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ABSTRACT
Crop selection for sustainable and effective agricultural land management has to take into accounts several issues such as chemical, physical, environmental, economic and social conditions. Especially after land consolidation projects, sustainable agricultural crop management should be investigated for each crop which are suitable for the project area to benefit from the land consolidation contributions such as irrigation, roads, modified parcel boundaries and surfaces. Thus, Geographical Information Systems (GIS) aided suitability analysis techniques are required to determine the suitable crops for the consolidated areas. In this study, Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) multi-criteria decision techniques are integrated with GIS to determine most suitable crops for parcels. The suitability maps of wheat, clover, sugar beet and corn crops are generated for the projected area using 63 Land Mapping Units (LMU) with considering pH, lime, texture, salinity, organic matter, electrical conductivity, permeability, slope, aspect and the distance to settlements and roads within chemical, physical, topological and socio-economic criteria.

1. INTRODUCTION
The agricultural activities have an importance that can accelerate the development of a country with its economic proceeds. There is a very close relation between the agricultural lands of a city and its economic-social status. Thus, the planning of agricultural activities and establishing Sustainable Agriculture Management (SAM) systems in developing cities are very important in the field of economic, social and environmental criteria (Rigby et al. 2001; Cauwenbergh et al. 2007; Radulescu et al. 2011; Akar and Gökalp 2018).

Crop suitability analysis, sustainable agricultural yield, pest control and irrigation are involved in SAM environment. Especially in land consolidation projects, site suitability analysis for crop selection is getting more essential to benefit from the advantages of land consolidation projects.

The Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) suggested an approach for crop suitability via a ranking from suitable to not suitable including soil properties, climatic conditions and land facilities (FAO 1976). Addition to this, crop suitability requires considering chemistry and physics of soil, topographic, climatic and environmental data when deciding (Wang et al. 1990; Joerin et al. 2001; Ceballos-Silva and Lopez-Blanco 2003a; Eliasson et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2011; Confalonieri et al. 2013; Elsheikh et al. 2013).

The existence of a wide range data in crop suitability and the complexity of criteria are the scope of Multi Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) (Zolekar and Bhagat 2015). MCDA is a general term that refers to determine the best alternative from all of the existing alternatives in the presence of multiple criteria (Zeleny 1982; Radulescu et al. 2010; Ramírez-García et al. 2015).
In this concept, Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is one of the most applied methods in MCDA, which aims to calculate weights for each criterion among the parameters that involved in crop suitability (Saaty 1977, Saaty 1994, Saaty 2001; Saaty and Vargas 1991). AHP involves the calculations to determine most suitable solutions to the desired problem within multiple criteria by calculating weights with a pairwise comparison matrix (Arentze and Timmermans 2000; Chen et al. 2010). Calculated weights represent the affect rate of each criterion to the total suitability. On the other hand, TOPSIS is another method based on determining the distances, which has the shortest distance to positive ideal solution and longest distance from negative ideal solution (Hwang and Yoon 1981; Sarı et al. 2020).

In literature, there are considerable amounts of researches, which initialize the suitability of crops. The common alternative cropping systems via MCDA, cover crop species and cultivars selection were studied by (Hayashi 2000; Prakash 2003; Sadok et al. 2008; Thapa and Murayama 2008; Chen et al. 2010; Ramírez-García et al. 2015). The other studies were based on a special crop such as; strawberry and rubber tree (Roudeillac et al. 1997; Diaby et al. 2010), walnut cultivars (Srdjevic et al. 2004); lilium species and clones (Li et al. 2011); maize and potato (Ceballos-Silva and Lopez-Blanco, 2003b); tobacco (Chavez et al. 2012); faba bean (Kazemi et al. 2016); oat crop (Ceballos-Silva and Lopez-Blanco 2003a); olive crop (El aalem 2013), the fruit crops (Chuong 2007), biomass crop (Cobuloglu and Buyuktahtakin 2015), paddy crops, vegetable and flower, annual crops, mulberry, coffee and tea (Dinh and Duc 2012). Although one crop type is examined in recent studies, most common agricultural crop types were studied in this paper and addition to AHP, TOPSIS method was used for crop suitability. The study area and parcel counts are one of the largest of recent studies and land consolidation area was used in this study.

In this study, AHP and TOPSIS methods are integrated to determine the suitability of corn, clover, wheat and sugar beet, which are the main crops of the study area, for consolidated lands in Seydişehir, Konya. There are 63 Land Map Units (LMU) units and their chemical, physical, topographical and socio-economic features are considered which are obtained from soil survey analysis of the project area. LMU’s are the soil survey points, which are established before land consolidation projects to define the soil properties by taking soil samples. The suitability maps for crops are generated with MCDA and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) integration. The results of the study can guide to the crop management and irrigation planning by determining suitable parcels for crops to increase the sustainable agricultural activities and economic income. The results can also guide to land consolidation projects considering the crop cover.

2. MATERIALS and METHODS

2.1. Study Area

The study area Seydişehir-Gevrekli is a land consolidation project area located in Konya city and the city has 18763439 ha agricultural lands according to the 2019 statistics (URL 1). This mean, Konya has the largest agricultural lands in Turkey. The topography of the study area and the parcels used in this study are shown (Figure 1).

Seydişehir is a district of Konya and surrounded with Çumra, Bozkır, Aksiki and Beştehir districts. The district has an average height of 1123 meter above sea level and about 2000 km² of agricultural lands. The topography of Seydişehir is mostly a plain in the middle of the city and have high mountains (The Taurus Mountains) in the south of the city that compose the boundary with the Mediterranean region and its climate.

Figure 1. The study area Gevrekli
The pH of the soil is varied from slightly acid to strongly alkaline that suitable for a large amount of agricultural crops. The salinity values are appropriate for most of the crops and there are quite a few areas, which have slightly, and moderately salinity. The lime rate of the soils can rise to 46%.

The common texture of the area is loamy and clay-loamy which can be accepted as appropriate for most of the agricultural crops. The common area has poor organic matter rate; thus, fertilizer usage should be considered for agricultural crops. The area has an average 2% slope commonly except the east of the study area.

2.2. Methodology

The application model consists of a combination of AHP and TOPSIS. GIS functions will contribute the visualization and generating suitability maps.

2.2.1 Criteria selection

When deciding criteria, which will be included in suitability analysis, the requirements of the crops must be specified in the field of chemical, physical, topographic and socio-economic perspective according to the expert decisions and recent studies. The chemical criteria are specified considering soil survey analyses, which are examined for land consolidation projects. Soil pH, lime, organic matter, salinity, electrical conductivity and boron parameters are included in chemical criteria and expected to be in required interval for crops. The physical criteria include texture and permeability, which are related to the soil nature. Finally, the socio-economic criterion includes distances from settlements and roads to consider crop storage and transportation.

All the requirements are determined and arranged as a table, which is given in Table 1 for corn crop. The classifications of the soil requirements are obtained from the fertilizer producers. In crop suitability analysis, all values are converted to a rating system with ordinal values (like S1, S2, S3, N1 and N2) representing the degree of suitability of LMU based on the crop requirements (URL 2). S1, S2 and S3 are indicating the marginally suitable areas and N1, N2 extremely unsuitable areas for selected crop.

Table 1. Corn requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>N2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH (Class)</td>
<td>6-6.5</td>
<td>6.5-7</td>
<td>5.5-6</td>
<td>5-5.5</td>
<td>&lt;5,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Born (ppm)</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime (%)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM (%)</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>10-5</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (mmhos/cm)</td>
<td>2-3,5</td>
<td>3,5-5</td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (mmhos/cm)</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture (Class)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability (Class)</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>4-3</td>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (%)</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect (Class)</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Analytic hierarchy process (AHP)

The procedure outlined by (Saaty 1977) scales the importance of each criterion, from 1 to 9 relatively (1=Equal, 3=Moderately, 5=Strongly, 7=Very, 9=Extremely). The reciprocal values 2, 4, 6 and 8 also refer to importance values within 1 to 9 importance scale of Saaty. The pairwise matrix (Eq.1) includes the scales and determines the importance of criteria.

\[ a_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}} \quad (2) \]

The average of the sum represents the weights of each criterion in pairwise comparison (Eq.3).

\[ w_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} (i,j = 1,2,3,...,n) \quad (3) \]

The consistency of the pairwise comparison matrix must be calculated to decide the criteria, comparisons are consistent or not. Consistency Index (CI) is one of the methods to define the consistency coefficient of the pairwise comparison matrix (Eq.4).

\[ CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n - 1} \quad (4) \]

Calculating consistency index depends on the \(\lambda_{max}\) (eigen value) value (Eq.5) and Random Index (RI) value according to the matrix order (Saaty 1994).

\[ CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n - 1} \]

\[ CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n - 1} \]

\[ CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n - 1} \]

\[ CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n - 1} \]
\[ I_{\text{max}} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} w_i}{w_i} \right) \right] \]  

If CR (Eq.6) exceeds 0.1, based on expert knowledge and experience (Saaty and Vargyas 1991), recommends a revision of the pairwise comparison matrix with different values.

\[ CR = \frac{C_I}{C_I} \]  

2.2.3. Topsis

In evaluation matrix \( A_i \), \( A = (1,2,\ldots,n) \) represents the alternatives and \( C_i \), \( C = (1,2,\ldots,m) \) a set of criteria; where \( X_i \) (X11 to X1m) defines the ratings (Eq.7).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
  & C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & \cdots & C_m \\
 A_1 & X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} & \cdots & X_{1m} \\
 A_2 & X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23} & \cdots & X_{2m} \\
 A_3 & X_{31} & X_{32} & X_{33} & \cdots & X_{3m} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 A_n & X_{n1} & X_{n2} & X_{n3} & \cdots & X_{nm} \\
\end{array}
\]  

(A+) = \{V^+_1(x), V^+_2(x), \ldots, V^+_m(x)\} = \left\{ \max_{j \in J_1} v_{ij}(x) | j \in J_1 \right\}

(A-) = \{V^-_1(x), V^-_2(x), \ldots, V^-_m(x)\} = \left\{ \min_{j \in J_1} v_{ij}(x) | j \in J_1 \right\}

\[ D_i^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left| V^+_j(X) - V^+_i(X) \right|^2, \]  

\[ D_i^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left| V^-_j(X) - V^-_i(X) \right|^2, \]  

Calculate the relative closeness to the ideal solution \( CI^* \) with \( DI^* \) and \( DI^- \); where \( 1 > CI^* > 0 \). The \( CI^* \) values close to 1 will be the better solution relatively (Eq.11).

\[ CI^*_i = \frac{D_i^-}{D_i^- + D_i^+} \]  

2.2.4. Weight Calculation

Each criterion is reclassified and mapped via ArcGIS 10.1 software, which are visualized in Figure 2 using Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) spatial analysis. Criteria maps are illustrated from green to red, which represent suitability from high to low.

The first step of generating suitability maps is weight calculation of physical, chemical, topographical and socio-economic criteria with a pairwise comparison matrix (Table 2). Because chemical parameters and components have vital importance on crop growth, chemical criteria are weighted 60 %. Other criteria weights are calculated 20 % for physical and 10 % for topographic and socio-economic criteria because topographic and socio-economic criteria have indirect effect on crop suitability. The weights of the criteria were specified considering recent studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Crop suitability pairwise matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1= Crop Suitability, C1= Chemical, C2=Physical, C3= Topographical, C4= Socio-Economic, CR=0.038, W=Weights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second stage, criteria weights are calculated separately according to the criteria (W1) and main criteria (W2). The CR values of all comparisons are lower than 0.10 indicate that the use of the weights are suitable. W3 weights represent the total weight of each main criterion when generating suitability. All the AHP weights are given in Table 3.
Figure 2. Criteria Maps Distance to roads (DR), Distance to settlements (DS), PH, Salinity (S), Texture (T), Boron (B), Lime (L), Permeability (P), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Organic Matter (OM), Slope (S), Aspect (A)

Table 3. AHP weights of each criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>$W_1$</th>
<th>Main-Criteria</th>
<th>$W_2$</th>
<th>$W_3 = W_1 \times W_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OM</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topographic</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social-Economic</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After weight calculation with AHP, TOPSIS technique is applied to be able to determine crop suitability. The TOPSIS technique aims to determine the distances from selected alternative to negative and positive ideal solutions. The selected alternative should have the shortest distance from the positive ideal solution and the longest from the negative ideal solution. The respective distances to positive and negative ideal solutions are defined as a similarity index (Hwang and Yoon 1981). In crop suitability analysis, S1 is considered to be ideal point and the N2 is the negative ideal point for each crop.

The TOPSIS evaluation matrix includes 63 LMU and related rankings in 0-30, 30-60, 60-120 cm depth for 12 criteria which are included in 4 main criteria. The ranking values for each criterion are defined between 1-9 considering the LMU values and crop requirements (Table 1). The ranking values are used to calculate R and V matrices via W3 weights that calculated with AHP (Table 2). The positive ideal solution A+ and the negative ideal solution A−, which are the maximum and minimum values of the V matrix, are calculated.

Based on the A+ and A− values, distance to positive ideal solutions Di+ and distance to negative ideal solution Di− values are calculated for each LMU. Finally, relative closeness to ideal solution Ci* values are calculated (Table 4) to determine the land suitability ranking definition. The Ci* values are classified as follows;

- Ci* > 0.8: Highly Suitable (S1),
- 0.8 > Ci* > 0.65: Moderately Suitable (S2),
- 0.65 > Ci* > 0.50: Slightly Suitable (S3),
- 0.50 > Ci* > 0.40: Moderately not Suitable (N1),
- Ci* <0.40: None Suitable (N2).

