGAUC | UGUUGUCCAAAUACUGAAUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | UUACAACCUUGCUCUCAAUGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | UUACCAGCGGCACUGCUGCUA | Translation |
| ath-miR833a-5p | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUUGUUGUACUCGGUCUAGU | UUAUGAACGAGUAUAGCAAGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-5p | ZjuLEA-18 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAAUUUGGAUCUAAUUGAG | UUCAAUGUGACCCGGAUUCGU | Translation |
| ath-miR829-5p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACUUUGAAGCUUUGAUUUGAA | UUCAGAAUGAAGCUUUAAGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR776 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUAAGUCUUCUAUUGAUGUU | UUCAUCCGUGGAAGUCUUCGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156a-3p | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUGCUCUUUCUGUCAGA | UUCCAUGGAAAAAGCAAUGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156i | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAG | UUCCUUUCUUUAUUUUGUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156j | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAC | UUCCUUUCUUUAUUUUGUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156g | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | UUCUUCUUCUUCUUCUGUCG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2934-5p | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUUUCUGCAAACGCCUUGGA | UUGAAGGUGUUUUGGGAAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR855 | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGCAAAAGCUAAGGAAAAGGAA | UUGCUAUUUCCUCGCUUUUGUU | Translation |
| ath-miR846-3p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAUUGAAGUGCUUGAAUU | UUGUAAAGCUUUUCAAUUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156h | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | UUGUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156b-3p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGCUCACCUCUCUUUCUGUCAGU | UUUAACUGACAGAGAGGUAAUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR824-3p | ZjuLEA-20 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CCUUCUCAUCGAUGGUCUAGA | UUUACACCGUCGAUGAGGGUU | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-52 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | UUUUAGUUUUUUUUUUUUUG | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | UUUUAUUUUUUGUUUUUUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR835-3p | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGAAGAUACGCAAGAAAG | UUUUCUUUUUUAUUUUCUUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-54 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | UUUUUCUUUUUCUUUUUUUCA | Translation |
| ath-miR5655 | ZjuLEA-01 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGUAGACACAUAAGAAGGAG | GAAUUUCUUGGGUGACUACUU | Translation |
| ath-miR5658 | ZjuLEA-01 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAAA | UGUCACUAUCAUUUUCUUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR846-5p | ZjuLEA-01 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CAUUCAAGGACUUCUAUUCAG | CUGAUGAGAAUUUCUUGGGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR5655 | ZjuLEA-02 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGUAGACACAUAAGAAGGAG | GACCACCAUAUGUUUUUACUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5022 | ZjuLEA-03 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GUCAUG-GGGUAUGAUCGAAUG | AUUUUGAUUAUAUUCACAUGAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5654-3p | ZjuLEA-03 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAUGCUUUGGGAUUUAUU | GAUGGAAGACAAAGCAUCAUCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157a-3p | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCU-AGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CUGGACAGAAGGCUCAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-3p | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCU-AGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CUGGACAGAAGGCUCAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | CUGCCUCUGCUACAGCUUCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | AUACUAUGGCUCCUCCUACCA | Translation |
| ath-miR838 | ZjuLEA-04 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCUUCUACUUCUUGCACA | GAGGCAA-AAGCAGAAGAGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR156a-3p | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUGCUCUUUCUGUCAGA | UUCCAUGGAAAAAGCAAUGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR5027 | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACCGGUUGGAACUUGCCUUAA | GCAAUGCCAGUUUUAGGCGGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5640 | ZjuLEA-05 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGGAAUUAGAUUCA | CCAAUUCAGGUUCUUCUCUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156i | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAG | UUUCUCUCUCUUUUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156j | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAC | GUUUUCUCUCUCUUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157a-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157c-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157d | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR162a-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGGCAGCGGUUCAUCGAUC | GGAAGGUGGACCUCUGCCUUUG | Translation |
| ath-miR162b-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGGCAGCGGUUCAUCGAUC | GGAAGGUGGACCUCUGCCUUUG | Translation |
| ath-miR163 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAGAGGACUUGGAACUUCGAU | AUCCGAGUUCCCGGUUUCCUUCAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2938 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUCUUUUGAGAGGGUUCCAG | UGCAUAUUCUUUCAAAAGGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5628 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAAUAGCGAAGAUAUGAUUA | CAAACAUAUCUUUGAUAAUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5648-3p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCUGAAGAAAAUAGCGGCAU | AAUCUUUUAUUUUUUUUGGGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR777 | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UACGCAUUGAGUUUCGUUGCUU | AAACGGUGAGACUUGAUUUGUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | UGAAAGGAAUAAGAGAAUGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-06 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | CUUGAAGUAGCUUGAGCAUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR156i | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAG | UUUCUCUCUCUUUUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156j | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAC | GUUUUCUCUCUCUUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157a-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157c-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157d | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | UCUCUUUUUGUCAUCUGUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR162a-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGGCAGCGGUUCAUCGAUC | GGAAGGUGGACCUCUGCCUUUG | Translation |


| ath-miR162b-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGGCAGCGGUUCAUCGAUC | GGAAGGUGGACCUCUGCCUUUG | Translation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR163 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAGAGGACUUGGAACUUCGAU | AUCCGAGUUCCCGGUUUCCUUCAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2938 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUCUUUUGAGAGGGUUCCAG | UGCAUAUUCUUUCAAAAGGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5628 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAAUAGCGAAGAUAUGAUUA | CAAACAUAUCUUUGAUAAUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR $5648-3 \mathrm{p}$ | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCUGAAGAAAAUAGCGGCAU | AAUCUUUUAUUUUUUUUGGGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR777 | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UACGCAUUGAGUUUCGUUGCUU | AAACGGUGAGACUUGAUUUGUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | UGAAAGGAAUAAGAGAAUGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-07 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | CUUGAAGUAGCUUGAGCAUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172b-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172b-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172e-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR172e-5p | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAGCACCAUUAAGAUUCAC | CUGAGUUUUG-UGGUGCUGU | Translation |
| ath-miR5665 | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGUGGACAAGAUCUGGGAU | AUUGCAGAUCUUGUGCAUGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR773a | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGCUUCCAGCUUUUGUCUC | GGAAAGGAAGCAGGAAGCAGA | Translation |
| ath-miR773a | ZjuLEA-08 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGCUUCCAGCUUUUGUCUC | GGAAAGGAAGCAGGAAGCAGA | Translation |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | GUUUCUUGUUCUUUUACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5654-3p | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAUGCUUUGGGAUUUAUU | CAACAUUCCCAAAGUACUUUCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR $837-3 p$ | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAAAAACUGAUGG | AGCUUGGUUUCUUGUUCUUUU | Translation |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-09 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | UACAAUGUGCAAGAAGCUGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-5p | ZjuLEA-10 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGCAAAUGCGGAUAUCAAUGU | AGAUUUAUUUAUGCAUUUGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-10 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | AGAGCA--CGAGAAUGACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5023 | ZjuLEA-10 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUAGUGGAUAAGGGGGC | UACACUUUAUCUGCUCCUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-5p | ZjuLEA-11 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGCAAAUGCGGAUAUCAAUGU | AGAUUUAUUUAUGCAUUUGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-11 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | AGAGCA--CGAGAAUGACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5023 | ZjuLEA-11 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUAGUGGAUAAGGGGGC | UACACUUUAUCUGCUCCUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-5p | ZjuLEA-12 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGCAAAUGCGGAUAUCAAUGU | AGAUUUAUUUAUGCAUUUGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-12 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | AGAGCA--CGAGAAUGACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5023 | ZjuLEA-12 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUAGUGGAUAAGGGGGC | UACACUUUAUCUGCUCCUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-5p | ZjuLEA-13 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGCAAAUGCGGAUAUCAAUGU | AGAUUUAUUUAUGCAUUUGUG | Translation |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-13 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | AGAGCA--CGAGAAUGACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5023 | ZjuLEA-13 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUAGUGGAUAAGGGGGC | UACACUUUAUCUGCUCCUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AUGUUGACGAGAAGUGAUUGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5017-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUAUACCAAAUUAAUAGCAAA | CCUUCUAGUUGUUUGGUGUAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR $5654-3 p$ | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAUGCUUUGGGAUUUAUU | UUUCUAUCACAAAGUUUUUUCCG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR822-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUGCAAAUGCUUUCUACAGG | AAUGUGAGAAGCGUGUGCAUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR863-3p | ZjuLEA-14 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAGAGCAACAAGACAUAAU | GUAAUGAUUUGUUGUUUCCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR780.1 | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUAGCAGCUGUUGAGCAGGU | AUUUGUGCAGGAGCUGCUGGG | Translation |
| ath-miR8167a | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167b | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167c | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |


| ath-miR8167d | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR8167e | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR8167f | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAUGUGGAGAUCGUGGGGAUG | GGCCACCACGAUGUCUCCGUCU | Translation |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-15 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | GCAACGCUGCUUCUGCUGCUG | Translation |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-16 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | GGACGAUAACGGUGAAGGUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5658 | ZjuLEA-16 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAAA | CCUCGUCGUCGUCUUCGUCGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-16 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | ACCCUGCUGUUGCUGCUGCCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-17 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | GGACGAUAACGGUGAAGGUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5658 | ZjuLEA-17 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAUGAAA | CCUCGUCGUCGUCUUCGUCGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-17 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | ACCCUGCUGUUGCUGCUGCCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR393b-3p | ZjuLEA-18 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAUGCGAUCUCUUUGGAUU | UUUGUGAUGAGAUCGUAUAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-5p | ZjuLEA-18 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAAUUUGGAUCUAAUUGAG | UUCAAUGUGACCCGGAUUCGU | Translation |
| ath-miR5656 | ZjuLEA-19 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACUGAAGUAGAGAUUGGGUUU | AUGUUCUAUUUCUAUUUUAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8171 | ZjuLEA-19 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAGGUGGGCCAGUGGUAGGA | UCUGAACUCUGGUCCAUUUAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR840-3p | ZjuLEA-19 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGUUUAGGUCCCUUAGUUUC | GAAACGUGGGGGUCUAAACCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR824-3p | ZjuLEA-20 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CCUUCUCAUCGAUGGUCUAGA | UUUACACCGUCGAUGAGGGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-20 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | CCAAGCAAACAAGAAGCAAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2934-5p | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUUUCUGCAAACGCCUUGGA | UUGAAGGUGUUUUGGGAAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR407 | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUAAAUCAUAUACUUUUGGU | GCUAAUAGUGUAAGAGUUAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR413 | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAGUUUCUCUUGUUCUGCAC | AAGAAGAACAAGAAAAACAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | ACAAGA-AAAACAAAGGCUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-21 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | ACAAGA-AAAACAAAGGCUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR2112-3p | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUUUAUAUCCGCAUUUGCGCA | GACGCGGCUGUGGAUAUCGGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR405a | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAGUUGGGUCUAACCCAUAACU | CUUGAUGGGGUAGAGCUGGCUCAC | Translation |
| ath-miR405b | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAGUUGGGUCUAACCCAUAACU | CUUGAUGGGGUAGAGCUGGCUCAC | Translation |
| ath-miR405d | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGAGUUGGGUCUAACCCAUAACU | CUUGAUGGGGUAGAGCUGGCUCAC | Translation |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-22 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | CCAUUACUCCUCCACCACCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR167c-3p | ZjuLEA-23 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAGGUCAUGCUGGUAGUUUCACC | CUCGAAGCUACCGCCAUGACCGC | Translation |
| ath-miR171a-3p | ZjuLEA-23 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAUUGAGCCGCGCCAAUAUC | GUGGCCGCCGCGGCUCAAUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR172d-5p | ZjuLEA-25 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAACAUCUUCAAGAUUCAGA | UCAAAAUCUUGAAGGUGUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR842 | ZjuLEA-26 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUGGUCAGAUCCGUCAUCC | UCAUGGUGGUUCUGAUCGUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5022 | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GUCAUGGGGUAUGAUCGAAUG | GCUUGGAUCAUAUUACAUGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | AUCUCACUCCCCCAUUUUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-27 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAACUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156b-3p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGCUCACCUCUCUUUCUGUCAGU | UUUAACUGACAGAGAGGUAAUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR172d-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCAACAUCUUCAAGAUUCAGA | GUUUAAUUUUGAGAGUGUUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR173-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCGCUUGCAGAGAGAAAUCAC | GGAAUUUUGCUUUGCAUGUGAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | CCUUUCUCUUCUCUUCUCUCU | Translation |


