# ReeStudies

VOL 12 ISSUE 2 DECEMBER 2020 e-ISSN 2717-9893

# TAGEM JOURNALS



*"Bee Studies"* is the successor to the "Arıcılık Araştırma Dergisi" which has been published since 2009. Based on the experience and strengths of its predecessor, "*Bee Studies*" has been developed to create a truly international forum for the communication of studies in bee science.

"Bee Studies" is an academic journal has been published biannually, free of charge and open accessed by Apiculture Research Institute. It covers research and requirements of all works within the areas of bee science and technology. The Journal publishes original research papers and review articles on applied and scientific research in English language

# Aims and Scope

The articles published in our journal are in the following areas:

- Bee Genetics and Breeding
- Colony Management
- Queen Bee Breeding
- Bee Diseases and Pests
- Apitherapy
- Production and Processing Techniques of Bee Products
- Food Safety in Bee Products
- Regional Honeys and Their Properties
- Beekeeping Economy
- Mechanization in Beekeeping
- Entomology
- Pollination

Further information for "Bee Studies" is accessible on the address below indicated:

# https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/bst

You can reach the accepted manucsript and published articles, instructions for authors as well as the other details about our journal from this home page.

# Correspondence Address

Address : Arıcılık Araştırma Enstitüsü Müdürlüğü (Apiculture Research Institute)

Ordu-Ulubey Karayolu 12.Km Dedeli Yerleşkesi (Pk:10) Altınordu, Ordu, Turkey

- Web : <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/bst</u>
- E-mail : <u>beestudies@tarimorman.gov.tr</u>

Phone : + 90 452 256 23 41-129

Fax :+ 90 452 256 24 71



EDITORIAL BOARD

# Editor in Chief

Rahşan İvgin TUNCA, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Muğla, Turkey Fazıl GÜNEY, Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey

# Advisory Board

(Alphabetical Order by Surname)

Muhsin DOĞAROĞLU, Tekirdağ University (Retired), Edirne, Turkey Meral KENCE, Middle East Technical University (Retired), Ankara, Turkey Kadriye SORKUN, Hacettepe University (Retired), Ankara, Turkey

# **Managing Editors**

Neslihan ÇAKICI, Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey Samet OKUYAN, Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey

# **Editorial Board**

# (Alphabetical Order by Surname) Charles I. ABRAMSON, Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma, United States Ali Timuçin ATAYOĞLU, İstanbul Medipol University, İstanbul, Turkey İlhan AYDIN, General Directorate of Agricultural Research And Policies, Ankara, Turkey Levent AYDIN, Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey Otillia BOBIS, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Cluj-Napoca, Romania Soner CANKAYA, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey Cecilia COSTA, Council for Agricultural Research and Agricultural Economy Analysis, Rome, Italy Paul CROSS, Bangor University, Bangor, United Kingdom Noureddine DJEBLI, University of Abdelhamid Ibn Badis, Mostaganem, Algeria Mehmet Emin DURU, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Muğla, Turkey Halit Vasfi GENCER, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey Tuğrul GİRAY, University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico Ahmet GÜLER, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey Dilek KABAKÇI, Muş Alparslan University, Muş İrfan KANDEMİR, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey Kemal KARABAĞ, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey Max KASPAREK, Editor of Middle East Zoology, Germany Merve KESKIN, Bilecik Şeyh Edebali University, Bilecik, Turkey Sevgi KOLAYLI, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey Rodica Mărgăoan, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Cluj-Napoca, Romania Devrim OSKAY, Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey Aslı ÖZKÖK, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey Sibel SİLİCİ, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey Çiğdem TAKMA, Ege University, İzmir, Turkey Rahşan İvgin TUNCA, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Muğla, Turkey Halil YENİNAR, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey Banu YÜCEL, Ege University, İzmir, Turkey

# **Technical Editors**

Salim AKTÜRK, Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey Veli ÖZ, Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey Saffet SANSAR, Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey



# Paper Categories

*Research Papers*, which report novel information, beyond simply representing confirmation of previously published work. Research papers must not exceed 20 manuscript pages, including tables and figures.

*Short Papers* are used to publish results which represent a major finding or discovery, first records, report& observations are welcome. Short Papers should contain sufficient data to establish that the research has achieved reliable and significant results.

Technical Notes should report new methods and/or procedures for research methodology.

Short papers and technical notes, which present results of brief but significant work, must not exceed 10 manuscript pages, including tables and figures.

Review articles, should not exceed 30 manuscript pages, including tables and figures.

# Preparation of Manuscripts for Submission

Submission of an article implies that the presented work and results have not been published or submitted for publication elsewhere and that its publication is approved by all authors. All authors are requested to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest.

Main Document (not including names and addresses)

Main document is usually divided into following sections:

- ✓ Title of manuscript
- $\checkmark$  Number of pages
- $\checkmark$  Number of Tables
- $\checkmark$  Abstract with keywords:
- ✓ Introduction
- ✓ Materials & Methods
- ✓ Results
- ✓ Discussion & Conclusion
- ✓ Acknowledgements
- ✓ References
- ✓ Tables
- ✓ Figures

Abstract should not exceed;

250 words in papers,

Three to five keywords (not existing in the title) should be listed below the abstract. The abstract must be in only English.

# References

The 6<sup>th</sup> Edition of APA Citation guidelines should be used. You can use the following guide for reference formatting: <u>clicking this link</u>

# Acknowledgements

Authors are requested to identify all sources of financial support for the presented research.



# Title Page

- $\checkmark$  Authors' full names, with an asterisk after the name of the corresponding author
- ✓ Authors' affiliations and postal addresses, including an e-mail address of the corresponding author
- $\checkmark$  <u>ORCID</u> Links of the authors must be written.
- $\checkmark$  Phone numbers of the corresponding author

# Tables

Tables should be numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals (1, 2, 3, ...), with each table presented on a separate sheet. Title of the table should be written on top of the table. Descriptions about table items should be placed at the bottom of the table and marked with an asterisk. Tables should be prepared in regular MS Word format (i.e., tables submitted as a figure or manually written will not be accepted)

# Figures

Figures should be numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals (1, 2, 3, ...), with each Figure presented on a separate sheet. Title of the figure and descriptions about figure items should be placed at the bottom of the figure.

 $\checkmark$  Tables and Figures can be cited in the text consecutively.

✓ Tables and Figures should be embedded in the manuscript document and provided at the end of the document.

 $\checkmark$  Figures should have a minimum resolution of 300 dpi.

# Copyright Release Form

During the submission process, authors will be directed to download and complete a <u>"Copyright Release Form"</u> which will have to be signed by all the authors.

The author(s) warrant(s) that:

- $\checkmark$  The manuscript submitted is his/her/their original work;
- ✓ All authors participated in the work substantially and are prepared to take public responsibility for the work;
- $\checkmark$  All authors have seen and approved the manuscript as submitted;
- ✓ The manuscript has not been published and is not being submitted or considered for publication elsewhere;

 $\checkmark$  The text, illustrations, and any other materials included in the manuscript do not infringe upon any existing copyright or other rights of anyone.

 $\checkmark$  Bee Studies has unlimited, worldwide, irrevocable, royalty-free right to publish, use, distribute, license, transmit, display, exhibit, record, store, translate, digitize, broadcast, reproduce and archive, in any format or medium, whether now known or hereafter developed.

 $\checkmark$  Authors must clarify their changes in the Cover Letter, including point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments.

 $\checkmark$  Submission of all types of manuscripts to Bee Studies is completely managed online, via the <u>Online Submission</u> <u>System</u>. During the submission process, authors will be guided step-by-step while uploading all required documents and information.

# Manuscript Submission

Bee Studies welcomes publishing of no more than one manuscript with the same first and/or corresponding authors within 12 months.

The authors are not allowed to submit two or more manuscripts at the same time and the author who has a progressing process of a manuscript also is not allowed to submit a new manuscript until the process is ended.



# **INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS**

# In case of resubmitting rejected manuscripts in Bee Studies:

Authors must clarify their changes in the Cover Letter, including point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments. Otherwise, the manuscript will be rejected directly.

Submission of all types of manuscripts to Bee Studies is completely managed online, via the <u>Online Submission</u> <u>System</u>. During the submission process, authors will be guided step-by-step while uploading all required documents and information. Authors that have submitted manuscripts to Bee Studies may be asked to be reviewers in the future.

# **Peer-Review**

Double-blind review system with at least two reviewers is used to evaluate manuscripts for publication. Editors have the right to reject the manuscripts without peer-review when the manuscript:

- a) is on a topic outside the scope of the Journal,
- b) lacks technical merit,
- c) exhibits narrow regional scope and significance,
- d) presents conflicting results,
- e) is poorly written,
- f) represents a case of scientific misconduct,

g) When the journal is overburdened with too many submissions, editors have the right to reject manuscripts without peer review based on their perceived merit. with too many submissions, editors have right to reject manuscripts without peerreview based on their perceived merit.

# Ethical and plagiarism issues

- $\checkmark$  All the studies should be prepared in accordance with ethical rules and citations should be indicated in the text.
- ✓ Authors should check the manuscript with plagiarism programs and send a similarity report via submission system.
- $\checkmark$  Ethical reports must be submitted by the author, when necessary.

# Changes to authorship

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors before submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only before the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the corresponding author: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

# After Acceptance

Once the manuscript is accepted for publishing in Bee Studies, authors will receive an e-mail notification with all necessary information on further steps in the publishing process.



# Volume 12 Issue 2 December 2020

- 27-30 Comparative Study of Some Commercial Propolis Extract with New Prepared Ethanolic Propolis Extract Sevgi Kolaylı, Yakup Kara, Zehra Can
- **31-36** Effect of Number of Worker Bees in Queen Mating Nucleus on Queen Quality and Mating Nucleus Population Dynamics Havva Nur Gülcan, Halil Yeninar, Salim Aktürk
- **37-41** The Effects of Some Essential Oils Against Nosemosis Fatih Yılmaz, Ahmet Kuvancı, Feyzullah Konak, S. Hasan Öztürk, A. Emir Şahin
- 43-47 A Preliminary Study for the Application of ISSR Markers to Discriminate Honey Bee (Apis mellifera L.) Populations in Turkey Okan Can Arslan
- 49-55 Antibiofilm, Antioxidant and Quorum Quenching Activities of Propolis Samples from Southwest Anatolia Özgür Ceylan, Halime Alıç

# RESEARCH PAPER



# **Comparative Study of Some Commercial Propolis Extract with New Prepared Ethanolic Propolis Extract**

# Sevgi Kolaylı<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yakup Kara<sup>1</sup>, Zehra Can<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Karadeniz Technical University, Department of Chemistry, Trabzon, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Bayburt University, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Department of Emergency Aid and Disaster Management, Bayburt, Turkey

Article History Received 2 September 2020 Accepted 11 September 2020 First Online 21 September 2020

\*Corresponding Author Tel.: +905336300010 E-mail: skolayli61@yahoo.com

Keywords Propolis Extraction Solvent

# Abstract

In this study, quality parameters of some commercially sold in Turkey propolis extracts were compared with freshly prepared ethanolic propolis extract (70%). The pH, total phenolic substance, total flavonoid and total antioxidant capacity values of 11 different commercial samples, including aqueous, ethanolic, water-based and vegetable oil, were compared. As a result, it was determined that ethanolic samples had the highest activity and the activity of propolis with olive oil was very low. It was found that products with high total polyphenol content (TP) had high total antioxidant values. It was also determined that a standard method was not used for the preparation of commercial propolis samples and that the TP values differ greatly.

# Introduction

Honey, pollen, propolis and royal jelly are the most used bee product in apitherapic applications (Sahin & Kemal, 2020). Propolis is a natural paste as a result of the accumulation of resinous substances collected from the bark, leaves and stems of the honey bees in the hives. This complex mixture, which is used to protect the hive for multiple purposes, is an indispensable natural bee product of apitherapy (Akçay, Birinci, Birinci, & Kolaylı, 2020; Bankova et al., 2019). It is known that the best solvent for raw propolis is 70% ethanol, but some glycol derivatives and vegetable oils are also used in the preparation of extracts (González-Búrquez et al., 2018; Oroian, Ursachi, & Dranca, 2020). The apitherapy active ingredients of propolis are a family of polyphenols. It has subclasses such as polyphenols, phenolic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins and tannins, which have a

wide range of compounds. Phenolic acids are more hydrophilic, that is, bimolecular dissolving in aqueous environments and flavonoids in hydrophobic environments. For this reason, flavonoids are insoluble in aqueous media, and phenolic acids such as gallic acid in apolar solvents (Galeotti, Maccari, Fachini, & Volpi, 2018). Propolis is one of the natural products with the highest biological activity and is a moist agent of traditional and complementary medicine with its high antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antitumoral properties (Kolaylı & Keskin, 2020; El Adaouia Taleb, Djebli, Chenini, Sahin, & Kolayli, 2020). Until now, an ideal solvent for the raw propolis has not been found exactly. However, since ethanol is more apolar than water and more polar than oils, it has the ability to dissolve a large number of both polar and apolar compounds.