Table 4. Distances from positive and negative ideal solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LMU</th>
<th>Di+</th>
<th>Di−</th>
<th>Ci*</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (0-30)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.82571</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (30-60)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.53753</td>
<td>S3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (60-120)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.48719</td>
<td>N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (0-30)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.70879</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (30-60)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.50375</td>
<td>S3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (60-120)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.76626</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (0-30)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.79496</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (30-60)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.29240</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (60-120)</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.14884</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The suitability index maps are produced according to the 0-30, 30-60 and 60-120 cm depths of LMU’s respectively. The suitability index maps are generated by using Ci* values which are calculated by TOPSIS method.

Because corn and wheat requirements are quite similar to each other, suitability maps can be investigated together. Wheat and corn are the most widely grown crops in the study area. Addition to this, there are a considerable amount of parcel which has high suitability for wheat and corn. Especially the north of the study area has very high suitability with 0.838 for corn and 0.860 for wheat. The parcels that have very low suitability are quite a few due to the slightly alkaline soils, high salinity and very low permeability values. The lowest suitability index values for corn is 0.14 and for wheat 0.18. The general suitability of the area is calculated 59 % for corn and 46 % for wheat including S1, S2 and S3.

The clover and sugar beet crop needs high values of boron. However, the boron values of the area are not sufficient for these crops. Addition to this, clover needs moderately alkaline soils, thus, slightly alkaline soils affect the growth respectively. The S1 is not included in the study area for clover and the 5 % of the study area have very low suitability. The sugar beet suitability index is varied from 0.85 to 0.16 and clover 0.73 to 0.29. The suitability index maps for clover, wheat, sugar beet and clover are given in Figure 3, 4, 5 and 6.

The suitability ranking (S1, S2, S3, N1 and N2) rates are compared according to the 63 LMU of the study area. The S1, S2 and S3 are assigned as suitable areas and N1, N2 as unsuitable. According to this, 59 % of the study area have suitability for corn, 43 % for sugar beet, 76 % for clover and 46 % for wheat for 0-30 cm depth. The comparisons of the suitability rankings are given in Table 5 and 6.

The suitability ranking rates are compared according to the 2382 parcels. The highest value of the S1 is 22 for sugar beet. Considering the S1, S2 and S3 are suitable rankings, 1481 parcels for corn, 1457 parcels for wheat, 2027 parcels for clover and 1127 parcels for sugar beet are suitable. In other words, 1000,22 ha for corn, 985,5 ha for wheat, 1285,71 ha for clover and 764,16 ha of the total 1524,47 ha study area for sugar beet are suitable. The comparisons of the parcel counts are given in Table 6.

Validating suitability maps can only be possible with crop statistics of the study area. Thus, 2016 parcel based crop records are retrieved from Republic Of Turkey Ministry Of Food, Agriculture and Livestock Seydişehir Directorates with using farmer registration system database. As shown in Figure 7, wheat is the most widely grown crop in study area with 80.5% rate of total crop. However, habits, agricultural incentives and continuously changing prices are more decisive factors than suitability. Due to this, crop records may not reflect agricultural lands suitability.
Figure 3. Wheat suitability maps for 0-30 cm, 30-60 cm and 60-120 cm

Figure 4. Corn Suitability maps for 0-30cm, 30-60cm and 60-120cm

Figure 5. Clover Suitability maps for 0-30cm, 30-60cm and 60-120cm

Figure 6. Sugar Beet Suitability maps for 0-30cm, 30-60cm and 60-120cm
Table 5. Comparisons of the 63 LMU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Corn 30 cm</th>
<th>Corn 60 cm</th>
<th>Corn 120 cm</th>
<th>S.Beet 30 cm</th>
<th>S.Beet 60 cm</th>
<th>S.Beet 120 cm</th>
<th>Clover 30 cm</th>
<th>Clover 60 cm</th>
<th>Clover 120 cm</th>
<th>Wheat 30 cm</th>
<th>Wheat 60 cm</th>
<th>Wheat 120 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Parcel count comparisons of suitability classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Corn 30 cm</th>
<th>Corn 60 cm</th>
<th>Corn 120 cm</th>
<th>S.Beet 30 cm</th>
<th>S.Beet 60 cm</th>
<th>S.Beet 120 cm</th>
<th>Clover 30 cm</th>
<th>Clover 60 cm</th>
<th>Clover 120 cm</th>
<th>Wheat 30 cm</th>
<th>Wheat 60 cm</th>
<th>Wheat 120 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Crop Map of the Gevrekli

4. CONCLUSION

Although determining the crop suitability is a very complex study from planning to the establishing stage, the integration of AHP, TOPSIS and GIS functions provide an effective platform to determine the suitability. In this context, the most important factors are the criteria selection and data preparation for the study area. Firstly, the decision makers must decide the priorities of crops. Then, the weights of the criteria can be easily modified with the AHP according to the priorities. Although 12 criteria are used in this study, several criteria can be added such as; meteorological and irrigation. Because the parcels are included in a completed land consolidation project area, all the parcels have an irrigation canal. Meteorological parameters should be observed such as; humidity, soil temperature, wind, wind direction and the minimum and maximum temperature. However, there aren’t any distinctive topographical features that meteorological observations can change. Addition to this, these parameters should be included in AHP and TOPSIS. Thus, more realistic crop suitability can be determined by enlarging the scope of the land facilities. Another important issue in this scope is the data collection and accuracy. Because there are 63 LMU in the study area, the accuracy of the suitability decreased relatively. While 63 LMU are enough to decide, higher LMU will be better to determine the suitability accurately.

The comparison of the determined suitable crops and grown crops should be evaluated each year. The suitability results can easily integrate with farmer registration systems or sustainable agricultural management systems. Thus, the consistency of the study and comparisons can be investigated together with irrigation, fertilization and pest control to improve the crop yields.

Although recent studies based on AHP method (Hayashi 2000; Prakash 2003; Sadok et al. 2008; Thapa and Murayama 2008; Chen et al. 2010; Ramírez-García et al. 2015), this study introduced TOPSIS method via AHP weight calculation for crop suitability analysis. Moreover, wheat, corn, clover and sugar beet crops were examined in this study which are the main crop cover of the study area. However, (Roudeillac et al. 1997; Diaby et al. 2010; Ceballos-Silva et al. 2003; Ceballos-Silva and Lopez-Blanco 2003a; Ceballos-Silva and Lopez-Blanco, 2003b; Chuong 2007; Li et al. 2011; Chavez et al. 2012; Dinh and Duc 2012; Elaalem 2013; Srđevic et al. 2014; Cobuloglu and Buyuktahtakin 2015; Kazemi et al. 2016) studied on a special crop type. Thus, this study and method can be applied to a large amount of agricultural lands which crop cover is similar to the study area. This can lead more comprehensive approach for crop management and planning by examining all crops in a study area.

This study also guide to the local authorities as like province agricultural directorates for planning and deciding agricultural incentives. In recent status, directorates are giving incentives to farmers to increase the yield and decrease the expenditures of agricultural activities. However, the suitability of crops are not examining in this stage. Additionally, for rural development, farmers are encouraged for different crop types to provide new economic field.
Instead of this, determining suitability of all crop types in valuable agricultural lands and deciding crop cover could increase the yield and economic income. Moreover, insufficient irrigation resources can be managed more effectively. For instance, crop types which need less water resources can be decided both considering the suitability and protect the water resources. Nowadays, Konya Karapınar district has been threatened by sinkholes due to the excessive irrigation demand of sugar beet, corn and sunflower crops, which need water mostly.
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ABSTRACT
The integration of computer vision algorithms and photogrammetric methods leads to procedures that increasingly automate the image-based 3D modeling process. The main objective of photogrammetry is to obtain a three-dimensional model using terrestrial or aerial images. Calibration of the camera and detection of the orientation parameters are important for obtaining accurate and reliable 3D models. For this purpose, many methods have been developed in the literature. However, since each method has different mathematical background, calibration results may be different. In this study, the effect of camera interior orientation parameters obtained from different methods on the accuracy of three-dimensional model will be examined. In this context, a test area consisting of 21 points was used. The test network was coordinated in a local coordinate system using geodetic methods. Some points of the test area were selected as the check point and accuracy analysis was performed. Direct Linear Transformation (DLT) method, MATLAB, Agisoft Lens, Photomodeler, 3D Flow Zephyr software were analysed. The lowest error value of 7.7 cm was achieved by modelling with Agisoft Lens.

1. INTRODUCTION

Photogrammetry involves scientific methods that calculate three-dimensional coordinates of an object by measuring the corresponding points in overlapping images. The mathematical relationship between an image point and an object point is derived by equinox linear equations based on central projection (Akcay et al. 2017). Photogrammetry is the most reliable and useful method for 3D modelling of the real world. Recording of historical artefacts (Duran and Aydar 2012; Ulvi and Toprak 2016), 3D modelling of the surface (Nex and Remondino 2014; Yemencioglu et al. 2016), medical studies (Reis 2018) and in different situations where measurement must be made without contact with objects (Linder 2009), photogrammetry is widely used. Camera calibration is the determination of internal orientation parameters of the camera by the 3D coordinates of a point in space and the corresponding image coordinates (Song et al. 2013). There are many studies about camera calibration that is used for enhancing 3D modelling. Zhao et al. (2015) were developed faster calibration method. They used a matching method based on heterodyne multi-frequency phase-shifting. Root mean square error (RMSE) was obtained as 2.5 cm. In another study, self-calibration of range cameras was realised using bundle adjustment (Lichti et al. 2010). 3-D coordinate errors were reduced by up to 74%. In addition to these studies, there are also comprehensive studies that examine calibration methods in general. In the study conducted by Hemayed (2003), self-calibration methods for determining interior parameters were examined. In another large-scale study, calibration methods were examined as traditional camera calibration method, camera self-calibration method, and camera calibration method based on active vision (Song et al. 2013).

Among the existing methods, Structure from Motion (SFM) is a popular algorithm. This algorithm creates 3D models using photographs taken from different angles of an object. The positional accuracy
of the created models is affected by camera calibration. Therefore, accurate calibration of the camera is important in terms of 3D modelling. In this study, the effect of camera calibration values obtained from different popular software on 3D model accuracy was investigated. MATLAB, Agisoft Lens, Photomodeler, 3D Flow Zephyr and Direct Linear Transformation (DLT) methods have been selected. A three dimensional test area was created to evaluate the calibration results.

2. MATERIAL and METHODS

2.1. Material

Application was carried out with a Nikon D800 camera (Figure 1). The camera with a variable lens is set to a focal length of 24 mm.

![Figure 1. Nikon D800 Digital SLR Camera](image)

Within the scope of the study, a test network was established. The test area contains 21 points (Figure 2). The local coordinates of the points were determined by geodetic measurements using Total Station. It has 3 mm + 2 ppm distance accuracy and 3" (0.9 mgon) angle accuracy. Points with different height values have been established for an accurate assessment. The height varies between the lowest and the highest point by 10 cm. Photos of the test area were taken at a distance of approximately 50 cm.

![Figure 2. Test area](image)

2.2. Camera Calibration

The camera calibration is one of the classic problems of the field of photogrammetry. Calibration of a camera can be regarded as the inverse of photogrammetric process. In the photogrammetric process, orientation parameters are known and coordinates of the object points are searched, but in camera calibration, the coordinates of the object points are known and the elements of the internal orientation are searched (Kraus 1993). Camera parameters can vary with temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure, and the camera must be calibrated from time to time for the detection of parameters. (Song et al. 2013). Since the study was carried out in a laboratory environment and its atmospheric conditions were standard laboratory conditions (25 °C at 100 kPa).

Camera calibration is performed to obtain the interior orientation parameters of the camera. With these parameters obtained as a result of the calibration, the spatial beam is fixed to the projection centre (Ozdemir and Duran 2017). Interior orientation parameters are calibrated focal length c, coordinates of principal point coordinates (x₀, y₀) and distortion parameters. When the camera focuses on a point, the focal length is represented by c. The focal length should be precisely determined because it affects the coordinates due to the mathematical model of photogrammetry. Most of the cameras used in photogrammetry produce photographs which can also be considered central projections of sufficiently accurate spatial bodies. The central point of the central projection is called the projection centre. The projection centre's projection point on the image is called the principal point.

Radial distortion is the image displacement that occurs when the rays coming from different angles to the lens focus on or behind the projection plane due to angular magnification caused by the lens. Radial distortion affects the position of the point on the image radially. Radial distortion should be modelled with high accuracy because of its positional effect on coordinates. The tangential distortion occurs if the lens elements and the centres of the image sensor are not coincident and their planes are not parallel (Ozdemir and Duran 2017). The image coordinates with radial and tangential distortion (x', y') formulas are shown in equation (1) and (2).

\[
x' = x + \tilde{x}(k x^2 + k_2 x^4 + k_3 x^6 + \cdots) + [p_1(r^2 + 2x^2) + 2p_2 x y](1 + p_3 r^2 + \cdots)
\]

\[
y' = y + \tilde{y}(k y^2 + k_2 y^4 + k_3 y^6 + \cdots) + [p_2(r^2 + 2y^2) + 2p_1 x y'](1 + p_3 r^2 + \cdots)
\]

In equation (1) and equation (2), \(\tilde{x}=x-x_0, \tilde{y}=y-y_0, r=\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\)

Tangential distortion parameters are k, radial distortion parameters are p (Drap and Lefèvre 2016). These are calculated in calibration process.
3. APPLICATION

In the scope of the study, the camera calibration was performed. Thus, the internal orientation parameters of the camera have been determined. The camera was calibrated using each method and software. Each software has a calibration pattern. The calibration process is performed and the results are shown below.

3.1 Calibration with Agisoft Lens

Calibration with Agisoft Lens was performed on the computer screen using the test area of the software. The test area, similar to the chessboard, is shown in the Figure 3.

The captured images are used in the calibration process via the software interface. 13 photos of the calibration paper were taken from different angles and evaluated through the software. As a result of the process, interior orientation parameters were calculated. The interior orientation parameters are calculated in Agisoft Lens as pixels are transferred to the Table 1 in mm.