| ath-miR398a-5p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAGGAGUGGCAUGUGAACACA | CCGGUUUGCCUGUUGCUCCUU | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR399b | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGCCAAAGGAGAGUUGCCCUG | ACAAGAAGCUCUUCUUUGGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR399c-3p | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGCCAAAGGAGAGUUGCCCUG | ACAAGAAGCUCUUCUUUGGCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR401 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGAAACUGGUGUCGACCGACA | CUUUGGUUGGUACCGGUUUGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | GUUAAGAUUCCAAAGAGGAGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-28 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUCUUCUCUCUUCUCCUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | CAGAGACGCUUCGCUUAAUGA | Translation |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | GUCUCUUCCUCCUCUUCUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8121 | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAAGUAUAAUGGUUUAGUGGUUUG | UCGUAAAAUUAACCAUUUUAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCUUCUUUUUUUUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-29 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AUCCUCGCCGUUGUCCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | GUAUUGAUUUUAUUUUUUUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5663-3p | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAUGCAAAUCCUUAGCU | AUCUAUGGCUUUGUAUUAUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-30 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | UUCCUCAUCAUUGUCGUCAUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR774b-5p | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAUGAAGAUAUGGGUGAU | CUCACCCACAUUUGCAUUUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR837-3p | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAAAAACUGAUGG | AAAUUAAUUCGUUGUUUGUUU | Translation |
| ath-miR858a | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUU | CAGCUCGUACGGACGACGCAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR858b | ZjuLEA-31 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUUG | ACAGCUCGUACGGACGACGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR447a-3p | ZjuLEA-32 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGGGACGAGAUGUUUUGUUG | UAAUAAAACUUUUCGUCCUGGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR447b | ZjuLEA-32 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGGGACGAGAUGUUUUGUUG | UAAUAAAACUUUUCGUCCUGGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR829-5p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACUUUGAAGCUUUGAUUUGAA | UUCAGAAUGAAGCUUUAAGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR846-3p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAUUGAAGUGCUUGAAUU | UUGUAAAGCUUUUCAAUUCAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-3p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUUCCUCAAAUUUAUCCAA | AUUCAUACUUUUGAGGAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR866-5p | ZjuLEA-33 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAAGGAACGGAUUUUGUUAA | GAUACAAUAUUUUUUUCUUGA | Cleavage |


| ath-miR156g | ZjuLEA-34 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AAUCUCAUUUUCUUCUGAUG | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR829-5p | ZjuLEA-34 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACUUUGAAGCUUUGAUUUGAA | UUCAGAAUGAAGCUUUAAGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR865-3p | ZjuLEA-34 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUUCCUCAAAUUUAUCCAA | AUUCAUACUUUUGAGGAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR866-5p | ZjuLEA-34 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAAGGAACGGAUUUUGUUAA | GAUACAAUAUUUUUUUCUUGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156i | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAG | UUCCUUUCUUUAUUUUGUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156j | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGAGAGCAC | UUCCUUUCUUUAUUUUGUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5019 | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUGGGAAAGAAAAACUCUU | AUGAUUUAUUUAUUCUCAAUA | Translation |
| ath-miR855 | ZjuLEA-35 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGCAAAAGCUAAGGAAAAGGAA | UUGCUAUUUCCUCGCUUUUGUU | Translation |
| ath-miR396b-3p | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCAAGAAAGCUGUGGGAAA | UCGAUCCCAGCUUUCUUCAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5641 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAAGAUGAUAGAAUUA | AUUUUCUUUUCUCUUCUUUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR8166 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGAGUGUAGAAAGUUUCUCA | GGAGCAGCCGUCUACGUUCUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR843 | ZjuLEA-36 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUAGGUCGAGCUUCAUUGGA | AUCAAAGGGACUCCACCUAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4245 | ZjuLEA-37 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAAAGUUUUAUACUGACAAU | CCAGUGAGAAUGAAACUUUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR773b-3p | ZjuLEA-37 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGAUUCCAGCUUUUGUCUC | AAGUUGAAAACUGGAAGCAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR420 | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAACUAAUCACGGAAAUGCA | AAUAUUUUGGUGGUUAUUUUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | UUUUAUUUUUUGUUUUUUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5648-5p | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGGAAAUAUUUGGCUUGACU | GUUUCAGCCGAAUAUUUUCAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR869.1 | ZjuLEA-39 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUGGUUCAAUUCUGGUGUUG | CGAGACC-GAAUUGGGUCAGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | CAUUUUCCUUUUUCUUUGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR829-3p. 1 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGCUCUGAUACCAAAUGAUGGAAU | AUGGCAU-GUUUGGGAUUGGAGCU | Translation |
| ath-miR833a-5p | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUUGUUGUACUCGGUCUAGU | UUAUGAACGAGUAUAGCAAGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | UUACCAGCGGCACUGCUGCUA | Translation |
| ath-miR835-3p | ZjuLEA-40 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAGAAGAUACGCAAGAAAG | UUUUCUUUUUUAUUUUCUUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR778 | ZjuLEA-41 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCUUGGUUUAUGUACACCG | UCUCCUACAGGAACCAAGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR835-5p | ZjuLEA-41 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUUGCAUAUGUU--CUUUAUC | UGUAAAGUCGAUAUAUGAAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR778 | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCUUGGUUUAUGUACACCG | UCUCCUACAGGAACCAAGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR835-5p | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUUGCAUAUGUU--CUUUAUC | UGUAAAGUCGAUAUAUGAAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-42 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | CUUCUUCUUCUUUUUCUUAUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR778 | ZjuLEA-43 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCUUGGUUUAUGUACACCG | UCUCCUACAGGAACCAAGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR835-5p | ZjuLEA-43 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUUGCAUAUGUU--CUUUAUC | UGUAAAGUCGAUAUAUGAAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR778 | ZjuLEA-44 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCUUGGUUUAUGUACACCG | UCUCCUACAGGAACCAAGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR835-5p | ZjuLEA-44 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUUGCAUAUGUU--CUUUAUC | UGUAAAGUCGAUAUAUGAAAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397b | ZjuLEA-45 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAUCGUUGAUG | CUUGAAUGGUGCACCCAAUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR779.2 | ZjuLEA-45 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAUUGGAAAUUUCGUUGACU | AAUUAUCAAAAUUAUCAAUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-45 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | ACGUCACGUCCACACCCCUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-46 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | AGACGAUGGAGGUAGGGAUGA | Cleavage |


| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-46 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-47 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | AGACGAUGGAGGUAGGGAUGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-47 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-48 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | AGACGAUGGAGGUAGGGAUGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-48 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | CAGGGAGAACAACAAAGGAAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR408-5p | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGGGAACAAGCAGAGCAUG | AGCCUUCUGCUUUUUCCCUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | AGAGCCACUGGGAAUAACGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4239 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGUUAUUUUCGCAUGCUCC | CAACCUUGUGAAGAAAACGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5636 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGUAGUUGCAGAGCUUGACGG | GCGUCAAUGGCUGCAGCUGCG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5642a | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCGCGCUUGUACGGCUUU | CAGGCGGUGUAGGUGCGACA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5642b | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCGCGCUUGUACGGCUUU | CAGGCGGUGUAGGUGCGACA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR823 | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGUGGUGAUCAUAUAAGAU | CACUAAUAUGAGUACUACUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR841a-3p | ZjuLEA-49 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUCUAGUGGGUCGUAUUCA | GAGGUGAGAGCCACUGGGAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395a | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395d | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR395e | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUGAAGUGUUUGGGGGAACUC | CCGUUCACCCAAACUCUCCAC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4243 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAAUUGUAGAUUUCGUAC | AAACUCGAUCUGUAAUUUAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5997 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAAACCAAGUAGCUAAAUAG | AGGUGUAGCUAAUUGGUAUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR776 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUAAGUCUUCUAUUGAUGUU | UUCAUCCGUGGAAGUCUUCGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR777 | ZjuLEA-50 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UACGCAUUGAGUUUCGUUGCUU | UGGCAGGUAAACUCGAUCUGUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR163 | ZjuLEA-51 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAAGAGGACUUGGAACUUCGAU | AAUCAUCCUUGAAGUUCUCUUCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR4245 | ZjuLEA-51 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAAAGUUUUAUACUGACAAU | UAUUUUUGUAUAAAAAUUUGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR780.1 | ZjuLEA-51 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUAGCAGCUGUUGAGCAGGU | UAUUGCUAAACAAUUUCUAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156h | ZjuLEA-52 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | UAGUUUUUUUUUUUUUGGCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5012 | ZjuLEA-52 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUACUGCUACUUGUGUUCC | GCAAUCUAAGUGGUAAUAAAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-52 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | UUUUAGUUUUUUUUUUUUUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR414 | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUCUUCAUCAUCAUCGUCA | AGGGGAUGAUCAUGAAUAUGG | Translation |
| ath-miR415 | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACAGAGCAGAAACAGAACAU | AUGUUUUCCAUUUGCUCUGUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5663-3p | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAUGCAAAUCCUUAGCU | CAAUAGGGACUUGAAUUUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR870-3p | ZjuLEA-53 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAUUUGGUGUUUCUUCGAUC | UGUUGUCCAAAUACUGAAUUA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-54 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | UUUUUCUUUUUCUUUUUUUCA | Translation |
| ath-miR826b | ZjuLEA-54 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUUUUGGACACGUGAAAAU | AGAAUCCCGUAUUCAAAACCA | Translation |
| ath-miR837-3p | ZjuLEA-54 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAA-AAACUGAUGG | CUAAUAGUUUGUUUGUUUGUUU | Translation |
| ath-miR858b | ZjuLEA-56 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCGUUGUCUGUUCGACCUUG | UAAAGGAGGACAGACAAGGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156h | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | UUGUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5017-3p | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUAUACCAAAUUAAUAGCAAA | GUUGUUAUUA-UUUGGUAGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR5021 | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAA | AUAUCUUCUUCUUGUUAUUG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5024-5p | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUGACAAGGCCAAGAUAUAACA | GUACAUAUCUUCUUCUUGUUAU | Translation |
| ath-miR837-3p | ZjuLEA-58 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAACGAACAAAAAACUGAUGG | AGGUUUGGUUUUUGUUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR417 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAGGUAGUGAAUUUGUUCGA | CAUAUCACAUUCACUAUCUAC | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5657 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGACAAGGUUAGAUUUGGUG | AGCAAGAUUUGGCUUUGUUCC | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAGAAAAGAAAUACAAACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAAGAGCAAGAC-CAAAUUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAGAAAAGAAAUACAAACUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | CAAGAGCAAGAC-CAAAUUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8171 | ZjuLEA-59 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAGGUGGGCCAGUGGUAGGA | GACUGCUACUAGUCCACUCAU | Translation |
| ath-miR156a-3p | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUGCUCUUUCUGUCAGA | UGAGAGAGAGAGAGGGGGGAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | UAGAUGAGAGAGAGAGAGGGGGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR401 | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGAAACUGGUGUCGACCGACA | UUUCUGAUGAUACCGGUAUCG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5654-3p | ZjuLEA-60 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAUGCUUUGGGAUUUAUU | CGGCAAUUUCAGAUCCUCUUCCG | Translation |
| ath-miR157a-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CAGCCGAGAAGGCUAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157b-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCUCUAGCCUUCUGUCAUC | CAGCCGAGAAGGCUAGAGAGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR171b-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUCACG | UCUGCUACUGGUGCUGCUCGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR171c-3p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUCACG | UCUGCUACUGGUGCUGCUCGA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR859 | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUCUCUGUUGUGAAGUCAAA | AAGGAUUAUACAACGGAGAAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR868-5p | ZjuLEA-62 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUGUCGUAAUAGUAGUCAC | AUGGCUUCUAUUUCGUCAUGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8181 | ZjuLEA-63 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGGGUGGGGGGGUGACAG | CUGCCACCCUUGCACCUCCU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156h | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAAAGAGAGCAC | UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | UCUUAGCGUUGCAUUUGAAGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR397a | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCAUUGAGUGCAGCGUUGAUG | UUACAACCUUGCUCUCAAUGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5014a-5p | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACACUUAGUUUUGUACAACAU | ACCUUCCAGAAGACUAAGUGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5997 | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAAACCAAGUAGCUAAAUAG | ACAUUUAUUUAUUUGUUUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR857 | ZjuLEA-65 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUGUAUGUUGAAGGUGUAU | UAACGACUUUAACGAGCAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5662 | ZjuLEA-66 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGGUGACCAUUGGAGAUG | CAUCUCCGGUCGUUACCAUU | Translation |
| ath-miR5015 | ZjuLEA-67 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGGUGUUAUGUGUAGUCUUC | CAAGGUUAAAUGUGACGUCAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-68 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAU | AGCUCAAAUCACUUUUCUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5014a-3p | ZjuLEA-68 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGUACAAAUUUAAGUGUACG | CUUGCACAUAAAUUUUUCUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-68 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | GGCCAAAUAGACCCAGCUUAU | Translation |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-69 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | AAGCUCAAAUCACUUUUCUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5014a-3p | ZjuLEA-69 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUGUACAAAUUUAAGUGUACG | CUUGCACAUAAAUUUUUCUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR862-3p | ZjuLEA-69 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUAUGCUGGAUCUACUUGAAG | GGCCAAAUAGACCCAGCUUAU | Translation |
| ath-miR4221 | ZjuLEA-70 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUCCUCUGUUGAAUUCUUGC | AAGAGUAUUGAAAAGAGGGGAA | Translation |
| ath-miR5020a | ZjuLEA-70 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGAAGAAGGUGAGACUUGCA | GUUAGGUUUCGUCGUUUUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5998a | ZjuLEA-71 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | GAGAAACUAAAGGCAAGCUGC | Translation |
| ath-miR5998b | ZjuLEA-71 | 5.0 | -1.0 | ACAGUUUGUGUUUUGUUUUGU | GAGAAACUAAAGGCAAGCUGC | Translation |
| ath-miR825 | ZjuLEA-71 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUCUCAAGAAGGUGCAUGAAC | AGUUUUCUUUCUUCUUGAGAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR1886.1 | ZjuLEA-72 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGAGAGAAGUGAGAUGAAAUC | CCUUUCUUUUCUUUUCUCUUC | Translation |
| ath-miR5020c | ZjuLEA-72 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCAUGGAAGAAGGUGAGAC | CCCUUAACUCUUUCCUUGCCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR420 | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAACUAAUCACGGAAAUGCA | GAAAUUUUUGUGAUGAGUUCA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5648-3p | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCUGAAGAAAAUAGCGGCAU | UCCUCCUUAUUUUCUUUGGAA | Cleavage |


