



ANTALYA BİLİM
UNIVERSITY

ANTALYA BİLİM ÜNİVERSİTESİ

ULUSLARARASI SOSYAL BİLİMLER DERGİSİ

ANTALYA BİLİM UNIVERSITY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES

ABUIJSS

2024

antalya.edu.tr



Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi Uluslararası Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi yılda 2 kez yayımlanan hakemli bir dergidir. Türkçe ve İngilizce dillerinde iktisat, işletme, uluslararası ilişkiler, siyaset bilimi ve kamu yönetimi, davranış bilimleri, maliye, ekonometri, çalışma ekonomisi ve endüstriyel ilişkiler, bankacılık ve finans, insan kaynakları yönetimi, yönetim bilişim sistemleri, sosyal hizmet, uluslararası ticaret ve lojistik, sağlık bilimleri yönetimi, eğitim yönetimi ve ilişkili alanlarda makaleler yayımlar. Dergide yayımlanan makalelerin dil, bilim, yasal ve etik sorumluluğu yazara aittir. Tüm makaleler intihal taramasından geçirilerek yayımlanır. Makaleler kaynak gösterilmeden kullanılamaz.

Antalya Bilim University International Journal of Social Sciences is peer reviewed journal published twice a year. It publishes articles both in Turkish and English languages in the fields of economics, business administration, international relations, political science and public administration, behavioral sciences, finance, econometrics, labor economics and industrial relations, banking and finance, human resources management, management information systems, social services, international trade and logistics, health sciences management, educational administration and related fields. The language, science, legal and ethical responsibility of the articles published in the journal belongs to the author. All articles are published after a plagiarism scan. The published contents in the articles cannot be used without being cited.

❖ *Editörler / Editors in Chief*

✚ *Prof. Dr. Ömer TURUNÇ (Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi)*

❖ *Yayın Kurulu / Editorial Board*

- ✚ *Prof.Dr. Semih Ekercin, rector@antalya.edu.tr, Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi*
- ✚ *Prof.Dr.Mehmet Aktan, mehmet.aktan@antalya.edu.tr, Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi*
- ✚ *Prof.Dr.Emine Şen Tunç, emine.tunc@antalya.edu.tr, Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi*
- ✚ *Prof.Dr. İbrahim Sani Mert, ibrahim.mert@antalya.edu.tr, Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi*
- ✚ *Prof.Dr. Umut Avcı, umutavcis@gmail.com, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman Üniversitesi*
- ✚ *Prof.Dr. Abdullah Çalışkan, abdullah.caliskan@toros.edu.tr, Toros Üniversitesi*

❖ *Danışma Kurulu / Advisory Board*

- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Ahmet ERKUŞ (Bahçeşehir Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Bekir GÖVDERE (Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Dilek ZAMANTILI NAYIR (Marmara Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Harun ŞEŞEN (Lefke Avrupa Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Himmet KARADAL (Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Levent KÖSEKAHYAOĞLU (Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. İbrahim Sani MERT (Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Mustafa Fedai ÇAVUŞ (Korkut Ata Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Nejat BASIM (Başkent Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Selim Adem HATIRLI (Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Ünsal SİĞRİ (Ostim Teknik Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Sebahattin YILDIZ (Kafkas Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Hakan TURGUT (Başkent Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Prof. Dr. Murat ÇUHADAR (Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Doç. Dr. Hakkı AKTAŞ (İstanbul Üniversitesi)*
- ✚ *Doç.Dr. A. Mohammad ABUBAKAR (Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi)*

*İsimler, akademik ünvan ve alfabetik sıra gözetilerek sıralanmıştır.
Names are listed in academic title and alphabetical order.*

*Dergide yayınlanan yazılardaki görüşler ve bu konudaki sorumluluk yazarlarına aittir.
Yayınlanan eserlerde yer alan içerikler kaynak gösterilmeden kullanılamaz.*

All the opinions written in articles are under responsibilities of the authors. The published contents in the articles cannot be used without being cited.

*Makalenin on-line kopyasına erişmek için / To reach the on-line copy of article:
<https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/abuijss>*

İçindekiler

Kapak ve Künye		1-4
1. Gül EKŞİ & Özge NASIRCILAR	SOSYAL BİLİMLER ÖĞRENCİLERİNE YÖNELİK DİJİTAL EĞİTİM OLANAKLARI VE SANAL GERÇEKLIK UYGULAMALARI	5-13
2. Ezgi YILDIZ	SÖZSÜZ İLETİŞİM İÇERİKLİ MAKALELERİN (2012- 2023) BİBLİYOMETRİK ÖZELLİKLER AÇISINDAN DURUM İNCELEMESİ	14-33

SOSYAL BİLİMLER ÖĞRENCİLERİNE YÖNELİK DİJİTAL EĞİTİM OLANAKLARI VE SANAL GERÇEKLIK UYGULAMALARI

Gül EKŞİ*

Özge NASIRCILAR**

ÖZ: Teknolojik gelişmeler tüm iş kollarında etkisini gösterdiği gibi eğitimde de ön plana çıkmaya başlamıştır. Özellikle COVID-19 salgının etkisiyle uzaktan eğitim ve dijital alt yapıların kullanımı artış göstermiş, pandemi sonrası da eğitimin mekândan bağımsız hale gelmesi adına çalışmalar yapılmıştır. Özellikle uygulamalı eğitim kapsamında staj sorunları yaşanmış ve buna alternatif çözümler düşünülmüştür. Bu çalışmanın temel amacı, İşletme Bölümü öğrencileri için sanal gerçeklik altyapısıyla sanal bir iş süreci deneyimi sunmak için bir laboratuvar oluşturmanın ve sanal bir staj programı yaratmanın teorik varsayımlarını incelemektir. Buradan hareketle literatürdeki çalışmalar incelenmiş ve bu uygulamanın hayata geçirilmesiyle elde edilecek avantajlar üzerine varsayımlarda bulunulmuştur.