The aim of this planned is to investigate whether commercial propolis extracts are in the order of total polyphenol and other quality.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Samples

11 commercial propolis samples were purchased from various markets and herbalists. A codename data for each sample was not used in stealing the trade name. The crude propolis specimen was made in Turkey, named also Anatolia propolis. Fifteen different regions of propolis sample (10 g) of Anatolia were collected obtained a pool. 10 g of powder sample was taken the pool and extracted in 70% ethanol (1:10 w/v). It was protected in the refrigerator at + 4  $^{\circ}$ C.

### Extraction

For the extraction, it was first extracted in an ultrasonic bath for 1 hour and then in a shaker (Heidolph Promax 2020, Schwabach, Germany), for 24 hours. Then it was filtered and used in the study. Water-based and olive oil samples were prepared by extracting them in 70% ethanol for 24 hours.

## **Total Phenolic Content**

The total amount of phenolic substance was measured by Folin Ciocalteu method (Singleton & Rossi, 1965). This method contains all the polyphenols in solution and reflects their total values. 10 mL of different concentrations of standard and 10 mL ethanolic samples (1 mg/mL), 200 mL of 0.2 N Folin-Ciocalteu's regents and 680 mL of distilled water were mixed. Following 4 min incubation, 400 mL of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10%) was added. The mixture was incubated for 2 h then absorbance was read at 760 nm. The calibration curves were occurred using each individual standard at six different concentrations (0.5; 0.25; 0.125; 0.0625, 0.03125 and 0.015 mg/mL) and all analysis were carried out in triplicate. Results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per 100 mL sample (mg GAE 100 g/mL) by using a standard graph.

### **Total Flavonoid Determination**

Determination of total flavonoid substance was used according to Fukumoto and Mazza (2000). It was used quercetin in different concentrations (QU) for standard. Total flavonoid amount as quercetin equivalent as mg quercetin (KE)/100 g honey. The total amount of flavonoid substance was found by measuring the absorbance of the coloured product formed as a result of the redox reaction between flavonoids and aluminium (III) at a wavelength of 415 nm. Working solutions of 0.500, 0.250, 0.125, 0.062, 0.031 and 0.015 mg/mL were prepared from this stock by serial dilution and the absorbance values were read according to the method. A standard calibration graph was obtained by drawing a graph of absorbance against quercetin (1 mg/mL) concentration. Using the standard calibration graph, the total amount of flavonoids in the sample was calculated and the results were given as mg Quercetin equivalent per gram sample (mg QE/mL).

# **Total Antioxidant Activity**

The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) was used to measure the total antioxidant power of the samples (Benzie & Strain, 1996). Firstly, FRAP reagent was prepared with 25 mL of 0.30 M acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 2.5 mM TPTZ solution in 40 mM hydrochloric acid, and 2.5 mM FeCl<sub>3</sub>. 6H<sub>2</sub>O solution. Briefly, 100 mL of standard or samples solution was added to 3 mL of freshly prepared FRAP reagent and the reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 4 min. Then, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm against distilled water as blank by using the spectrophotometer. Trolox was prepared at different concentrations (31.5, 62.5, 125.0, 250.0, 500.0 and 1000 mM), using as a standard antioxidant compound. The results were expressed in micromole Trolox equivalents per gram.

# **Results and Discussion**

Table I summarizes the quality parameters of some trade propolis samples from Turkey. And also, the pH values of all propolis extracts were compared. It was determined that the pH values varied between 4.0 and 5.0 except for only 2 samples. The pH values of ethanol and glycol derived samples were found to be around 4-5 acidic. The pH was 9 in one aqueous sample and 10 in the other. It is normally crude phenolic acids, and when dissolved in water or alcohol, it is below pH 6. The pH of the various phenolic acids found in propolis is below 7. Interestingly, it was considered quite surprising that the pH of the two samples was well above 7. The exact reason for this is unknown, but it is thought that it is not from crude propolis and that a basic solvent is used to dissolve the propolis. While the stomach pH varies between 1.5-2.0, the pH of the foods we consume is around 4-5. Direct consumption of propolis extract at such high pH can cause stomach damage. Therefore, the pH should be taken into account when standardizing propolis extracts (González-Búrquez et al., 2018; Galeotti et al., 2018; Bankova et al. 2019).

The most important feature of propolis extracts are polyphenols in their structure. Total polyphenol content (TP) is the most important determinant for propolis, and high polyphenol value indicates high biological activity. Table I gives the total polyphenol values. Accordingly, to the result, the total polyphenol values of ethanolic, aqueous and glycol extracts differ. It was determined that the highest TP value was in ethanolic samples, and the water and olive oil samples had the lowest activity. **Table 1.** Comparative study of some commercial propolis extracts of Turkey.

Code	Used Solvent	рН	Total phenolic	Total Flavanoid	FRAP	
Anatolia Propolis						
Р	Ethanol	5.30	5105±120	2040±23	285±32	
Ethanolic commercial	extracts					
P1	Ethanol	9.0	1093±34	752±21	266±17	
Р3	Ethanol	5.12	5931±93	1945±12	858±74	
P4	Ethanol	5.10	3287±21	2114±39	553±22	
Р5	Ethanol	4.80	2605±34	1044±24	687±11	
Water-Soluble commercial extracts (glycol derivates)						
P6	Glycol	5.20	2283±42	2116±22	363±85	
P7	Glycol	5.30	3090±23	2040±21	320±53	
		Water comme	rcial extracts			
P8	Water	10.00	645±23	449±37	58±80	
P9	Water	5.40	102±4	17±1	33±4	
Olive oil commercial e	xtracts					
P10	Olive oil	4.00	492±7	260±8	98±1	
P11	Olive oil	4.20	642±16	310±22	246±9	

Total phenolic: mg GAE/100 mL,

Total Flavanoid: mg QE/100 mL,

FRAP: mmol FeSO<sub>4</sub>7H<sub>2</sub>O/mL

It is seen that the TP value of ethanolic extracts varied between 1093 and 5931 mg GAE/100 g. Samples dissolved in glycol were found to have lower TP than ethanol, but significantly higher than aqueous and olive oil samples. While there are significant differences between the two water-soluble samples and the differences is too high. The reason why the water-based P8 sample, which is soluble in water at any ratio and has a very dark red colour, contains approximately 10 times higher than the P9 sample. However, when this sample is evaluated together with the high pH value, it is thought that this may be due to the solution used. It was found that oil-based propolis extracts had values close to each other. Comparing the TP values of commercial propolis samples with Anatolian propolis that we prepared in the laboratory, only one ethanolic sample contained higher polyphenols and the others were found to be lower.

When the total flavanoid amount (TF) was examined, it was found that ethanolic samples had the highest flavanoid value. In the study, it was found that TF values increased or decreased in parallel with TP values and the lowest TF values were in aqueous samples. Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) values were calculated in terms of the reducing ability of the Fe (III) complex in the study. While high FRAP value indicates high capacity, it was determined that the highest FRAP value was in the commercial ethanolic sample and it was followed by Anatolian propolis. Olive oil samples and water samples were found to have very low TAC values.

When studies on propolis are scanned in the literature, studies with mostly raw propolis draw attention. Since there were not many studies on commercial propolis, there was no possibility of comparison or discussion.

# Conclusion

As a result, the amount of solvent and solvent used in the extraction of raw propolis is very important. However, the most important criteria to be sought in commercially purchased propolis are the use of solvents that will not harm human health and that products with high polyphenol content are more valuable.

# References

- Akçay, Ç., Birinci, E., Birinci, C., & Kolaylı, S. (2020). Durability of wood treated with propolis. *Bio Resources*, 15(1), 1547-1562.
- Bankova, V., Bertelli, D., Borba, R., Conti, B. J., da Silva Cunha, I.B., Danert, C., ... & Papotti, G. (2019). Standard methods for Apis mellifera propolis research. Journal of Apicultural Research, 58(2), 1-49.
- Benzie, I.F.F., & Strain, J.J. (1996). Ferric Reducing/Antioxidant Power Assay: Direct Measure of Total Antioxidant Activity of Biological Fluids and Modified Version for Simultaneous Measurement of Total Antioxidant Power and Ascorbic Acid Concentration. *Methods in Enzymology*, 299, 15–27.
- El Adaouia Taleb, R., Djebli, N., Chenini, H., Sahin, H., & Kolayli, S. (2020). In vivo and in vitro anti-diabetic activity of ethanolic propolis extract. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, e13267.
- Fukumoto, L.R., & Mazza, G. (2000). Assessing antioxidant and prooxidant activities of phenolic compounds. *Journal of Agriculture Food Chemistry*, 48, 3597-3604.
- Galeotti, F., Maccari, F., Fachini, A., & Volpi, N. (2018). Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of

propolis prepared in different forms and in different solvents useful for finished products. *Foods*, 7(3), 41.

- González-Búrquez, M. D. J., González-Díaz, F. R., García-Tovar, C. G., Carrillo-Miranda, L., Soto-Zárate, C. I., Canales-Martínez, M. M., ... & Fonseca-Coronado, S. (2018).
   Comparison between in vitro antiviral effect of Mexican propolis and three commercial flavonoids against canine distemper virus. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2018.
- Kolayli, S., & Keskin, M. (2020). Natural bee products and their apitherapeutic applications. *Studies in Natural Products Chemistry*, 66, 175-196.
- Oroian, M., Ursachi, F., & Dranca, F. (2020). Influence of ultrasonic amplitude, temperature, time and solvent concentration on bioactive compounds extraction from propolis. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 64, 105021.
- Sahin, H., & Kemal, M. (2019). Antioxidant capacity of a bee pollen sample obtained from Giresun, Turkey. *Journal of Apitherapy and Nature*, 2(2), 46-51.
- Singleton, V.L., & Rossi, J.A. (1965). Colorimetry of Total Phenolics with Phosphomolybdic-Phosphotungstic Acid Reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 16, 144-158.

# RESEARCH PAPER



# Effect of Number of Worker Bees in Queen Mating Nucleus on Queen Quality and Mating Nucleus Population Dynamics

# Havva Nur Gülcan<sup>1</sup>, Halil Yeninar<sup>2,\*</sup>, Salim Aktürk<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University (K.S.Ü), Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University (K.S.Ü), Faculty of Agriculture, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey <sup>3</sup>Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey

**Article History** 

Received 24 November 2020 Accepted 27 December 2020 First Online 28 December 2020

\*Corresponding Author Tel.: +905336549111 E-mail: yeninar@ksu.edu.tr

**Keywords** Queen rearing Queen quality Worker quantity

# Abstract

This study aims to investigate the effects of the amount of worker bees placed into the mating nucleus on queen quality parameters and nucleus population dynamics. The quantity of 80(g) (~700 workers) that mating nucleus made of Kirchhain-model can contain has been based on. A total of 24 mating hives, including 8 in each group containing 80, 160 and 320 grams worker bees increasing at a geometric progression, were used in the study. Each mating nuclei been used in queen production for 3 times (20 day interval) without any addition of worker bees, depending on the climate and vegetation conditions during the production period. In groups containing 80,160 and 320 gram workerbees, spermatheca diameters (mm) 1.14±0.19, 1.11±0.13 and 1.16±0.09, sperm counts stored in spermatheca 3268859±288.830, 4217444±182468 and 4875752±304443, live weights of the laying queen (mg) 188.5±2.55, 205.8±4.09 and 229.6±4.34, worker bee weights (g) in mating nuclei after research were 100.85±4.39, 223.85±14.44 and 413.66±43.84 found to be respectively. At the end of the study conducted in Hatay Province between April 25 - June 25 2019, overall increase in the worker bee population was observed average to be 31.87±5.39%. The population increase in 80, 160 and 320 g of live worker bee groups were observed to be 26.07±5.47, 39.91±9.02 and 29.27±13.70% respectively. After 60 days & 3 periods of queen breeding the differences between groups were found to be statistically insignificant (P>0.05). The differences between the groups, the sperm counts, laying queen weight and amount of worker bees were found to be statistically significant (P<0.01).

Introduction

Beekeeping is an archaic agricultural activity being performed in Anatolia since ancient times. Factors such as topographic and climatic characteristics of Turkey, it's status of natural bridge between Africa, Europe and Asia, the presence of many honey bee races and ecotypes in its fauna, and its rich floristic and faunistic biodiversity have allowed apiculture to be a sustainable agricultural activity in the region for thousands of years.