| ath-miR5653 | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGGUUGAGUUGAGUUGAGUUGGC | ACAGGCUCAUAUCAACUCAAGCUC | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR773b-3p | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUGAUUCCAGCUUU-UGUCUC | GAGAAACAAGGUUGGAAUCAAU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR868-3p | ZjuLEA-73 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUUCUUAAGUGCUGAUAAUGC | GCAACACCAGUACCUAAGAAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-74 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-75 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-75 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-75 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-75 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-75 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932a | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GGUCAUUGUUAUCACAGCUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932b-3p | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GGUCAUUGUUAUCACAGCUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR417 | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAGGUAGUGAAUU--UGUUCGA | CGGAACACCAGUUCAUCACCUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8165 | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAUGGAGGCAAGUGUGAAGGA | AACUCCACGGUUGCCUUCAGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR869.2 | ZjuLEA-76 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UCUGGUGUUGAGAUAGUUGAC | GACAGCGAGUUCAGCACCGGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-77 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-77 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-77 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-77 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-77 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-77 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AAAAAAAGAAGAAGAGAGGGAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-78 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AAAAAAAGAAGAAGAGAGGGAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-79 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-80 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-80 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-80 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |


| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-80 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-80 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-80 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-81 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-81 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-81 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-81 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-81 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-81 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-82 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-82 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-82 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-82 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-82 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-82 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-83 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-83 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-83 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-83 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-83 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-83 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-84 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | AAAAAAAGAAGAAGAGAGGGAGC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-84 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-84 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-84 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-84 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-84 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-85 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-85 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-85 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-85 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-85 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-85 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932a | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GGUCAUUGUUAUCACAGCUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932b-3p | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GGUCAUUGUUAUCACAGCUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR399c-5p | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GGGCAUCUUUCUAUUGGCAGG | AACGGCAAUGGGAAGAUGACU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR417 | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAAGGUAGUGAAUU--UGUUCGA | CGGAACACCAGUUCAUCACCUUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR8165 | ZjuLEA-86 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AAUGGAGGCAAGUGUGAAGGA | AACUCCACGGUUGCCUUCAGU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156d-3p | ZjuLEA-87 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GCUCACUCUCUUUUUGUCAUAAC | CAAAGGAAAAAAAAAGAGGGAGC | Translation |
| ath-miR854a | ZjuLEA-87 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |


| ath-miR854b | ZjuLEA-87 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ath-miR854c | ZjuLEA-87 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854d | ZjuLEA-87 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR854e | ZjuLEA-87 | 5.0 | -1.0 | GAUGAGGAUAGGGAGGAGGAG | AAGCUUCUCUCUGCUCUCGUC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR156a-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156b-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156c-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156d-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156e | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156f-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156g | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Translation |
| ath-miR156g | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC | UUCUUCUUCUUCUUCUGUCG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR157d | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC | AUACUUAUUGUCUUUUGUCC | Cleavage |
| ath-miR403-5p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGUUUUGUGCUUGAAUCUAAUU | GAAAAGAUUAAAACACAGAGCA | Translation |
| ath-miR5645a | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645b | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645d | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645e | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5645f | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUUUGAGUCAUGUCGUUAAG | GCUAACGAUAUGGUUCAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR870-3p | ZjuLEA-88 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UAAUUUGGUGUUUCUUCGAUC | AUUUUAUGAAAAGCCAAGUUA | Translation |
| ath-miR3932a | ZjuLEA-89 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GAUCAUUGUUAUCGCAAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932b-3p | ZjuLEA-89 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GAUCAUUGUUAUCGCAAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932a | ZjuLEA-90 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GAUCAUUGUUAUCGCAAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR3932b-3p | ZjuLEA-90 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AACUUUGUGAUGACAACGAAG | GAUCAUUGUUAUCGCAAUUUU | Cleavage |
| ath-miR158a-5p | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | CUUUGUCUACAAUUUUGGAAA | AAGCCAAAGUUGAAGAAAAGG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5631 | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGCAGGAAAGACAUAAUUUU | GUAGUUUUGUUCUUCUUGCCU | Translation |
| ath-miR834 | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UGGUAGCAGUAGCGGUGGUAA | GUACCAUGGCCAGUGCUGCCA | Translation |
| ath-miR837-5p | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AUCAGUUUCUUGUUCGUUUCA | AAAAAAGCAAAAGAAGCUGAG | Cleavage |
| ath-miR857 | ZjuLEA-91 | 5.0 | -1.0 | UUUUGUAUGUUGAAGGUGUAU | AUACAGUUUUGAUAUAUAUAA | Cleavage |
| ath-miR5662 | ZjuLEA-93 | 5.0 | -1.0 | AGAGGUGACCAUUGGAGAUG | CAUCUCCGGUCGUUACCAUU | Translation |

Supplementary Table S3. Calculation of divergence time rates and $\mathrm{Ka} / \mathrm{Ks}$ ratios of orthologous ZjuLEA gene pairs between jujube and peach, banana, orange, Arabidopsis.

Ziziphus jujuba - Prunus persica

| ID | Chr. | Gene IDs | Chr. | Ks | Ka | Ka/Ks | Mya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-01 | 1 | Prupe.4G024900.1 | 4 | 1,1502 | 0,3387 | 0,294470527 | 8,847692308 |
| ZjuLEA-01 | 1 | Prupe.3G218800.1 | 3 | 2,5452 | 0,4593 | 0,180457331 | 19,57846154 |
| ZjuLEA-02 | 1 | Prupe.4G026800.1 | 4 | 0,7995 | 0,1746 | 0,218386492 | 6,15 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.4G188100.1 | 4 | 1,9660 | 0,2658 | 0,135198372 | 15,12307692 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.4G188500.1 | 4 | 1,2114 | 0,2159 | 0,178223543 | 9,318461538 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G099800.1 | 6 | 37,474 | 0,4794 | 0,01279287 | 288,2615385 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G099900.1 | 6 | 52,8201 | 0,5049 | 0,009558861 | 406,3084615 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G100000.1 | 6 | 30,0554 | 0,5422 | 0,018040019 | 231,1953846 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.8G132700.1 | 8 | 33,2201 | 0,6496 | 0,019554426 | 255,5392308 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G125100.1 | 6 | 51,5830 | 0,6513 | 0,012626253 | 396,7923077 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G125200.1 | 6 | 29,6234 | 0,6546 | 0,022097396 | 227,8723077 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G125400.1 | 6 | 52,0073 | 0,6583 | 0,012657838 | 400,0561538 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | Prupe.6G124900.1 | 6 | 53,591 | 0,6474 | 0,012080387 | 412,2384615 |
| ZjuLEA-06 | 1 | Prupe.3G032500.1 | 3 | 0,9706 | 0,3229 | 0,332680816 | 7,466153846 |
| ZjuLEA-07 | 1 | Prupe.3G032500.1 | 3 | 1,0005 | 0,3299 | 0,329735132 | 7,696153846 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | Prupe.3G068000.1 | 3 | 1,1825 | 0,1425 | 0,1205074 | 9,096153846 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | Prupe.7G232800.1 | 7 | 2,0427 | 0,1613 | 0,078964116 | 15,71307692 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | Prupe.1G433700.1 | 1 | 51,3224 | 0,4690 | 0,00913831 | 394,7876923 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | Prupe.7G132000.1 | 7 | 3,0526 | 0,5198 | 0,170281072 | 23,48153846 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | Prupe.3G070600.1 | 3 | 1,1256 | 0,3061 | 0,271943852 | 8,658461538 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | Prupe.7G229800.1 | 7 | 6,1849 | 0,4044 | 0,065385051 | 47,57615385 |
| ZjuLEA-10 | 1 | Prupe.7G271000.1 | 7 | 1,9692 | 0,1165 | 0,059161081 | 15,14769231 |
| ZjuLEA-11 | 1 | Prupe.7G271000.1 | 7 | 1,9692 | 0,1165 | 0,059161081 | 15,14769231 |
| ZjuLEA-12 | 1 | Prupe.7G271000.1 | 7 | 1,9692 | 0,1165 | 0,059161081 | 15,14769231 |
| ZjuLEA-13 | 1 | Prupe.7G271000.1 | 7 | 1,9692 | 0,1165 | 0,059161081 | 15,14769231 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | Prupe.7G097100.1 | 7 | 73,1645 | 0,4295 | 0,005870333 | 562,8038462 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | Prupe.6G141400.1 | 6 | 36,6064 | 0,4390 | 0,011992438 | 281,5876923 |
| ZjuLEA-16 | 2 | Prupe.6G155500.1 | 6 | 2,2058 | 0,2692 | 0,12204189 | 16,96769231 |
| ZjuLEA-17 | 2 | Prupe.6G155500.1 | 6 | 2,2058 | 0,2692 | 0,12204189 | 16,96769231 |
| ZjuLEA-20 | 2 | Prupe.6G114100.1 | 6 | 1,0711 | 0,3650 | 0,34077117 | 8,239230769 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G099800.1 | 6 | 0,9899 | 0,1572 | 0,15880392 | 7,614615385 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G099900.1 | 6 | 1,1249 | 0,1709 | 0,151924616 | 8,653076923 |


| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G100000.1 | 6 | 1,0066 | 0,2184 | 0,216968011 | 7,743076923 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.4G188100.1 | 4 | 47,6558 | 0,5183 | 0,010875906 | 366,5830769 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.8G132700.1 | 8 | 4,9670 | 0,8030 | 0,161667002 | 38,20769231 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G125200.1 | 6 | 3,9513 | 0,6021 | 0,152380229 | 30,39461538 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G125400.1 | 6 | 4,1171 | 0,6070 | 0,147433873 | 31,67 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G125100.1 | 6 | 3,9448 | 0,6187 | 0,156839383 | 30,34461538 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.6G124900.1 | 6 | 4,6032 | 0,7759 | 0,168556656 | 35,40923077 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | Prupe.4G188500.1 | 4 | 5,0221 | 0,5592 | 0,111347843 | 38,63153846 |
| ZjuLEA-22 | 2 | Prupe.6G132700.1 | 6 | 1,0675 | 0,0983 | 0,092084309 | 8,211538462 |
| ZjuLEA-22 | 2 | Prupe.6G132600.1 | 6 | 0,9982 | 0,1593 | 0,159587257 | 7,678461538 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | Prupe.6G337900.2 | 6 | 1,4330 | 0,1946 | 0,135799023 | 11,02307692 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | Prupe.6G337900.1 | 6 | 1,4330 | 0,1946 | 0,135799023 | 11,02307692 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | Prupe.1G014800.1 | 1 | 4,2446 | 0,3453 | 0,081350422 | 32,65076923 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | Prupe.8G238700.1 | 8 | 4,6795 | 0,4051 | 0,086569078 | 35,99615385 |
| ZjuLEA-28 | 3 | Prupe.2G319000.1 | 2 | 1,1101 | 0,1368 | 0,123232141 | 8,539230769 |
| ZjuLEA-29 | 4 | Prupe.1G564500.2 | 1 | 2,3629 | 0,2108 | 0,089212408 | 18,17615385 |
| ZjuLEA-29 | 4 | Prupe.1G564500.1 | 1 | 2,1983 | 0,2146 | 0,097620889 | 16,91 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | Prupe.8G267700.1 | 8 | 1,9813 | 0,2280 | 0,11507596 | 15,24076923 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | Prupe.5G245100.1 | 5 | 2,9113 | 0,4501 | 0,154604472 | 22,39461538 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | Prupe.5G245100.1 | 5 | 1,2546 | 0,1884 | 0,150167384 | 9,650769231 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | Prupe.8G267700.1 | 8 | 2,7167 | 0,3767 | 0,138660875 | 20,89769231 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | Prupe.5G245100.1 | 5 | 1,2921 | 0,1973 | 0,15269716 | 9,939230769 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | Prupe.8G267700.1 | 8 | 2,3240 | 0,3870 | 0,166523236 | 17,87692308 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | Prupe.1G433700.1 | 1 | 1,0787 | 0,1012 | 0,093816631 | 8,297692308 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | Prupe.7G132000.1 | 7 | 1,5646 | 0,2235 | 0,142848012 | 12,03538462 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | Prupe.8G173100.2 | 8 | 11,1645 | 0,4393 | 0,039347933 | 85,88076923 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | Prupe.7G232800.1 | 7 | 51,7706 | 0,4303 | 0,008311667 | 398,2353846 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | Prupe.8G173100.1 | 8 | 11,1788 | 0,4393 | 0,039297599 | 85,99076923 |
| ZjuLEA-36 | 6 | Prupe.1G411400.1 | 1 | 1,2440 | 0,1931 | 0,15522508 | 9,569230769 |
| ZjuLEA-36 | 6 | Prupe.3G049400.1 | 3 | 2,1369 | 0,4310 | 0,201694043 | 16,43769231 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | Prupe.1G014800.1 | 1 | 1,3057 | 0,1892 | 0,144903117 | 10,04384615 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | Prupe.8G238700.1 | 8 | 9,8861 | 0,3479 | 0,035190823 | 76,04692308 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | Prupe.6G337900.2 | 6 | 3,5743 | 0,3173 | 0,088772627 | 27,49461538 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | Prupe.6G337900.1 | 6 | 3,5966 | 0,3410 | 0,094811767 | 27,66615385 |
| ZjuLEA-40 | 8 | Prupe.2G178500.1 | 2 | 1,7442 | 0,2116 | 0,121316363 | 13,41692308 |
| ZjuLEA-40 | 8 | Prupe.5G109900.1 | 5 | 3,1281 | 0,3963 | 0,126690323 | 24,06230769 |
| ZjuLEA-41 | 8 | Prupe.2G198600.1 | 2 | 1,1364 | 0,2021 | 0,177842309 | 8,741538462 |


| ZjuLEA-42 | 8 | Prupe.2G198600.1 | 2 | 1,1364 | 0,2021 | 0,177842309 | 8,741538462 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-43 | 8 | Prupe.2G198600.1 | 2 | 1,1766 | 0,1815 | 0,154258032 | 9,050769231 |
| ZjuLEA-44 | 8 | Prupe.2G198600.1 | 2 | 1,1766 | 0,1815 | 0,154258032 | 9,050769231 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.7G132000.1 | 7 | 1,0707 | 0,1279 | 0,119454562 | 8,236153846 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.1G433700.1 | 1 | 1,9274 | 0,2061 | 0,106931618 | 14,82615385 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.3G068000.1 | 3 | 21,7749 | 0,5257 | 0,024142476 | 167,4992308 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.8G173100.2 | 8 | 3,3434 | 0,4362 | 0,130465993 | 25,71846154 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.8G173100.1 | 8 | 3,3434 | 0,4362 | 0,130465993 | 25,71846154 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.7G132000.2 | 7 | 1,1850 | 0,1345 | 0,11350211 | 9,115384615 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | Prupe.7G232800.1 | 7 | 51,6645 | 0,4792 | 0,009275228 | 397,4192308 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | Prupe.8G238700.1 | 8 | 2,2082 | 0,2306 | 0,104428947 | 16,98615385 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | Prupe.1G014800.1 | 1 | 42,0122 | 0,2768 | 0,006588562 | 323,1707692 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | Prupe.6G337900.2 | 6 | 2,2986 | 0,3999 | 0,173975463 | 17,68153846 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | Prupe.6G337900.1 | 6 | 2,2441 | 0,4027 | 0,179448331 | 17,26230769 |
| ZjuLEA-50 | 9 | Prupe.8G270400.1 | 8 | 0,7539 | 0,0756 | 0,100278552 | 5,799230769 |
| ZjuLEA-51 | 10 | Prupe.1G242600.1 | 1 | 1,3355 | 0,3957 | 0,296293523 | 10,27307692 |
| ZjuLEA-52 | 11 | Prupe.5G121700.1 | 5 | 1,0158 | 0,1696 | 0,166962 | 7,813846154 |
| ZjuLEA-53 | 11 | Prupe.5G109900.1 | 5 | 2,3063 | 0,3012 | 0,130598795 | 17,74076923 |
| ZjuLEA-53 | 11 | Prupe.2G178500.1 | 2 | 53,6854 | 0,4111 | 0,007657575 | 412,9646154 |
| ZjuLEA-54 | 11 | Prupe.5G109800.1 | 5 | 1,5183 | 0,3202 | 0,210893763 | 11,67923077 |
| ZjuLEA-57 | 11 | Prupe.5G014700.1 | 5 | 1,5063 | 0,2253 | 0,149571798 | 11,58692308 |
| ZjuLEA-58 | 11 | Prupe.6G299500.1 | 6 | 18,8589 | 0,3382 | 0,017933177 | 145,0684615 |
| ZjuLEA-59 | 11 | Prupe.6G299100.1 | 6 | 1,6299 | 0,1908 | 0,117062396 | 12,53769231 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | Prupe.7G232800.1 | 7 | 1,3185 | 0,1132 | 0,085855138 | 10,14230769 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | Prupe.3G068000.1 | 3 | 1,733 | 0,2196 | 0,126716676 | 13,33076923 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | Prupe.1G433700.1 | 1 | 56,2377 | 0,4900 | 0,008713016 | 432,5976923 |
| ZjuLEA-61 | 12 | Prupe.7G229800.1 | 7 | 1,1620 | 0,1600 | 0,137693632 | 8,938461538 |
| ZjuLEA-63 | 12 | Prupe.7G111600.1 | 7 | 1,9749 | 0,3629 | 0,18375614 | 15,19153846 |
| ZjuLEA-63 | 12 | Prupe.7G111300.1 | 7 | 1,7586 | 0,3950 | 0,224610486 | 13,52769231 |
| ZjuLEA-63 | 12 | Prupe.7G111500.1 | 7 | 1,4938 | 0,3854 | 0,257999732 | 11,49076923 |
| ZjuLEA-63 | 12 | Prupe.7G112000.1 | 7 | 1,7836 | 0,3771 | 0,211426329 | 13,72 |
| ZjuLEA-63 | 12 | Prupe.7G111900.1 | 7 | 1,3303 | 0,4373 | 0,328722844 | 10,23307692 |
| ZjuLEA-64 | 12 | Prupe.7G097200.1 | 7 | 1,9794 | 0,2971 | 0,150095989 | 15,22615385 |
| ZjuLEA-65 | 12 | Prupe.7G097200.1 | 7 | 3,2306 | 0,3585 | 0,110970098 | 24,85076923 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | Prupe.7G097100.1 | 7 | 40,8204 | 0,1569 | 0,003843666 | 314,0030769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | Prupe.6G141400.1 | 6 | 59,7211 | 0,4711 | 0,007888334 | 459,3930769 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | Prupe.7G097200.1 | 7 | 11,9984 | 0,2451 | 0,020427724 | 92,29538462 |


| ZjuLEA-68 | 12 | Prupe.7G097000.2 | 7 | 1,6993 | 0,3515 | 0,206849879 | 13,07153846 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-68 | 12 | Prupe.7G0097000.1 | 7 | 1,6993 | 0,3515 | 0,206849879 | 13,07153846 |
| ZjuLEA-69 | 12 | Prupe.7G097000.2 | 7 | 1,6993 | 0,3515 | 0,206849879 | 13,07153846 |
| ZjuLEA-69 | 12 | Prupe.7G097000.1 | 7 | 1,6993 | 0,3515 | 0,206849879 | 13,07153846 |
| ZjuLEA-72 | NW | Prupe.3G049400.1 | 3 | 1,6137 | 0,2223 | 0,137757948 | 12,41307692 |
| ZjuLEA-73 | NW | Prupe.6G029700.1 | 6 | 0,6353 | 0,1575 | 0,247914371 | 4,886923077 |
| ZjuLEA-88 | NW | Prupe.1G554000.1 | 1 | 2,1951 | 0,5063 | 0,230650084 | 16,88538462 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | Prupe.7G097100.1 | 7 | 40,5207 | 0,1567 | 0,003867159 | 311,6976923 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | Prupe.6G141400.1 | 6 | 59,7177 | 0,4711 | 0,007888783 | 459,3669231 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ziziphus jujuba - Musa accuminata