Anahtar Kelimeler: İşletme, Sanal Gerçeklik, Uzaktan Eğitim, Staj, Dijitalleşme.

Makalenin türü: Derleme

Jel Sınıflandırması: M10, I29

DOI: 10.54969/abuijss.1447874

Geliş tarihi: 06.03.2024/ **Kabul Tarihi:** 24.06.2024/ **Yayın Tarihi:** 05.07.2024

Etik kurul onayı: Etik kurul izni gerekmemektedir.

DIGITAL EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES AND VIRTUAL REALITY APPLICATIONS FOR SOCIAL SCIENCES STUDENTS

ABSTRACT: Technological developments have started to come to the forefront in education as well as in all business lines. Especially with the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of distance education and digital infrastructures has increased, and efforts have been made to make education independent from the place after the pandemic. Especially within the scope of applied education, internship problems were experienced, and alternative solutions were considered. The main purpose of this study is to examine the theoretical assumptions of creating a laboratory and creating a virtual internship program to offer a virtual business process experience with virtual reality infrastructure for Business Administration Department students. From this point of view, the studies in the literature were examined and assumptions were made on the advantages to be gained by implementing this practice.

Key Words: *Business Administration, Virtual Reality, Distance Education, Internship, Digitalization.*

Type of article: Review

Jel Classification: M10, I29

DOI: 10.54969/abuijss.1447874

Received: 06.03.2024/ **Accepted:** 24.06.2024/ **Published:** 05.07.2024

*Ar. Gör., Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi, Antalya, gul.eksi@antalya.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0002-5392-2899

**Ar. Gör., Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi, Antalya, ozge.nasircilar@antalya.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0001-5828-3013

Kaynak gösterimi için:

EKŞİ, G., ve NASIRCILAR, Ö. (2024). Sosyal Bilimler Öğrencilerine Yönelik Dijital Eğitim Olanakları ve Sanal Gerçeklik Uygulamaları. Uluslararası İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi; 5 (1), 5-13. DOI: 10.54969/abuijss.1459587

1. GİRİŞ

Dijital dönüşüm ve teknolojik gelişmelerin hayatımıza kattığı yenilikler artık günlük rutinlerimizde kendine yer bulmaktadır. Bu yenilikler sağlıktan eğitime, sanattan savunma sanayiine her alanda etkilerini göstermektedir (Koska, Dinçer D'Allesandro, Özbal Batuk & Sennaroğlu, 2021; O'Connor & Rainford, 2023; Zaia, Rose & Majewski, 2022;). İnternet, yapay zekâ ve simülasyon gibi yenilikler sayesinde eylemlerin gerçekleştirilme biçimleri değişmiş, eşzamanlılık ve mekândan bağımsızlık gibi birçok kolaylık sağlanmıştır.

Özellikle 2020 yılında ortaya çıkan COVID-19 pandemisi dijital dönüşüm serüvenini hızlandırmış, birçok süreci teknolojik altyapıların desteğiyle sanal olarak gerçekleştirmemize olanak sağlamış, çevrimiçi ortamlardaki iletişim ve etkileşimimizi normalleştirmiştir (Nabity-Grover, Cheung & Thatcher, 2020). Teknolojik ve sosyal faktörlerin birleşimi, hükümetler, şirketler ve bireylerden teknolojik yeniliklere büyük bir ilgi dalgasıyla sonuçlanmıştır. Bu süreçte eğitim pandemiden en fazla etkilenen alanlardan biridir. Küresel karantinaların zirvesi olan Nisan 2020'de pandemi, yaklaşık 190 ülkede 1,6 milyardan fazla öğrencinin öğrenimini aksatmıştır (Çoban & Yazıcı 2022; Düzyol & Yıldırım 2022; Sanal-Erginel, 2022). Türkiye'de ise Yükseköğretim Kurumu, virüsün yayılmasını engellemek için 18 Mart 2020 tarihinde eğitimin uzaktan devam etmesine yönelik karar almıştır (YÖK, 2020). Bu koşullar altında üniversitelerin eğitime devam etmesi beklenirken, gerekli altyapı ve kapasitenin eksikliği önemli sorunlar yaratmış; pandemi Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma Hedefleri'nin 4. maddesi olan nitelikli eğitime ulaşılmasını olumsuz yönde etkilemiştir (Alhwaiti, 2023). Bu süreçte teknolojik yenilikler eğitim süreçlerine entegre edilerek eğitimin hakkaniyetli ve kapsamlı bir şekilde her öğrenci için sağlanması ve fırsat eşitliği yaratılması için çaba gösterilmiştir. Araştırmacıların yeni eğitim-öğretim platformlarına ilgileri artmış; eğitimin uzaktan sürdürülebilmesi için ve uygulamalı alanlara özel programların geliştirilebilmesi için harekete geçilmiştir (Erol & Aykırı, 2022; Koska vd., 2021). İnternet ve yapay zekâ tabanlı simülasyon programları ve sanal gerçeklik gibi destekleyici unsurlarla eğitim faaliyetleri geliştirilmeye çalışılmış; gerekli altyapı olduğunda istenilen yerden istenilen zamanda erişimi mümkün kılan bu tür uygulamaların pandemide olduğu gibi sonrasında da eğitim niteliğini artırır şekilde kullanılması amaçlanmıştır (Alanazi, Mohamud & AlSuwailem 2020; Lieberth & Martin, 2005). Yukarıda yer alan bilgiler ışığında yenilikçi davranış; çalışan, yönetici ve örgüt için son derece önemli bir kavram olarak karşımıza çıkmaktadır. Bu çalışmanın amacı Scott ve Bruce (1994) tarafından geliştirilen yenilikçi davranış ölçeğinin Türkçeye uyarlanmasıdır. Bu kapsamda, tekstil sanayi ve sağlık sektörü çalışanlarından oluşan iki ayrı örneklemeden elde edilen veriler üzerinden analiz çalışmaları yapılmıştır.