It has been known that local honey bee ecotypes such as Muğla, Yığılca, Gokceada, etc. are present in Turkey, as well as 5 (*A. m. anatoliaca, caucasica, syriaca, carnica* and *meda*) of 26 honey bee races identified in the world. Local honey bee races and ecotypes in Turkey have survived for tens of thousands of years by adapting to biotic and abiotic conditions in their respective regions. Although Turkey possesses great genetic diversity in terms of honey bee race and ecotypes, the apiculture sector could not benefit sufficiently from the genetic diversity Turkey possesses. In addition, breeding methods could not be developed to obtain local races and ecotypes in terms of economically substantial physiological and behavioural traits. Therefore, sustainable pure breeding race, line and commercial use hybrids could not be generated from them. The sector has had to make commercial production due to the presence of unproductive hybrids of races and ecotypes that copulate with each other in an uncontrolled manner as a result of improper queen production, method and policies. Use of them in intra-regional and inter-regional migratory beekeeping practices by marketing local genotypes adapted to certain habitats to eco-geographic regions very different from their natural habitats have caused genetic pollution across the country.

Physiological and behavioural traits of honey bee colonies are related to breeding value and quality of the queens used and the genotype and number of drones with which they mate, as well as to beekeeping practices (colony management, feeding, etc.) and their habitat and climate conditions. In the majority of commercial queen-rearing enterprises with a production permit, breeding bee selection is made according to body color, but drone production and breeding have been hardly ever made.

According to the year 2020 data of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, there are 8128360 honey bee colonies in 80675 beekeeping enterprises in Turkey. During the 2019 beekeeping period, 109330 tons honey were produced (Anonymous, 2020a). For sustainable economic beekeeping, it is necessary to change the queens of colonies used in commercial production yearly if possible, otherwise biyearly. Considering the additional queen losses in honey harvest, colony increases and beekeeping practices during the production period, the sector needs at least 4-5 million of pure race/ecotype, breeding and commercial use hybrid quality queens yearly. It is inevitable to experience yield and colony losses in beekeeping practices devoid of a queen replacement system.

Although it varies according to years in Turkey, there are 146 commercial queen production enterprises that can operate with a total production capacity of 510200 / year and have received production permit according to the 2020 data of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. There are 6 breeding and 7 licensed queen production enterprises owned by the public and private sector where the queens can be used for breeding purposes. There is a total of 12 races/ecotypes registered under the names of "Anatolian Ecotype, Central Anatolian Ecotype, Caucasian Ecotype, Thrace Ecotype, Aegean Ecotype, West Aegean Ecotype, R&D, Ecotype, Giresun Ecotype, Muğla Ecotype, Black Sea Ecotype and Yığılca Ecotype" in these enterprises (Anonymous, 2020b).

Since the shortage of approximately 4 million of queen per year the sector needs cannot be compensated by commercial queen production enterprises, this causes an increase in the rate of old and poor-quality queen use and an increase cannot occur in the desired efficiency. The beekeepers mostly use swarm queens and queen cells in re-queening, colony division and production facilities. The colonies generated by swarm queen and queen cells, bad selection is made that increases the frequency of swarming behaviour in future generations, which in turn causes unwanted physiological and behavioural traits to continue increasingly.

Queens are the most important individuals in terms of their position in the colony. By combining the hereditary traits they get from the drones during the mating flight with their own hereditary characteristics, the queens transfer them to the worker bees with different numbers and degrees of kinship in the superfamily structure that forms the colony. While the drones mating with the queens dies, the sperms of the dying drones can survive for as long as 5 years in the spermatheca of the queen in a mixture with the sperms of other mating drones.

Nuclear colonies queenless in different physical structures and sizes are used in commercial gueen production, which are called the mating nucleus, where the queen pupae come out of the cells and perform the first offspring raising activity by mating. During the preparation of the mating nucleus, different numbers of worker bees are placed for the care and feeding of the queens according to their physical characteristics, and the worker bees in each box are used for the mating with more than one queen sequentially during the production season. The number and physiological age composition of worker bees in the mating nucleus vary depending on time. New worker bees, which can be generated from the eggs of young queens, can extend the effective use of mating nucleus during the production season. This study aims to investigate the effects of the amount of worker bees placed in a queen mating nucleus on thequeen quality and mating nucleus population dynamics in the oncoming production process.

# **Materials and Methods**

The research was carried out in the Eastern Mediterranean coastal zone (Hatay Province), which is one of the locations where seasonal climatic conditions and vegetation become suitable for queen bee breeding at the earliest period in Turkey. The research was realized at 36°19'49.60 "North latitude, 36°11'33.29" East longitude an altitude of 145 m from the sea between 25 April-25 June 2019, at Mustafa Kemal University Agricultural Research and Application Center.

## **Establishment of Mating Nucleus**

A total of twenty-four mating nuclei were used in this study. Eight nuclei were made high-density polystyrene Kirchhain model and remains sixteen nuclei had 5 standard full depth Langstroth timber-framed wooden mating boxes. The nuclei were filled up with young worker bees by shaking from combs of brood nest taken from Anatolian honey bee (*Apis mellifera anatoliaca*) colonies two days ago before introducing the queen cells. The shaken young bees were anaesthetized with  $CO_2$  and weighted analytical balance with 0.01 mg precision before the installation to nuclei. Nuclei were categorized into three groups contain eight nucleus. Each groups filled with 80 g, 160 g and 320 g worker bees.

Amount of Worker Bee (g)	n	Mated ${}^{ extsf{Q}}$ Weight (mg) $(\overline{X} {\pm} S ar{x})$	n	Population Increase (%) $(\overline{X} \pm S \overline{x})$
80	23	188.5±2.55 a	7	26.07±5.47
160	21	205.8±4.09 b	7	39.91±9.02
320	19	229.6±4.34 c	6	29.27±13.70
Total	63	206.3±2.96	20	31.87±5.39

**Table 1.** Post-mating average Live Weights (± S.E.) (mg) and percent population change of queen breeding in nucleus with different quantity of worker bees.

<sup>a,b</sup>: Averages with different superscript denoted by small letters in the same column are significantly different (*P*<0.01). Duncan - Harmonic average sample size = 20.456

### **Regulating the Number of Mature Bees In Nuclei**

In production season the anaesthetized with  $CO_2$ 50 grams of worker bees were counted and the average adult worker bee weight was calculated as 115 mg. The average surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>) of a worker bee on the comb was calculated by measuring the width and length of 100 workers. As a result of the calculation, it has been estimated that the average one worker bee occupies 1.152  $\text{cm}^2$ (1.6 cm × 0.72 cm) areas on the honeycomb surface. The number and weight of adult worker bees were calculated based on the number of bees that could fully cover the total of 800 cm<sup>2</sup> honeycomb surface area in the Kirchhain model breeding box. Honeycomb surface area  $(800 \text{ cm}^2)$  were divided one worker surface area (1.152 cm<sup>2</sup>) and found approximately 700 worker bees. Worker number multiplied with average adult worker bee weight (115 mg) approximately equal 80 g live weight of worker bee. The other two research groups are planned to contain 160 and 320 grams of worker bees, increasing in geometric progression.

Wooden mating nuclei were arranged for one empty honeycomb, one foundation frame and one highdensity polyurethane division board for insulation.

In this study, Anatolian honey bee (*Apis mellifera anatoliaca*) colonies were used for breeding. One day old larvae were grafted into beeswax queen cell cups by the Doolittle method and were given to starter colonies prepared queenless. In addition to similar applications such as proportional feeding, same colonies were used to eliminate the effects of differences between genotype, starter and finishers.

Mature queen cells were randomly introduced into mating nuclei in the period of ten days after grafting. All queens emerged from the queen cells on the second day after the cells were introduced into the mating nuclei. In order to identify the emergence of queens, mating nuclei were inspected regularly. For the continuity of the mating boxes, the transition of the larvae to the prepupa period (capping brood) was expected. Following, mated queens were collected from nuclei. The mated queens were weighed using an analytical balance with 0.001 mg precision and subjected to dissection to remove spermatheca. The tracheal net around the spermatheca was cleaned gently and carefully by using fine insect needle and forceps. The diameter of spermathecae was measured under a stereomicroscope equipped with an ocular micrometer. Spermathecae diameters were calculated in two distant points and the average of two measurements were taken. The average radius of each spermatheca was then used to calculate the spherical volume formula:  $4/3 \, \pi r^3$ 

The spermathecae were then discharged with a fine forceps in 1 ml of saline solution (0.9%) in a concave watch glass dish. The final volume was completed by 4 mL tap water adding to 5 mL. Spermatozoa numbers in spermatheca of the queens were counted five replicates by using a Thoma counting chamber under a light microscope (Mackensen & Tucker, 1970).

All the virgin queens were mated naturally without drone bee production in research apiary. At the end of queen production season, the workers in nuclei anaesthetized with  $CO_2$  were weighted with an analytical balance of 0.01 mg precision. The total live weight change of worker bees between the beginning and the end of the study was determined as weight and percentage.

### Statistical Analyses

Randomized plot design (ANOVA) was used to test the diameter of spermatheca, the number of spermatozoa in the spermathecae, spermatheca volume, live weight change of worker bees and queen weight. Levene's homogeneity test were used for equality of variances. Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used to compare the means between groups. Mating ratios and acceptance rates were analyzed using Chi Square non-parametric tests (Cooley & Lohnes, 1971). Linear Regression analysis was applied to determine the correlations between dependent and independent variables (Little & Hills, 1978). Correlation coefficients between all measured character pairs were also calculated. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v. 23 for Windows.

# Results

This research was initiated at the Apiculture and Silkworm Application and Research Center located on the Tayfur Sökmen Campus of Mustafa Kemal University on 25 April 2019. Since abnormal temperature increase is observed depending on global climate changes in June, all of the research materials have relocated to a higher altitude area with dense leaves-shady trees within that region (9-25 June). Only three generations/periods of the queen could be bred in the region during the research lasting for two months.

During the research, absconding behaviour was not observed in any of a total of 2 types-24 mating nucleus containing 80, 160 and 320 grams of live worker bees. Queen was occurred to emerge from all mature queen cell transferred to the mating nucleus in all groups. Two mating nucleuses were omitted from the experiment because wing deformation was observed in queens emerging from the one for each cell in groups containing 160 and 320 grams of worker bees from mature queen cells placed in the mating nucleus by producing from the first group larva transfer.

As wing deformation was observed in one queen that emerged from the cell in the third production in the group containing 80 grams of worker bees, one nucleus from each group (a total of 3) was excluded from the experiment throughout the research. Since one queen could not return from its mating flight in the first graft in the group containing 320 grams of worker bees, the relevant nucleus was excluded from the experiment. Therefore, differences appeared in the number of subgroups.

Table 1 presents the post-mating average live weights (mg) of the queens being bred in nucleuses containing 80, 160 and 320 g of live worker bees and the percent population changes (%) in the nucleuses at the end of the experiment. Post-mating live weights of the queen were 188.5 $\pm$ 2.55, 205.8 $\pm$ 4.09 and 229.6 $\pm$ 4.34 mg, respectively. The differences between average weights of the queen were statistically significant (*P*<0.01, df: 2, F: 31, 261) according to the ANOVA test.

The average weight of mated queen in the study groups was calculated as  $206.3\pm2.96$  mg. At the end of the research, it was observed that the increase in the amount of worker bees in the nucleus where the queens mate significantly increased the weight of the mated queen.

After 3 periods of queen breeding within 60 days, the average weights of the worker bee in the nucleus containing 80, 160 and 320 grams of worker bees were found to be 100.85  $\pm$  4.39, 223.85  $\pm$  14.44 and 413.66±43.84 g, respectively. When percent weight changes at the end of the research were calculated based on the initial weights, an average population increase was 26.07%±5.47, 39.91%±9.02 and 29.27%±13.70 respectively, between the groups. Overall, an average population increase of worker bee was  $31.87\% \pm 5.39$  in all groups. Although the highest increase was observed in 160 gr of worker bee group, there were statistically insignificant differences in percent worker bee population between group averages (P>0.05, sd: 2, F: 0.60).

The average diameter of spermatheca (mm) and the number of spermatozoa (piece) determined in queens bred in nucleus containing 80, 160 and 320 grams of live worker bees are given in Table 2.

Spermatheca diameters of 80, 160 and 320 g of worker bee groups were  $1.14\pm0.19$ ,  $1.11\pm0.13$  and  $1.16\pm0.09$  mm, and the differences were statistically insignificant between group averages (P>0.05, sd: 2, F: 2.17). The number of spermatozoa stored in spermatheca was  $3268859\pm288830$ ,  $4217444\pm182468$  and  $4875752\pm304443$  respectively. The differences were statistically significant between the group averages of the number of spermatozoa stored in the spermatheca (P<0.01, sd: 2, F: 9.383). At the end of the research, it has been observed that the population size of the worker bee in the queen bee mating nucleus affected positively the number of spermatozoa stored in the spermatheca.