| ID | Chr. | Gene IDs | Chr. | Ks | Ka | Ka/Ks | Mya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-02 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr6T29330_001 | 6 | 54,9718 | 0,4044 | 0,007356499 | 422,86 |
| ZjuLEA-02 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr9T11960_001 | 9 | 9,2994 | 0,4087 | 0,043949072 | 71,53384615 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr9T12230_001 | 9 | 12,0977 | 0,3240 | 0,02678195 | 93,05923077 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr1T17700_001 | 1 | 65,1613 | 0,3856 | 0,005917623 | 501,2407692 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr11T06920_001 | 11 | 57,2259 | 0,4994 | 0,008726818 | 440,1992308 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr11T01270_001 | 11 | 0,0976 | 9,661 | 98,98565574 | 0,750769231 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr6T14060_001 | 6 | 2,5734 | 0,1864 | 0,072433357 | 19,79538462 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr10T22080_001 | 10 | 47,3192 | 0,1971 | 0,004165328 | 363,9938462 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr7T14390_001 | 7 | 2,7706 | 0,1930 | 0,069660001 | 21,31230769 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr8T30310_001 | 8 | 34,9425 | 0,4427 | 0,012669385 | 268,7884615 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr6T19670_001 | 6 | 4,6285 | 0,6785 | 0,146591768 | 35,60384615 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr8T13200_001 | 8 | 28,259 | 0,5615 | 0,019869776 | 217,3769231 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr8T15540_001 | 8 | 7,6231 | 0,4634 | 0,060788918 | 58,63923077 |
| ZjuLEA-10 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr10T04300_001 | 10 | 3,9818 | 0,1472 | 0,036968205 | 30,62923077 |
| ZjuLEA-11 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr10T04300_001 | 10 | 3,9814 | 0,1472 | 0,036971919 | 30,62615385 |
| ZjuLEA-12 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr10T04300_001 | 10 | 3,9821 | 0,1472 | 0,03696542 | 30,63153846 |
| ZjuLEA-13 | 1 | GSMUA_Achr10T04300_001 | 10 | 3,9815 | 0,1472 | 0,036970991 | 30,62692308 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr7T03290_001 | 7 | 87,1577 | 0,4251 | 0,004877366 | 670,4438462 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr8T34450_001 | 8 | 69,8797 | 0,4470 | 0,006396707 | 537,5361538 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr9T08960_001 | 9 | 83,2025 | 0,4801 | 0,005770259 | 640,0192308 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr10T04670_001 | 10 | 91,8446 | 0,4516 | 0,004917001 | 706,4969231 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr6T19500_001 | 6 | 82,7191 | 0,4604 | 0,005565825 | 636,3007692 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT27690_001 | scaffold | 82,7697 | 0,4725 | 0,005708611 | 636,69 |
| ZjuLEA-16 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr3T03620_001 | 3 | 5,2807 | 0,4819 | 0,091256841 | 40,62076923 |
| ZjuLEA-17 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr3T03620_001 | 3 | 5,2806 | 0,4819 | 0,091258569 | 40,62 |
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| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr11T06920_001 | 11 | 54,2198 | 0,2884 | 0,00531909 | 417,0753846 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr9T12230_001 | 9 | 16,3280 | 0,4880 | 0,02988731 | 125,6 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr1T17700_001 | 1 | 29,8293 | 0,5388 | 0,018062777 | 229,4561538 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | GSMUA_Achr11T01270_001 | 11 | 50,1508 | 0,6090 | 0,012143376 | 385,7753846 |
| ZjuLEA-28 | 3 | GSMUA_Achr5T14440_001 | 5 | 3,8389 | 0,2742 | 0,071426711 | 29,53 |
| ZjuLEA-28 | 3 | GSMUA_Achr4T18020_001 | 4 | 2,3624 | 0,3067 | 0,129825601 | 18,17230769 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | GSMUA_Achr5T11970_001 | 5 | 8,0932 | 0,3613 | 0,044642416 | 62,25538462 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | GSMUA_Achr9T20600_001 | 9 | 7,0714 | 0,3930 | 0,055575982 | 54,39538462 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | GSMUA_Achr3T14210_001 | 3 | 43,3106 | 0,3783 | 0,008734582 | 333,1584615 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | GSMUA_Achr1T17720_001 | 1 | 12,6318 | 0,4031 | 0,031911525 | 97,16769231 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | GSMUA_Achr5T11970_001 | 5 | 9,3233 | 0,3225 | 0,034590756 | 71,71769231 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | GSMUA_Achr9T20600_001 | 9 | 8,2733 | 0,4069 | 0,049182309 | 63,64076923 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | GSMUA_Achr3T14210_001 | 3 | 57,5146 | 0,3712 | 0,006454013 | 442,42 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | GSMUA_Achr5T11970_001 | 5 | 9,0327 | 0,3257 | 0,036057879 | 69,48230769 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | GSMUA_Achr9T20600_001 | 9 | 6,8939 | 0,4144 | 0,060111113 | 53,03 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | GSMUA_Achr3T14210_001 | 3 | 57,0205 | 0,3761 | 0,006595873 | 438,6192308 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr8T30310_001 | 8 | 5,8114 | 0,2043 | 0,03515504 | 44,70307692 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr6T19670_001 | 6 | 9,4957 | 0,2426 | 0,025548406 | 73,04384615 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr2T08190_001 | 2 | 13,3652 | 0,2472 | 0,018495795 | 102,8092308 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr8T13200_001 | 8 | 9,1584 | 0,4124 | 0,0450297 | 70,44923077 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr10T09590_001 | 10 | 7,9660 | 0,3517 | 0,044150138 | 61,27692308 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr10T22080_001 | 10 | 51,7087 | 0,4520 | 0,008741276 | 397,7592308 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr6T14060_001 | 6 | 50,5544 | 0,4483 | 0,008867675 | 388,88 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | GSMUA_Achr7T14390_001 | 7 | 51,0801 | 0,4560 | 0,008927156 | 392,9238462 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | GSMUA_Achr4T14830_001 | 4 | 11,5952 | 0,4389 | 0,03785187 | 89,19384615 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | GSMUA_Achr3T25520_001 | 3 | 4,2896 | 0,4076 | 0,095020515 | 32,99692308 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | GSMUA_Achr7T27190_001 | 7 | 40,7013 | 0,3908 | 0,009601659 | 313,0869231 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | GSMUA_Achr3T30940_001 | 3 | 10,4757 | 0,4100 | 0,039138196 | 80,58230769 |
| ZjuLEA-41 | 8 | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT07400_001 | scaffold | 36,2127 | 0,2722 | 0,0075167 | 278,5592308 |
| ZjuLEA-42 | 8 | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT07400_001 | scaffold | 35,3307 | 0,2722 | 0,007704348 | 271,7746154 |
| ZjuLEA-43 | 8 | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT07400_001 | scaffold | 35,7558 | 0,2723 | 0,007615548 | 275,0446154 |
| ZjuLEA-44 | 8 | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT07400_001 | scaffold | 37,5835 | 0,2723 | 0,007245201 | 289,1038462 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr8T30310_001 | 8 | 35,0376 | 0,2390 | 0,006821243 | 269,52 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr6T19670_001 | 6 | 4,8603 | 0,2698 | 0,055510977 | 37,38692308 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr2T08190_001 | 2 | 4,0344 | 0,2518 | 0,062413246 | 31,03384615 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr10T09590_001 | 10 | 5,1837 | 0,3357 | 0,064760692 | 39,87461538 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr10T22080_001 | 10 | 10,7335 | 0,4917 | 0,045809848 | 82,56538462 |


| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr6T14060_001 | 6 | 50,4520 | 0,5002 | 0,009914374 | 388,0923077 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr7T14390_001 | 7 | 50,0900 | 0,5058 | 0,010097824 | 385,3076923 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr8T13200_001 | 8 | 12,0498 | 0,3982 | 0,033046192 | 92,69076923 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | GSMUA_Achr11T11990_001 | 11 | 5,4421 | 0,4218 | 0,077506845 | 41,86230769 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | GSMUA_Achr4T14830_001 | 4 | 22,7843 | 0,4533 | 0,019895279 | 175,2638462 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | GSMUA_Achr3T25520_001 | 3 | 41,1226 | 0,4392 | 0,010680259 | 316,3276923 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | GSMUA_Achr7T27190_001 | 7 | 42,2899 | 0,4541 | 0,010737788 | 325,3069231 |
| ZjuLEA-50 | 9 | GSMUA_Achr3T24270_001 | 3 | 1,9162 | 0,2029 | 0,105886651 | 14,74 |
| ZjuLEA-50 | 9 | GSMUA_Achr5T27110_001 | 5 | 2,3081 | 0,1976 | 0,085611542 | 17,75461538 |
| ZjuLEA-50 | 9 | GSMUA_Achr8T06470_001 | 8 | 2,4460 | 0,2043 | 0,083524121 | 18,81538462 |
| ZjuLEA-52 | 11 | GSMUA_Achr8T15980_001 | 8 | 66,4565 | 0,3379 | 0,005084529 | 511,2038462 |
| ZjuLEA-59 | 11 | GSMUA_Achr4T10340_001 | 4 | 57,8463 | 0,4660 | 0,008055831 | 444,9715385 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr6T14060_001 | 6 | 2,8207 | 0,2299 | 0,081504591 | 21,69769231 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr10T22080_001 | 10 | 9,2301 | 0,2545 | 0,027572832 | 71,00076923 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr7T14390_001 | 7 | 3,6536 | 0,2499 | 0,068398292 | 28,10461538 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr8T30310_001 | 8 | 12,5440 | 0,5209 | 0,041525829 | 96,49230769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr6T19500_001 | 6 | 91,2903 | 0,4482 | 0,004909613 | 702,2330769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr9T08960_001 | 9 | 94,643 | 0,3585 | 0,003787919 | 728,0230769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT27690_001 | scaffold | 76,9273 | 0,3644 | 0,00473694 | 591,7484615 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr7T03290_001 | 7 | 83,4539 | 0,3731 | 0,004470732 | 641,9530769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr8T34450_001 | 8 | 75,7922 | 0,4577 | 0,00603888 | 583,0169231 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr10T04670_001 | 10 | 105,4155 | 0,4081 | 0,003871347 | 810,8884615 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | GSMUA_Achr9T29680_001 | 9 | 9,9340 | 0,4174 | 0,042017314 | 76,41538462 |
| ZjuLEA-73 | NW | GSMUA_Achr9T27930_001 | 9 | 5,6464 | 0,3833 | 0,067883961 | 43,43384615 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | GSMUA_Achr9T08960_001 | 9 | 89,3162 | 0,3588 | 0,004017188 | 687,0476923 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | GSMUA_AchrUn_randomT27690_001 | scaffold | 78,4883 | 0,3648 | 0,004647826 | 603,7561538 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | GSMUA_Achr7T03290_001 | 7 | 77,507 | 0,3729 | 0,004811178 | 596,2076923 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | GSMUA_Achr8T34450_001 | 8 | 75,7868 | 0,4577 | 0,00603931 | 582,9753846 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | GSMUA_Achr10T04670_001 | 10 | 105,4188 | 0,4078 | 0,00386838 | 810,9138462 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | GSMUA_Achr6T19500_001 | 6 | 91,2570 | 0,4488 | 0,004917979 | 701,9769231 |
| Mean |  |  |  | 34,15 | 0,47 | 1,11 | 262,72 |