2. Pandemi, Dijitalleşme ve Eğitim Etkileşimi

Pandemide yaşanan sorunlar sonucunda hızlanan dijitalleşme adımları özellikle uygulamalı eğitim süreçlerinde alternatif yaratılmasında öne çıkmıştır. Halihazırda eğitim süreçlerine destek olarak kullanılan bazı teknolojilerin yaşanan mecburi durumlar çerçevesinde ana etmen olarak COVID-19 pandemisinde yer aldığı görülmektedir. Örneğin sağlık bilimlerinde örgün öğretime destek olarak simülasyon programlarından yararlanıldığı bilinmektedir (Cant & Cooper, 2010; Moreno-Ger, Torrente, Bustamante, Fernández-Galaz, Fernández-Manjón & Comas-Rengifo, 2010; Subramanian, Timberlake, Mittakanti, Lara & Brandt, 2012). Pandemiyle uzaktan eğitime başlanmasıyla klinik stajlarda alternatif

yöntemlere ihtiyaç duyulmuş (O'Connor ve Rainford, 2023); örneğin Hacettepe Üniversitesi'nde Odyoloji Bölümü öğrencileri için sanal staj programları oluşturulmuştur (Koska vd., 2021). Benzer uygulamalar sadece sağlık alanında değil birçok disiplinde kendine yer bulmuştur. Örneğin Nanyang Teknoloji Üniversitesi'nde kimya bölümünde sanal gerçeklik teknolojisinden faydalanılmış; bu uygulamaya dair yapılan araştırmanın sonucu teknoloji kullanımının eğitimcilerin öğretmesini ve öğrencilerin öğrenmesini kolaylaştırdığı ortaya çıkmıştır (Toh, 2022). Benzer şekilde tarih ve arkeoloji içerikli çalışmalarda da müze veya antik kent gezileri gibi uygulamalarda bu teknolojilerden faydalandığı görülmektedir (Zaia vd., 2022). Ayrıca dilbiliminde de bu yeni uygulamalara yer verilmekte ve uygulama sonuçlarına yönelik araştırmalar yapılmaktadır (Bertrando, 2022). Ancak erişilebildiği kadarıyla sosyal bilimler öğrencileri için bu tarz bir uygulamanın yapıldığına dair bir çalışmaya rastlanmamıştır.

Artan nüfus, küreselleşme, hızla değişen dinamik sistemler gibi faktörlerin etkileriyle günümüzde var olabilmek için ileri teknolojiye uyum sağlamak artık bir zorunluluktur. Bu uyum her alanda etkin olabilmek adına öncelikle eğitim ve öğretimde sağlanmalıdır. Artık bilgi ulaşılması zor olmayan ancak analiz gerektiren devasa bir boyuttadır. Dolayısıyla öğrencilere bilgiyi edinme yeteneğini geliştiren, ilgi alanlarına göre kendi hızlarında öğrenme sağlayan alternatif eğitim olanakları sunulmalıdır. Teknoloji temelli alternatif eğitim olanaklarından biri de sanal gerçeklik ortamlarıdır. Sanal gerçeklik, "başka bir ortamda var olmanın sunduğu her şeyi dahil eden, sanallığın duysal yanılsamasını mükemmelleştirmeye çalışan donanım ve yazılım sistemlerinin toplamı" olarak tanımlanabilir (Biocca & Delaney, 1995). Teknolojinin zaman içerisinde gösterdiği gelişimle araç ve gereçlerin küçülmesi, güçlenmesi ve ucuzlaması, zor olan şeyleri kolaylaştırmakta ve ham olan şeyleri rafine hale getirmektedir (Wright, 1987). Böylece sanal gerçeklik zamanla daha az "sanal" hale gelmekte, günlük hayatın bir parçası olarak eğitimde kendine yer bulabilmektedir.

Gerçeğin yeniden inşası olarak ifade edilen sanal gerçeklik, özellikle bireyler için oluşturulmuş ortamlarda yaparak ve yaşayarak öğrenme deneyimi sunduğu için geleceğin eğitim ortamlarını oluşturacak teknoloji olarak anılmaktadır (Kayabaş, 2005). Erişilebildiği kadarıyla 1980'li yılların sonunda ortaya çıkan sanal gerçeklik kavramı o dönemde; gerçek hayatta aynı anda gözlere ışınlanan iki sahne gibi, kişinin kullandığı teçhizatla kendi hayali gerçekliği olan sanal gerçekliği üç boyutlu olarak görebilmesi şeklinde tanımlanmıştır (Wright, 1987). Dahası görülen görüntülerin kişilerin taleplerine ve isteklerine göre yeniden düzenlenebileceği, daha derin bir gerçeklik algısı yaratılabilmesi için çeşitli teknolojilerle dokunma hissinin de sürece dahil edilebileceği belirtilmiştir. Günümüzde sanal gerçeklik, ekonomiyi ve toplumu internetin bir zamanlar yaptığından daha fazla değiştirme potansiyeline sahiptir (Dwivedi vd., 2022).

Farklı öğrenme deneyimleri öğrenciler üzerinde olumlu etkiler göstermektedir. Teknoloji kullanımı, eğitimcilerin öğrencilere fiziksel dünyada erişemedikleri senaryoları uygulamalı eğitim içerisinde sunduğu için öğrenme fırsatlarının sayısını artırmaktadır. Bu tür uygulamalı senaryolar, öğrencilerin tanıtılan teorilerin alaka düzeyini deneyimlemelerini; eylem, yaratıcılık ve gerçek deneyimle öğrenme deneyimini bu şekilde geliştiren yeni anlamları kavramalarını sağlar. Bu tür faaliyetler matematik, fizik veya kimyadaki geleneksel derslerin tasarlanma ve öğretilme şeklini tamamen değiştirebilir (Dwivedi vd., 2022). Sanal gerçeklikte varlık algısına neden olan sanal ortamın, kullanıcıların kendilerini gerçekten o ortamın içindeymiş gibi hissettirmesine neden olması faaliyetin etkinliğini ve bağlılığını olumlu bir şekilde etkileyebilmektedir (Kim, Ahn, Kwon & Reid, 2017). Sanal bir ortamdaki