The differences were statistically insignificant (P>0.05) between the average spermatheca diameters (mm) of the mating queens in the research groups, while the differences were statistically significant between the average values of spermatheca volume (mm<sup>3</sup>) calculated mathematically on the sphere formula (P<0.05, sd: 2, F: 3.417)(Table 3).

At the end of the research, it was found that the queens bred in queen mating nucleus with 320 g of worker bee population size had the highest and most stable values, with a  $0.794\pm0.009$  mm<sup>3</sup> of spermatheca volume value.

# Discussion

A study on "Queen Production Costs and Profitability Analysis in Turkey" by Karaca and Karaman (2018) reported that, during the queen production period, the rate of commercial producers making queen production three times without interruption in a mating nucleus was 75%, the rate of commercial producers making queen production four times was 14% and the sales period of the first queen started in the second week of April.

Yeninar, Akyol, and Yörük (2007), found that presale live weights of a total of 2.283 mated queens, which they put on the market in plastic queen cages by 7 different commercial queen production firms between April 20 and June 09, 2005, were 189.96±0.5 mg on average within the range of 174.2 to 207.2 mg.

Arslan, Arslan, Cengiz, and Karakuş (2018) found that a total of 105 queen samples raised in 21 commercial producers in the early period in the Mediterranean coastal region had an average of 191.04 $\pm$ 2.094 mg live weight ( $\pm$  SE), had an average of

35

Amount of Worke Bee (g)	r n	Spermatheca Diameter (mm) $(\overline{\mathrm{X}}\!\pm\!\!Sar{\!x})$	Spermatozoa Number (pieces) $(\overline{\mathrm{X}} \pm S ar{x})$
80	23	1.143±0.19	26.07±5.47
160	21	1.116±0.13	39.91±9.02
320	19	1.163±0.09	29.27±13.70
Total	63	1.140±0.09	31.87±5.39

**Table 2.** Average spermatheca diameter (± S.E.) (mm) and spermatozoa number (pieces) of queen breeding in nucleus with different quantity of worker bees.

<sup>a,b</sup>: Averages with different superscript denoted by small letters in the same column are significantly different (*P*<0.01). Duncan - Harmonic average sample size = 20.456

**Table 3.** Post-mating calculated spermatheca volume (mm<sup>3</sup>) of queen breeding in nucleus with different quantity of worker bees.

Amount of Worker Bee		Spermatheca Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Minimum	Maximum	
(g)	n	$(\overline{X}\pm S\overline{x})$	wiiniinun	ινιαλιπιμπ	
80	23	0.742±0.026 <sup>ab</sup>	0.51	0.98	
160	21	0.726±0.116ª	0.63	0.84	
320	18	0.794±0.009 <sup>b</sup>	0.74	0.88	
Total	62	0.752±0.011			

<sup>a,b</sup>: Averages with different superscript denoted by small letters in the same column are significantly different (*P*<0.05). Duncan - Harmonic average sample size = 20.456

1.044 $\pm$ 0.071 mm spermatheca diameter, had an average of 0.605 $\pm$ 0.012 mm<sup>3</sup> spermatheca volume and had 4.454 $\pm$ 0.177 million spermatozoa.

In a study carried out in Hatay, Uzun (2020) reported that post-mating live weight of the queen was 186.76±2.87 mg, the spermatheca diameter was 1.18±1.09 mm, the spermatheca volume was 0.87±0.02 mm<sup>3</sup>, and the number of spermatozoa present in the spermatheca was 4655369±428171 per queen.

The data from this study are consistent with the quality parameters from commercial and research queen produced at equivalent queen production dates in different years in the Mediterranean coastal zone.

# Conclusion

The first queen production of the year in Turkey has being made in the Mediterranean coastal region where climate and habitat are the most suitable. Many producers engaged in migratory professional beekeeping activities also prefer the same region for overwintering. Demand for the massive queen after overwintering in the region is seen in April and May, the months of which coincide with the natural swarming season when pollen and nectar sources are most abundant in the relevant region. The climate and habitat characteristics of that region have a stimulating effect on quality queen production. Due to the reasons mentioned above, almost all of the commercial queen producers overwinter in the Mediterranean coastal region and market their first productions to beekeepers before the highland honey production period.

Intensive intra- and interzonal migratory beekeeping activities in Turkey cause queens to rapidly burn out and age in physiological terms. Due to old and physiologically burnt-out queen colonies, the colony population cannot reach a sufficient level during the production season, and significant winter losses are experienced depending on the negative effects of global climate changes.

An average of 40 mg live weight increase (229.6±4.34 mg) was observed in a statistically significant manner (P<0.01) between queens raised in Kischain model-nucleus with 80 g of worker bees and those raised in standard Langstroth framed-nucleus with 320 g of worker bees. Overall, the live weight of young queens used in production should be 200 mg and above (Akyol, Yeninar & Kaftanoglu, 2008) since this is

considered a quality criterion. Population development, acceptance rate of young queens and production efficiency are higher in colonies of queen with a great live weight. Similarly, an increase of spermatozoa was detected in a statistically significant manner (P<0.01) by an approximate rate of 50% in the spermatheca of queens. These results affect positively the effective use of queen in production during commercial beekeeping activities. In addition, they can be used easily in production and adult bee trade with standard beekeeping materials by renewing worker bees in standard Langstroth framed-nucleus after the queen production period. Therefore, sustainable production can be achieved. Addressing this current issue economically in other researches would contribute to the apiculture sector.

# References

- Anonymous, (2020a). Arıcılık İstatistikleri. Retrieved fromhttps://arastirma.tarimorman.gov.tr/aricilik/Link/2 /Aricilik-Istatistikleri. Turkey Apiculture Statistics for Production of Honey in 2019.
- Anonymous, (2020b). Retrieved from https://www.tarimorman.gov.tr/HAYGEM/Belgeler/Hay vancılık/Küçük Evcil Yetiştiriciliği/Arıcılık/2020 YILI/Ana Arı Üretim İzinli İşletmeler.xls

- Akyol, E., Yeninar, H., & Kaftanoglu, O. (2008). Live weight of queen honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) predicts reproductive characteristics. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 81(2), 92-100.
- Arslan, S., Arslan, H.S., Cengiz, M.M., & Karakuş, B. (2018). Determination of conformity of the quality criteria to queen production standards in the early period of Mediterranean Region. 6<sup>th</sup> International Mugla Beekeeping & Pine Honey Congress (pp.42-48). Muğla, Turkey.
- Colley, W.W., & Lohnes, P.R. (1971). Multivariate Data Analysis. Multivariate Data Analysis, John Wiley & Sons. New York, 364 pp.
- Karaca, Ü., & Karaman, S. (2018). Queen Production Costs and Profitability Analysis in Turkey. Anadolu Journal of Aegean Agricultural Research, 28(2), 17-28.
- Little, T. M., & Hills, F. J. (1978). Agricultural Experimentation. John Wiley & Sons. New York, 350 pp.
- Mackensen, O., & Tucker, K.W. (1970). Instrumental insemination of queen bees. Agriculture Handbook, No:390; Washington. pp. 1-28.
- Uzun, M.Y. (2020). The Effect of Different Feeding Diets on Queen Bee Quality Parameters (MSc Thesis). Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey.
- Yeninar, H., Akyol, E., & Yörük, A. (2007). Investigation of body weight changes in mated queens produced in queen bee-producing companies. III. Marmara Beekeeping Congress (pp.25). Bursa, Turkey.

# RESEARCH PAPER



# The Effects of Some Essential Oils Against Nosemosis

# Fatih Yılmaz<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ahmet Kuvancı<sup>1</sup>, Feyzullah Konak<sup>1</sup>, S. Hasan Öztürk<sup>1</sup>, A. Emir Şahin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Apiculture Research Institute, Ordu, Turkey

Article History Received 19 June 2020 Accepted 28 December 2020 First Online 28 December 2020

\*Corresponding Author Tel.: +905325514184 E-mail: fatihyilmaz@hotmail.com

## Keywords

Honey bee Nosema Thyme Garlic Supplementary feeding

# Abstract

Nosema is an important disease that negatively affects bees' performance by disrupting the digestive system of bees. The disease, which is known to be widespread in almost every part of the world except Central Africa, is a highly dangerous fungal infection for honey bees, which occurs with infection of honey bees' ventricular epithelial cells by *Nosema apis* and *Nosema ceranae*. Nosema infection, one of the most common diseases in honey bees, is more dangerous due to the adverse effects of the factor itself and secondary infections. It is one of the most important causes of honey bee colony losses. This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness levels of essential etheric oils against nosema infection. 21 colonies obtained from Ordu Region, equalized physiologically and divided into 7 groups. Nettle, laurel, eucalyptus, thyme, garlic oils (after dilution of 0.48%), and apple cider vinegar were given to each colony 6 times at 3 days intervals of 3 mL/L to 1:1 ratio syrup (prepared for each separate group). Adult bee samples were taken from all colonies before each additional feeding, and nosema spore counts were performed.

Introduction

Nosematosis, the important bee diseases, is seen as one of the main causes of colony losses and reduces the adult bee population with the intensive detection of *Nosema ceranae* in recent years. The disease could be acute or chronic, and colony losses vary between 10-100% depending on environmental conditions. Indigestion, abdominal bloating, shortened lifespan, and inability to fly with open wings, change of queen and eggs, yellow-brown foul-smelling, dark-coloured stool are seen in sick bees. The decrease in the colony population and honey yield, and colony losses can be seen (Morse & Flottum. 1997).

Bees infected with *N. apis* survive 18-54 days in sudden colony extinction, while those infected with *N. ceranae* die within 8-10 days. Nosemosis has been identified by microscopic examination in 60% of the

apiary in Turkey, 14% of colonies were found infected in these apiaries, and clinical symptoms were determined in 14% of infected colonies (Muz, 2008).

*N. apis* and *N. ceranae* cannot be distinguished by microscopic examinations used in routine research; separating these two factors by molecular genetic methods is possible (Uygur & Girişgin, 2008).

In most 1260 samples taken from 420 enterprises belonging to the Beekeepers of the Eastern Black Sea Region (infection rate found 89% in spring and 38% in autumn), nosema spores were encountered. It is important to consider that seriously; nosema spores were encountered in the autumn period. While *Nosema ceranae* was detected in all the positive samples collected in the spring and autumn periods, *Nosema apis* was not encountered (Yılmaz et al., 2018).

Essential oils, also known as volatile oils, are aromatic fatty compounds obtained from different parts

of plants (flowers, buds, seeds, leaves, branches, bark, wood, fruit, and roots). These natural products are widely used in various fields, including perfume, cosmetics, aromatherapy, phytotherapy, spices, nutrition, and agriculture. The biological activities of essential oils, including antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal, and anti-inflammatory effects, are well known. These oils can also be effective against organisms such as nematodes, helminths, and insects. Essential oils are known by different names, such as volatile oil, etheric oil, scented oil, or essence oil (Şengezer & Göngör, 2008).

Observing the antimicrobial effect, even in a microorganism, has shown that plants containing etheric oil can be used for therapeutic purposes and may be an alternative to synthetic antibiotics (Benli & Yiğit, 2005).

In recent years, the emergence of antibioticresistant microorganisms and being side effects not seen or seen less in natural medicines, which synthetic drugs have, led scientists to investigate natural-based treatments (Dürger, Ceyhan, Alitsaous, & Uğurlu, 1999).

Maistrello et al. (2008) evaluated the effects of some natural compounds (thymol, vetiver essential oil, lysozyme, and resveratrol) on Nosema ceranae spore infected honey bees by cage trials. Infected bees were given sugar solutions containing thymol 0.12 mg/g, lysozyme 2.5 mg/g, vetiver essential oil 1.2 mg/g, resveratrol 0.001 mg/g, and ethanol as a control group in the study. On the 8th day, 2.6 million spores/bees were detected in the control group, while on the 25th day, this number was determined as 230 million spores/ bees. Honey bees fed diets containing thymol, vetiver essential oil, lysozyme, and resveratrol; on the 8th day, 2.46 million spores/bees, 1.37 million spores/bees, 1.87 million spores/bees, and 3.3 million spores/bees were identified, respectively. On the 25th day, 20.0 million spores/bees in the thymol group, 144.5 million spores/bees in the vetiver essential oil group, 54 million spores/bees in the resveratrol group were identified, and all bees of the lysosome group died. As a result, it was emphasized that the infection rates of bees fed with sugar solutions containing thymol and resveratrol decreased significantly and may be useful in alternative strategies for controlling nosema disease.