Ziziphus jujuba - Citrus sinensis

| ID | Chr. | Gene IDs | Chr. | Ks | Ka | Ka/Ks | Mya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-01 | 1 | orange1.1g044545m | scaffold | 1,6034 | 0,3814 | 0,237869527 | 12,33384615 |
| ZjuLEA-02 | 1 | orange1.1g042582m | scaffold | 1,0722 | 0,3033 | 0,282876329 | 8,247692308 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | orange1.1g039782m | scaffold | 1,8963 | 0,2049 | 0,108052523 | 14,58692308 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | orange1.1g042767m | scaffold | 55,296 | 0,2496 | 0,004513889 | 425,3538462 |
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| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | orange1.19040244m | scaffold | 12,0606 | 0,5246 | 0,043497007 | 92,77384615 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | orange1.1g039053m | scaffold | 50,9684 | 0,5143 | 0,010090566 | 392,0646154 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | orange1.1g022177m | scaffold | 2,8697 | 0,1998 | 0,069624003 | 22,07461538 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | orange1.1g047795m | scaffold | 50,1148 | 0,4939 | 0,009855372 | 385,4984615 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | orange1.19020687m | scaffold | 50,7671 | 0,4416 | 0,008698547 | 390,5161538 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | orange1.1g027729m | scaffold | 10,0380 | 0,3829 | 0,038145049 | 77,21538462 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | orange1.19028106m | scaffold | 2,2757 | 0,3880 | 0,17049699 | 17,50538462 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | orange1.1g028822m | scaffold | 14,6413 | 0,4267 | 0,029143587 | 112,6253846 |
| ZjuLEA-10 | 1 | orange1.1g018706m | scaffold | 1,4349 | 0,0635 | 0,044253955 | 11,03769231 |
| ZjuLEA-11 | 1 | orange1.19018706m | scaffold | 1,4349 | 0,0635 | 0,044253955 | 11,03769231 |
| ZjuLEA-12 | 1 | orange1.1g018706m | scaffold | 1,4349 | 0,0635 | 0,044253955 | 11,03769231 |
| ZjuLEA-13 | 1 | orange1.1g018706m | scaffold | 1,4349 | 0,0635 | 0,044253955 | 11,03769231 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | orange1.1g028208m | scaffold | 43,1877 | 0,2989 | 0,006920952 | 332,2130769 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | orange1.1g028399m | scaffold | 28,9246 | 0,4045 | 0,013984636 | 222,4969231 |
| ZjuLEA-16 | 2 | orange1.1g025262m | scaffold | 3,0625 | 0,3182 | 0,103902041 | 23,55769231 |
| ZjuLEA-17 | 2 | orange1.1g025262m | scaffold | 3,0625 | 0,3182 | 0,103902041 | 23,55769231 |
| ZjuLEA-20 | 2 | orange1.1g028932m | scaffold | 1,828 | 0,3424 | 0,187308534 | 14,06153846 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | orange1.1g039053m | scaffold | 1,5038 | 0,1685 | 0,112049475 | 11,56769231 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | orange1.19040244m | scaffold | 1,5949 | 0,2060 | 0,129161703 | 12,26846154 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | orange1.1g039782m | scaffold | 2,593 | 0,5731 | 0,221018126 | 19,94615385 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | orange1.19042767m | scaffold | 3,3209 | 0,4938 | 0,148694631 | 25,54538462 |
| ZjuLEA-22 | 2 | orange1.1g030102m | scaffold | 1,2341 | 0,1403 | 0,113686087 | 9,493076923 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | orange1.19046001m | scaffold | 3,9468 | 0,2202 | 0,055792034 | 30,36 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | orange1.19038380m | scaffold | 9,9901 | 0,3540 | 0,035435081 | 76,84692308 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | orange1.1g035654m | scaffold | 3,7042 | 0,4214 | 0,113762756 | 28,49384615 |
| ZjuLEA-29 | 4 | orange1.19023930m | scaffold | 3,5096 | 0,2525 | 0,071945521 | 26,99692308 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | orange1.1g045040m | scaffold | 2,3066 | 0,2727 | 0,11822596 | 17,74307692 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | orange1.1g047356m | scaffold | 1,9663 | 0,3766 | 0,191527234 | 15,12538462 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | orange1.19047356m | scaffold | 1,6312 | 0,2013 | 0,123406081 | 12,54769231 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | orange1.19045040m | scaffold | 4,1670 | 0,3726 | 0,089416847 | 32,05384615 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | orange1.19047356m | scaffold | 1,5558 | 0,2055 | 0,132086386 | 11,96769231 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | orange1.1g045040m | scaffold | 3,7577 | 0,3869 | 0,102961918 | 28,90538462 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | orange1.19020687m | scaffold | 1,0492 | 0,1018 | 0,097026306 | 8,070769231 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | orange1.1g047795m | scaffold | 1,2747 | 0,2443 | 0,191652938 | 9,805384615 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | orange1.19021795m | scaffold | 2,6532 | 0,4074 | 0,15355043 | 20,40923077 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | orange1.1g022177m | scaffold | 55,977 | 0,4025 | 0,007190453 | 430,5923077 |
| ZjuLEA-36 | 6 | orange1.1g038352m | scaffold | 1,4228 | 0,2706 | 0,190188361 | 10,94461538 |
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| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | orange1.1g038380m | scaffold | 15,9296 | 0,1943 | 0,012197419 | 122,5353846 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | orange1.19046001m | scaffold | 11,3127 | 0,2805 | 0,024795142 | 87,02076923 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | orange1.19035654m | scaffold | 7,3915 | 0,3008 | 0,040695393 | 56,85769231 |
| ZjuLEA-40 | 8 | orange1.1g048098m | scaffold | 5,3785 | 0,3939 | 0,073236032 | 41,37307692 |
| ZjuLEA-41 | 8 | orange1.1g038323m | scaffold | 1,7873 | 0,2371 | 0,1326582 | 13,74846154 |
| ZjuLEA-42 | 8 | orange1.1g038323m | scaffold | 1,7873 | 0,2371 | 0,1326582 | 13,74846154 |
| ZjuLEA-43 | 8 | orange1.1g038323m | scaffold | 1,7873 | 0,2371 | 0,1326582 | 13,74846154 |
| ZjuLEA-44 | 8 | orange1.19038323m | scaffold | 1,7873 | 0,2371 | 0,1326582 | 13,74846154 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | orange1.1g047795m | scaffold | 1,8001 | 0,1595 | 0,088606189 | 13,84692308 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | orange1.1g020687m | scaffold | 2,6168 | 0,2058 | 0,078645674 | 20,12923077 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | orange1.1g021795m | scaffold | 3,8795 | 0,4434 | 0,114293079 | 29,84230769 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | orange1.1g022177m | scaffold | 11,0825 | 0,4449 | 0,040144372 | 85,25 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | orange1.1g038380m | scaffold | 8,5836 | 0,3449 | 0,040181276 | 66,02769231 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | orange1.19046001m | scaffold | 6,6880 | 0,3890 | 0,058163876 | 51,44615385 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | orange1.1g027886m | scaffold | 3,4697 | 0,2487 | 0,071677667 | 26,69 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | orange1.1g035654m | scaffold | 13,1619 | 0,3918 | 0,029767739 | 101,2453846 |
| ZjuLEA-50 | 9 | orange1.19028150m | scaffold | 0,8510 | 0,0659 | 0,077438308 | 6,546153846 |
| ZjuLEA-51 | 10 | orange1.1g043069m | scaffold | 1,6692 | 0,5074 | 0,303977954 | 12,84 |
| ZjuLEA-52 | 11 | orange1.19048760m | scaffold | 1,6320 | 0,2125 | 0,130208333 | 12,55384615 |
| ZjuLEA-53 | 11 | orange1.1g043236m | scaffold | 6,0990 | 0,4289 | 0,070323004 | 46,91538462 |
| ZjuLEA-57 | 11 | orange1.1g029005m | scaffold | 2,6048 | 0,3209 | 0,123195639 | 20,03692308 |
| ZjuLEA-58 | 11 | orange1.1g028071m | scaffold | 8,5197 | 0,4693 | 0,055084099 | 65,53615385 |
| ZjuLEA-59 | 11 | orange1.1g028279m | scaffold | 2,2076 | 0,3015 | 0,136573655 | 16,98153846 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | orange1.19022177m | scaffold | 1,8074 | 0,1474 | 0,081553613 | 13,90307692 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | orange1.1g047795m | scaffold | 8,4307 | 0,5336 | 0,063292491 | 64,85153846 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | orange1.19020687m | scaffold | 12,0068 | 0,4487 | 0,03737049 | 92,36 |
| ZjuLEA-61 | 12 | orange1.1g028106m | scaffold | 1,7938 | 0,2202 | 0,12275616 | 13,79846154 |
| ZjuLEA-64 | 12 | orange1.1g027210m | scaffold | 1,9278 | 0,4072 | 0,211225231 | 14,82923077 |
| ZjuLEA-65 | 12 | orange1.1g027210m | scaffold | 8,3177 | 0,4064 | 0,048859661 | 63,98230769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | orange1.19028399m | scaffold | 64,2033 | 0,1853 | 0,002886144 | 493,8715385 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | orange1.1g028208m | scaffold | 15,5101 | 0,3401 | 0,021927647 | 119,3084615 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | orange1.19027210m | scaffold | 16,6693 | 0,2859 | 0,01715129 | 128,2253846 |
| ZjuLEA-68 | 12 | orange1.1g026507m | scaffold | 54,1430 | 0,4902 | 0,009053802 | 416,4846154 |
| ZjuLEA-69 | 12 | orange1.19026507m | scaffold | 54,1460 | 0,4902 | 0,0090533 | 416,5076923 |
| ZjuLEA-72 | NW | orange1.19024226m | scaffold | 1,5467 | 0,2656 | 0,171720437 | 11,89769231 |
| ZjuLEA-73 | NW | orange1.1g037451m | scaffold | 0,7964 | 0,1988 | 0,249623305 | 6,126153846 |
| ZjuLEA-88 | NW | orange1.1g037813m | scaffold | 49,0402 | 0,4391 | 0,008953879 | 377,2323077 |
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| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | orange1.1g028399m | scaffold | 64,2066 | 0,1856 | 0,002890669 | 493,8969231 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | orange1.1g028208m | scaffold | 15,4600 | 0,3401 | 0,021998706 | 118,9230769 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ziziphus jujuba - Arabidopsis thaliana

| ID | Chr. | Gene IDs | Chr. | Ks | Ka | $\mathrm{Ka} / \mathrm{Ks}$ | Mya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-02 | 1 | AT4G05220.1 | 4 | 7,8297 | 0,2924 | 0,037344981 | 60,22846154 |
| ZjuLEA-02 | 1 | AT1G61760.1 | 1 | 3,1959 | 0,3692 | 0,115523014 | 24,58384615 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | AT5G54370.1 | 5 | 37,2286 | 0,2754 | 0,007397538 | 286,3738462 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | AT4G27400.1 | 4 | 13,5971 | 0,3330 | 0,024490516 | 104,5930769 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | AT1G54890.1 | 1 | 8,9564 | 0,4062 | 0,045353044 | 68,89538462 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | AT5G60530.1 | 5 | 30,1448 | 0,5044 | 0,016732571 | 231,8830769 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | AT5G60520.1 | 5 | 49,1089 | 0,4936 | 0,010051131 | 377,7607692 |
| ZjuLEA-05 | 1 | AT3G19430.1 | 3 | 54,5870 | 0,59090 | 0,010824922 | 419,9 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | AT1G45688.1 | 1 | 50,7925 | 0,4931 | 0,009708126 | 390,7115385 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | AT3G24600.1 | 3 | 49,3062 | 0,6160 | 0,012493358 | 379,2784615 |
| ZjuLEA-08 | 1 | AT5G42860.1 | 5 | 46,3887 | 0,5181 | 0,011168668 | 356,8361538 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | AT1G52330.1 | 1 | 1,5145 | 0,4911 | 0,324265434 | 11,65 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | AT4G13270.1 | 4 | 4,8179 | 0,4918 | 0,102077669 | 37,06076923 |
| ZjuLEA-09 | 1 | AT1G52330.2 | 1 | 2,1340 | 0,5770 | 0,270384255 | 16,41538462 |
| ZjuLEA-10 | 1 | AT2G44060.2 | 2 | 4,6835 | 0,1568 | 0,033479236 | 36,02692308 |
| ZjuLEA-10 | 1 | AT2G44060.1 | 2 | 4,6841 | 0,1568 | 0,033474947 | 36,03153846 |
| ZjuLEA-11 | 1 | AT2G44060.2 | 2 | 4,6837 | 0,1568 | 0,033477806 | 36,02846154 |
| ZjuLEA-11 | 1 | AT2G44060.1 | 2 | 4,6839 | 0,1568 | 0,033476377 | 36,03 |
| ZjuLEA-12 | 1 | AT2G44060.2 | 2 | 4,6836 | 0,1568 | 0,033478521 | 36,02769231 |
| ZjuLEA-12 | 1 | AT2G44060.1 | 2 | 4,6834 | 0,1568 | 0,03347995 | 36,02615385 |
| ZjuLEA-13 | 1 | AT2G44060.2 | 2 | 4,6836 | 0,1568 | 0,033478521 | 36,02769231 |
| ZjuLEA-13 | 1 | AT2G44060.1 | 2 | 4,6838 | 0,1568 | 0,033477091 | 36,02923077 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT3G44220.1 | 3 | 47,9918 | 0,3864 | 0,008051375 | 369,1676923 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT3G11660.1 | 3 | 53,8475 | 0,4411 | 0,008191652 | 414,2115385 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT5G22200.1 | 5 | 19,5776 | 0,4003 | 0,020446837 | 150,5969231 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT5G06330.1 | 5 | 58,4695 | 0,4416 | 0,007552656 | 449,7653846 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT2G35960.1 | 2 | 55,1178 | 0,5004 | 0,009078737 | 423,9830769 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT3G52470.1 | 3 | 54,3270 | 0,5201 | 0,009573509 | 417,9 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT4G09590.1 | 4 | 57,0007 | 0,5785 | 0,010148998 | 438,4669231 |
| ZjuLEA-15 | 2 | AT2G35970.1 | 2 | 9,2790 | 0,5886 | 0,06343356 | 71,37692308 |
| ZjuLEA-16 | 2 | AT2G27080.1 | 2 | 2,8952 | 0,3315 | 0,114499862 | 22,27076923 |


| ZjuLEA-16 | 2 | AT2G27080.2 | 2 | 2,8953 | 0,3315 | 0,114495907 | 22,27153846 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-16 | 2 | AT5G21130.1 | 5 | 2,4056 | 0,5085 | 0,211381776 | 18,50461538 |
| ZjuLEA-17 | 2 | AT2G27080.1 | 2 | 2,8953 | 0,3315 | 0,114495907 | 22,27153846 |
| ZjuLEA-17 | 2 | AT2G27080.2 | 2 | 2,8952 | 0,3315 | 0,114499862 | 22,27076923 |
| ZjuLEA-17 | 2 | AT5G21130.1 | 5 | 2,4056 | 0,5085 | 0,211381776 | 18,50461538 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | AT5G60530.1 | 5 | 3,6526 | 0,3005 | 0,082270164 | 28,09692308 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | AT5G60520.1 | 5 | 3,1582 | 0,2303 | 0,072921284 | 24,29384615 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | AT4G27400.1 | 4 | 51,1279 | 0,5274 | 0,010315307 | 393,2915385 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | AT5G54370.1 | 5 | 4,9119 | 0,5651 | 0,11504713 | 37,78384615 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | AT1G54890.1 | 1 | 11,7441 | 0,5378 | 0,045793207 | 90,33923077 |
| ZjuLEA-21 | 2 | AT3G19430.1 | 3 | 55,6488 | 0,6936 | 0,012463881 | 428,0676923 |
| ZjuLEA-22 | 2 | AT3G44380.1 | 3 | 2,1264 | 0,1798 | 0,084556057 | 16,35692308 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | AT3G22490. 1 | 3 | 40,8769 | 0,3842 | 0,009398951 | 314,4376923 |
| ZjuLEA-23 | 2 | AT3G22500.1 | 3 | 40,7638 | 0,4223 | 0,010359682 | 313,5676923 |
| ZjuLEA-28 | 3 | AT5G53730.1 | 5 | 2,8131 | 0,2780 | 0,098823362 | 21,63923077 |
| ZjuLEA-29 | 4 | AT2G01080.1 | 2 | 4,1884 | 0,2472 | 0,059020151 | 32,21846154 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | AT5G36970.1 | 5 | 4,6606 | 0,3506 | 0,075226366 | 35,85076923 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | AT1G65690.1 | 1 | 4,8801 | 0,3320 | 0,068031393 | 37,53923077 |
| ZjuLEA-30 | 4 | AT1G54540.1 | 1 | 16,2181 | 0,5329 | 0,03285835 | 124,7546154 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | AT1G54540.1 | 1 | 3,3714 | 0,3525 | 0,104555971 | 25,93384615 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | AT5G36970.1 | 5 | 2,4691 | 0,3972 | 0,160868333 | 18,99307692 |
| ZjuLEA-33 | 5 | AT1G65690.1 | 1 | 4,0303 | 0,3972 | 0,098553458 | 31,00230769 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | AT1G54540.1 | 1 | 3,3980 | 0,3494 | 0,102825191 | 26,13846154 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | AT5G36970.1 | 5 | 2,3522 | 0,4034 | 0,171499022 | 18,09384615 |
| ZjuLEA-34 | 5 | AT1G65690.1 | 1 | 3,4702 | 0,4035 | 0,116275719 | 26,69384615 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | AT1G45688.1 | 1 | 4,3493 | 0,2141 | 0,049226312 | 33,45615385 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | AT5G42860.1 | 5 | 2,6598 | 0,2464 | 0,092638544 | 20,46 |
| ZjuLEA-35 | 6 | AT1G45688.2 | 1 | 13,7242 | 0,2095 | 0,015265006 | 105,5707692 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | AT3G22490.1 | 3 | 17,813 | 0,2516 | 0,014124516 | 137,0230769 |
| ZjuLEA-38 | 7 | AT3G22500.1 | 3 | 40,3235 | 0,2922 | 0,007246395 | 310,1807692 |
| ZjuLEA-40 | 8 | AT2G46150.1 | 2 | 2,08164 | 0,3856 | 0,185238562 | 16,01261538 |
| ZjuLEA-41 | 8 | AT4G01410.1 | 4 | 4,6628 | 0,4412 | 0,094621258 | 35,86769231 |
| ZjuLEA-42 | 8 | AT4G01410.1 | 4 | 4,6627 | 0,4412 | 0,094623287 | 35,86692308 |
| ZjuLEA-43 | 8 | AT4G01410.1 | 4 | 4,5570 | 0,3875 | 0,085034014 | 35,05384615 |
| ZjuLEA-44 | 8 | AT4G01410.1 | 4 | 4,5569 | 0,3875 | 0,08503588 | 35,05307692 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | AT1G45688.1 | 1 | 3,6651 | 0,2824 | 0,077051104 | 28,19307692 |
| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | AT5G42860.1 | 5 | 4,1567 | 0,3164 | 0,076118074 | 31,97461538 |