içeriklerin daha keyifli ve ilgi çekici olma olasılığının daha yüksek olduğunu ve bunun da geleneksel yöntemlere göre daha olumlu sonuçlara yol açtığını gösteren birçok araştırma bulunmaktadır (Dwivedi vd., 2022). Teknoloji temelli uzaktan eğitim yaklaşımlarını ele alan bu araştırmalar sanal uygulamaların öğrencilere öğrenme sorumluluğunu aştığı, kendi hızında öğrenme imkânı sağladığını, eğitimde eşitlik fırsatı yarattığını gösterse de bu uygulamaların aynı zamanda birçok dezavantaja sahip olduğunu belirtmektedir (Altuntaş Yılmaz, 2020; Keskin & Özer Kaya, 2020; Kurtgöz, 2020; Kürtüncü & Kurt, 2020). Örneğin Koska ve diğerleri (2021) Odyoloji öğrencileri için uygulanan sanal staj programında, öğrencilerin uygulamayı hasta ile etkileşim açısından yetersiz bulunmasına rağmen, alternatif bir yöntem olarak klinik becerileri pekiştirmeyi destekleyebileceğini tespit etmiştir. Öte yandan sanal uygulamalarla gerçek hayattaki maliyetli ve hayati hatalardan kaçınılabilecek, öğrencilerin deneyimsizliklerinin yarattığı en kötü şey sanal bir ölüm olacaktır (Wright, 1987). Benzer faydalar farklı şekillerde tüm disiplinlerde sağlanabilecektir. Bir başka örnek olarak mimarlık öğrencileri, sanal bir şehirde dolaşabilecek, bir binayı gerçekten inşa edilmeden bir mahallede boyutlandırabileceklerdir. Yani sosyal ilişkiler açısından bazı olumsuzluklar taşıdığı görülse de teknik becerilerin gelişmesine katkı sağladığı ve gerçek hayata uyumu kolaylaştırdığı için öğrencilerin uzaktan eğitim ve sanal uygulamalardan genel anlamda memnun oldukları belirlenmiştir (Altuntaş, Başaran, Özeke & Yılmaz, 2020).

Yüksek bir potansiyele sahip olan sanal gerçeklik, son zamanlarda eğitim alanındaki uygulamalarda çok fazla araştırmacının ilgisini görmüştür. Bununla birlikte literatürde, başa takılan sanal gerçeklik gözlüklerinin yüksek öğrenim amaçlarına yönelik olarak nasıl kullanıldığına dair bilgi sağlayan sistematik çalışma sayısının oldukça az olduğu görülmektedir (Radianti, Majchrzak, Fromm & Wohlgenannt, 2020). Bu durum, sanal gerçeklik teknolojilerinin uygulanabilirliğini sağlayacak alt yapı problemlerinden ve uygulamayı başarıya götüren etmenlerin göz ardı edilmesinden kaynaklanabilmektedir. Radianti ve arkadaşları (2020) başarılı bir sanal gerçeklik temelli öğrenme deneyimi için üç önemli noktayı vurgulamaktadır. Bunlar; öğrenme içerikleri, sanal gerçeklik tasarım öğeleri ve öğrenme teorileri açısından mevcut alan yapısıdır. Yani eğitime entegre edilen bir sanal gerçeklik deneyiminin başarılı olabilmesi için içerik açısından zengin olması, sanal ortamın tasarımının titizlikle gerçekleştirilmesi ve uygulama alanının mevcut yapısının bu sisteme elverişli olması gerekmektedir. Uygulamadaki bu tip zorluklar konunun literatürde yer bulmasını olumsuz etkileyebilmektedir. Ancak Radianti ve arkadaşlarının (2020) bulunduğu bu noktaların 18 ayrı disiplin ve uygulama alanı içinde tanımlanması, bu teknolojinin birçok disiplinde kabul edildiğinin ve edilmeye devam edeceğinin göstergelerinden biridir.

3. Sanal Atölye

Literatürdeki bulgulardan ve boşluklardan yola çıkarak bu çalışmada İşletme bölümü öğrencileri için sanal gerçeklik deneyimi sunan Sanal Atölye adında bir uygulamanın hayata geçirilmesi üzerine varsayımlarda bulunulmuştur. Sanal Atölye kavramı başta İşletme bölümü öğrencileri olmak üzere, sosyal bilimler alanında eğitim gören öğrenciler için sanal eğitim/uygulama ve staj programı yaratılmasına olanak sağlayan bir ortam olarak düşünülmüştür. Bu kapsamda öğrenciler için bir sanal gerçeklik laboratuvarının kurulması gerekmektedir. Başlangıçta bir senaryo kurgulanarak bir işletmenin belirlenen bir departmanında 360 derece çekim yapılabilir ve bu senaryo Sanal Atölye laboratuvarında deneyimlenebilir. Bu da öğrencilerin sanal gerçeklik gözlüğü ile zaman, mekân ve ekonomik

kısıtlardan bağımsız bir şekilde sanal olarak işletmede bulunmasını ve iş süreçleri hakkında detaylı bilgi sahibi olmasını sağlayabilir. Uygulama sonucunda öğrenciler üzerinde olumlu etkilerin görülmesi halinde bu çalışma farklı teknolojik alt yapılarla genişletilerek birden fazla işletmenin birden fazla biriminde gerçekleştirilebilir. Bu sayede farklı bölümlerdeki öğrenciler için de kapsamlı bir uygulamalı eğitim ve hatta staj programı oluşturulabilir. Böylece öğrencilerin eğitim hayatları boyunca uygulama ve staj süreçlerinde karşılaşılabileceği kısıtlar minimize edilerek dijitalleşmenin getirdiği avantajlarla gerçek hayata uyum konusunda olumlu sonuçlar alınabilecektir.