In the in-vitro study, in which Costa, Lodesani, and Maistrello (2009) evaluated the effects of thymol and resveratrol on the growth of *Nosema ceranae* and lifetime of honey bees, 100 ppm thymol and 10 ppm resveratrol were given to honey bees infected with of *Nosema ceranae* spore by adding 50% sucrose. The nosema spore numbers of honey bees fed with sugar solutions containing control, thymol, and resveratrol were counted as 2.0 million spores/bees, 1.7 million spores/bees, and 1.3 million spores/bees on the 8th day; 118.1 million spores/bees; 60.0 million spores/bees and 126.2 million spores/bees on the 25th day, respectively. On day 25 of the study, it is determined that bees fed with thymol syrup had significantly lower infection levels than control group bees, and bees fed thymol or resveratrol syrup were significantly live longer (23 and 25 days, respectively) than control group bees (20 days). Syrup, specially treated with thymol, has been reported to be promising in the control of nosema infection.

The activity of plant extracts on the development of *Nosema ceranae* was studied by Porrini et al. (2011). Honey bees were infected with nosema spores under laboratory conditions. Infected bees were fed ad libitum with enriched syrups. Diets in the feed of bees were created from ethanolic extracts from *Artemisia absinthium, Allium sativum, Laurus nobilis,* and *llex paraguariensis* diluted in syrup concentrations of 1% and 10%. On the 19th day after infection, it showed that the concentration of 1% *L. nobilis* extract significantly inhibited *N. ceranae*.

This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness levels of essential etheric oils against nosema infection.

# **Materials and Methods**

21 colonies were obtained from Ordu Region in May 2019, and they were divided into 7 groups after equalization, one of which was a control group in 3 colonies. Application groups have been formed with nettle (Urtica dioica), laurel (Cucurbita pepo), eucalyptus (Eucalyptus globulus), thyme (Thymus vulgaris), garlic (Allium sativum) oils, and apple cider vinegar. All the essential oils were in a 20 mL bottle, purchased from the same brand produced in Turkey, and stored in appropriate conditions. Apple cider vinegar and essential oils were added to the syrup at a ratio of 1: 1, 3 mL/L, and given 1 L to each colony, 6 times with an interval of 3 days. The essential oil and syrup mixture were prepared daily. The oils belonging to the application groups were added to the syrup after 0.48% dilution. Only sugar syrup was given to the control group. Before each additional feeding, 10 worker bee samples were taken from each of the colonies, and nosema spore counts were performed.

### **Native Examination**

By taking the abdominal intestine of 10 adult bees from each colony; Crushed in mortar in 2-3 ml saline. The native examination was performed under a light microscope with a 40X magnification after the suspension of the obtained bees obtained from adult bees on a lame with a lamella (Anonymous, 2005; Anonymous, 2009; Zeybek, 1991).

# **Staining Examination**

After the samples prepared in physiological saline solution were air-dried and determined with ethanol, staining of spores was performed when stained with Giemsa for 45 minutes (Anonymous, 2009; Beyazıt et al., 2012).

# **Nosema Spores Counting**

Samples were examined under a microscope, and spores counts (Shimanuki & Knox, 2000) were made from 20 bees of positive samples with nosema infection. At least 20 alive or dead bees suspicious of the disease were taken and kept in a container containing 70% alcohol for 1 day. The received bees were taken individually on a suitable ground (wood), and the abdomen was separated with the help of a scalpel. The cut abdomen was placed in a glass homogenizer or a suitable tube. A milliliter of water for each abdomen (20 mL of water for 20 abdomens) was added to the container. This mixture was thoroughly crushed with a rammer in a container. It was filtered with a filter paper to another container, filtered liquid mixed with a pipette and a drop placed to a lame, examined in a 40 lens after covering with lamella.

For the spores count, 5 large squares in the counting area of the 40 lenses were counted after the suspicious liquid was placed with a pipette to cover the Thoma slide area (a, b, c, d, e) (Figure 3). The total



Figure 1. Native examination.

number of spores (S) applies to the formula: The number of spores per bee (N) =  $S \times 4 \times 106/80$  by replacing the number in the formula, the number of spores per bee was calculated.

## Results

The intragroup normality assumption of the data was analyzed with the Shapiro Wilk test, and it was determined that the data were normally distributed (P> 0.05). Therefore, the data analysis was made according to the two-way analysis of variance in repeated measurements. Since Mauchly's sphericity test provides assumptions (P = 0.36), the results were examined according to the Sphericity assumption test.

The effect of the Essential oils and Essential oils x application time interactions were found insignificant. Since the difference between the application times was significant, comparisons were made according to Bonferroni test. SPSS package program was used to analyses of the data.

Boland, Fro, and Lorenz (1991) stated that the components found in plant oils such as eucalyptus, lemon extract, and thyme are effective against fungi.

It has been observed that the nosema spores in the application and control groups decreased significantly in the 3 weeks since the beginning of the study. The decreasing tendency was also decelerated in the 4th and 5th weeks (Table 1).

The importance of protective measures in beekeeping is revealed by the absence of a chemical drug against nosema, the desired result from alternative treatment methods, and the tendency of nosema infection in the control group to fall before the main nectar flow. By providing good ventilation of colonies against nosema infection, the formation of a moist environment that causes spores' proliferation can be prevented. Better results can be obtained with proper care and feeding activities. Colonies were observed during the essential oil trials, and no abnormal deaths were observed in any colonies.



Figure 2. Colourization by examination.



Figure 3. Nosema spores counting area

# Discussion

As seen in Figure 4, spores' density in the control group and other groups decreased gradually after the four applications with an interval of 3 days, in the colonies emerging from the spring. In later measurements, the laurel and the control groups were constant. Simultaneously, an undesirable increase was observed in the thyme and eucalyptus, whereas there was a partial decrease in nettle, especially garlic.

Based on the findings here, it is thought that

<b>Table 1.</b> Spores intensity distribution during working time	Гab	ble	<b>1.</b> S	pores	intensity	′ distri	bution (	during	working	g time
-------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	-----	-------------	-------	-----------	----------	----------	--------	---------	--------

nosema spores can progress in the form of a spontaneous increase or decrease depending on the season and climate conditions.

Although the essential oils used in thyme and eucalyptus showed a better decrease in the first four applications than the control group, the tendency to increase again in the following applications suggests that this product may affect the intestinal tract flora of honey bees. Essential oils containing thyme and eucalyptus should be used in a maximum of 4 doses against Nosema infection and should not be exceeded.

					Wooks (Avorago	+ 56)			
					Weeks (Average	± 33)			
Essential oils	n	1 Week	2 Weeks	3 Weeks	4 Weeks	5 Weeks	6 Weeks	n	Average ± SS
Nettle	3	34116666.7 ± 1233220.7	30233333.3 ± 7695182.5	12300000 ± 2961418.6	8066666.7± 2503164.7	9100000± 9860527.4	7633333.3± 5653612.4	18	16908333.3 ± 12295865.5
Laurel	3	28700000 ± 8886928.6	26816666.7 ± 7956339.2	17466666.7 ± 3859188.2	9516666.7 ± 1841421.6	8750000 ± 3001249.7	9100000 ± 8983874.4	18	16725000 ± 10172183.1
Thyme	3	19416666.7 ± 4365012.4	12516666.7 ± 6771693.5	8400000 ± 4411065.6	9033333.3 ± 3167149.1	6933333.3 ± 1409196	7866666.7 ± 1940575.5	18	10694444.4 ± 5578263.7
Ocaliptus	3	22250000 ± 14104343.3	19183333.3 ± 6824465.8	11450000 ± 476969.6	7950000 ± 4036087.2	8133333.3 ± 381881.3	9100000 ± 7668278.8	18	13011111.1 ± 8449600.7
Garlic	3	289666666.7 ± 12576797.4	31150000 ± 4130072.6	15450000 ± 3950949.3	11500000 ± 5358871.1	6000000 ± 1477328.7	3816666.7 ± 725143.7	18	16147222.2 ± 11990381.8
Vinegar	3	26716666.7 ± 1068098	26133333.3 ± 6130320.8	20983333.3 ± 5461761	16150000 ± 5438060.3	14900000 ± 8448520.6	11033333.3 ± 7821338.3	18	19319444.4 ± 7925427
Control	3	26866666.7 ± 10876158.9	26750000 ± 3553871.1	18683333.3±4 668065.3	14833333.3 ± 6984685.6	10033333.3 ± 5189010.8	10250000 ± 650000	18	17902777.8 ± 8828887.6
Variatio	n sou	rces F	Plant		Time		Pla	nt*Time	
I	Р	0	.066		<0.001		(	0.384	



Figure 4. Spore intensity distribution after six applications of essential oils

# Conclusion

Colony losses without reason have frequently been on the agenda in recent years, and the colonial losses must be associated with Nosemosis density in colonies. Protective measures should be taken against Nosema, and studies on treatment should be emphasized.

The study has shown that the essential oils used to decrease the number of spores that cause infection, but there is no definite result for treating the disease. It has been determined that garlic oil is one of the essential oils that has shown better results, and apple cider vinegar has no effect.

Essential oils used against nosema should be applied based on scientific data to protect human and bee health. The questions on the dose and mechanism of essential oils action against nosema infestation are not clear yet; more scientific studies are needed.

# References

- Anonymous, (2005). Laboratory method collection. Twinning Project between Turkey and Germany, Support for the Alignment of Turkey with the EU Veterinary Acquins (Twinning No: TR02/IB/AG-01, Project No: TR 0203.05).
- Anonymous, (2009). OIE Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals. Retrieved from http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/mmanual/2008/pdf/2. 02.04\_NOSEMOSIS. pdf.
- Benli, M., & Yiğit, N. (2005). Ülkemizde Yaygın Kullanımı Olan Kekik (*Thymus vulgaris*) Bitkisinin Antimikrobiyal Aktivitesi. Orlab On-Line Mikrobiyoloji Dergisi, 03(08), 1-8.
- Beyazıt, A., Akkoca, N., Eskiizmirliler, S., Albayrak, H., Özan, E., Özden, M., Selver, M.M., & Tunalıgil, S. (2012). Ege Bölgesi illerinde önemli arı hastalıklarının yaygınlığının araştırılması, Hayvan Sağlığı Program Değerlendirme Özet Kitabı, Ankara.

- Boland, W., Fro, C., & Lorenz, M. (1991). Esterolytic and lipolytic enzymes in organic synthesis. *Synthesis*, 12, 1049-1072.
- Costa, C., Lodesani, M., & Maistrello, L. (2010). Effect of thymol and resveratrol administered with candy or syrup on the development of *Nosema ceranae* and on the longevity of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) in laboratory conditions. *Apidologie*, 41, 141–150.
- Dürger, B., Ceyhan, M., Alitsaous, M., & Uğurlu, E. (1999). Artemisia absinthium L. (Pelin)'un Antimikrobiyal Aktivitesi. Turkish Journal of Biology, 23(3), 377-384.
- Maistrello, L., Lodesani, M., Costa, C., Leonardi, F., Marani, G., Caldon, M., Mutinelli, F., & Granato, A. (2008). screening of natural compounds for the control of nosemadisease in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Apidologie*, 39, 436–445.
- Morse, R. A., & Flottum, K. (1997). Honey Bee Pests, Predators and Diseases. Third Edition, Medina, Ohio, USA: A.I. Root Company.
- Muz, M.N. (2008). Bal arılarında ani koloni sönmesi. *Türkiye* Parazitoloji Dergisi, 32(3), 271-275.
- Porrini, M.P., Fernandez, N.J., Garrido, P.N., Gende, L.B., Medici, S.K., & Eguaras, M.J. (2011). In vivo evaluation of antiparasitic activity of plant extracts on *Nosema ceranae* (Microsporidia). *Apidologie*, 42, 700–707.
- Shimanuki, H., & Knox, D.A. (2000). Diagnosis of Honeybee Diseases, Agriculture Handbook No. AH– 690. U.S. Department of Agriculture., 61 pp.
- Şengezer, E., & Göngör, T. (2008). Esansiyel yağlar ve hayvanlar üzerindeki etkileri. Lalahan Hayvancılık Araştırma Enstitüsü Dergisi, 48(2), 101-110.
- Uygur, Ş. Ö., & Girişgin, A. O. (2008). Bal arısı hastalık ve zararlıları. Uludağ Arıcılık Dergisi, 8(4), 130-142.
- Yılmaz, F., Öztürk, S.H., Kuvancı, A., Kayaboynu, Ü., Karataş, Ü., Kaya, S., Derebaşı, E., & Buldağ, M. (2018). Doğu Karadeniz Bölgesinde Nosema apis ve Nosema ceranae'nın Epidemiyolojisi. Arıcılık Araştırma Dergisi, 10(2), 34-44.
- Zeybek, H. (1991). Arı Hastalıkları ve Zararlıları, Tarım ve Köyişleri Bakanlığı Hayvan Hast. Araşt. Enst. Md., Etlik, Ankara.