| ZjuLEA-45 | 8 | AT1G45688.2 | 1 | 8,9626 | 0,2750 | 0,030683061 | 68,94307692 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | AT3G22490.1 | 3 | 10,5318 | 0,3604 | 0,034220171 | 81,01384615 |
| ZjuLEA-49 | 9 | AT3G22500.1 | 3 | 18,7711 | 0,3936 | 0,020968404 | 144,3930769 |
| ZjuLEA-50 | 9 | AT3G62580.1 | 3 | 2,1320 | 0,1168 | 0,05478424 | 16,4 |
| ZjuLEA-51 | 10 | AT3G26350.1 | 3 | 22,5589 | 0,5311 | 0,023542815 | 173,53 |
| ZjuLEA-51 | 10 | AT1G13050.1 | 1 | 3,5734 | 0,5617 | 0,157189232 | 27,48769231 |
| ZjuLEA-51 | 10 | AT1G13050.2 | 1 | 3,1249 | 0,3669 | 0,117411757 | 24,03769231 |
| ZjuLEA-57 | 11 | AT5G45320.1 | 5 | 51,1377 | 0,3666 | 0,007168879 | 393,3669231 |
| ZjuLEA-60 | 12 | AT3G24600.1 | 3 | 2,3343 | 0,5477 | 0,234631367 | 17,95615385 |
| ZjuLEA-61 | 12 | AT4G13270.1 | 4 | 3,7012 | 0,3581 | 0,096752405 | 28,47076923 |
| ZjuLEA-65 | 12 | AT2G35980.1 | 2 | 17,7180 | 0,4418 | 0,024935094 | 136,2923077 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT3G11660.1 | 3 | 36,0852 | 0,2414 | 0,006689723 | 277,5784615 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT3G52470.1 | 3 | 59,9217 | 0,2527 | 0,00421717 | 460,9361538 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT2G35960.1 | 2 | 37,6803 | 0,2718 | 0,007213318 | 289,8484615 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT3G44220.1 | 3 | 33,4892 | 0,2959 | 0,008835684 | 257,6092308 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT5G06330.1 | 5 | 47,1461 | 0,2887 | 0,006123518 | 362,6623077 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT5G22200.1 | 5 | 2,7797 | 0,3705 | 0,133287765 | 21,38230769 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT2G35970.1 | 2 | 61,5354 | 0,3723 | 0,006050176 | 473,3492308 |
| ZjuLEA-66 | 12 | AT4G09590.1 | 4 | 61,6527 | 0,3725 | 0,006041909 | 474,2515385 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | AT2G35980.1 | 2 | 48,8477 | 0,3540 | 0,007247015 | 375,7515385 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | AT3G11650.1 | 3 | 2,1927 | 0,4626 | 0,210972773 | 16,86692308 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | AT5G06320.1 | 5 | 61,0815 | 0,3833 | 0,006275222 | 469,8576923 |
| ZjuLEA-67 | 12 | AT2G35460.1 | 2 | 58,9563 | 0,5081 | 0,008618248 | 453,51 |
| ZjuLEA-73 | NW | AT4G26490.1 | 4 | 4,2939 | 0,3328 | 0,077505298 | 33,03 |
| ZjuLEA-73 | NW | AT5G56050.1 | 5 | 2,6475 | 0,3862 | 0,145873466 | 20,36538462 |
| ZjuLEA-88 | NW | AT1G72100.1 | 1 | 9,2716 | 0,5767 | 0,062200699 | 71,32 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT3G11660.1 | 3 | 36,2633 | 0,2414 | 0,006656868 | 278,9484615 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT3G52470.1 | 3 | 59,9135 | 0,2526 | 0,004216078 | 460,8730769 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT2G35960.1 | 2 | 37,2470 | 0,2719 | 0,007299917 | 286,5153846 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT3G44220.1 | 3 | 32,7745 | 0,2959 | 0,00902836 | 252,1115385 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT5G06330.1 | 5 | 50,8205 | 0,2887 | 0,005680778 | 390,9269231 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT5G22200.1 | 5 | 2,7797 | 0,3705 | 0,133287765 | 21,38230769 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT2G35970.1 | 2 | 61,5368 | 0,3722 | 0,006048413 | 473,36 |
| ZjuLEA-93 | NW | AT4G09590.1 | 4 | 61,6591 | 0,3722 | 0,006036416 | 474,3007692 |
| Mean |  |  |  | 21,15 | 0,37 | 0,06 | 162,69 |
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#### Abstract

The pressure exerted on a water distribution system due to population increase and aging of the system amounts to routine assessment of its functionality. waterCAD and waterGEMS software was used comparatively in evaluating the serviceability of the water distribution system of Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi. A steady state analysis was also carried out to determine hydraulic parameters such as pressure, velocity, head loss, and flow rate. The result of the statistical analysis revealed that both simulators can be used interchangeably since there were no statistical differences. The pressure result indicated low head within the system which resulted to $(100 \%)$ of the nodes operating below the adopted system pressure of 10 meters. Also, ( $85 \%$ ) of the system velocity was within the range of $0.2-3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ adopted while $15 \%$ of the velocity exceeded the adopted velocity. The resultant effect of very high velocities in the system accounted for the pipe burst and leakages detected within the system. Hence, the system requires strengthen for optimum performance.


## ÖZ

Nüfus artışına ve sistemin yaşlanmasına bağlı olarak bir su dağıtım sistemine uygulanan baskı, işlevselliğinin rutin değerlendirmesine eşittir. WaterCAD ve waterGEMS yazılımı, Federal Tarım Üniversitesi Makurdi'nin su dağııım sisteminin servis edilebilirliğinin değerlendirilmesinde karşılaştırmalı olarak kullanılmıştır. Basınç, hız, kafa kaybı ve akış hızı gibi hidrolik parametreleri belirlemek için bir kararlı durum analizi de gerçekleştirilmiştir. İstatistiksel analizin sonucu, her iki simülatörün birbirinin yerine kullanılabileceğini, çünkü istatistiksel farklılık olmadığını ortaya koymuştur. Basınç sonucu, sistemdeki düşük basma yüksekliğini gösterdi, bu da kabul edilen 10 metrelik sistem basıncının altında çalışan düğümlerin (\% 100) sonucunu verdi. Ayrıca, sistem hızının (\% 85) kabul edilen 0.2-3 m / s aralığındayken, hızın\% 15 'i kabul edilen hızı aşmıştır. Sistemdeki çok yüksek hızların sonuçta ortaya çıkan etkisi, sistemde tespit edilen boru patlaması ve kaçakları açıklamıştır. Bu nedenle, sistem optimum performans için güçlendirmeyi gerektirir.

## 1. Introduction

Drinking water serves as an essential element for life's sustenance and is also a required fundamental element with which almost all biotic components carry out their different activities of life [1]. As such, it is needful to pay close attention to the means via which this water is conveyed to consumers at their various stop taps. One of the most predominant factors affecting the performance of an existing network is the increase in population and its associated demand requirements which may call for complete reticulation or rehabilitation of the existing system. In evaluating the efficiency of a water distribution system, the design forms an integral part of the water supply setup which contributes enormously to curbing expenditures incurred during procurement and construction [2]. Hence, the need for a systematic design to achieve optimum system performance. Effective water supply in this instance is of major importance in the
design of a new water distribution network, expanding of the existing network or strengthening it. The objectives attributed to a distribution system are to supply water to every household, industrial plants, and public places by means of a piping system at sufficient quantity and adequate pressure, without compromising its quality [3].

Agunwamba et al. [4] defined a water distribution system as 'a system that supplies water with good quality, adequate quantities and at sufficient pressure to meet system requirements to the users'. Water distribution systems are required to supply water at a stipulated pressure based on the consumer's demands which varies throughout the day, week, month and year. According to AWWA [5], the minimum pressure that should be observed at junctions throughout the system varies, and this depends on the type of water consuming sector and regulations that govern the system which typically operates between $275800-689500 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. However, the design of a water distribution system (WDS) and how it supplies water to users and its layout is related to their performance. A water distribution system (WDS) can be designed to supply water to its users through gravity flow, mechanical pumping or both. A system of water supply during its entire life should be able to provide the required quantity of water for the expected loading conditions with the desired residual pressures at all nodes. With the installation of distribution reservoirs and elevated tanks within different supply zones, some consumers are still left with little or no water supply [5]. Since water distribution systems are mostly designed and constructed to function for a long period of time, factors that affect the future performance of the system must be taken into cognizance. Some of these factors are population increase, the need for system expansion, pipe length, diameter, and pump capacity etc.

Water pollution, which has a negative impact on the quality of life of the society, is increasingly reaching to threatening dimensions [7]. One amongst the most disturbing issues faced by consumers of water in some part of the world is the unavailability of quality water and also the quantity of water that reach consumers at various supply outlets. According to Neelakantan et al [8] the problems generally faced with a water distribution system (WDS) arise from the following categories; (i) designing a new network (ii) modifying or expanding an existing network, (iii) operating an existing system.

Other problems faced with a water distribution system (WDS) are: increased service connections than estimated, expansion of service areas, breakage of network distribution components and increased roughness of pipe surface as a result of ageing. This study is aimed at evaluating the functionality of the water distribution network of Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi using WaterCAD and WaterGEMS simulators. This will help to understand the needs of the system and also assist in the improvement of the long term planning of its utilities.

## 2. Material and Method

## Study Area

The study was carried out at Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi (FUAM). This University is a higher education institution that is located in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. The University lies at latitude $7^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ North and Longitude $8^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ East of the Middle Belt region of Nigeria and it covers a land mass of $7,978 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. It is bounded on the North East by Guma Local Government Area and by River Benue in the South. Topographically, it is located in the Middle belt region of Nigeria and is characterized by gentle hills, clay soils, and tropical climate with two main seasons (rainy and dry seasons).


Figure 1. Google Earth Map of Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi

## Data Collection

To assign demand to each node, the following components were taken into consideration; population demand, minor losses, fire demand and unaccounted for water. Population demand refers to the amount of water that is extracted from a particular node by that population served by that node [4]. Unaccounted for water consists basically of two components: water lost from the system and water used but not sufficiently documented [9]. Linkungan [10] stated that a provision of $10 \%$ of the population demand is added as fire demand in the case of fire outbreak. A $5 \%$ provision for minor losses is given. This accounts for losses where there are bends, valves, and fittings. Some other details required for the hydraulic simulation are Population served, Total pipe length, Demand per capita and Daily peak factor given as $29,121,8,779 \mathrm{~m}$, $120 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}$ and 1.5 , respectively. Table 1 shows the analysis of nodal demands at each node.