Sanal Atölye çalışması varsayımlar üzerine kurulmuş olup, çalışmanın hayata geçirilmesi ve geliştirilmesi için konu derinlemesine ve çok boyutlu bir şekilde irdelenmelidir. Buna istinaden aşağıda yer alan araştırma soruları bu çalışmanın pratikte gerçekleştirilebilmesi için düşünülmesi gereken noktalar olarak belirlenmiştir:

- ✚ Teknolojik gelişmeleri eğitim ve staj süreçlerine dahil etmek bu süreçlere nitelik kazandırır mı? Bu süreçlerin verimini artırır mı?
- ✚ Sanal gerçeklik tabanlı öğrenmeyi desteklemek için hangi tasarım öğeleri, öğrenme içerikleri ve teknolojiler gereklidir?
- ✚ Sanal gerçeklik teknolojileri yüksek öğretime nasıl entegre edilir?
- ✚ Sanal gerçeklik laboratuvarı işletme lisans eğitiminde zaman, mekân ve ekonomik tasarruf sağlar mı?
- ✚ Öğrenciler staj bulmakta ne gibi sorunlarla karşılaşmaktadır? Sanal gerçeklik laboratuvarlarının sürece dahil edilmesi bu sorunlara nasıl çözümler üretebilir?
- ✚ İşletmeler stajyer kabulünde çeşitli kısıtlar dolayısıyla zorluk yaşamakta mıdır?
- ✚ İşletmeler stajyerlere yeterince vakit ve emek ayırmakta mıdır? Sanal gerçeklik laboratuvarları bu konuda işletmelere yardımcı olabilir mi?
- ✚ Stajyerler staj yaptıkları işletmede teknoloji kullanımı sayesinde birden fazla sürece dahil olabilirler mi?
- ✚ Öğrenme çıktılarını değerlendirmek için hangi değerlendirme yöntemleri kullanılabilir?

Sanal Atölye'nin kapsamlı ve verimli bir şekilde hayata geçirilebilmesi için yukarıda yer verilen sorular gibi birçok boyut göz önünde bulundurulmalı, yapılan çalışmalar bu bağlamda ilerlemelidir. Ayrıca öğrencilerin bu deneyim sonucunda elde ettikleri faydalar, verimlilik ve memnuniyet anketleri ile analiz edilmeli ve alınan sonuçlara göre çalışmada yeni düzenlemeler yapılmalıdır.

Bu çalışmanın temel amacı, İşletme Bölümü öğrencileri için sanal gerçeklik altyapısıyla sanal bir iş süreci deneyimi sunmak için bir laboratuvar oluşturmanın teorik varsayımlarını incelemektir. Laboratuvar oluşturulurken öğrencilerin konuya dair tutumları hakkında bir ön araştırma yapılmalı ve sistem mümkün olduğunca onların talepleri çerçevesinde tasarlanmalıdır. Buna ek olarak bu çalışmanın hayata geçirilmesi durumunda birçok farklı amaç ve hedef gerçekleştirilebilir. Çalışmanın kurgulanma ve olgunlaşma evrelerinde öğrencilerden, sektörden ve akademisyenlerden alınan geribildirimlerle staj bulmada yaşanan sorunlar, staj deneyiminin sanallaştırılmasındaki olası sorunlar ve sanal eğitim süreçlerinde yaşanan zorluklar tespit edilip Sanal Atölye'nin bunlara göre

oluşturulması ve yaşanan sorunlara alternatif çözümler yaratılması sağlanabilir. Öğrencilerin böyle bir yeniliğe dahil ve adapte olmaları konusundaki niyetleri ve tereddütleri tespit edilip, nitelikli bir sanal eğitim süreci özelliklerine dair öğrenci görüşlerine erişmek mümkün olabilir. Sanal Atölye çalışması geliştirilip birden fazla sektörde, birden fazla işletmede, birden fazla departmanda sanal iş süreci deneyimi oluşturmak için uygulanabilir. Böylece öğrenciler için staj imkânı çeşitliliği artarken farklı alanlarda deneyim sahibi olmaları sağlanabilir. Buradan hareketle öğrencilerin memnuniyet düzeyleri hakkında araştırmalar yapıp, talep edilen, ilgi gören yeni alanlarda farklı staj programları oluşturulabilir. Uygulama sonucunda oluşturulacak bilgi ölçme teknikleri sayesinde deneyimin öğreticilik ve verimlilik düzeyini belirlenebilir ve geliştirilmesi sağlanabilir. Ayrıca gerçekleştirilecek uygulamalar, üniversite-iş dünyası iletişimini geliştirmeye yönelik olup, üniversite ve ülke ekonomisine katkıda bulunabilir.

4. Sonuç

Teknolojinin gelişmesi ile birlikte birçok alanda yenilikler baş göstermiş ve zamanla bu yenilikler günlük hayatlarımızda yerlerini almıştır. Eğitim de teknolojik gelişmelerin kendisine çokça yer bulduğu alanlardan biri olmuştur. Bunda özellikle geçtiğimiz yıllarda yaşanan COVID-19 pandemisinin etkisi büyüktür. Pandemi sebebiyle sekteye uğrayan eğitim süreçleri, teknolojinin getirdiği yenilikler sayesinde uzaktan devam etmiş ancak özellikle uygulamalı eğitimin devamlılığı konusunda sıkıntılar ortaya çıkmıştır. Bunun yanı sıra birçok kurum ve kuruluş stajyer alımlarını durdurmuş ya da kısıtlamıştır. Bu da halihazırda uzun ve zorlu bir süreç olan staj bulma konusunda öğrenciler için yeni problemler yaratmıştır. Tüm bunlar göz önünde bulundurulduğunda COVID-19 pandemisinin hem eğitim kalitesini hem de staj süreçlerini negatif yönde etkilediğini söylemek mümkündür. Bu negatif etkiler kurumların altyapı imkanları el verdiğince sanal gerçeklik ve simülasyon teknolojileri gibi araçlar kullanılarak minimize edilmeye çalışılmış ve bu teknolojilerin ilerleyen zamanlarda da eğitim ve staj süreçlerine dahil edilmesi amaçlanmıştır. Bu bağlamda Sanal Atölye laboratuvarının kurulmasının, bu yolda atılabilecek önemli bir adım olduğu düşünülmektedir.