Table 1. Agisoft Lens interior orientation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal Length c (mm)</td>
<td>24.30197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point x</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point y</td>
<td>-0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>-0.0008763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>0.0008753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>-0.0008282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Calibration with Photomodeler

Calibration with Photomodeler software was done by printing the calibration network of the software on A4 paper. There are 100 control points on the calibration paper (Figure 4).

13 photos of the calibration paper were taken from different angles and evaluated through the software. Calibration results are kept in a file with the specific extension of the software and the parameters are given in the metric system (Figure 5). The calibration results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Photomodeler interior orientation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal Length c (mm)</td>
<td>24.2491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point x</td>
<td>17.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point y</td>
<td>11.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>0.0003062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>-0.0000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>0.0000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.0000124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.0000168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the photos are uploaded, the software performs the calibration on a single window. The obtained calibration values were shown in Table 3.
Figure 6. Procedural Perlin Noise image

Table 3. 3D Flow Zephyr interior orientation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal Length c (mm)</td>
<td>24.1469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point x</td>
<td>17.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point y</td>
<td>11.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>-0.0008726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>0.000713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>0.0001438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.00000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Calibration with MATLAB

Camera Calibrator is used in the computer vision toolbox for calibration via MATLAB. MATLAB Camera Calibrator estimates camera interior orientation, exterior orientation, and lens distortion parameters. The program benefits from previous studies for necessary calculations (Zhang 2000).

The test area used by the program is in the form of a chessboard. A checkerboard image can be created within the software in different sizes and dots. The left half of the checkerboard image is in black and white and the right half is in black and grey to define the coordinate system (Figure 7).

Figure 7. MATLAB calibration test area

When the software completes the calibration process, it sends the results and errors to the MATLAB workspace as a variable. The interior orientation parameters produced with MATLAB are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. MATLAB interior orientation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal Length c (mm)</td>
<td>24.3622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point x</td>
<td>17.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point y</td>
<td>11.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>-0.0007385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>-0.0003338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>0.0040482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.0000062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>-0.000012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Calibration with Direct Linear Transformation (DLT)

Direct Linear Transformation (DLT) method is a linear calibration method. It was developed in 1971 by Abdel-Aziz and Karara (2015). The major advantage of this method is that the solution is linear and does not have an approximate value problem. With DLT equations, it is possible to reach the space coordinates directly from the image coordinates (Taşdemir et al. 2009). In addition to the parameters added to the 11 parameters, DLT equations are given in the following equations. There are 16 parameters in direct linear transformation method. 11 are used for conversion.

Basic equations of DLT are obtained by rearranging the mathematical model of photogrammetry. This equation (3) and (4) shows the relationship between the image coordinates and the object coordinates.

\[ u - \Delta u = \frac{L_1 x + L_2 y + L_3 z + L_4}{L_9 x + L_{10} y + L_{11} z + 1} \]  
\[ v - \Delta v = \frac{L_5 x + L_6 y + L_7 z + L_8}{L_9 x + L_{10} y + L_{11} z + 1} \]

where \( x, y, z \) = object coordinates of point, \( u, v \) = image coordinates, \( \Delta u, \Delta v \) = distortion values.

The parameters from L1 to L11 are the camera calibration parameters. L12, L13, L14 related to radial distortion, L15, L16 are the parameters related to tangential distortion. The parameters were calculated using MATLAB (Table 5). The calculated parameters are as follows. Calibration with DLT was performed on the prepared 3D test area. In the equations (3) and (4), the unknown object coordinates are \( x, y, z \). At least three equations are required to solve a system with three unknowns. It is not possible to solve the system, since two equations for a point can be obtained from one image. However, four equations can be obtained for one point from two images and \( x, y, z \) unknowns can be calculated. For 3D coordinate calculation, DLT parameters must be calculated on at least two images. Below are the points of the two images (Figure 8).

The interior orientation parameters obtained by using the DLT parameters are as follows (Table 6).
3.6 Comparison of Calibration Parameters

The obtained calibration parameters were compared and visualized using graphs. For focal length, the methods gave similar results except DLT. The focal length that was computed by DLT, had higher value. The closest value to the prior focal length value (24 mm) was the computed focal length by 3D Flow Zephyr software. The proximity to the prior value is not meaningful for photogrammetry. The method that can best detect the change in focal length gives more accurate results.

![Figure 8. Images used for DLT calibration](image)

Table 5. DLT parameters for Image 1 and Image 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Image 1</th>
<th>Image 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>-0.322373374</td>
<td>0.140190398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>-23.81061838</td>
<td>0.95382684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>3.576539156</td>
<td>2.485046498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>19.75360416</td>
<td>-3.584883124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>-23.40591264</td>
<td>0.06446149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>0.005902536</td>
<td>1.051023064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>-2.545372994</td>
<td>4.307002585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>25.94926373</td>
<td>-5.303660721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>0.056994319</td>
<td>-0.028437462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>-0.158729043</td>
<td>-0.176446057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>-0.896653801</td>
<td>-0.79326842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L12</td>
<td>-0.000120723</td>
<td>0.02108416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L13</td>
<td>-9.75E-07</td>
<td>-0.000139306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L14</td>
<td>4.95E-09</td>
<td>2.62E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L15</td>
<td>0.000672215</td>
<td>0.033999895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L16</td>
<td>0.002952521</td>
<td>0.001409398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. DLT interior orientation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal Length c (mm)</td>
<td>25.5206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point x</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Point y</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>0.0104792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>-0.0000701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>0.0000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.0173360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.0073831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In radial distortion graph, there were three distortion values. Photomodeller software calculated distortion values K1, K2 and K3 near to 0.

![Figure 9. Focal length values](image)

Figure 9. Focal length values

Significant results were obtained at the tangential distortion. While the methods outside the DLT were calculated to be almost 0, DLT calculated high value tangential distortion. It is note that the interior orientation parameters have been calculated with different values for each method. The effects of the changes on the accuracy of the 3D model to be produced was examined.

![Figure 10. Radial distortion values](image)

Figure 10. Radial distortion values

4. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

A test area of 21 points was used in the study. 11 points of the test area were identified as control points and 10 points as check points. A 3D model was created in Agisoft Photocan by using 15 images taken with Nikon D800 camera (Figure 9). The model is coordinated and scaled in the local coordinate system. Agisoft Photocan is a software that uses...
Structure from Motion (SFM) algorithm. Features are automatically extracted from the images and matched.

Camera calibration parameters can be entered as input to Agisoft Lens. Calibration parameters calculated from other software was given to the software by converting to pixel value. The software calculates and corrects 3D coordinates according to the calibration values. In addition, root mean square error (RMSE) was calculated by the software. The DLT method and the coordinates were calculated using its mathematical model. The root mean squared error values of the 10 check points was shown in Table 7.

The highest accuracy in terms of both planimetry and altimeter accuracy has been obtained with Agisoft Lens software. RMSEx, RMSEy and RMSEz values were 0.011 m, 0.019 m and 0.110 m respectively. Calibration values calculated with Photomodeler, 3D Flow Zephyr and MATLAB are different especially in terms of image main point coordinates. This difference has led to incorrect calculation of the coordinates. The DLT method gave similar results to Agisoft Lens in terms of planimetric accuracy. However, the accuracy of the Z value is 4.629 m. Therefore, it is understood that DLT method cannot be used in terms of height evaluation.

5. CONCLUSION

The camera calibration technique has significant research and application value in the field of computer vision, and its precision directly influences its effect in three-dimensional modeling. In this study, the effects of different calibration methods on accuracy of 3D model were investigated. Mathematical reasons behind the different results of different methods should be examined. Because this situation affects the accuracy of 3D models. It should not be deduced that the software made the wrong calculation. The scope of the study can be extended by evaluating each software in itself. In future studies, accuracy research can be done for large digital elevation models. Similar studies can also be done for calibration of systems such as UAV. However, as a result of this study, inferences can be obtained for future studies.

Table 7. RMSE errors of each method for 3D model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>RMSEx (mm)</th>
<th>RMSEy (mm)</th>
<th>RMSEz (mm)</th>
<th>RMSExyz (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agisoft Lens</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photomodeler</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D F.Zephyr</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATLAB</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLT</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>4.629</td>
<td>4.629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABSTRACT
With the developing technologies, the use of unmanned aerial vehicles’s (UAV) is increasing in all areas. Compared with the conventional photogrammetry and remote sensing sensors, UAVs are more convenient to collect data for small areas. In this study, the accuracy of UAV products was investigated in the archeological area of Eskişehir Şarhöyük. In order to produce reference data for the orthophoto and DTM accuracy analysis, a digital map from the test area was produced using in-situ measurements. Also, for the comparison of the point cloud, a small test area was determined and reference point cloud data was collected with terrestrial laser scanner. The comparison of the results showed significant difference between the UAV images and images collected by conventional methods. Thus, while there was 1 m difference between the data without the use of control points, and the use of control points significantly improved the results.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of remote sensing technologies, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) have been widely used in many different research areas producing high-resolution data, including Digital Surface Models (DSMs) and orthorectified images (orthophotos) (Gindraux et al. 2017). The wide range of application include but are not limited to; agriculture (Costa et al. 2012), ecological studies (Anderson and Gaston 2013), water resource management (DeBell et al. 2016), glacier monitoring (Fugazza et al. 2015), soil erosion (d’Oleire-Olzman et al. 2012), landslide mapping (Comert et al. 2019), photogrammetric remote sensing and geo-information (Colomina and Molina 2014), building extraction (Comert and Kaplan 2018, Comert et al. 2018) etc.

UAV data has also been used for mapping and monitoring archeological areas (Tscharf et al. 2015, Holness et al. 2016, Themistocleous 2017). Thus, here we give brief literature review of the archeological studies conducted with UAV data. (Eisenbeiss and Zhang 2006) compared DSM from UAV and terrestrial laser scanner in the Pinchango Alto archaeological field. The results showed that the height modes were substantially consistent with each other. In their study, (Sauerbier and Eisenbeiss 2010) used two different UAVs for documenting and monitoring excavations in three archaeological sites. The results of the study showed that the data obtained with UAV can be successfully used for documenting archaeological and cultural heritage. (Lin et al. 2011) used satellite imagery, UAV, and ground radar for detection of archaeological anomalies in three different archaeological sites in north Mongolia. The results showed that satellite imagery from Geo-Eye 1 can be used for objects long 1 – 10 m, while for smaller objects, UAV data should be used. Ground radar data can be used in order to obtain additional data about the archaeological remaining underground. Aiming to test UAV use in archeological areas, (Chiabrando et al. 2011) used a small remote controlled helicopter and a small
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aircraft over the Reggia di Venaria Reale and Augusta Bagiennorum sites in Italy. The experiments carried out from 100 and 60 meter heights from the small aircraft, and the 50 and 15 meters' heights flights were used to produce 1/200 and 1/100 scale orthophoto and digital maps, respectfully. As a result of the study, it was revealed that UAVs are useful for producing large scale maps needed in archaeological documentation. In addition, the low cost and speed of data collection has been shown to be suitable for archaeological survey studies. Using a camera placed on a helium balloon, collected data and obtained DTM and 3 Dimensional model of the archeological area of Cerrillo Blanco in Spain. As a result of the study, it was concluded that the balloon system used in the scope of the study is suitable for mapping small and medium sized archaeological sites in areas where the wind effect can be controlled.

In more recent studies, researchers have used UAV technology with conjunction with geoinformation systems (GIS) and ground positioning systems (GPS) for protection and management of cultural heritage and archeological sites (Tache et al. 2018). Similar studies have been conducted for several other sites in Turkey (Ilci et al. 2019), Patara, Jordan (Hasting 2019) etc. Combination of UAV and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) has been used in order to detect non-invasive detection of buried objects (Garcia-Fernandez et al. 2018).

However, not many studies can be found evaluating the accuracy of the produced UAV maps. (Rusli et al. 2019) compared accuracy of DEM obtained from UAV and TanDEM-X satellite sensor. The results indicated difference of 3 to 4 in the DEMs. Perez et al. (Pérez et al. 2019) did an investigation concerning the positional accuracy and maximum allowable scale of UAV products for archaeological site documentation.

The main aim of this study is to investigate the accuracy of UAV products (orthophoto image, DSM, point cloud) produced from processed photographs obtained from UAV. The investigation was made over the Şarhoıyük archeological site in Eskisehir, Turkey. Thus, data acquisition from different heights and different overlays were performed. The aim of image acquisition at different heights and different overlays is to investigate the effect of height and overlay on the resulting image.

In order to investigate the accuracy of the produced data, ground control points (GCP) were placed in the pre-flight area and the coordinates of these points were determined precisely by the geodetic GNSS receiver. Some of the control points were used to coordinate the produced orthophoto and DSM, while other control points were used in the comparison process for the accuracy analysis of the orthophoto images. In addition, for the accuracy analysis of the DSM obtained from the UAV, the DSM of the study area was produced by topographic method. The numerical surface model created by geodetic method and surface models created by unmanned aerial vehicle were compared.

The main purpose of this study is to investigate the accuracy of the final products produced from images obtained by UAV. For this purpose, the accuracy of the orthophoto, point cloud and DSM to be produced from UAV were compared with data collected with conventional methods.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Study Area

The ancient city of Dorylaeum or Dorylaion, or Şarhoıyük in Turkish, is the oldest settlement in the northeast of Eskişehir. With 17 meters’ heights, it is one of the largest mounds in Central Anatolia. About 1 km west of the lower city, there is a necropolis which was founded around the mound. The excavations yielded finds from the Early Bronze Age, Hittite, Phrygian, Hellenistic, Roman, Byzantine and Ottoman periods. According to William Mitchell Ramsay, after Dorylaion was abandoned, a new settlement was established in the south of the city and the region where Dorylaion was located was called Eskişehir (Old Town). The reason for the selection of Şarhoıyuk as a study area in this paper, are the different heights of the land, the number of excavation and filling areas on the land, and the fact that this is a protected archeological site where the human effects are lower than other areas.