# RESEARCH PAPER



# A Preliminary Study for the Application of ISSR Markers to Discriminate Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) Populations in Turkey

# Okan Can Arslan<sup>1,\*</sup> 🕩

<sup>1</sup> Middle East Technical University, Biology Department, Ankara, Turkey

Article History Received 12 November 2020 Accepted 27 December 2020 First Online 28 December 2020

\***Corresponding Author** Tel.: +905358391144 E-mail: okancanarslan@gmail.com

Keywords Inter simple sequence repeats Genetic diversity Subspecies distinction

# Abstract

Potential of Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) markers in analyzing and discriminating of Turkish honeybee populations was assessed in this study. Genomic DNA samples of workers belonging to four subspecies and two ecotypes of honey bees were amplified by PCR using 5 microsatellite primers. Principal component and population structure analyses showed that ISSR method successfully defined and discriminated four distinct Turkish honeybee subspecies while the two ecotypes of *Anatoliaca* subspecies were not distinctively separated from each other. This study showed that ISSR markers can be a simple and low-cost alternative with high resolution compared to other markers such as microsatellite and RAPD, in analyzing the genetic variations in Turkish honey bee subspecies.

# Introduction

Anatolia's diverse climatic and geologic structure and its location as a bridge between Europe, Asia, and Africa led a considerable honey bee biodiversity in this region represented by five subspecies: *A. m. caucasica* at black sea region and northeastern Anatolia, *A. m. carnica* at Thrace region of north western Anatolia, *A. m. anatoliaca*, at western and central Anatolia, *A. m. meda* at southeastern Anatolia, and *A. m. syriaca* at Syria border region of southeastern Anatolia, (Ruttner, 1988; Kandemir, Kence, & Kence, 2000; 2005)

Honeybee diversity in Turkey was extensively studied using allozyme polymorphism, mitochondrial DNA, RAPD and microsatellite markers (Smith, Slaymaker, Palmer, & Kaftanoglu, 1997; Palmer, Smith, & Kaftanoglu, 2000; Bodur, Kence, & Kence, 2007; Tunca & Kence, 2011; Karabağ, Tunca, Sevim, & Doğaroğlu, 2020). Inter-SSR markers is based on amplification of genomic DNA segments flanked by the inversely oriented and closely spaced within microsatellite loci using microsatellite core unit bearing oligonucleotide primers. It combines the elements of microsatellite and RAPD methods (Wu, Jones, Danneberger, & Scolnik, 1994). ISSR has fewer time and material cost compared to microsatellite analysis as it does not require designing specific primers for the taxon to be analyzed (Zietkiewicz, Rafalski, & Labuda, 1994). It also produces more polymorphism compared to RAPD (Gupta, Chyi, Romerao-Severson, & Owen, 1994) ISSR was previously used to analyze the genetic differences in honeybee populations of Saudi Arabia (Al Otaibi, 2008) and Lithuania (Čeksteryte, Paplauskiene, Tamasauskiene, Pasakinskiene, & Mazeikiene, 2012). The aim of the study is to assess the utility of ISSR markers to discriminate some honeybee populations of different

subspecies and regions in Turkey.

# **Materials and Methods**

Worker honeybees were sampled from hives of pure line native subspecies maintained in the common garden of METU Biology Department. Subspecies used in this study are: A.m. caucasica from Artvin province, A.m. syriaca from Hatay, A.m. carnica from Kırklareli and, Yığılca and Muğla ecotypes of A.m. anatoliaca. Head and thorax parts honeybee samples which were frozen at -80°C were dissected out and homogenized in ice cold TE buffer. DNA extraction from the homogenates were performed using Fermentas DNA isolation kit according to manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration and quality in samples were determined by Nanodrop 2000c spectrometer. Five of SSR primers belonging to a primer set prepared by Biotechnology Laboratory of University of British Columbia (Vancouver, Canada) were used in PCR amplification (Table 1). ISSR-PCRs were carried out in a Techne TC-5000 gradient thermal cycler and reaction mixtures were prepared in a total volume of 15  $\mu$ L each containing 1X PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 50 mM KCl and 0.1% Triton X-100), 2 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM of each of the dNTPs (dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP), 0.25 µM of primer,0.5UT a qDNA polymerase (MBI, Fermentas) and 25 ng of template DNA. Time and thermal profile of the PCR were as follows 2 min of initial denaturation at 94°C, followed by 34 cycles; each consisting of a denaturation segment of 20 s at 94°C, an annealing segment of 1 min at 54 to 56°C depending on the primer and an extension segment of 2 min at 72°C. PCR was terminated with a final extension period of 5 min at 72°C, and products were electrophoresed in 1.5% agarose gels along with one lane of size markers. Fragments were visualized on a UV transilluminator following staining with 0.4 µg/mL ethidium bromide solution (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** An example of PCR profiles of honey bees belonging to five populations.

Screening 150 honeybee samples with 5 SSR primers produced at 19 gel positions (loci). Each locus was treated as a separate character, and the presence or the absence of a PCR product at each position was scored as 1 and 0 respectively. Principal Component analysis (PCA) was performed by NTSYS-pc program to define and discriminate study samples. Population structure and possible hybridizations between samples were analyzed by Structure Software. Other genetic variation parameters were calculated by PopGene Program

# Results

A total of 150 bee samples from 5 populations were screened with 5 primers in this study. In terms of genetic variation parameters (Table 1) populations showed a gradual increase with Artvin (caucasica) has the lowest while Kırklareli (carnica) had the highest scores (Table 2). The two- and three-dimensional graphics (Figures 2 and 3) of PCA analysis shows that the sample groups of four subspecies involved in our study, clearly separate from each other while Yigilca and Muğla ecotypes of anatoliaca subspecies are generally grouped together. Population structure analysis (Figure 4) also show distinctive patterns for each subspecies although there is some degree of introgression between the populations. Yigilca and Muğla ecotypes of anatoliaca honeybee also show remarkably similar structural patterns.

# **Discussion and Conclusion**

Studies showed evidence of genetic homogenization and loss of genetic diversity in some areas of Europe. Dall'Olio, Marino, Lodesani, and Moritz (2007) reported high level of homogenization and decrease in previously reported genetic diversity in Italian peninsula. Canovas, de la Rua, Serrano, and Galian (2011) also found an increased level of homogenization in Iberian honeybee (A. m. iberiensis) colonies from Spain. Bouga et al. (2005 a,b) observed no genetic distinction in terms of mitochondrial and allozyme data between native adami, macedonica and cecropia subspecies in Greece. The main reasons for this phenomenon are migratory beekeeping and replacing the queens of native honeybee colonies with commercial breeds of other subspecies (especially caucasica in Turkey) and their hybrids (Kükrer, 2013). Therefore, a simple, fast and cost-effective method is needed for genetic screening for native honeybee subspecies to detect possible homogenization and introgression events.

ISSR markers appeared to be an effective tool in analyzing and discriminating different honeybee populations and subspecies. Population structure analysis show distinct patterns between subspecies with some degree of hybridization. This is consistent with

Primers	Sequences 5'→3'	Total Bands	Polymorphic bands	Percentage of polymorphic Bands
UBC 818	CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC AG	3	2	66
UBC 840	CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC AG	5	4	80
UBC 847	CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC ARC	4	4	100
UBC 856	ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CYA	5	4	80
UBC 899	CAT GGT GTT GGT CAT TGT TCC	2	2	100

Table 1. Primer sequences and numbers of gel positions scored.

**Table 2.** Genetic variation and polymorphism in different indigenous honeybee populations from Turkey. NPL number of polymorphic loci, PPL percentage of polymorphic loci, *Na* observed number of alleles per locus, *Ne* effective number of alleles, *H* Nei's gene diversity *I* Shannon's Information index.

Populations	NPL	PPL	NA	NE	Н	I
Artvİn	10	50	1.500±0.513	1.250±0.361	0.146±0.196	0.223±0.280
Hatay	11	55	1.550±0.510	1.268±0.358	0.160±0.193	0.246±0.275
Kırklarelİ	12	60	1.600±0.502	1.393±0.389	0.228±0.207	0.337±0.297
Muğla	14	70	1.700±0.470	1.422±0.372	0.248±0.200	0.370±0.283
Yığılca	17	85	1.850±0.366	1.425±0.351	0.257±0.178	0.395±0.241



**Figure 2.** Two-dimensional principle coordinate analysis of 150 honey bees from 5 populations according to a distance matrix based on Jacard Index.



**Figure 3:** Three- dimensional principle coordinate analysis of 150 honey bees from 5 populations according to a distance matrix based on Jacard Index.

previous studies which showed that honeybee populations in different regions of Anatolia still retain their distinctive genetic structures despite hybridization caused by migratory beekeeping (Tunca, 2009, Kükrer, 2013). In addition to this, Yığılca and Muğla ecotypes of *A. m. Anatoliaca* subspecies are revealed to be genetically quite similar even though they are adapted to different ecological conditions. In conclusion, ISSR method proved itself to be a simple and low-cost method capable of identifying and discriminating honeybee subspecies and populations of Turkey.

# Acknowledgements

Author thanks to Prof. Dr. Aykut KENCE (R.I. P.) and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Meral KENCE from METU for their guidance and, Assist. Prof. Dr. Mehmet Ali SÜDÜPAK from Yozgat Bozok University for providing the microsatellite primers for this study. Part of this study was presented as a poster in 2013 Apimondia International Congress, Kyiv-Ukraine.

# References

- Al-Otaibi, S.A. (2008). Genetic variability in mite-resistant honey bee using ISSR molecular markers. Arab Journal of Biotecnology, 11, 241-252.
- Bodur, C., Kence, M., & Kence, A. (2007). Genetic structure of honeybee, *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) populations of Turkey inferred from microsatellite analysis. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 46(1), 50-56.
- Bouga, M., Kilias, G., Harizanis, P.C., Papastiropoulos, V., & Alahiotis, S. (2005a). Allozyme variability and phylogenetic relationships in honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae: A. mellifera) populations from Greece and Cyprus. Biochemical Genetics, 43, 471-484.
- Bouga, M., Harizanis, P. C., Kilias, G., & Alahiotis, S. (2005b). Genetic divergence and phylogenetic relationships of



**Figure 4:** Structure analysis for five honey bee populations of Turkey.

honey bee *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: *Apidae*) populations from Greece and Cyprus using PCR - RFLP analysis of three mtDNA segments. *Apidologie*, 36, 335-344.

- Cánovas, F., de la Rúa, P., Serrano, J., & Galián, J. (2011). Microsatellite variability reveals beekeeping influences on Iberian honeybee populations. *Apidologie*, 42(3), 235-251.
- Čeksteryte, V., Paplauskiene, V., Tamasauskiene, D., Pasakinskiene, I., & Mazeikiene, I. (2012). Genetic characterization of Lithuanian honeybee lines based on ISSR polymorphism. *Apidologie*, 43, 652-662.
- Dall'Olio, R., Marino, A., Lodesani, M., & Moritz, R. F. (2007). Genetic characterization of Italian honeybees, *Apis mellifera ligustica*, based on microsatellite DNA polymorphisms. *Apidologie*, 38(2), 207-217.
- Gupta, M., Chyi, Y.S., Romero-Severson, J., & Owen, J.L. (1994). Amplification of DNA markers from evolutionarily diverse genomes using single primers of simplesequence repeats. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, 89(7-8), 998-1006.
- Kandemir, I., Kence, M., & Kence, A. (2000). Genetic and morphometric variation in honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) populations of Turkey. *Apidologie*, 31(3), 343-356.
- Kandemir, İ., Kence, M., & Kence, A. (2005). Morphometric and electrophoretic variation in different honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) populations. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary* and Animal Sciences, 29(3), 885-890.
- Karabağ, K., Tunca, R. İ., Sevim, E. T., & Doğaroğlu, T. (2020). Current genetic status of honey bees in Anatolia in terms of thirty polymorphic microsatellite markers. *Türkiye Entomoloji Dergisi*, 44(3), 333-346.
- Kükrer, M. (2013). Genetic diversity of honey bee populations in Turkey based on microsatellite markers: A comparison between migratory versus stationary apiaries and isolated regions versus regions open to migratory beekeeping (MSc dissertation). Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Palmer, M. R., Smith, D. R., & Kaftanoglu, O. (2000). Turkish honeybees: genetic variation and evidence for a fourth lineage of Apis mellifera mtDNA. The Journal of Heredity,

91, 42-46.