Çalışma hayata geçirildiği takdirde hem uzun hem de kısa vadede birçok olumlu etki yaratacaktır. Bu etkileri toplumsal etki, akademik etki ve ekonomik etki başlıkları altında kategorize etmek mümkündür. İlk olarak çalışmanın toplumsal etkilerine bakıldığında, çalışmanın temel amacı ile bağlantılı olarak, COVID-19 pandemisi sonrası teknolojiyle iç içe olma yolunda gelişme sağlayan uygulamalı eğitimde Sanal Atölye gibi yenilikler aracılığıyla alternatifler yaratılacağı düşünülebilir. Bu sayede öğrencilerin öğrenme deneyimi zenginleştirilecektir. Aynı zamanda pandemi ve doğal afet gibi uygulamalı eğitime ara verilmesini gerektiren durumlara karşı bir önlem mekanizması geliştirilmiş olacak ve bu mekanizma uygulamalı eğitimdeki bazı aksaklıkların önüne geçebilecektir. Çalışmanın ilerletilmesi ve genişletilmesi halinde öğrencilere, eğitim aldıkları alanda farklı sektörlerde faaliyet gösteren birden çok kurum ve kuruluştaki staj deneyimi imkânı sunulacaktır. Tüm bunlar göz önünde bulundurulduğunda çalışmanın, Birleşmiş Milletler Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma Hedeflerinin 4. Maddesi olan "Nitelikli Eğitim" ilkesine katkıda bulunacağı değerlendirilmektedir. Sanal Atölye çalışması ile staj yapma kolaylığının sağlanmasının dışında staj süreçlerinde ortaya çıkan birçok probleme alternatif bir çözüm üretilecektir. Çözüme kavuşturulacak problemlerin başlıca staj bulma zorluğu, staj yapılacak kurum ve kuruluşların yalnızca belirli sayıda stajyer kabul edebilecek kapasitesinin olması, staj yerine

ulaşım ve staj yapılacak şehirde konaklama gibi fiziksel, finansal ve prosedürel problemler olacağı düşünülmektedir. Bu ve benzeri sıkıntıların çözülmesiyle yalnızca eğitimin değil bireylerin genel yaşam kalitesinin de artmasına katkıda bulunulacaktır. Çalışmanın bir diğer olumlu etkisinin ise engelli bireyler için kritik olduğu düşünülmektedir. Sanal Atölye ile özellikle yürüme ve hareket engeli bulunan bireyler için eğitimde uygulama kolaylığı sağlanacaktır. Bu bireyler de toplumdaki diğer bireyler kadar kolay uygulama ve staj yapma imkânı bulabilecektir. Böylece engelli bireyler için eğitimde fırsat eşitliği yaratılabilecektir. Çalışmanın bu bağlamda Birleşmiş Milletler Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma Hedeflerinin 10. maddesi olan “Eşitsizliklerin Azaltılması” ilkesine katkıda bulunacağı değerlendirilmektedir. Son olarak, çalışmanın uygulama ve staj mekânından bağımsızlaşma olanağı sağlaması ve ulaşım ihtiyacının ortadan kalkması ile günümüzde global bir problem olan karbon ayak izi miktarı azaltılmış olacaktır. Böylece dolaylı yoldan sürdürülebilir bir çevre yaratılmasına destek olunacaktır.

Çalışmanın akademik etkilerine gelindiğinde ise Sanal Atölye, üniversite ile sektör arasında birçok iş birliğine olanak sağlayacak ve bir teşvik unsuru olacaktır. Bunun yanı sıra eğitimde sanal gerçekliğin kullanımı konusunda bir örnek teşkil edeceğinden uygulamalı eğitimde sanal gerçeklik teknolojilerinin kullanımının yaygınlaştırılmasını sağlayacaktır.

Çalışmanın toplumsal ve akademik etkileri dışında birçok ekonomik etkisinin olacağı da düşünülmektedir. Öncelikle çalışma sonucunda elde edilecek tutum, süreç verimliliği, memnuniyet vb. veriler ve bu verilere dayalı bulgular tüm sektörlerce kullanılabilir. Kurum ve kuruluşlar kendi iç süreçlerini geliştirmek ve iyileştirmek için bu verilerden faydalanabilecektir. Bu veri setlerinden özellikle süreç verimliliği verilerinin işletmeler için faydalı olacağı düşünülmektedir. Bunun yanı sıra hem öğrenciler hem de kurum ve kuruluşlar için sağlanacak karşılıklı fayda ve kolaylıklar sayesinde stajyer istihdamına katkıda bulunulacaktır. Aynı zamanda sektörün ihtiyaç duyduğu tecrübeye erişim kolaylaşacaktır. Uygulama yaptırma ve tecrübe edindirme gibi zaman, mekân ve maddi gereklere konu olan faaliyetlerin maliyeti, bu değişkenlere olan bağlılığın azalmasıyla düşüş gösterecektir. Stajyer alan kurum ve kuruluşlar, 3308 sayılı Mesleki Eğitim Kanunu’nda belirtilen ve devlet tarafından zorunlu tutulan stajyer sayısına kurum/kuruluş içinde kapasite işgal etmeden ulaşabilecektir. Bunu yaparken de minimum zaman, finansman ve insan kaynağı harcayabilecektir. Son olarak uygulama sanal gerçeklik üzerinden yapılacağından özellikle deneyimsizlikten kaynaklanan iş kazalarının önüne geçilebilecektir. Bu da hem işletmeler hem de çalışanlar için birçok riski ortadan kaldıran bir özellik olarak öne çıkmaktadır.