2.2. Data and Methods

In order to provide the relationship between images obtained from the UAV and the ground, white cross with red dot GCPs were deployed and fixed on the archeological site. The GCP center were fixed with 17 meters' heights flights with a Javad TRIUMPH geodetic Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver. The GCPs were measured before the flight. The GCPs measurement was executed in real-time kinematic (RTK) mode using virtual reference stations from the permanent GNSS station network of Turkey (TUSAGA-Active Turkish National Permanent GPS Active Stations Network). From repeated measurements of fixed locations, it was estimated that the mean accuracy of the measurements is 1-2 cm. In flat areas of the study area, the GCPs measurements were made at approximately 10 meters and less than 10 meters in non-flat areas. Each point was measured in five epochs. As a results, 5965 GCPs were deployed in the study area (Figure 2). excavation and filling areas on the land, and the fact that this is a protected archeological site where the human effects are lower than other areas. The study area is presented in Figure 1.
For the image acquisition, SenseFly eBee UAV was used. The flight was automatically carried out and arranged according to the prepared flight plan. Technical specifications of the used UAV are given in Table 1. Two Canon cameras were used during the data acquisition, Canon IXUS 125 HS and Canon PowerShot ELPH 110 HS. The main difference between the two cameras is the spectral range. While the first camera operates in the Red, Green, Blue (RGB) part, the second camera operated in the Near Infrared, Green, Blue (NIRGB) part of the electromagnetic spectrum. During the image acquisition, an on-board GPS and an inertial measurement unit provide information about the approximate 3D position, roll, pitch and yaw of the UAV.

Table 1. Technical specification of the UAV used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wing Span</td>
<td>96 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights</td>
<td>700 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active time</td>
<td>~45 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight speed</td>
<td>36 – 57 km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio range</td>
<td>3 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covering area</td>
<td>1.5 – 10 km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution</td>
<td>3 – 30 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flights were planned with the software eMotion 2.4 provided by SenseFly. A minimum of 60% lateral and 70% longitudinal ground overlap was ensured between adjacent images. The first step in the flight planning was to determine the height of the flight. The UAV used in this study has a capability of flying between 50 and 1000 meters, with a spatial resolution of the images between 2 and 40 cm. After determining the flight height, the flight operation should be prepared taking into consideration the overlap ratios of the image frames, depending on the area covered in the field. The flight preparation was made as recommended in (Eisenbeiß 2009, Karakış 2012).

In order to obtain photogrammetric images of the study area, three different flights were prepared with the e-Motion2 software. Details about each flight are given in Table 2.
The images obtained from the field were processed with PostFlight Terra 3D software. The data processing process consists of three steps:

i) Initial Processing

ii) Point Cloud Densification

iii) Digital Surface Model and Orthomosaic Production.

In order to investigate the accuracy of the data obtained from the UAV, the data were processed in four different ways. First, the data were processed without a GCPs, then the study area was divided into three levels: low level, medium level and high level depending on the terrain height (Figure 3). The purpose of this process is to observe the effects of GCPs over the results within a certain height range. Using the GCPs located at these three levels, three different data manipulations were performed for the results of each flight. Afterwards, data were processed by using low, medium and high level GCPs from the existing control points (Figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>NIRGB</td>
<td>RGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain mode</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight height</td>
<td>130 m</td>
<td>130 m</td>
<td>196 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Sample Range</td>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>6 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Overlap</td>
<td>60 %</td>
<td>60 %</td>
<td>85 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Overlap</td>
<td>70 %</td>
<td>70 %</td>
<td>70 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Number</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight time</td>
<td>13 min</td>
<td>12 min</td>
<td>22 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Flight details

Figure 3. GCPs on different levels on the study area

3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The coordinates obtained from the field measurements with the GNSS receiver were compared with the coordinates of the digitized GCPs over the orthophoto image obtained from the UAV. First, the coordinates of the GCPs over the product produced without GCPs were compared with the coordinates measured at site. The differences from the 34 GCPs, from both easy and difficult flight mode, used on the three different levels (Figure 3), are shown in Table 3.

The same analyses were conducted for all six projects between the measured GCPs and the coordinated from the product obtained with the use of GCPs. The differences between the coordinates of the measured GCPs and the GCPs from the three different levels (low, medium, high) were compared with the results from both easy and difficult flight results. For this purpose, the GCPs from specific level were excluded and the GCPs from the two other levels were used for the evaluation of the results. The results are presented in Table 4 and Table 5.

From the comparison of the results, it has been seen that the GCPs in the middle and high levels have more difference in comparison with the GCPs in the low level of the study area. In comparison of the two different terrain models, there was no significant difference noticed between the results.

3.2 Field Data Comparison

Four of the eleven excavation sites in the study area were selected for the comparison of the field data (Figure 4 – a). In order to minimize the error, each edge of the selected excavation was measured using the same points on the raster. As a result of the measurements, no significant difference was found between the terrain data and the data obtained from the UAV and the produced raster images. The use of
Table 3. Difference between the GCPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>ΔY</th>
<th>ΔX</th>
<th>ΔZ</th>
<th>ΔY</th>
<th>ΔX</th>
<th>ΔZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCP1</td>
<td>-0.308</td>
<td>-0.703</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP2</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>-0.742</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP3</td>
<td>-0.084</td>
<td>-0.766</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP4</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-0.833</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>-0.416</td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP5</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>-0.752</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.617</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP7</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
<td>-0.547</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>1.667</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP8</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
<td>-0.500</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP9</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
<td>-0.476</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>1.879</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP10</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>-0.607</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP11</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>-0.804</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>-0.412</td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP12</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>-0.937</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>1.636</td>
<td>-0.701</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP13</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>-0.982</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>1.243</td>
<td>-0.799</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP14</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
<td>-0.976</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>-0.797</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP15</td>
<td>-0.347</td>
<td>-0.936</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>-0.577</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP16</td>
<td>-0.376</td>
<td>-0.988</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>-0.797</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP17</td>
<td>-0.550</td>
<td>-0.946</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP18</td>
<td>-0.639</td>
<td>-0.770</td>
<td>1.262</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP19</td>
<td>-0.597</td>
<td>-0.701</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP20</td>
<td>-0.563</td>
<td>-0.634</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP21</td>
<td>-0.632</td>
<td>-0.592</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP22</td>
<td>-0.479</td>
<td>-0.748</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP23</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
<td>-0.626</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP24</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>1.496</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP25</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
<td>-0.603</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP26</td>
<td>-0.267</td>
<td>-0.522</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP27</td>
<td>-0.433</td>
<td>-0.562</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP28</td>
<td>-0.586</td>
<td>-0.412</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP29</td>
<td>-0.332</td>
<td>-0.516</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP30</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>-0.477</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP31</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>-0.745</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>1.899</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP32</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.888</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.366</td>
<td>-0.640</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP33</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.891</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>-0.484</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP34</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.824</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>-0.268</td>
<td>0.383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Square Mean Error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Easy terrain mode</th>
<th>Difficult flight mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔY</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔX</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>1.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔZ</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Difference between measured and GCPs obtained from easy terrain mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Low terrain</th>
<th>Middle terrain</th>
<th>High terrain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔΔY</td>
<td>ΔΔX</td>
<td>ΔΔZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP1</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP2</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP3</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP4</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP5</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP6</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP7</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP8</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP9</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP10</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP11</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP12</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP13</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP14</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP15</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP16</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP17</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP18</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP19</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP20</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP21</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP22</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP23</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP24</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP25</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP26</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP27</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP28</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP29</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP30</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP31</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>GCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP32</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP33</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP34</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SME 0.037 0.029 0.043 0.035 0.093 0.135 0.057 0.061 0.091

Figure 4. Field data comparison; a) Compared excavation sites; b) Difference between dataset with and without GCPs
The overall results showed that the lowest error of the UAV products is obtained with the use of the low level GCPs. The main reason is that low level GCP are better spread over the study area. The control points at the middle and high levels appear to be clustered. When the square mean error of the products produced without the GCPs is examined, it can be seen that the least error rate is obtained with the flight in difficult terrain mode. The main reason for this is that, in difficult terrain mode, the volume of data is higher and more data is obtained from the field.

From the DSM comparison it can be concluded that the UAV results are in agreement with the field measurements. Thus, there is no significant difference (higher than 10 cm) between the results. High differences can be noticed in areas without measurements. In order to avoid damaging the excavation areas, no data was collected from these parts.

4. CONCLUSION

UAVs have been widely used in many different research areas producing high-resolution data, including DSMs and orthorectified images. The evaluation of the accuracy of the UAV maps hasn’t been addressed in many studies. Thus, the main objective in this study was the investigation of the accuracy of UAV products. For that purpose, UAV data from different heights and different overlays were collected over an archeological site in Eskisehir, Turkey. In order to investigate the accuracy of the produced data, 5965 GCP were placed in the study area.

The finding of the study indicates that the difference between the UAV data and the field measurements is different with and without GCPs. Thus, while there was approximately 1 m difference between the coordinates obtained by terrestrial method and UAV, with the use of GCPs this difference has been lowered to 5 cm. One of the most important features of UAVs is the quick, precise and in a low cost of data collection without damaging the archaeological area.

As a result of length and area comparisons, UAVs were found to be as reliable as terrestrial measurements. In fact, they provide great advantages to the users by avoiding the human error factor that may occur during terrestrial measurement. The comparison of the DSMs, showed that the differences between terrestrial measurements and UAV measurements are generally ± 10 cm in the Z axis. It was determined that the height of the GCPs used in the comparison of DSM did not have much effect on the final product. Contrary to the height, the number of GCPs and the
distribution pattern were found to be more important.
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ABSTRACT
In this study, it is aimed to analyse the three-dimensional (3D) cadastre literature in terms of legal, institutional, and technical aspects. For this purpose, 441 publications published between 2001 and 2019 were examined. In the literature, which includes publications from 59 different countries the studies mostly focused on technical issues. An international consensus on legal, institutional, and technical aspects of a 3D cadastre solution seems difficult. Since rights, restrictions, and responsibilities with a 3D component are somehow registered administratively, stakeholders from the legal domain are reluctant to 3D cadastre. From a technical point of view, the technology required to use 3D digital data for registration has matured sufficiently in the past twenty years. However, further research on a real 3D cadastre solution, creating a workflow that considers both current legal and technical framework beyond pilot studies, is needed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The substantial increase in the world population in the past two centuries has led to intensification of land use, especially in urban areas. This increasing trend in the population has gradually altered the relationship between the land and the people, increasing the importance of land ownership (Ting and Williamson 1999). Accordingly, a system was required to record the ownership of the property in a clear and undisputable way. Although various names (land information system, land recording, land administration, etc.) are used to describe this system, it is now called cadastre (FIG 1995; Dale and McLaughlin 1999; Steudler et al. 2004). From a conceptual point of view, one of the foundations of the cadastre is that there can be no gaps or overlaps in the parcelation on which the rights are based, that is, a planar partition of the surface. The same foundation (a partition of space with no overlaps or gaps) is also the basis of the conceptual thinking with respect to 3D cadastre (Stoter 2004; Döner et al. 2008). In other words, 3D cadastre is a cadastre that registers and represents the space where rights (also the restrictions and responsibilities) applied (Döner 2010). Reflection of this conceptual definition can be seen in logo of International Federation of Surveyors (FIG) Working Group on 3D Cadastres in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Logo of FIG working group on 3D cadastres

Numerous studies have been conducted to compare and classify the researches focused on cadastre and land administration. Çağdaş and Stubkjær (2009) analysed selected PhD theses written in English about cadastre in terms of methodology, concepts, and methods. Paulsson and Paasch (2015) conducted a literature review for the LADM (Land Administration Domain Model, ISO 19152), which was accepted as an ISO standard in 2012. Oosterom (2013) evaluated the activities of the FIG Working Group on 3D Cadastres. Paulsson and Paasch (2013) discussed the publications...
written in English between 2001 and 2011 on the concept of 3D property in terms of their legal aspects.

The first international discussion about the 3D cadastre subject took place in 2001 at the workshop entitled 3D Cadastres, under the seventh commission of the FIG. At the 24th FIG congress held in Sydney in April 2010, it was decided to re-establish a working group called ‘3D Cadastre’ in order to make further progress on 3D cadastre research. The main purpose of the working group is to create a functioning structure for 3D cadastre. For this structure, two main goals were determined. The first is to follow a common concept and terminology. For this purpose, the use of ISO 19152 LADM has been adopted. Secondly, common levels for 3D cadastre applications were determined as legal, organisational and technical. Thus, it will be possible to compare different ideas and applications more easily (Döner et al. 2011; van Oosterom et al. 2014). In the past twenty years, many scientific meetings, academic studies, and pilot projects (Cemellini et al. 2020; Larsson et al. 2020; Vandyshева et al. 2011; Ying et al. 2012; Guo et al. 2011) have been performed on 3D cadastre. Nevertheless, during that period, there have been significant changes in the visualization of 3D data, data collection techniques, the usability of BIM (Building Information Modelling) data (Ying et al. 2017; Thompson et al. 2017; Atazadeh et al. 2017), as well as in policies and institutional structures. The effects of the changes on 3D cadastre research have not been extensively studied so far. Therefore, in this study, the 3D cadastre literature was analysed, and the trends and challenges in research and applications were investigated in terms of legal, institutional, and technical aspects. For this analysis, the approach of analysing the publications published in English between 2001 and 2019 under the FIG Working Group on 3D Cadastres was adopted. In the second section, the publications used for analysis and the approach in the classification of these publications are introduced. Findings of the analysed 3D cadastre publications are presented in the third section. Then, challenges and trends in 3D cadastre research are evaluated in three groups under the fourth section. Finally, the study ends with conclusions in section 5.