- Ruttner, F. (2013). Biogeography and taxonomy of honeybees. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Smith, D.R., Slaymaker, A., Palmer, M., & Kaftanoglu, O. (1997) Turkish honeybees belong to the east Mediterranean lineage. *Apidologie*, 28, 269-274
- Tunca, R.I., & Kence, M. (2011). Genetic diversity of honey bee (Apis mellifera L.: Hymenoptera: Apidae) populations in Turkey revealed by RAPD markers. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 6(29), 6217-6225.

Tunca, R.I. (2009). Determination and Comparison of Genetic

Variation in Honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) Populations of Turkey by Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA and Microsatellite Analyses (PhD dissertation). Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.

- Wu, K.S., Jones, R., Danneberger, L., & Scolnik, P.A. (1994). Detection of microsatellite polymorphisms without cloning. *Nucleic acids research*, 22(15), 3257–3258.
- Zietkiewicz, E., Rafalski, A., & Labuda, D. (1994). Genome fingerprinting by simple sequence repeat (SSR)anchored polymerase chain reaction amplification. *Genomics*, 20(2), 176–183.

# RESEARCH PAPER



# Antibiofilm, Antioxidant and Quorum Quenching Activities of Propolis Samples from Southwest Anatolia

# Özgür Ceylan<sup>1,\*</sup>, Halime Alıç<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mugla Sıtkı Koçman University, Ula Ali Kocman Vocational School, Department of Food Processing, Ula- Mugla, Turkey <sup>2</sup> Mugla Sıtkı Koçman University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Biology, Kotekli-Mugla, Turkey

# **Article History**

Received 27 November 2020 Accepted 29 December 2020 First Online 30 December 2020

\*Corresponding Author Tel.: +902522113284 E-mail: ozgurceylan@mu.edu.tr

Keywords Antimicrobial activity Minimum Inhibition Concentration Anti-QS Mugla

# Abstract

In this study, antibiofilm, antioxidant and quorum quenching activities of the ethanol extracts of propolis samples collected from Muğla district were investigated. Antimicrobial activity was determined using the well diffusion and broth tube dilution methods, antibiofilm activity with microplate biofilm method, and antioxidant activity with DPPH radical scavenging,  $\beta$ -carotene linoleic acid and ferric thiocyanate methods. To determine the antimicrobial activity of the extracts, Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 7944, Streptococcus mutans CNCTC 8/77, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028 and Candida albicans ATCC 10239 strains were used. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) against microorganisms were determined from 1 to >100 mg/ml. The lowest MIC value was found as 1 mg/ml for AP6 propolis extract against Salmonella typhimurium. According to the antibiofilm activity results, highest biofilm were detected at concentrations of MIC as 82.60% for AP1 against S. mutans, 67.45% for AP2 against L. monocytogenes, 73.02% for AP3 against S. mutans, 64.05% for AP4 against L. monocytogenes, 70.58% for AP5 against S. typhimurium; 93.43% for AP6 against S. typhimurium and 72.43% for AP7 against S. mutans. AP7 extract had the highest antioxidant activity with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 3.94 mg/ml for DPPH radical scavenging method and with 91.10% reduction rate for  $\beta\mbox{-}carotene$  linoleic acid method. AP1 extract had the highest reduction percentage rate of 51.77% in the ferric thiocyanate method.

# Introduction

Propolis is a natural resin, collected mainly by the honey bee, Apis mellifera, which has been shown to have many biological activities including antioxidant and antimicrobial effects, both conferred by phenolic compounds, especially flavonoids (Gonçalves, Santos, & Srebernich, 2011; Talla et al., 2017; Tamfu et al., 2020). More than 150 components such as polyphenols, phenolic aldehydes, sesquiterpene quinines, coumarins, amino acids, steroids and inorganic components have been identified in propolis samples (Marcucci, 1995; Anjum et al., 2019). Propolis has long been used in oriental folk medicine for curing infections (Cheng & Wong, 1996; Blicharska & Seidel, 2019) and in European ethno-pharmacology as an antiseptic and antiinflammatory agent for healing wounds and burns (Ghisalberti, 1979; Rojczyk, Klama-Baryla, Labus,

Wilemska-Kucharzewska, & Kucharzewska, 2020). Propolis exhibits antimicrobial, antioxidant, antiinflammatory, anaesthetic and other properties (Bankova, de Castro, & Marcucci, 2000).

The purposes of the study were to determine antibiofilm, antioxidant and quorum quenching activities of propolis samples.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Propolis samples and preparation of alcohol extracts

Propolis samples were collected from seven different areas; Marmaris (Osmaniye: AP1; Merkez: AP5); Fethiye (Yanıklar: AP2; Uzunyurt: AP3); Datça (AP4); Milas (AP6); and Bodrum (Gümüşlük: AP7) in Muğla located in Southwest Anatolia. Each sample was cut into small pieces after cooling at -20°C and extracted with 96% ethanol (1:10 w/v) at 37°C for 5 days. The ethyl alcohol extracts were then filtered through a Whatman No. 1 filter paper and evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The samples were kept at -20°C until test experiments (Blonska et al., 2004).

# Antibiofilm Activity

Antibiofilm activities in MIC, MIC/2, MIC/4 and MIC/8 concentrations for propolis extracts were determined on polystyrene flat-bottomed microtitre plates as described by Merritt, Kadouri and O'Toole, (2005).

# Antioxidant Methods

# **Determination of DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity**

Antioxidant activity of the propolis extracts were determined based on its ability to react with the stable 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical (Burits & Bucar, 2000) Percentage of inhibition and the concentration of sample required for 50% scavenging of the DPPH free radical (IC<sub>50</sub>) were determined. BHT and ascorbic acid were used as a positive control.

# β-carotene Linoleic Acid Methods

 $\beta$ -Carotene-linoleic acid test system was used to assay lipid-peroxidation inhibitory activity (Dapkevicius, Venskutonis, van Beek, & Linssen, 1998).

# Ferric Thiocyanate (FTC) Method

A screw-cap vial containing a mixture of 4 mg of sample in 4 mL of 99.5% ethanol, 4.1 mL of 2.51% linoleic acid in 99.5% ethanol, 8.0 mL of 0.02 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 3.9 mL of water (final concentration 0.02%, w/v) was placed in an oven at 40°C in the dark (Mitsuda, Yuasumoto, & Iwami, 1996). To 0.1 mL of this mixture in a test tube, 9.7 mL of 75% (v/v) ethanol, 0.1 mL 30% ammonium thiocyanate and finally, 0.1 mL of  $2 \times 10^{-2}$  M ferrous chloride in 3.5% hydrochloric acid was added to the reaction mixture. Three minutes after the addition of ferrous chloride, the absorbance was measured at 500 nm. This step was repeated every 24 h until the control reached its maximum absorbance value.

# **Quorum Quenching Activities Methods**

The bacterial strains employed in the study were *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026 for anti-quorum sensing, *C. violaceum* CV12472 for violacein inhibition effects of the propolis extracts. Anti-quorum sensing experiments were carried out according to the methods of Koh and Tam (2011), while the violacein inhibition experiments were performed as described by Choo, Rukayadi, & Hwang, (2006).

# Results

Prior to investigation of biofilm inhibitory potential of test samples, MIC values of propolis samples were determined on the selected microorganisms and biofilm inhibition assay was performed at MIC and sub-MIC concentrations. The anti-biofilm activity results are given in Table 1 as percentage inhibition values. The antibiofilm activity results showed that the highest biofilm inhibition were observed at MIC concentrations. The antioxidant potential of propolis samples were evaluated using three different methods: DPPH radical scavenging assay,  $\beta$ -carotene-linoleic acid assay and Ferric thiocynate method and the results presented on Figure 2-4. Prior to quorum quenching activity determination, the MIC values of the propolis extracts were determined against C. violaceum CV 12472 and CV026 and presented in Table 2. The MIC and sub-MIC concentrations were then used for the determination of percentage violacein inhibition of samples (Table 3, Figure 1). The MIC values of the propolis extracts against C. violaceum CV026 biomonitor strain were determined and their QSI evaluated at sub-MIC concentrations (Table 4).

# Discussion

# **Antibiofilm Activity**

The biofilm inhibitions were determined as 82.60% for AP1 sample against *S. mutans*, 67.45% for AP2 against *L. monocytogenes*, 93.43% for AP6 against *S. typhimurium* for MIC concentration. Scazzocchio, D'Auria, Alessandrini, and Pantanella (2006) found a higher rate of *S.aureus* biofilm inhibition than our study. Koudhi, Zmantar, and Bakhrouf (2010), Dogan et al. (2014) and Capoci et al. (2015) reported higher rates of biofilm inhibition at lower concentrations compared to our results. This can be caused by the difference in the regions of collection of propolis samples.

# **The Antioxidant Activities**

DPPH radical scavenging,  $\beta$ -carotene linoleic acid and ferric thiocyanate methods were used for determination of antioxidant activities. The extracts of the propolis sample from Bodrum, Gümüşlük (AP7) showed the highest antioxidant activities with IC<sub>50</sub> value of 3.94 mg/mL for DPPH radical scavenging method and with 91.10% reduction rate for  $\beta$ -carotene linoleic acid method. The lowest antioxidant activity was determined as IC<sub>50</sub> 26.33 mg/mL for DPPH radical scavenging method in AP2. The highest antioxidant activity was shown at AP1 extract with a rate of 51.77% using ferric thiocyanate method whereas AP4 extract showed the lowest prevention of lipid peroxidation (34.74%). Nieva Moreno, Isla, Sampieto, and Vattuone (2000) and Lu, Chen, and Chou (2003) reported results consistent with

Extracts	Conc.	C. albicans	S. aureus	S. mutans	L. monocytogenes	E. coli	S. typhimurium
	(mg/mL)	10 00+5 94	47 28+4 10	83 6046 03	60 62±4 75	2 20+2 26	42.00±0.40
		10.99±5.64	47.26±4.19	82.00±0.92	00.05±4.75	5.29±2.30	42.99±0.40
AP1		-	29.55±3.53	73.11±0.14	48.49±5.35	-	35.50±0.60
	MIC/4	-	11.70±5.20	/1.85±0.23	32.96±4.53	-	28.03±0.26
	MIC/8	-	-	62.96±0.15	18.42±1.76	-	5.59±0.88
	MIC	-	35.37±2.85	46.21±7.84	67.45±1.77	8.88±0.55	60.23±4.57
AP2	MIC/2	-	10.39±0.63	36.45±2.73	53.89±1.00	-	40.64±1.02
/	MIC/4	-	1.76±3.38	21.95±1.02	45.62±0.52	-	26.63±0.21
	MIC/8	-	-	-	27.62±5.07	-	7.48±1.00
	MIC	14.59±1.19	65.24±0.20	73.02±1.39	18.96±3.58	10.28±0.09	38.78±0.10
402	MIC/2	5.16±4.11	53.62±0.78	56.77±1.36	-	-	28.01±2.54
AP3	MIC/4	-	39.53±4.57	31.04±2.67	-	-	10.73±1.30
	MIC/8	-	27.19±4.42	14.03±4.57	-	-	-
	MIC	10.92±1.44	32.76±1.05	61.98±1.52	64.05±2.29	9.34±0.08	58.41±0.07
4.5.4	MIC/2	7.79±1.48	23.88±1.11	50.58±0.58	51.45±0.47	-	41.07±5.22
AP4	MIC/4	6.74±2.53	7.37±0.75	32.21±3.83	38.77±6.41	-	22.88±1.18
	MIC/8	1.56±0.53	-	8.51±3.11	24.21±5.59	-	3.72±1.83
	MIC	51.53±3.10	46.58±2.68	61.40±2.10	70.41±3.11	13.56±1.52	70.58±2.06
	MIC/2	26.58±1.83	31.29±0.41	40.90±3.69	62.67±5.94	-	44.88±3.22
AP5	MIC/4	-	15.40±0.77	35.02±2.99	50.92±4.84	-	19.57±5.42
	MIC/8	-	-	10.63±0.17	34.94±0.63	-	1.88±1.88
	MIC	28.14±3.37	26.41±4.46	86.47±5.38	68.43±0.79	14.50±2.47	93.43±1.93
	MIC/2	13.58±4.30	18.50±0.61	61.98±1.52	36.37±3.04	_	82.73±2.17
AP6	MIC/4	7.29±0.07	-	32.40±1.31	12.66±4.00	-	75.22±0.69
	MIC/8	-	-	18.85+1.41		-	49.07+0.92
	MIC	59 88+0 93	54 15+1 94	72 43+0 81	61 12+4 26	12 10+4 55	64 45+3 13
	MIC/2	41 67+0 43	44 01+0 10	56 00+3 29	46 10+1 00	-	53 24+3 24
AP7	MIC/A	24 97+2 86	26 28+0 54	39 26+1 /2	32 98+2 59	-	35 97+1 06
	MIC/8	-	12.30+0.92	21.57+4.00	15.56+3.06	-	26.15+1.62