Sanal Atölye deneyimi farklı açılardan geliştirilerek birçok sosyal bilimler öğrencisi için kapsamlı bir eğitim ve staj programı oluşturulmasını sağlayacaktır. Bu amaca yönelik olarak oluşturulması planlanan Sanal Atölye çalışmasının ilerleyen safhalarda Ekonomi, Psikoloji, Siyaset Bilimi ve Uluslararası İlişkiler gibi diğer sosyal bilimler alt disiplinlerinin öğrencilerine de uygulanması hedeflenmektedir. Bu amaçla doğru orantılı olarak çalışmanın ilerleyen adımlarında yeni işletmeler ve bu işletmelere ait farklı departmanların da süreç dahil edilmesi faydalı olacaktır. Çalışma başta İşletme öğrencileri ile hayata geçirildikten sonra yukarıdaki genişletmeler ve öğrencilerden alınan geri dönüşler yardımıyla ilerletilebilir. Çalışmanın kapsamlı faydaları düşünüldüğünde en kısa sürede hayata geçirilmesi ve geliştirilmesi başta eğitim, işgücü ve toplumsal katkı olmak üzere birçok alanda rekabet gücünü arttıracaktır. Böylece birden çok alanda değer yaratılacaktır.

Kaynakça

- Alanazi, A. A., Mohamud, M. S., ve AlSuwailem, S. S. (2022). The effect of simulation learning on audiology and speech-language pathology students' self-confidence related to early hearing detection and intervention: a randomized experiment. *Speech, Language and Hearing*, 25(2), 211-224.
- Alhwaiti, M. (2023). Acceptance of artificial intelligence application in the post-Covid era and its impact on faculty members' occupational well-being and teaching self efficacy: A path analysis using the UTAUT 2 model. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 37(1), 2175110.
- Altuntaş, E. Y., Başaran, M., Özeke, B., ve Yılmaz, H. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemisi sürecinde üniversite öğrencilerinin yükseköğretim kurumlarının uzaktan eğitime yönelik stratejilerine ve öğrenme deneyimlerine ilişkin algı düzeyleri. *Uluslararası Halkla İlişkiler ve Reklam Çalışmaları Dergisi*, 3(2), 8-23.
- Altuntaş Yılmaz, N. (2020). Yükseköğretim kurumlarında covid-19 pandemisi sürecinde uygulanan uzaktan eğitim durumu hakkında öğrencilerin tutumlarının araştırılması: Fizyoterapi ve rehabilitasyon bölümü örneği. *Necmettin Erbakan Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi*, 3(1), 15-20.
- Bertrando, S. (2022). Exploring virtual simulations to develop teachers' ability to support English language learners' socialemotional development. *European Journal of Applied Linguistics and TEFL*, 11(2), 161-175.
- Biocca, F., ve Delaney, B. (1995). Immersive virtual reality technology. *Communication in the age of virtual reality*, 15(32), 10-5555.
- Birleşmiş Milletler. *Türkiye Sürdürülebilir Kalkınma amaçları çalışmalarımız*. Erişim tarihi 17 Mart, 2023, <https://turkiye.un.org/tr/sdgs>
- Cant, R. P., ve Cooper, S. J. (2011). The benefits of debriefing as formative feedback in nurse education. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 29(1), 37-47.
- Çoban, C. (2022). Examining peer relationships in transition to primary school in the pandemic process with teacher and parent opinions. *Psycho-Educational Research Reviews*, 11(1), 31-45.
- Düzyol, E., ve Yıldırım, G. (2022). Examination of the opinions of pre-School teachers regarding the COVID-19 pandemic period's reflection of pre-school education. *Psycho-Educational Research Reviews*, 11(2), 261-280.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M., ... ve Wamba, S. F. (2022). Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 66, 102542.
- Erol, A., ve Aykırı, K. (2022). Meta-STEAM. *Eğitim Bilimlerinde Dijital Dönüşüm: Metaverse Bilim Kongresi*. İzmir, 08-09 Haziran 2022.
- Kayabaşı, Y. (2005). Sanal gerçeklik ve eğitim amaçlı kullanılması. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 4(3).
- Keskin, M., ve Özer Kaya, D. (2020). COVID-19 sürecinde öğrencilerin web tabanlı uzaktan eğitime yönelik geri bildirimlerinin değerlendirilmesi. *İzmir Kâtip Çelebi Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi*, 5(2), 59-67.
- Kim, J., Ahn, S. J. G., Kwon, E. S., & Reid, L. N. (2017). TV advertising engagement as a state of immersion and presence. *Journal of Business Research*, 76, 67-76.