2. MATERIALS and METHODS

Quantitative analysis of certain characteristics of scientific documents is defined as bibliometric analysis (Schloegl and Gorraiz 2006). This analysis aims to determine the priority areas and development direction by examining the publications in a specific journal or publications related to a specific subject (Motoyama and Eisler 2011; Alcantara and Martens 2019). While the analysis is performed, answers are sought to questions such as what types the publications are, what the most frequently used keywords are, how the number of publications is distributed by years, the number of authors of the publications, and at which institutions the authors work (Biljecki 2016; De Bakker et al. 2005; Garnett et al. 2013).

The methodological basis of this study is the analysis of 3D cadastre publications. For this purpose, 3D cadastre publications will first be classified into categories; then the trends in each class will be determined. Since it is not possible to capture all 3D cadastre literature that exists, selection of most relevant publications is an important part of the methodology. Therefore, the publications to be examined in the study were determined to be those published in the FIG Working Group on 3D Cadastres web page1 between 2001 and 2019. The reason why year 2001 was chosen as the beginning is that it was the first time that 3D cadastre was discussed at an international meeting. The publications on the FIG website are intended for international audiences and therefore are published in English. That prevents the classification of studies related to specific countries and written in languages other than English. Publications in languages other than English are excluded from the scope of this article.

In this study, three classes were identified in the classification of publications in 3D cadastre literature. These are legal, institutional and technical classes. This classification approach for 3D cadastre was actually adopted in the first 3D Cadastre Workshop in 2001. In the second 3D Cadastre Workshop held in 2011, four classes were proposed to classify and compare 3D cadastre studies. Those are registration of 3D parcels, 3D data management, 3D visualization, and sharing of 3D parcels. When the two approaches are considered together for classification, it is understood that the registration of 3D parcels in the second approach coincides with the legal class in the first approach, that the 3D visualization class in the second approach corresponds to the technical class in the first approach, and that the sharing of 3D parcels in the first approach can be described with the institutional class in the first approach. Therefore, in this article, 3D cadastre literature has been classified and analysed in the three classes of legal, institutional, and technical due to the simpler structure.

When 3D cadastre publications are analysed, it is seen that some publications can fit into two of the classes identified in this study (legal and technical, institutional, and technical) or into all three classes at the same time. In such cases, the approach of usage of primary and secondary classes as applied in Paulsson and Paasch (2015) was adopted to determine the class of the publication. The primary and secondary classes describe the dominant class and the less dominant

---

1www.gdmc.nl/3dcadastres/literature/ (accessed December 19th, 2019).
class or classes, respectively. The number of publications in the secondary class is expressed in parentheses.

3. FINDINGS

The distribution of the analysed 3D cadastre publications according to the classes are presented in this section together with statistical findings.

3.1. Distribution of Publications According to Classes

The distribution of the examined 441 publications on 3D cadastre, according to the three identified classes, is shown in Table 1. Because 7 of the 441 publications were prefaces for books, journals, or workshops, they were excluded from the evaluation. As a result, the total number of publications reviewed was 434. Of the 434 publications, 154 were classified as legal, 54 were classified as institutional, and 226 were classified as technical. The year in which the most publications were made was 2018, with 65 publications. As of December 2019, publications of 2019 have not yet been added to the FIG Working Group on 3D Cadastres Literature page. Therefore, proceedings of FIG Working Week 2019 and 8th LADM Workshop 2019 were examined for 2019.

3.2. Statistical Findings

The graph in Figure 2 shows the distribution of the publications examined by years. Because 2011, 2012, 2014, 2016, and 2018 were the years in which 3D cadastre workshops were held, the number of publications in those years was higher than in the other years. Also, the book Best Practices 3D Cadastres was published by the FIG in 2018, and, in the same year, the special edition of the ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information on 3D cadastre was published. In the period of 2001–2019, the average annual number of publications for the first ten years was 10.4, while the average annual number of publications for the last nine years was 36.7. Overall, the average annual number of publications was 22.8.

The graph in Figure 3 shows the distribution of the examined publications by publication types. Of the 434 publications, 352 consist of proceedings, and 63 of them are articles. In addition, there are four PhD dissertations and one master’s thesis in the literature. The first PhD dissertation was completed in 2004. In that study, the cadastral framework in the Netherlands was examined, and alternatives for the implementation of 3D cadastre were presented (Stoter 2004). The second PhD study was completed in 2007 in Sweden. That study focused on legal issues and explained the facilities and restrictions for establishing a 3D property system (Paulsson 2007). The third PhD dissertation was completed in 2014 in Australia. In that study, user needs had been determined and a web-based prototype developed and tested for 3D representation of cadastral data (Davood 2014). In the fourth PhD dissertation, completed in Canada in 2015, a technical study was carried out for 3D modelling of individual units (Wang 2015). The main journals in which articles are published are Computers, Environment and Urban Systems (20 articles), ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf. (20 articles), Land Use Policy (5 articles) and Geodetski Vestnik (4 articles). Furthermore, 183 papers are presented in 3D Cadastre Workshops, 83 papers are presented in FIG Working Weeks, 43 papers are presented in FIG Congresses and 6 papers are presented in LADM Workshops.

Table 1. Distribution of 3D cadastre publications ()

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Institutional</th>
<th>Technical</th>
<th>Total/Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15 (1)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>9 (0)</td>
<td>29 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>7 (0)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>16 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>6 (0)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>8 (0)</td>
<td>10 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>5 (0)</td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>6 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>6 (0)</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>16 (0)</td>
<td>6 (7)</td>
<td>25 (0)</td>
<td>47 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>20 (1)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>21 (1)</td>
<td>43 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
<td>7 (0)</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10 (3)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>27 (0)</td>
<td>42 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>12 (1)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>11 (1)</td>
<td>26 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>13 (0)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>22 (1)</td>
<td>40 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>12 (3)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
<td>36 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>23 (4)</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>34 (4)</td>
<td>65 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>154 (20)</td>
<td>54 (48)</td>
<td>226 (13)</td>
<td>434 (81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graph in Figure 4 shows the distribution of the 434 publications examined by the number of authors. A total of 104 publications have been published by one author, 106 publications have two authors, and 86 publications have three authors. A total of 13 publications have more than eight authors.

The graph in Figure 5 was prepared to determine the countries in which the most studies on 3D cadastre have been performed. The country of the authors’ residence or institution was taken into consideration. Accordingly, 120 of the 434 publications published in 2001–2019 have at least one Dutch author. That is followed by Australia, with 58 publications. Among the publications of authors from 59 different countries, the number of
publications by Dutch authors is especially striking. In addition, it is noteworthy that the names of the persons who are actively involved in the organization of conferences or workshops and who are responsible for the updating of web pages appear as authors in many of the publications.

**Figure 2.** Distribution of 3D cadastre publications by years

**Figure 3.** Distribution of 3D cadastre publications by publication types

**Figure 4.** Distribution of 3D cadastre publications by number of authors

**Figure 5.** Distribution of 3D cadastre publications by country of authors

4. **DISCUSSION and FUTURE STUDIES**

For a 3D cadastre to be realised in real terms, three stages of development should be considered together. They can be designated as legal, institutional and technical stages (Lemmen and van Oosterom 2003). If legal definition of 3D properties is not available, it would be meaningless to survey and register 3D objects and rights (Kitsakis and Dimopoulou 2014). Therefore, the first stage begins with the definition of laws that will legally allow the registration of 3D property units.

Necessary arrangements and workflow for registration of legally defined 3D property units are considered in the institutional stage (Molen 2003). What information is needed for registration and how it will be structured, registered, stored, and presented are addressed at the institutional stage. Finally, in the technical stage, 3D spatial information of the property units is integrated with the existing cadastre (Guo et al. 2013).

When the 3D cadastre literature was analysed, it was observed that some legal and institutional arrangements, as well as technical studies, have been performed to enable the establishment of 3D property units in several countries. In this section of the study, 3D cadastre studies were evaluated with regard to legal, institutional and technical aspects.

4.1. **Legal Aspects**

As in 2D cadastre, the legal basis is the foundation of 3D cadastre. The legal aspect supports
the registration of 3D property in 3D cadastre. It was observed that the number of publications evaluated in the legal class after 2010 more than doubled when compared to the period before 2010. Despite that increase, publications in the legal class consisted of only one third of the total publications, and the number of publications dealing with purely legal aspects of 3D cadastre was quite small. In the publications considered to be of legal class, the existing legal framework in the country is explained mostly in terms of defining boundaries of property rights on land, establishing individual units and limited real rights. After the introductory explanations, the researchers present technical solutions for a 3D cadastre in their publications. However, they do not mention about availability of the legal regulations required for the implementation of these solutions.

On the other hand, legal regulations in some countries are noteworthy in the publications reviewed. For instance, legal arrangements have been made to improve the registration of individual units in Scandinavian countries (Larsson et al. 2018; Shnaidman et al. 2019) and, in the Netherlands, legal arrangements have been made to include 3D digital information on utilities and complex buildings in the title deed (Stoter et al. 2017). In addition, it is legally possible to establish vertically bounded property units in some states of Australia (Shojaei et al. 2017) and Canada (Pouliot et al. 2016). Those 3D property units are called volumetric parcels in Australia, while they are called air-space parcels in Canada. In Turkey, an amendment to the Expropriation Act has been ratified so that the property of space required for utilities over or under the parcel in question can be separated from the parcel property without expropriating it when required conditions are satisfied (OG, 2014).

4.2. Institutional Aspects

Cadastral systems only make sense if they exist within an institutional framework. The institutional aspect of the 3D cadastre therefore includes the duties and responsibilities of the public registration and mapping institutions for 3D registration (van Oosterom 2013). At this stage of the study, it is preferred to use the word institutional together with the word organisational. This is because, in some publications, the word organisational, which is more comprehensive, was used instead of institutional.

The institutional/organisational aspect of the 3D cadastre includes the authority and responsibilities in production, management, updating, and distribution of the 3D data as well as the workflow required to realize the 3D cadastre. The number of publications in the institutional/organisational class is approximately 1/8 of the total publications, and it is the class with the least number of publications of all classes.

The fact that the number of publications in the institutional/organisational class is less than that of the publications in the technical class can be explained by a few reasons. Firstly, the cost of establishing a 3D cadastre is more prominent than its benefits. In terms of the institutional aspect, it is difficult to identify the economic benefits of better registration and representation of legal situation. As a result, it is hard to study the efficiency (cost, time, and compliance ratios) and the measurement of impact (cost-benefit analysis) in the institutional/organisational aspect.

Secondly, in many publications proposing solutions to the technical issues of 3D cadastre, researchers assume that the legal and institutional framework required for implementing the proposed solution is already present. However, cadastral institutions have structures with absolute procedures based on robust legal foundations. The legal task of the cadastre and land registry is to register the boundaries of real estate and to provide information on the legal status on the real estate. When performing that task, institutions prefer themselves keep away from 3D cadastre due to uncertainties such as defining 3D property boundaries even though 3D modelling and representation possibilities improved. In many countries especially where registration is under state guarantee, there is a view that complexities caused by changes on legal and institutional framework for 3D cadastre would exceed the benefit of 3D cadastre. As a result, for employees of institutions responsible for the legal affairs of the land registration, such as lawyers and notaries, remaining at a familiar area is more appealing.

4.3. Technical Aspects

As expected, in the 3D cadastre publications analysed, the largest number of publications falls into the technical class. About half of all publications are in the technical class. In the past two decades, technologies for collecting, storing, presenting, and visualizing 3D data have developed sufficiently. Furthermore, the relationship between the 3D models of the physical world and legal entities has become more visible, thanks to new 3D data collection techniques and BIM models.

The main research topics of the technical class publications are spatial data infrastructures, data modelling, database management, geographic information systems, visualization and geometric representation, cadastral surveying, topology, data exchange formats, and LADM.

In the decade after 2001, which was accepted as the starting point for 3D cadastre research, technical studies were mainly about modelling 3D cadastral data in Database Management System (DBMS), accessing and querying 3D cadastral data by using Computer Aided Design (CAD) and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) tools (Döner and Biyik 2011; Baz and Geymen, 2006), and preparing the selected data for the cases of 3D situations.
After the adoption of LADM as an ISO standard (ISO 19152) in 2012, it was observed that, in many countries, the conceptual scheme of LADM was used for 3D cadastre designs and country profiles were prepared (Lee et al. 2015; Janečka and Souček 2017; Felus et al. 2014; Radulović et al. 2017). In the last five-year-period, on the other hand, studies for modelling 3D information of individual units by using GML-based (CityGML, IndoorGML) and IFC-based (BIM) spatial data models are more dominant. In addition, it was observed that some 3D cadastre research and applications are supported in projects under the subheadings of Smart Cities and Digital Twins within the scope of European Union Horizon 2020 grant program. These studies aim to create an infrastructure for sustainable city design, management, and planning by integrating digital 3D property information with data such as noise, energy, air pollution, mobility, and temperature (Stoter et al. 2019).

From legal point of view, although there are laws pertaining to the use of the vertical dimension of property in each country, there is no internationally recognized definition of a 3D property. In some publications, 3D property refers to a volumetrically restricted property, while in others, the word space is used to refer to a larger unit that includes several real estate or utilities. When the legal-class publications were examined, it was understood that there are problems in translating legal terms into English. Sometimes different authors from the same country would use different expressions to translate the same terms into English. Therefore, a common terminology should be used to provide further progress in legal aspect.

From organisational point of view, the benefits of 3D cadastre to the organisation and users, whether those benefits are outweighed by the costs in the short or long term, should be investigated when implementation of 3D cadastre is considered. Comparative studies with a greater number of participants from different countries are needed to recognize different legal and institutional framework and to learn their strengths in the implementation of 3D cadastre.