Table 1. Analysis of Demands at the Distribution Network Nodes

| Node ID | Name | Population | Lepd | Daily Demand (l/day) | Demand $(1 / s)$ | Fire <br> Demand $10 \%$ | Minor <br> Losses <br> 5\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UFW } \\ & \mathbf{1 5 \%} \end{aligned}$ | Total Nodal Drawoff $(1 / s)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Meg Icheen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hall. | 616 | 120 | 73920 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.12 |
|  | Block B | 616 | 120 | 73920 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.12 |
|  | DTH Hall | 616 | 120 | 73920 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.12 |
|  | Block C | 180 | 120 | 19200 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.28 |
| 2 | Gauis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IgboeliBldg | 5342 | 120 | 641040 | 7.42 | 0.74 | 0.37 | 1.11 | 9.64 |
| 3 | Block A | 5221 | 120 | 626520 | 7.25 | 0.73 | 0.36 | 1.09 | 9.43 |
| 4 | Senior Staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Qtrs | 840 | 120 | 100800 | 1.17 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 1.53 |
| 7 | FST Cmplx | 3028 | 120 | 363360 | 4.21 | 0.42 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 5.47 |


| 8 | Engr'ring <br> Cmplx | 2420 | 120 | 290400 | 3.36 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 4.37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Zamfara |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hostel | 616 | 120 | 73920 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.12 |
|  | Block E | 616 | 120 | 73920 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.12 |
|  | Block F | 616 | 120 | 73920 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.12 |
| 10 | Mgt. Sc. | 1300 | 120 | 156000 | 1.81 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 2.35 |
| 11 | Agronomy | 1986 | 120 | 238320 | 2.76 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 3.59 |
|  | Agric. Ext | 1988 | 120 | 238560 | 2.76 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 3.59 |
| 12 | PG Sch | 340 | 120 | 40800 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.61 |
| 14 | Animal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sciences | 1915 | 120 | 229800 | 2.66 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.40 | 3.46 |

KEY: UFW = Unaccounted-for-water

## WaterGEMS and WaterCAD Simulators

WaterGEMS V8i provides a friendly interface for engineers to analyze, design and optimize water distribution systems. This software manages the water system data, time-series hydraulic result, current and future scenarios and other core infrastructure data all within the same GIS environment [11]. Also, according to [12] network variables such as; flow, pressure, and velocity along with their optimization can be controlled because waterGEMS V8i has strong design algorithm for accurate design of the network.

WaterCAD is a hydraulic software and water quality modeling application for water distribution systems. waterCAD helps engineers and users to analyze, design and optimize water distribution systems. It is developed by the Bentley company and has the following capabilities; Building a network and performing a steady state analysis, Extended period simulations (EPS), Interface and graphical editing, Streamlined model building, Water quality analysis, Automated Fire flow analysis, Reporting results, Pressure dependent demand, Darwin designer to optimize a pipe network, Critical and segmentation and Comprehensive scenario management.

## 3. Results

Table 2 shows the result of flow rate $(1 / \mathrm{s})$, velocity $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$, and headloss ( m ) for both waterCAD and waterGEMS simulators. While Table 3 shows the result of pressure fluctuation within the distribution system.

Table 2. Pipe information/Pipe output data

| Pipe | Start Node | Stop <br> Node | Diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Flow <br> $(\mathbf{1 / s})$ | Velocity <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$ | Head <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R-1 | Pmpss |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Pmp-1 | CV-1 | 250 | 250 | 67.00 | 30 | 0.62 | 0.09 |
| 3 | J-1 | T-2 | 110 | 447.33 | 30 | 0.62 | 0.59 |  |
| 4 | T-2 | T-3 | 110 | 210.00 | 22 | 2.29 | 8.17 |  |
| 5 | J-1 | J-2 | 250 | 3000 | -36 | 3.79 | 8.66 |  |
| 6 | J-2 | T-4 | 110 | 71.00 | 6 | 0.12 | 0.02 |  |
| 7 | J-2 | CV-2 | 250 | 61.14 | 23 | 2.60 | 3.49 | 0.47 |
| 8 | J-3 | T-5 | 110 | 83.24 | -29 | 3.08 | 5.05 |  |
| 9 | CV-2 | J-3 | 250 | 50.40 | 23 | 0.47 | 0.04 |  |
| 10 | J-3 | J-4 | 250 | $1,301.00$ | 45 | 0.92 | 3.56 |  |
| 11 | J-4 | CV-3 | 225 | 0.03 | -25 | 0.64 | 0.00 |  |
| 12 | CV-3 | T-1 | 225 | 730.00 | -25 | 0.64 | 1.16 |  |
| 13 | J-4 | J-5 | 250 | 636.26 | 69 | 1.41 | 3.88 |  |


| 14 | J-5 | CV-4 | 250 | 689.24 | 69 | 1.41 | 4.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | CV-4 | J-6 | 250 | 62.45 | 69 | 1.41 | 0.38 |
| 16 | J-6 | CV-5 | 250 | 670.69 | 69 | 1.41 | 4.09 |
| 17 | CV-5 | J-7 | 250 | 32.05 | 69 | 1.41 | 0.20 |
| 18 | J-7 | T-6 | 225 | 149.00 | 48 | 1.20 | 0.76 |
| 19 | J-7 | J-8 | 225 | 350.00 | -6 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| 20 | J-8 | T-7 | 63 | 49.00 | -10 | 3.05 | 6.20 |
| 21 | T-7 | CV-6 | 63 | 5.40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22 | CV-6 | T-8 | 63 | 4.60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 23 | J-8 | CV-7 | 225 | 718.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 24 | J-9 | T-9 | 110 | 43.00 | -29 | 3.08 | 2.89 |
| 25 | CV-7 | J-9 | 225 | 26.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | J-9 | T-10 | 110 | 93.46 | 29 | 3.08 | 6.27 |
| 27 | J-7 | J-10 | 250 | 195.00 | 24 | 0.48 | 0.16 |
| 28 | J-10 | T-11 | 110 | 41.00 | 22 | 2.29 | 1.60 |
| 29 | J-10 | CV-8 | 250 | 540.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 30 | CV-8 | J-11 | 250 | 29.94 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 31 | J-11 | T-12 | 110 | 49.00 | 11 | 1.13 | 0.52 |
| 32 | J-11 | T-13 | 110 | 116.00 | 19 | 2.00 | 3.52 |
| 33 | J-11 | J-12 | 250 | 182.00 | -35 | 0.72 | 0.32 |
| 34 | J-12 | T-14 | 110 | 53.00 | -36 | 3.77 | 5.17 |
| 35 | CV-1 | J-1 | 250 | 18.67 | 30 | 0.62 | 0.02 |
| 36 | J-12 | CV-10 | 250 | 237.16 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 37 | CV-10 | J-13 | 250 | 27.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 38 | J-13 | T-15 | 110 | 54.00 | 16 | 1.67 | 1.16 |
| 39 | J-13 | J-14 | 250 | 773.00 | -17 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| 40 | J-14 | T-16 | 110 | 108.00 | -20 | 2.07 | 3.49 |

KEY: CV= Check Valve; P = Pipe; J = Junction; T = Tank; Pmp = Pump; R = Reservoir

Table 3. Nodal elevation and Pressures result in waterCAD/waterGEMS

| Junction | Elevation $(\mathbf{m})$ | Pressure $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 110.00 | 7.65 |
| 2 | 112.00 | 5.53 |
| 3 | 113.00 | 4.56 |
| 4 | 105.00 | 8.99 |
| 5 | 101.00 | 9.02 |
| 6 | 103.00 | 2.41 |
| 7 | 100.00 | 1.21 |
| 8 | 101.00 | 0.23 |
| 9 | 115.00 | 7.24 |
| 10 | 99.00 | 2.06 |
| 11 | 102.00 | 1.99 |
| 12 | 100.00 | 4.27 |
| 13 | 111.00 | 1.63 |
| 14 | 110.00 | 2.96 |

## 4. Discussion

## Output of Nodal Demand ( $1 / \mathrm{s}$ ) in waterCAD and waterGEMS

Figure 3 shows the result of nodal demand at various nodes for waterCAD and waterGEMS simulators. Nodes 2, 3, 7 and 11 are areas with particularly high draw-outs with nodes 2 and 3 having the highest demands. Nodal demands are mainly based on the population served by that particular node [4]. Nodes 2 and 3 happen to have the highest population which is evident in the amount of draw-outs at those nodes.


Figure 3. Nodal Demand Output in WaterCAD/WaterGEMS

## Output of Flow Rate and Velocity Fluctuations in WaterCAD/WaterGEMS Simulators

The output result of velocity and flow rate at various pipes is presented in Figure 4 and 5, respectively. The velocity of flow including those greater than $3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ were depicted with 6 out of the 40 pipes having velocities greater than $3.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ while others have their velocities within the range of $0.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to $3.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and some less. Very high velocities occurred in pipes $4,8,20,24,26$ and 34 within the system. One of the parameters that should be considered when quality of water in a distribution system is altered is velocity [13]. For the distribution system under study, the most eminent causes of velocity fluctuation are: (i) Changes in demand (nodal draw-off), (ii) Changes in transmission conditions. Very high velocity changes in a distribution system can cause leakage, when there are pipe burst and subsequent entering of water into the system [14]. In other to maintain an adequate flow velocity in the system, the step-down approach should be employed. This will require a progressive decrease in the size of the pipes so that a higher flow velocity can be achieved in the entire loop or system. This would also help to maintain a consistent pressure throughout the system.


Figure 4. Results of Velocities in WaterCAD/WaterGEMS


Figure 5. Results of Flow rate of waterCAD/waterGEMS Simulators

## Pressure Fluctuations and Node Elevations in the System

Figure 6 shows the result of pressure distribution at various nodes and the elevation of the nodes within the distribution system for waterCAD/waterGEMS simulators while Figure 7 shows the contour plot of pressure distribution. The minimum pressure adopted for this study is 10 m . Nodes $\mathrm{J} 1-\mathrm{J} 14$ all fell below the minimum adopted system pressure. This indicates that the pressure within the distribution system is low and not sufficient enough for effective system performance. This can be attributed to a number of factors which include: (i) pipe roughness, (ii) leakages (iii) equipment failure, (iv) elevation.


Figure 6. Result of Pressure and elevation at various nodes within the distribution system for waterCAD/waterGEMS simulators

## Statistical Comparison of Results

Single Factor ANOVA test was used in comparing the level of significant difference in results obtained from both simulators. This test was carried out on pressure, velocity, and nodal demand results. Table 4 shows the summary of ANOVA test for results of pressure, nodal demand, velocity, and headloss obtained using waterCAD and waterGEMS simulators.

Table 4. Summary of ANOVA Result for waterCAD and waterGEMS Simulator

| Parameter | Fcritical | F | P-value | Remark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Pressure <br> Nodal <br> demand | 4.23 | $1.4 \times E-5$ | 0.998 | No significant difference in waterCAD and waterGEMS pressure output |
| Velocity | 4.3 | $7.8 \times E-5$ | 0.993 | No significant difference in waterCAD and waterGEMS demand output |
| Headloss | 3.96 | $2.4 \times E-4$ | 0.988 | No significant difference in waterCAD and waterGEMS velocity output |

The results all showed that there was no statistically significant difference between velocity ( $\mathrm{p}=0.988$ ), pressure ( p $=0.998$ ), nodal demand ( $\mathrm{p}=0.993$ ) and headloss ( $\mathrm{p}=0.990$ ) values obtained from both waterCAD and waterGEMS simulators at $\alpha=0.05$. The system recorded an average value of $1.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for velocity, 3.38 m for pressure, 1.91 for headloss and $3.38 \mathrm{l} /$ s for nodal demand. Eighty-five percent ( $85 \%$ ) of both waterCAD and waterGEMS velocity results were within the adopted range of $0.2-3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ while fifteen percent ( $15 \%$ ) of the velocity results violated the adopted velocity range. Pressure results for both waterCAD and waterGEMS recorded a hundred percent ( $100 \%$ ) value below the adopted system pressure of 10 m . The adopted system pressure was influenced by the height of buildings ( 10 m ) within the location of study.

## 5. Conclusions

The focus of this study is to analyze the water distribution system of Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi and to identify deficiencies (if any) that may be present in the system using waterCAD and waterGEMS simulators. There was pressure fluctuation in the system and this is as a result of elevation changes and draws out at the nodes. The system recorded insufficient pressure to meet the required demand at all the junctions. A number of pipes within the system recorded velocities within the adopted velocity; however, very high velocities were recorded at some points. Leakages and pipe burst were also noticed, hence requiring strengthening of the system for improved system performance.
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