Table 1. Antibiofilm activities of propolis extracts at the MIC and sub-MIC concentrations

-: No activity



Figure 1. Violacein production inhibition results of propolis extracts against CV 12472



Figure 2. Results of DPPH free radical scavenging activities of propolis extracts,



Figure 3.  $\beta$ -carotene-linoleic acid assay results of Propolis extracts



Figure 4. FTC result graph of propolis extracts (% inhibition)

Samples	CV12472	CV026
_	MIC Conc.	(mg/mL)
AP1	6.25	6.25
AP2	6.25	6.25
AP3	6.25	6.25
AP4	3.12	6.25
AP5	12.5	12.5
AP6	3.12	3.12
AP7	3.12	3.12

# Table 2. MIC concentrations against C. violaceum CV 12472 and C. violaceum CV 026 strains

 Table 3. Violacein inhibition rates of propolis extracts against C. violaceum CV 12472

		Violacein inhibition (%)						
Conc.	AP1	AP2	AP3	AP4	AP5	AP6	AP7	
MIC	100	64.8±0.5	100	100	100	100	100	
MIC/2	100	39.8±0.2	100	100	51.8±1.8	100	100	
MIC/4	47.1±1.2	29.2±0.5	100	50.4±3.7	48.9±0.6	100	100	
MIC/8	41.2±0.8	23.1±0.3	49.7±1.0	34.6±1.1	37.3±0.5	45.6±2.8	51.0±0.4	

Table 4. Antiquorum sensing activity results of propolis extracts

Propolis extracts	Concentrations (mg/mL)	C. violaceum CV026	
		Antimicrobial zone (mm)	QS inhibition zone (mm)
AP1	MIC	-	-
	MIC/2	-	-
	MIC/4	-	-
AP2	MIC	-	-
	MIC/2	-	-
	MIC/4	-	-
AP3	MIC	-	-
	MIC/2	-	-
	MIC/4	-	-
AP4	MIC	-	9
	MIC/2	-	-
	MIC/4	-	-
AP5	MIC	-	-
	MIC/2	-	-
	MIC/4	-	-
AP6	MIC	8	10
	MIC/2	7	-
	MIC/4	-	-
AP7	MIC	-	12
	MIC/2	-	10
	MIC/4	-	8
C <sub>10</sub> HSL		-	31
Ethanol		-	-
No offerst			

-: No effect

our DPPH results in their study. Chen, Weng, Wu, and Lin (2004), Russo et al. (2004), Choi et al. (2006), Alencar et al. (2007), Moreira, Dias, Pereira, and Estevinho (2008), Miquel, Nunes, Dandlen, Cavaco, and Antunes (2010), Piccinelli et al. (2013) and Silva Frozza et al. (2013) reported lower  $IC_{50}$  values compared to our results. Sheng, Zhou, Wang, Xu, and Hu (2007) reported results consistent with our study in the antioxidant activity experiments they conducted with the FTC method.

## **Quorum Quenching Activities**

In the study, MIC values of biomonitor strains against propolis extracts were determined prior to the determination of antiquorum sensing activity. The highest antimicrobial effect was found in AP4, AP6 and AP7 extracts against C. violaceum CV12472 strain. The highest antimicrobial effect was seen in AP7 extract against C. violaceum CV026 strain. All of the propolis extracts used in the study showed inhibition of violacein production at different concentrations. Also, the highest inhibition of violacein production was determined in 100% at concentrations of MIC, MIC/2 and MIC/4, and 51.0% at a concentration of MIC/8 for AP7 extract.

It was determined that AP4, AP6 and AP7 extracts among the propolis extracts used in the study have antiquorum sensing activity. However, there were no activity detected for AP1, AP2, AP3, AP5 for antiquorum quenching activity. The results reported by Savka et al. (2015) confirm our anti-quorum sensing results.

# Conclusion

The antimicrobial effect of propolis samples collected from Milas and Bodrum (Gümüşlük) was revealed in this study. It was found that the propolis sample collected from the Milas region highly inhibited the *S.mutans* and *S.typhimurium* biofilm formation, especially at MIC concentrations. DPPH and  $\beta$ -carotene linoleic acid method results also revealed that Milas and Bodrum (Gümüşlük) propolis samples have high antioxidant activity as well as antimicrobial activity. Considering the antiquorum sensing results, it was determined that the Bodrum (Gümüşlük) propolis sample was effective in terms of quorum sensing inhibition.

Amongst the propolis ethanol extracts used in the study, Milas and Bodrum (Gümüşlük) samples showed the feature of being alternative in the treatment of many infections due to their antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities, and to eliminate the harmful effects of free radicals that cause many health problems, according to the antioxidant activity results. In addition, these two propolis extracts were found to be able to prevent the quorum sensing communication system used by most pathogenic bacteria to cause disease and especially to control biofilm production. Accordingly, it has been revealed that these two extracts have a potential in alternative treatment studies to be applied in the field of medicine.

# Acknowledgements

This study is a part of MSc thesis and supported by Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, with BAP 13/178 project number. In addition, this study was presented as a poster at the 45th Apimondia International Apicultural Congress and 5<sup>th</sup> International Mugla Beekeeping and Pine Honey Congress.

# References

- Alencar, S. M., Oldoni, T. C., Castro, M. L., Cabral, I. S. R., Costa-Neto, C. M., Cury, J. A., ... & Ikegaki, M. (2007). Chemical composition and biological activity of a new type of Brazilian propolis: red propolis. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 113(2), 278-283.
- Anjum, S. I., Ullah, A., Khan, K. A., Attaullah, M., Khan, H., Ali, H., ... & Adgaba, N. (2019). Composition and functional properties of propolis (bee glue): A review. *Saudi Journal* of Biological Sciences, 26(7), 1695-1703.
- Bankova, V. S., de Castro, S. L., & Marcucci, M. C. (2000). Propolis: recent advances in chemistry and plant origin. *Apidologie*, 31(1), 3-15.
- Blicharska, N., & Seidel, V. (2019). Chemical diversity and biological activity of african propolis. In: Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products 109, (pp 415-450). Springer, Cham.,
- Blonska, M., Bronikowska, J., Pietsz, G., Czuba, Z. P., Scheller, S., & Krol, W. (2004). Effects of ethanol extract of propolis (EEP) and its flavones on inducible gene expression in J774A. 1 macrophages. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 91(1), 25-30.
- Burits, M., & Bucar, F. (2000). Antioxidant activity of Nigella sativa essential oil. *Phytotherapy research*, 14(5), 323-328.
- Capoci, I.R.G., Bonfim-Mendonça, P.D.S., Arita, G.S., Pereira, R.R.D.A., Consolaro, M.E.L., Bruschi, M.L., ... & Svidzinski, T.I.E. (2015). Propolis is an efficient fungicide and inhibitor of biofilm production by vaginal Candida albicans. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2015.
- Chen, C.N., Weng, M.S., Wu, C.L. ve Lin, J.K. (2004). Comparison of Radical Scavenging Activity, Cytotoxic Effects and Apoptosis Induction in Human Melanoma Cells by Taiwanese Propolis from Different Sources. *Evidence Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 1(2):175-185.
- Cheng, P. C., & Wong, G. (1996). Honey bee propolis: prospects in medicine. *Bee world*, 77(1), 8-15.
- Choi, Y. M., Noh, D. O., Cho, S. Y., Suh, H. J., Kim, K. M., & Kim, J. M. (2006). Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of propolis from several regions of Korea. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 39(7), 756-761.
- Choo, J. H., Rukayadi, Y., & Hwang, J. K. (2006). Inhibition of bacterial quorum sensing by vanilla extract. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 42(6), 637-641.
- Dapkevicius, A., Venskutonis, R., van Beek, T.A., & Linssen, J.P. (1998). Antioxidant activity of extracts obtained by different isolation procedures from some aromatic herbs grown in Lithuania. *Journal of the Science of Food and*

Agriculture, 77(1), 140-146.

- Dogan, N., Doğanlı, G., Ulger, G., Habesoglu, D., Guzel, S., Yasar, Y., Arar, D., Sensoy, T. and Bozbeyoglu, N. (2014). Antibiofilm effect of two propolis samples from Turkey. *Journal of Applied Biological Sciences*, 8(2), 27-31.
- Ghisalberti, E.L. (1979). Propolis: a review. *Bee world*, 60(2), 59-84.
- Gonçalves, G. M. S., Santos, N. P., & Srebernich, S. M. (2011). Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of propolis and açai (Euterpe oleracea Mart) extracts. *Journal of Basic* and Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences, 32(3).
- Koh, K.H., & Tham, F.Y. (2011). Screening of traditional Chinese medicinal plants for quorum-sensing inhibitors activity. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection*, 44(2), 144-148.
- Kouidhi, B., Zmantar, T., & Bakhrouf, A. (2010). Anti-cariogenic and anti-biofilms activity of Tunisian propolis extract and its potential protective effect against cancer cells proliferation. *Anaerobe*, 16(6), 566-571.
- Lu, L. C., Chen, Y. W., & Chou, C. C. (2003). Antibacterial and DPPH free radical-scavenging activities of the ethanol extract of propolis collected in Taiwan. *Journal of Food* and Drug Analysis, 11(4), 277-282.
- Marcucci, M.C. (1995). Propolis: chemical composition, biological properties and therapeutic activity. *Apidologie* 26(2), 83-99. DOI: 10.1051/apido:19950202.
- Merritt, J. H., & Kadouri, D. E. O, Toole, GA (2005). Growing and analyzing static biofilms. Current Protocols in Microbiology, 1.
- Miguel, M. G., Nunes, S., Dandlen, S. A., Cavaco, A. M., & Antunes, M. D. (2010). Phenols and antioxidant activity of hydro-alcoholic extracts of propolis from Algarve, South of Portugal. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 48(12), 3418-3423.
- Mitsuda, H., Yuasumoto, K., Iwami, K. (1996) Antioxidation action of indole compounds during the autoxidation of linoleic acid. *Journal of Japanese Society of Nutrition and Food Science*, 19, 210.
- Moreira, L., Dias, L.G., Pereira, J.A., & Estevinho, L. (2008). Antioxidant properties, total phenols and pollen analysis of propolis samples from Portugal. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46(11), 3482-3485.
- Moreno, M. I.N., Isla, M.I., Sampietro, A.R., & Vattuone, M.A. (2000). Comparison of the free radical-scavenging activity of propolis from several regions of Argentina.

Journal of ethnopharmacology, 71(1-2), 109-114.

- Russo, A., Cardile, V., Sanchez, F., Troncoso, N., Vanella, A., & Garbarino, J.A. (2004). Chilean propolis: antioxidant activity and antiproliferative action in human tumor cell lines. *Life Sciences*, 76(5), 545-558.
- Piccinelli, A. L., Mencherini, T., Celano, R., Mouhoubi, Z., Tamendjari, A., Aquino, R. P., & Rastrelli, L. (2013). Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of Algerian propolis. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(21), 5080-5088.
- Rojczyk, E., Klama-Baryła, A., Łabuś, W., Wilemska-Kucharzewska, K., & Kucharzewski, M. (2020). Historical and modern research on propolis and its application in wound healing and other fields of medicine and contributions by Polish studies. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 113159.
- Savka, M.A., Dailey, L., Popova, M., Mihaylova, R., Merritt, B., Masek, M., ... & Bankova, V. (2015). Chemical composition and disruption of quorum sensing signaling in geographically diverse United States propolis. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2015.
- Scazzocchio, F., D'auria, F. D., Alessandrini, D., & Pantanella, F. (2006). Multifactorial aspects of antimicrobial activity of propolis. *Microbiological Research*, 161(4), 327-333.
- Sheng, J., Zhou, J., Wang, L., Xu, J., & Hu, Q. (2007). Antioxidant activity of ethanol and petroleum ether extracts from Brazilian propolis. *European Food Research and Technology*, 225(2), 249.
- Silva Frozza, C.O., Garcia, C.S.C., Gambato, G., de Souza, M. D.O., Salvador, M., Moura, S., ... & Dellagostin, O.A. (2013). Chemical characterization, antioxidant and cytotoxic activities of Brazilian red propolis. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 52, 137-142.
- Talla, E., Tamfu, A.N., Gade, I. S., Yanda, L., Mbafor, J.T., Laurent, S., ... & Bankova, V. (2017). New mono-ether of glycerol and triterpenes with DPPH radical scavenging activity from Cameroonian propolis. *Natural Product Research*, 31(12), 1379-1389.
- Tamfu, A.N., Sawalda, M., Fotsing, M.T., Kouipou, R.M.T., Talla, E., Chi, G.F., ... & Shaheen, F. (2020). A new isoflavonol and other constituents from Cameroonian propolis and evaluation of their anti-inflammatory, antifungal and antioxidant potential. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 27(6), 1659-1666.