From technical point of view, developments in 3D geo-information sciences can now be regarded as evidence that the demand for the use of 3D information has increased to the extent that it cannot be confined to legal purposes alone. Therefore, 3D cadastre will need to be evaluated from a wider perspective in the future. Further technical issues to be addressed in the future can be listed as revision of LADM, integration of 3D physical and legal objects, four-dimensional 4D cadastre, and more advanced visualization (augmented reality and virtual reality).

5. CONCLUSION

The inadequacy of the existing cadastral systems in registering and representing of some situations that emerge in the modern world has led to an increase in interest and research in 3D cadastre along with the effect of developing technology in the last twenty years. In this article, 441 publications from 59 different countries published between 2001 and 2019 were classified and analysed to point out legal, institutional, and technical trends and challenges in 3D cadastre research. According to the results of the analysis, it is seen that there have been significant changes in the twenty-year period since the start of 3D cadastre research and that these changes have partly changed the scope of the 3D cadastre. Nowadays, the 3D cadastre should be considered from a wider perspective by accepting that 3D property information is only one of the information type needs to create the infrastructure for management of cities. The interest of legal and institutional stakeholders to 3D cadastre tends to decrease due to uncertainties in defining property boundaries in 3D and lack of legal regulation. Therefore, further research on a real 3D cadastre solution, creating a workflow that considers both current legal and technical framework beyond pilot studies, is needed. Potential future research areas for researchers to consider in a 3D cadastre research are the revision of LADM, the use of digital data models in cadastre, institutional arrangements for dissemination of 3D cadastral data via spatial data infrastructures and integration of legal and physical 3D objects in cadastre.
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ABSTRACT
Geographic Information System (GIS) is a vital tool used in numerous areas related to natural science and engineering studies. Managing complex data and obtaining accurate results from the analysis are essential functions of GIS. It is also efficiently used in highway designing both in project and application phases. This study proposes a new calculation method of slope angles to determine the suitable slope modal of a road by using topographic and geological datasets in a GIS environment. Using this method in the preparation phase of the project enables a more accurate calculation of earthwork volume. The proposed method was applied to a highway to prove this idea. The selected road is a significant tertiary of which project was completed by the Turkish General Directorate of Highways. In this study, the calculated values of the project were considered as references. Comparing both results obtained from the proposed method and application project, the accuracy of the slope modal of the proposed method is 71%, and the accuracy of its earthwork volume is 99%. The proposed approach will enable project managers and designers to determine more reliable earthwork volume during project feasibility studies without any application in the field.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the development of technology, the need for producing, storing, and managing information has increased. For many sectors and professional disciplines, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) is one of the fundamental tools used for answering questions about geographical affairs (Chang 2016). A wide range of applications from the management of the distributed assets of utility companies to emergency response uses GIS (Clarke 1986; Goodchild 2009). GIS technologies, it has been widely employed to support the planning and designing of different types of linear infrastructures, ranging from roads to pipelines (Effat and Hassan 2013).

In the traditional approach, highways designers determine the optimal route by considering the alternative ones. Numerous factors affect the route design, such as engineering structures, topography, ecology, geology, soil types, land use patterns, environment, and even community concerns (Sadek et al. 2000; Kim et al. 2005). Determining the most suitable route is hard, complicated, and time-consuming without using GIS and mathematical models (Jong et al. 2000; Luettinger and Clark 2005; Ramírez-Rosado et al. 2005).

Using optimization techniques is the most suitable approach in the determination of the best route. The goal of optimization is to find the safest and least-cost planning route between two given points (Jong and Schonfeld, 2003). In literature, there are various academic studies on highway route optimization. Dijkstra (1959) algorithm, developed to determine the shortest route, is the most well-known and commonly used one. It is also adapted to raster representation as in the gateway-shortest-path algorithm Lombard and Church (1993) and the least-cost-paths algorithm (Collischonn and Pilar 2000). Also, Eastman (1989) push broom, Tomlin (1990) spread, and Berry (2000) splash algorithms are some of the different raster representation-oriented corridor siting algorithms (Aissi et al. 2012). The genetic algorithms developed by Jong Yılmaz O S, Özkan G & Gülgen F (2021). Determining highway slope ratio using a method based on slope angle calculation. International Journal of Engineering and Geosciences, 6(2), 98-103.
The common fractured rocks that cover the fills in the testing area tend to behave as soil and fail in a circular mode when the slope dimensions are substantially more significant than the sizes of the rock fragments. When the material is fragile, as in a soil slope, or the rock mass is very heavily jointed or broken, as in waste rock dump, the failure is defined by a single discontinuity surface but tends to follow a circular path (Wyllie and Mah 2005). It is one of the most probable instabilities on slopes with severely crushed rock or soil slopes (Mohtarami et al. 2014).

The proposed method used in this study enables the project managers to calculate the project cost, depending on the cut and fill amount, reliably using GIS tools. It also minimizes the groundwork and drilling that affect the value negatively concerning time and finance.

2. STUDY AREA AND DATASET

This study was elaborated on a highway connecting Melikli, Davutlu, and Yedisehirli villages of Akdağmadeni in Yozgat province of Turkey (Figure 1). The testing route between Melikli and Yedisehirli villages indicated in a solid black line in Figure 1 is almost a total of 9.82 km length.

The topographic and geological maps of the region are the primary datasets used in this study. The topographic map at the scale 1:1 000 obtained from the terrestrial surveys was produced by the surveying teams of the 6th Regional Directorate of the Turkish General Directorate of Highways (TGDH). The map clearly shows the rough terrain surface in the region. While the elevations on the studied route changes between 1100 m and 1250 m, the average height is about 1170 m.

![Figure 1. Highway route close to Akdağmadeni district used for experimental testing](image-url)

The geological data used in this study was obtained from the geological map at the scale of 1:25 000 produced by the Turkish General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration. The ground of the highway route is covered by dry rocks that are broken, shattered, and without tension cracks. The geological structure is composed of Late Eocene-basaltic andesite, basaltic trachyte andesite, trachyte...
andesite, andesite, dacite, and rhyolite and formed by minerals of plagioclase, alkali feldspar, quartz, hornblende, augite, and biotite.

The soil classification and slope angle values of the relevant highway’s application project were also used to be comparing the values obtained by the calculation method of the slope angle proposed in this study. According to the application project, ground classification of the first 7 km differs from the last 3 km. These classifications are the values obtained by geotechnical experiments and ground drilling of TGHD (Table 1).

Table 1. Slope angles associated with the ground classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part (m)</th>
<th>Soil classes</th>
<th>Slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-6960</td>
<td>20% Hard rock, 80% Soft rock</td>
<td>2/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6960-9820</td>
<td>50% Soft rock, 50% Crowbar</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. METHOD

One of the favorable environments for the integration and configuration of data collected from different sources is GIS. In route optimization studies, administrators usually need a GIS environment to be able to manage data from a central point. An accurate and valid slope designing study is based on input data obtained from topographic and geological maps. In this context, the authors propose first to configure all map data in a geodatabase to derive the information needed in this study.

The average slope height along the route is determined from the topographic data. Alternatively, if there is a project team in the field, they can determine the average slope height by also performing reconnaissance.

The soil stability is depended on the safe slope angles. The stabilization analysis needs the cohesion c and friction angle Φ values. They are usually derived from the geological data of a geodatabase. Hoek (1970) has developed design graphs to simplify the calculations of failures by avoiding some of the tedious formulas (Sjöberg 1999). The design graphs generated for the circular failure provide a preliminary evaluation for stability analysis on slopes where landslips can be expected. In one of the Hoek (1970) design graphs describing the relationship between the slope angle and the slope height, the horizontal axis shows the X function depending on the slope angles. The vertical axis indicates the Y function correlated to the slope heights (Figure 2).

\[ X = I - 1.2 \times \Phi \]  
\[ Y = \frac{(\gamma \times H)}{c} \]  

Figure 2. Circular failure design graph (Hoek 1970)

In Eq. (1) the symbol I indicates the slope angle and Φ symbolizes friction angle. The fixed value of 1.2 expresses the factor of safety for the circular failure used in this study. The safety coefficient is evaluated as the ratio of the resisting force (the shear strength force acting upwards along the plane that resists sliding) to the driving force (the force acting downwards along the sliding plane) along the failure plane (Park et al. 2016). For balancing the slopes, f>1 is a must. In practice, 1.2 and 1.5 are chosen frequently. In this study, the value 1.2 is used. γ indicates weight per unit, H shows slope height, and c symbolizes cohesion value in Eq. (2).

Ground and rock parameters of c, Φ and γ are determined realistically by doing drilling and lab. Tests of uniaxial compressive strength, triaxial...
compressive strength. On the contrary, drilling activities and lab. Tests are time-consuming and costly. Thus, kinds of rocks and formerly calculated parameters are mostly used in engineering studies searching for ground (Wyllie and Mah 2005).

The cohesion values, friction angle, and rock types of each layer, constituted by Hoek and Bray (1974), are added to the related fields of each feature class table. Due to the study region formed by dry and tension crack free slopes, the slope angle is explained using the circular failure design graph. Lastly, the tight slope angle \( \theta \) obtained from Eq. (1).

The most suitable slope design is applied by considering the calculated secure angle. Figure 4 indicates the standard gradients of the cut slope used in highway studies.

![Figure 4. Slope ratios](image)

4. RESULTS

In this study, the slope height was selected 10 m by considering the contours of the topographic map and the geological formations of the study area. The cohesion and the unit volume weight are 300 kN/m\(^2\) and 220 kg/m\(^3\), respectively, using a script developed in the GIS environment. The slope height value of 73.33 is calculated from Eq. (2), and its associated slope angle value extracted from the graphic in Figure 2 was -3. Due to the selected safety factor 1.2, the slope angle is calculated as 50º by using Eq. (1). According to the calculated slope angle, the slope ratio of 2/3 is chosen as the best cut slope (Figure 4b).

![Figure 5. Five types of slope ratios used in the TGHD's specification](image)

5. DISCUSSION

For checking the validity of the proposed method, the chosen ratio is compared with the data of the reference project performed by TGDH. The assessments on the robustness of the proposed method are discussed in two stages. First, the accuracy of the recommended slope ratio is scrutinized. Then, the excavation quantities of the other slope ratios are presented for comparison (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternatives</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Vol. (m(^3))</th>
<th>Total (m(^3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(Proposed)</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0.9820</td>
<td>64,998</td>
<td>64,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0.9820</td>
<td>85,765</td>
<td>85,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0.9820</td>
<td>39,241</td>
<td>39,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>0.9820</td>
<td>23,073</td>
<td>230,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>0.9820</td>
<td>60,909</td>
<td>609,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0.6960</td>
<td>64,771</td>
<td>64,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>6960-9820</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>65,030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Discussion of Slope Ratios

According to TGHD's application project, the 2/3 slope ratio was applied to the first 6960 m (Figure 4c). This ratio is compatible with the rate designed depending on the calculation of the slope angle proposed in our methodology. The consistent part is about 71% of the total length of the route. On the other hand, the 1/1 slope ratio was employed for the remaining 2860 m apart in the reference data (Figure 4c). The rates in the result of this study and application project differ for this part of the route.

5.2 Discussion of Earthwork Volume

One of the vital issues that should be finding solutions in highway projects is to calculate earthwork volume that affects the project cost in the preparation phase. The project managers make this calculation by using a digital terrain model (DTM). There are three sources of DEM data: terrestrial survey techniques, topographic maps, and image data acquired from remote sensing (Nelson et al. 2009). In this study, the DTM is produced from irregularly distributed elevation points collected from the ground survey by the sixth regional directorate of TGHD using the TopotoRaster interpolation algorithm (Hutchinson 1989). The algorithm uses the typography and hydrography data combining with the irregularly distributed elevation points to produce a hydrologically corrected DTM (Gülgün and Gökgöz 2010).

From the DTM, the cross-sections of 20 m intervals along the test path were extracted (Figure 5). The highway designers can apply one of the slope ratios from the five types used in the TGHS's highway specification. Table 2 indicates the calculated excavation volumes for each slope ratio.

According to the application project, the total earthwork volume calculated from both ratios is 65,030 m\(^3\). The earthwork volume obtained from 2/3 type cross-section, which is also proposed in this study, is 64,998 m\(^3\). Thus, the difference between the two obtained results is 23 m\(^3\). The success of the proposed method is 99.95% regarding earthwork volume. If the 1/1 ratio were used along the entire route, the success rate would be 75.8%. Likewise, the success rate would be 60.3%, 28.2%, and 10.7% for the 1/2, 2/3, and 2/1 slope ratios, respectively.
These results indicate that earthwork volume increases while the incline of the slope decreases. The increase in volume points out the importance of using a proper slope ratio in engineering applications.

6. CONCLUSION

One of the essential processes in designing a highway is to find the optimum one from the alternative routes. Engineering structures, topography, ecology, geology, soil types, land use patterns, environment, and even community concerns are some of the factors that affect the selection of the optimum route. All of these are closely related to the cost of highway design. After the choice of optimum path, the engineers calculate the cost values of the construction of highway structures at the second stage of the highway design. In this study, we focus on the evaluation of earthwork volume, which is an essential factor affecting the cost. When the proposed method that contributes to calculating proper slope angles considering the geological structure of the land is used, the engineers precisely determines the amount of earthwork volume. Thus, the cost can be calculated. Although it suggests using an average slope angle along the entire route, the earthwork volume is quite close to a reference value used in the application project.

Highway engineers can utilize the geospatial analysis capabilities of GIS in the highway designing project. Commonly, the GIS enables (1) to integrate geological and topographical data obtained from different sources, (2) to reach the attribute data stored in a geospatial database, (3) to create the DTM used in each calculation step of the project. In this study, the calculations necessary for stability analyses were made in the GIS environment. The required equations were taken from Hoek (1970), and GIS integration was provided with a script.

Apart from highway projects, the proposed method may be used in a different project that uses land stability such as speed train, dam, pond, and pipeline applications to calculate the cost at the preparation stage. On the other hand, the most significant deficiency of the proposed ratio is the suggestion of an average slope ratio based on the calculated angles along the route. However, different slope ratios may need to be applied for a road, as seen in the application project carried out in this study. In the future, we are going to plan to add a decision-making system to the proposed method to consider the needs of slope changes along the route. The decision-making system integrated with GIS will provide a more accurate calculation of slope angles.
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ABSTRACT
Technical forest management started 180 years ago in Turkey, during which time there have been various approaches and policy changes. The primary objective of forestry has been considered as timber production, so the intangible benefits have never been given the proper attention they deserve. The majority of Turkey’s wildlife has prospered within the forest ecosystems. This situation has gradually led to a change of status, so some forests and land areas have been reassigned with the conservation agendas as the primary purpose; however timber production has never slowed down. Thus, operational forestry practices, such as roading, logging, etc., have kept on exploiting these lands to their full extent despite their conservation statuses. In Turkey and anywhere else, since forestry has always evolved around extracting the timber out of the forest lands, the accessibility has long been provided, building roads to take related services to forest ecosystems. The remnants of these roads, along with the more standardized new ones can be found everywhere, regardless of the land status. Such expansion has resulted in habitat fragmentation emerging as a major threat for the protected areas. In this study, the expansion of all-weather and dry-weather accessible roads and suburban spread was examined in two adjacent, Ilgaz and Gavurdagi, wildlife refuges for the years of 1960, 1993, 2010 and 2019, relying heavily on the mapping geographic information systems (GIS) and remote sensing. It was found that 275.5 km dry-weather roads in 1960 rose to 700 km in 2017, which meant 254% increase. Additionally, when the core along with the surrounding 3000 m buffer area was considered, 51 km all-weather roads in 1960 increased almost four and a half times by 2019. Suburban expansion was relatively stable inside the core area but had almost quadrupled within the surrounding areas, exposing the refuges to more people. These findings indicated that the wildlife habitats of Turkey are fragmented and under heavy human pressure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The geographic location of Turkey has allowed it to host a diverse flora and fauna, with the country being home to more than 10,000 species of plants, 32% of which are endemic, and around 1500 terrestrial and marine vertebrate species (URL-1, 2018).

Large-scale infrastructure works, such as highways and roads, crisscross the country at an ever-increasing rate, linking places and people, and facilitating commerce. Thus, these and many other measures that have been hastily imposed on Turkey in the name of development have caused habitat destruction and environmental degradation (Eken et al. 2016; Sekercioglu et al. 2011). The environment has long been conceptualized as dispensable in Turkey, so protective measures have had little effect on people’s perception about the environmental conservation (Boluk and Mert 2015). Consequently, Turkey ranks 172nd out of 180 listed countries in the Environmental Performance Index (URL-2, 2018). The first national park was established for nature conservation in 1958. Since then, the number of national parks has increased to 46 as of 2019. There are also 81 “wildlife refuges” (WRs), or “wildlife development areas” as they are locally known.

There are a number of climatic zones in Turkey due to its unique positioning and varying
topography, so the land covers also vary dramatically (Kaya and Raynal 2001), forming an ideal setting for vegetation growth and high levels of biodiversity. This is particularly true in the Western Black Sea Region (Yildiz et al. 2007), where the majority of the country’s timber is produced. A number of objectives are associated with the management of forests and forest services but the primary goals are to sustainably manage the forest resources and generate tangible and intangible revenues via timber and non-timber sales, soil protection, water preservation, climate control, and recreation (Lundmark et al. 2014; Bekiroglu et al. 2015; Bussotti et al. 2015; Milodowski et al. 2015; Jones et al. 2018; Towerton et al. 2016; Suleiman et al. 2017; Won et al. 2017). The region provides perfect habitats for a range of wildlife, from big game animals such as elk (Cervus elaphus), roe deer (Capreolus capreolus), brown bear (Ursus arctos), wolf (Canis lupus), Eurasian lynx (Lynx lynx) and wildcat (Felis silvestris) through to smaller predators, rodents, and insect-eating mammals (Soyumert 2010; Erturk 2017). Consequently, eight WRs have been established in this region.

Both forestry and nature conservancy are managed within the same piece of land in the country. Forest Service (FS) is responsible for the administration, establishment, and upkeep of any type of forested land and primarily deals with the sustainable management of timber resources because 98% of all forests, covering 27% of the entire land area, are owned and managed for production by the state. Other governmental agencies are only allowed to function within the forests if the land(s) are reassigned with a function other than timber production. The General Directorate of Nature Conservation and National Parks (GDNCN), at this point, looks after the biodiversity and wildlife resources without altering the ecosystem dynamics within the land under their authority vested by the national constitution. The Department of Wildlife (DW) within GDNCN oversees all aspects, such as administration, decision-making, and on-site practices of the conservation areas in Turkey. This two-headed administration situation, FS vs. GDNCN, has created a dilemma in such wildlife conservation designated areas, in mind, because the notion of which value, forest management or wildlife conservation, should prevail, is still rather vague. Therefore, timber production continues unimpededly, and runs exclusively on forest roads because mechanization has not been effectively integrated into forest management in the country (Di Gironimo et al. 2015).

The road standards, i.e., type, slope, drainage requirements, etc., vary according to the designated purpose(s) of the land (production, afforestation, conservation, nature conservancy) (Demir and Hasdemir 2005), so their direct and indirect effects also vary considerably (Lugo and Gucinski 2000; Caliskan 2013). The planning, design, and implementation of roads for forest management are drafted in forest management plans (Akay et al. 2012; OGM 2008). However, haphazard applications of the same procedures to all forest lands could further worsen erosion and sedimentation, subsurface water dispersals on slopes and edge phenomenon (Araujo et al. 2014; Al-Chokhachy et al. 2016; Edwards et al. 2016) and create unforeseeable new ones in the longer term (Fahrig 2002; Laurance and Balmford 2013). One of the most understood and studied side effects of roads is habitat fragmentation (Ortega and Capen 1999; Heilman Jr. et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2014; Amin and Fazal 2017), which involves the partitioning of an uninterrupted piece of land, a continuous habitat, into smaller pieces through either natural or anthropogenic processes (Skole and Tucker 1993; Forman et al. 2002). Habitat fragmentation naturally occurs because of climatic conditions, large water bodies, and mountain chains (Geffen et al. 2004; Bartakova et al. 2015; Machado et al. 2018). However, human induced development and management strategies can further exacerbate this to highly detrimental results (Crooks et al. 2017).

Two of these refuges, Ilgaz (OSIB 2012) and Gavurdagi (OSIB 2015), were the subjects of this particular study. Since both WRs have long been considered as prime regions for wildlife to live and prosper (Soyumert et al. 2019; Soyumert 2020), it is logical to think that habitat fragmentation would not be an issue if human interference has been kept to a minimum. These WRs were selected because the region was one of a couple heavily timber production oriented regions around the country, in which the first steps of wildlife oriented conservation efforts were introduced approximately 40 years ago (OSIB 2012). It was conceptualized that there was no better way than geoscience capabilities to backtrack how forest management has shaped these recently-status-changed-areas. Both were constitutionalized in 7 September 2005, (OSIB 2012; OSIB 2015). Turkey has come a long way since the 1960s. Infrastructure investments are vast, however what has been overlooked while doing all these, is wide open for researchers to delve into. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the level of habitat fragmentation caused by all-weather accessible roads (highways, hard-surface roads with two or more lanes and suburb / village access roads) and dry-weather accessible roads (including forest roads) linking the suburbs (forest villages) and these forests to major arteries, as well as the level of suburban expansion in and around these adjacent WRs.

2. MATERIAL and METHODS

2.1. Study Area

This study was conducted in Ilgaz and Gavurdagi WRs, which are located in Kastamonu, Turkey. These WRs lie adjacent to one another inside
the “Region 10”, shown as Region-X in Figure 1, which includes two national parks and six additional WRs. Region 10 also spans four other provinces, Sinop, Karabük, Bartın, and Zonguldak, and comprises of a total area of 115458 ha (URL-3, 2018). Ilgaz and Gavurdagi WRs lie toward the south-central part of the Region 10, and encompass 26282 ha. The elevation ranges from 935 m at the southeastern tip of Gavurdagi WR to 2577 m at the western junction of the two WRs (Figure 1). The Ilgaz Mountain chain divides these WRs and create a distinctive climatic regime for the region, with semi-arid summers and cold winters (mean annual average temperature ~ 5.13°C, mean annual precipitation ~ 611.96 mm) (OSIB 2012). The evergreen vegetation that occurs here is dominated by stands of fir (Abies nordmanniana subsp. equi-trojani) and occasional Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) in both pure and mixed groupings. Above 1800-2000 m, extensive alpine meadows stretch all the way to the highest reaches of Ilgaz WR. It was declared a no-hunting/breeding zone in 1981, primarily to safeguard elk and roe deer, and along with Gavurdagi was restructured as WRs in 2005 (OSIB 2015). Situated southeast of Ilgaz WR, Gavurdagi WR can be considered as the continuation of an already established elk habitat. Due to high altitude and rather treacherous topographical conditions, forest existence and the accompanying road building practices were low. Limited and scattered alpine meadows are found on the upper reaches of the WR. No forest village or neighborhood was reported inside the designated WR area. Untouched wilderness and none existent human activity were the driving forces behind its establishment as an extension to Ilgaz WR. Both WRs are on government property. The administration and development plans prepared for Ilgaz and Gavurdagi WRs listed more than 600 plant taxa in their combined area, 100 of which are endemic to Turkey and four of which are endemic to the Ilgaz Mountains. In addition to the target species elk and roe deer, the study area is home to 41 insect, 6 amphibian, 7 reptile, 15 mammal, and 77 bird species. Furthermore, 42 of the reported vertebrate species within the study area are currently protected by international conventions (OSIB 2012 and OSIB 2015). Thus, it is clear that the area is rich in both flora and fauna, and the principles of conservation have been identified and documented.

Figure 1. Location of the study area

2.2. Data Handling and Methodology

Standard raster topographical state maps at a scale of 1:25000 are at the core of many planning and engineering-related endeavors in Turkey (Sefercik and Atesoglu 2013). Three sets of these maps (1960, 1993, and 2010) are currently in circulation. Complete stereoscopic aerial photo coverage was undertaken for 2 years prior to producing each set of maps. The mentioned scale was appropriated from the very beginning in 1960 for providing sufficient spatial resolution for denoting land characteristics such as linear features, neighborhoods and rooftops, and cover types (Le et al. 2016). They were preferred because national topographic map coverages have been the most dependable data sources for questioning the past. The vector data including Ilgaz and Gavurdagi WRs, along with all other conservation areas in Turkey, were obtained from the GDNCN open-access data portal (URL-3, 2018).

Both WRs and their immediate surroundings were then defined for each period using a total of 11 topographical maps and their matching aerial
photographs, which included 10 black and white aerial photographs scaled to 1:50000 from 1955, 12 black and white aerial photographs scaled to 1:40000 from 1990, and 16 four-band-color infrared aerial photographs scaled to 1:5000 from 2008 (Figure 2). Since Ilgaz and Gavurdagi WRs are adjacent to each other, these analyses were made over their combined area, named as “the core area” hereafter for computational convenience.

**Figure 2.** Aerial photograph dated 1955 and the corresponding topographical map dated 1960 (not to be scaled)

In the first part of data handling, the topographical maps were geo-referenced according to the abovementioned intervals to generate three separate coverages. The corresponding aerial photographs were then co-registered onto the registered maps and placed in their respective regions. Next, all-weather, dry-weather accessible roads and linear fashioned man-made marks, and house rooftops occurring within the neighborhoods were meticulously digitized through the coverages, while simultaneously cross-checking their validities through aerial photographs in AcrGIS-10.6 (Figure 2). This enabled us to draw every linearly fashioned man-made object within the core area and aggregate them as one coverage result measured in length through UTM projection. The majority of forest roads in the study area had a 3 to 4 m platform width which was bordered by a 0.5 to 1 m wide side ditch, and lacked surface material. However, as oppose to the procedure applied in this study, not all road-designated linear features on maps or in aerial photographs were considered in road density calculations in Turkey. Other government agencies like rural affairs, provincial governorships, state provinces bank, etc., also provide access to the regions and communities, which can be used all-year round. However, these additions do not count towards the calculation of road density in forest management by regulation (OGM 2008), even though they link to forest road networks and continue to fragment the area even further. Dry-weather roads were digitized within the core + 1000 m buffer area to establish continuity for further calculations. All-weather roads, on the other hand, were digitized, starting from behind 3000 m buffer area with the same intension and to see the bigger perspective (Figure 3). Road covered area percentage was calculated by multiplying the respected road lengths with 4 m in dry-weather, and with 6 m in all weather, and dividing them with the corresponding acreages, in three scenarios (Table 1 and Table 2). As the roads have been built, the intact habitat continuity has kept on dwindling. Thus, we wanted to see the number of such forest patches completely surrounded by roads in the forms of closed polygons both within the core + 1000 m buffer area, and within the core +3000 m buffer area. While digitizing both road types, all connections defined by point, end, edge and vertex snapping algorithm within and across the road type(s) were carefully placed to form and measure these closing polygons frequently named as patches (Hawbaker and Radeloff 2004). In the beginning, only the dry-weather roads were forming patches, enclosed area of which got smaller in every coverage period. However, as the time progressed, higher standard all-weather roads, too, started forming patches around the core area. Finally, decommissioned roads were removed and newly constructed roads were added along with any suburban expansion to create a fourth coverage for 2019 through the Google Earth Pro. This process was quick and efficient because the majority of roads from the 2010 coverage were usually identical and easily visible on the high-spatial-resolution imagery, thanks to the matching projection. As for the suburban expansion figures, each settlement was assigned with a location number to allow us to keep track of it over the coverage periods, along with the number of houses in the vicinity and the type of usage i.e., permanent
or seasonal. The results were simplified in Figure 5. The second part of data handling dealt with the change detection of land cover types occurring inside the WRs. To determine whether there had been any visible change in the cover types, four Landsat images were classified. Landsat was chosen because more than 40 years of data were available, and the program was considered by many as having been at the pinnacle of Earth observation for over 45 years (Wulder and Masek 2012). The earliest Landsat image available for this region was from 1975 (USGS, 2020), thus, we were unable to reference any satellite image to the developing coverage of 1960. However, the time difference between the two data types was unlikely to have caused an issue since the development and growth were reported rather stagnant in Turkey between 1950 and 1975 (Moravetz 1977). A Landsat Multispectral Scanner (MSS) image from October 13th, 1975 was used. For the second, third, and fourth coverages, Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) images from July 18th, 1993 and August 15th, 2009, and a Landsat Operational Land Imager (OLI) image from October 14th, 2019 were analyzed. Due to data availability and quality concerns, a 2009 image was acquired and analyzed for 2010 coverage. The frame locations were referenced using Landsat’s old and new global reference grids and were acquired from the “Earth Explorer” data portal as path-190, row-31 for the MSS data and path-177, row-31 for the remaining data. Since the MSS data had a coarser spatial and spectral resolution, we opted to use three easily discernible classes: forest, non-forest and water (Haack et al. 1987). The sensor capabilities were more than enough for the intended task (Amil 2018).

Supervised classification using a pixel based classification algorithm was then performed on all datasets (Karakus et al. 2017; Li et al. 2014) (Figure 6). ERDAS-2013 was used during the analyses.

Figure 3. Road network in and around the core area from 1960 to 2019

3. RESULTS

3.1 Road Density Figures

Although it was impossible to trace the actual annual road construction figures, a simple calculation showed that an average of 10.8 km road was laid inside the core area per year for the first 33 years. The average rate of road development increased the following 17 years to approximately 15 km road built per year. As a result, the road density figures more than tripled and reached 3.4 km/km² in 2010 compared to a meager 1.1 km/km² in 1960. The more the road density, the more fragmented the forest area not constituting a suitable habitat for wildlife (Torres et al. 2016). The results showed that the length of both all-weather and dry-weather accessible roads increased dramatically from 1960 to 2019. The dry-weather road covered area percentage within the combined area of Ilgaz and Gavurdağ WRs (the core area) reached today’s prescribed rate of 1% in 2019, and even further passed 1% within 1000 m buffer. The all-weather road covered area percentage, on the other hand, has steadily increased. The number of patches that are encircled by forest roads has also grown exponentially from 92 in 1960 to 245 in 1993, 457 in 2010, and 353 in 2019, which has served to divide the area into smaller patches. The patch sizes, on the other hand, have been shrinking, <1 to 1912 ha in 1960, <1 to 970 ha in 1993, <1 to 890 ha in 2010, and <1 to 901 ha in 2019 when a 1000 m buffer was considered over the core area. Furthermore, all-weather roads have also started to completely encircle forest patches when a 3000 m buffer was considered over the core area, with patch sizes of 18 to 864 ha in 1993, <1 to 1464 ha in 2010, and <1 to
1463 ha in 2019. Consequently, the average patch size first decreased, then increased in both road types (Table 1 and Table 2). The study results yielded rather striking figures across the coverage periods showing that there was a dramatic increase in the road density of both all-weather and dry-weather roads. The decreasing numbers between 2010 and 2019 were due to decommissioning of the roads which had been laid before the establishments of both WRs. They were constructed everywhere regardless of the land cover or logging needs, thus when WRs were constitutionalized after 2010, some of such roads did not surface in 2019.

3.2 Suburban Expansion Figures

Our study showed that both the combined area of Ilgaz and Gavurdağ WRs and their immediate surroundings have been subject to human settlement, a perfect example of which is seen in the bustling sub-province Tosya, which is still growing in the southeastern tip of the core area, today (Figure 4).

Although the town is outside the core area on municipal property and terms, its neighborhoods simply border the core area. Two types of settlement were present within and around the core area: permanent and seasonal. In 1960, there were two permanent villages with 55 houses inside the core area. In 1993, there was no additional permanent habitation within the core area, with the house count decreasing to 46. However, the number of houses increased to 65 in 2010 and to 74 in 2019. By contrast, seasonal habitation fluctuated during the study period because such settlements lacked legitimacy, thus were subjected to unexpected crackdowns by the authorities. Evaluation of a 1000 m buffer showed that there was an incredible increase in the number of permanent habitation around the villages and in the sub-province Tosya, which squeezed Gavurdağ WR from the southeastern tip of the core area. In this study, it was evident that there were more seasonal locations than permanent villages inside the core area (Figure 5 (a), whereas the opposite was true in the surrounding 1000 m buffer area (Figure 5 (b). WRs have systematically been squeezed from within and outside.

Table 1. Road statistics for the study area from 1960 to 1993

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage period</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality (all-weather (1) vs. dry-weather (2))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road tally within core area (km)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>275.5</td>
<td>282.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>627.6</td>
<td>639.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road covered area % within core area (262.8 km²)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Road statistics for the study area from 2010 to 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage period</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality (all-weather (1) vs. dry-weather (2))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road tally within core area (km)</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>828.8</td>
<td>901.7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road covered area % within core area (262.8 km²)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Road statistics for the study area from 2010 to 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage period</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality (all-weather (1) vs. dry-weather (2))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road tally within core area (km)</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>828.8</td>
<td>901.7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road covered area % within core area (262.8 km²)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +1000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patches within the core area +3000 m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road density within the core area (km/km²)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Settlement locations in and around the core area from 1960 to 2019 (*Permanent, ** Seasonal)

Figure 5. Settlement figures in and around the core area from 1960 to 2019
### 3.3 Change Detection Figures

The classification accuracies were high and the kappa statistics were meaningful in all years: 0.8665, 0.8324, 0.8164, and 0.8035 for the 1975, 1993, 2009, and 2019 images, respectively. No drastic change in land cover was apparent during the study period but a fluctuation in forest area was evident (Table 3). Forest cover within the core area was more than 75% in all years. A reservoir built during the 1980s started depositing water later that is why no water was discernable in 1975 image. It was determined that the amount of forest cover declined during the first interval but then recovered during the second interval, despite a continued increase in the number of roads being built. Forest roads first became evident in 1993, largely due to the fact that 1975 MSS image had a lower spatial resolution of 80 m compared to 30 m for the later periods utilizing TM data. Although the technology to extract such linear futures with efficiency has existed for some time (Bakirman and Gumusay 2020), there is a shortage of spreading it into the countryside. The total length of roads then decreased in 2009, despite more roads being present, because growing trees and tightening crowns began to obscure the roads underneath. Finally, the decrease in forest cover again in 2019 could be attributed to harvesting, and growing settlement expansions.

![Classified images of the core area from 1975 to 2019](image)

**Figure 6.** Classified images of the core area from 1975 to 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage period</th>
<th>1975 (ha)</th>
<th>1993 (ha)</th>
<th>2009 (ha)</th>
<th>2019 (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest (ha)</td>
<td>20785</td>
<td>19797</td>
<td>22577</td>
<td>21900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None-Forest (ha)</td>
<td>5497</td>
<td>6473</td>
<td>3694</td>
<td>5369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (reservoir) (ha)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Land-cover changes in the study area between 1975 and 2019

### 4. DISCUSSION

Several studies have documented the importance of biodiversity (Gamfeldt et al. 2008; Christie et al. 2012; Evcin et al. 2019) and have discussed the factors that threaten the habitats (Jangi et al. 2019). However, in Turkey, there has been a lack of in-depth investigations validating these threats and showing what has actually happened to species within habitats, because the problem(s) has never been clearly defined to begin with.

Just as any other place on Earth, scattered rural settlement has occurred everywhere since the ancient times in Anatolia. Consequently, people have almost settled anywhere other than flood plains, extreme elevation, or broken topography. However, this type of sporadic habitation did not usually comply with the rules of later-time governmental legislations, which, in time, have always granted in concessions. People have traditionally constructed wooden dwellings at higher elevations, which they have temporarily moved to with their livestock to escape from the summer heat, leading to the formation of seasonal neighborhoods and transhumance inside state forests, on pastures, on high plateaus, etc. (Ocak 2016). The sites in which groups of such dwellings occurred always belonged to the state, primarily represented by the Forest Service in Turkey. The lack of restriction that people enjoyed in the past while erecting such
Accessibility has always been of paramount importance for forest management in Turkey, with roads having been considered as the only option for managing the resource and transporting all related services to and from it. New roads have been added to the forest road network each year (Turk and Gumus 2017). The current forest road building notification has stated that no more than 1% of the total forest area could be used for road building, which is the accepted norm within the Turkish forest management practice, today. However, the same notification has also stated that the above mentioned rate should be applied even less in other types of functionality assigned forests (OGM 2008).

When the land is reassigned with a new agenda, one could anticipate that the footprints of past forest management practices would be somewhat remedied. As obvious from the results of this study, the situation did not materialize like this inside the core area because timber harvesting has continued. The conservation status has not changed the wrongdoing. Consequently, poaching is rampant, as the fines and sentencing do not act as deterrents, and policing is undermanned and ineffective.

It has previously been reported that small mammals avoid crossing forest roads, mainly due to various types of predation risks, so the presence of roads causes their home ranges to shrink, their existing habitat usage patterns to change, and population isolation to occur (Ascensao et al. 2017). Although roads and the resulting forest fragmentation do not have as great an effect on larger game animals as they do on smaller ones, they still have direct impacts in the form of vehicle collisions when there are high volumes of traffic (Litvaitis et al. 2015), increased mobility for human access (Bischof et al. 2017), and from the changing nature of the ecosystem services (Coffin 2007). Collisions involving bears, roe deer, wild boars, and occasional elk occur on the Kastamonu-Ankara intercity road that crosses Ilgaz WR in a north-northeast to south-southwest direction, especially in early winter and late spring months were reported (OSIB 2012) as higher.

It has been shown that underground or aboveground wildlife passages effectively mitigate these impacts and are frequently used by all sorts of animals, even insects (Martining and Belangar-Smith 2016; Wang et al. 2018). However, no such passage exists inside the core area. A recent global study showed that habitat fragmentation is responsible for 13%-75% biodiversity loss and prevents ecosystem functions from occurring efficiently (Haddad et al. 2015). The study area has long been considered as a prime habitat for wildlife. Although the quality of a habitat can be considered as a primary indicator of species abundance, it is a rather weak indicator of the distribution and similarity of species (Dambros et al. 2015). There is not any published study looking into the effects of forest fragmentation on the quality and abundance of any species in Turkey.

Turkish legislation prohibits big game hunting for the general public, but according to inventories carried out by DW, issues licenses annually for harvesting a number elk, roe deer and wild boar. These licenses are rather expensive for rural people, so it is generally the international hunters applying for them. If no one applies, the tags are left. There is no “must be fulfilled” policy meaning that the animals are not required to be harvested. However, although these regulations seem appropriate and by the code, poaching continues, and is increasing. The Kastamonu sub-branch of DW has been recording the number of poaching cases in Region 10 since the beginning of 2000s. In the first 10 years, there were very few records of poaching, despite Kastamonu being a sizable province and 67% of its land area being covered by forests. However, there has been an increase in the number of poaching-related crimes annually since 2010, with cumulative figures of 15, 33, 52, 85, 69, 77, 119, 99, and 86 each year from 2010 to December 2019. However, these were only the documented cases of a much bigger problem.

Therefore, this many people residing in or around such habitats that are covered with such a large amount of road, on which they can both track the animals and flee from rangers, could be considered detrimental for the resources (Boston 2016).

The core area has long been considered as a pristine habitat for a large number of animals, ranging from big game animals to small mammals, birds, reptiles, and insects. However, no studies have investigated fragmentation and its effects on resident species in Turkey, so the current health, distribution, and stress level of these species are unknown. The results showing the road-building trend in Turkish forest habitats and the final assessment for 2019 are important as they show the current state of forest habitats in two, Ilgaz and Gavurdag, of Turkey’s WRs, and to emphasize the problem on these issues and provide a baseline for future research.

The data used in this study, are easily achievable for anywhere within Turkey, thus similar studies for other regions are sincerely encouraged to display the situation in sensitive areas.

5. CONCLUSION

Turkey lies between three bio-geographical regions (Euro-Siberia, Iran-Turania, and the Mediterranean) and forms a bridge between Europe and Asia, resulting in many things changing within short distances. The country is blessed with a rich biodiversity in terms of both its flora and fauna. However, this wealth is not receiving the attention it deserves because Turkey is trying to take its place among the developed countries of the world. This is
a difficult ambition, and the sacrifices are being made, the functionalities of many things across the country are misleading and policing is insufficient. WRs in Turkey are under heavy human pressure, so it is unclear whether all of the documented species are in good standing. Thanks to the invaluable potential of mapping, remote sensing and GIS, this study has showed how fragmented the forest habitats are in Turkey, even in areas where least expected. This assertion must be taken seriously either to nullify the situation or to go deeper to investigate the health of the habitats in all dimensions. This study can be criticized as being a straight-forward mapping exercise, lacking novelty both in the approach and the analysis, however it is still an important work to show that nothing could be kept hidden when geo-science capabilities are utilized for the sake of environmental issues. If the forests would continue to be used for a number of causes, not only for timber production, it is important that the impacts of humans are kept to a bare minimum or eliminated to protect the biodiversity.
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