- Koska, B., Dinçer D'Allesandro, H., Özbal Batuk, M., ve Sennaroğlu, G. (2021). COVID-19 pandemisi sürecinde uzaktan odyoloji eğitimi: Sanal staj hakkındaki öğrenci görüşleri. *Turkish Journal of Audiology and Hearing Research*, 4(1), 18-24.
- Kurtgöz, A. (2020). Hemşirelik öğrencilerinin Covid-19 pandemisi sürecinde aldıkları uzaktan eğitime yönelik tutum ve görüşlerinin belirlenmesi. *Journal of International Social Research*, 13 (74-8), 558–566. <https://doi.org/10.17719/jisr.11213>
- Kürtüncü, M., ve Kurt, A. (2020). COVID-19 pandemisi döneminde hemşirelik öğrencilerinin uzaktan eğitim konusunda yaşadıkları sorunları. *Avrasya Sosyal ve Ekonomi Araştırmaları Dergisi (ASEAD)*, 7(5), 66–77.
- Lieberth, A. K., ve Martin, D. R. (2005). The instructional effectiveness of a web-based audiometry simulator. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 16(02), 079-084.
- Moreno-Ger, P., Torrente, J., Bustamante, J., Fernández-Galaz, C., Fernández-Manjón, B., & Comas-Rengifo, M. D. (2010). Application of a low-cost web-based simulation to improve students' practical skills in medical education. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 79(6), 459-467.
- Nabity-Grover, T., Cheung, C. M., ve Thatcher, J. B. (2020). Inside out and outside in: How the COVID-19 pandemic affects self-disclosure on social media. *International Journal of Information Management*, 55, 102188.
- O'Connor, M., ve Rainford, L. (2023). The impact of 3D virtual reality radiography practice on student performance in clinical practice. *Radiography*, 29(1), 159-164.
- Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., ve Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778.
- Sanal-Erginel, S. (2022). Mothers' Involvement in Emergency Remote Education: A Case Study in the COVID-19 Pandemic Era. *Psycho-Educational Research Reviews*, 11(2), 212-231.
- Subramanian, A., Timberlake, M., Mittakanti, H., Lara, M., ve Brandt, M. L. (2012). Novel educational approach for medical students: improved retention rates using interactive medical software compared with traditional lecture-based format. *Journal of Surgical Education*, 69(4), 449-452.
- Toh, B. J. (2022). VR chemistry. Final Year Project (FYP), Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.
- Wright, R. (1987). Virtual reality. *The Sciences*, 27(6), 8-10.
- YÖK. Üniversitelerde uygulanacak uzaktan eğitime ilişkin açıklama. Erişim Tarihi: 28 Mart, 2023, <https://www.yok.gov.tr/Sayfalar/Haberler/2020/universitelerde-uygulanacak-uzaktan-egitime-iliskin-aciklama.aspx>
- Zaia, S. E., Rose, K. E., ve Majewski, A. S. (2022). Egyptian archaeology in multiple realities: Integrating XR technologies and museum outreach. *Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage*, e00249.

GÖKYÜZÜNDE YOL ALMAK: ÇEŞİTLİ HAVACILIK KAZALARINDA İLETİŞİM ZORLUKLARININ ROLÜ

Ezgi YILDIZ*

ÖZ: Havacılık emniyetinin can damarı olan etkili iletişim, kazaların önlenmesi, krizlerin hafifletilmesi ve karmaşık, dinamik havacılık ortamındaki paydaşlar arasında sorunsuz koordinasyonun sağlanması açısından hayati öneme sahiptir. Bu çalışmanın amacı, havacılık tarihindeki 10 ölümcül kaza ile Ulusal Ulaştırma Emniyet Kurulu (NTSB) tarafından kaydedilen son 10 yılda meydana gelen olay ve kazaların nitel analizini sunmaktır. Ölümcül kazaların raporlarının ve kaza veri tabanında belirlenen 48 olayın raporlarının içerik analizi, uçuş ekibi üyeleri arasındaki yanlış iletişim, acil durumlarda karşılaşılan zorluklar ve Hava Trafik Kontrol (ATC) ile iletişim kopuklukları gibi temaları ortaya çıkarmıştır. Bu bulgular, mevcut literatürle uyumlu olarak, havacılık emniyetinde iletişimin merkezi rolünü vurgulamaktadır. Çalışma, CRM eğitimi, standartlaştırılmış terminoloji ve gelişmiş iletişim teknolojilerine yatırım yapma önerileri sunmaktadır. Gelecekteki araştırma soruları arasında insan-makine etkileşimi, yapay zeka ve İnsansız Hava Sistemleri'nde iletişim yer alabilir. Ayrıca, bu bulgulara dayanarak, havayolu şirketleri geliştirilmiş emniyet, operasyonel verimlilik, stres azaltma, maliyet tasarrufu, düzenleyici uyumluluk ve güven inşası gibi pratik sonuçlar çıkarabilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Havacılıkta emniyet, Etkili iletişim, Nitel analiz, Ekip Kaynak Yönetimi, Kaza analizi

Makalenin türü: Derleme

Jel Sınıflandırması: M10, M12

DOI: 10.54969/abuijss.1459587

Geliş tarihi: 27.03.2024/ **Kabul Tarihi:**04.07.2024 / **Yayın Tarihi:** 05.07.2024

NAVIGATING THE SKIES: UNRAVELING COMMUNICATION CHALLENGES IN DIVERSE AVIATION ACCIDENTS

ABSTRACT: Effective communication is the lifeline of aviation safety, serving as the cornerstone for preventing accidents, mitigating crises, and ensuring seamless coordination among diverse stakeholders within the complex and dynamic aviation environment. The aim of this study is to provide a qualitative analysis of the 10 fatal accidents in aviation history and incidents and accidents that occurred in the past 10 years registered by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB). The content analysis of the reports of the fatal accidents and reports of the 48 events identified in the accident database search revealed themes such as miscommunication between flight crew members, challenges in emergent situations, and communication breakdowns with ATC. These findings align with existing literature, emphasizing the pivotal role of communication in aviation safety. The study offers recommendations to invest in CRM training, standardized phraseology, and advanced communication technologies for aviation safety. Future research directions include exploring human-machine interaction, artificial intelligence, and communication in Unmanned Aerial Systems. Moreover, grounded in these findings, airline companies can infer practical implications such as improved safety, operational efficiency, stress reduction, cost savings, regulatory compliance, and trust-building, thereby emphasizing the industry's commitment to continuous improvement in navigating the complexities of aviation communication.

Key Words: *aviation safety, effective communication, qualitative analysis, miscommunication, CRM training, accident*

Type of article: Review

Jel Classification: M10, M12

DOI: 10.54969/abuijss.1459587

Received: 27.03.2024 / **Accepted:** 04.07.2024/ **Published:** 05.07.2024

* İstanbul Üniversitesi Havacılık Psikolojisi Araştırmaları Enstitüsü, Ezgi Yıldız, ezgiyildiztaskin@istanbul.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0001-5140-0889

Kaynak gösterimi için:

YILDIZ, E. (2024). Navigating the Skies: Unraveling Communication Challenges in Diverse Aviation Accidents. Antalya Bilim Üniversitesi Uluslararası Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 5 (1), 14-33.

1. INTRODUCTION

Communication serves as the fundamental pillar of safe and efficient aviation operations, encompassing the reciprocal flow of information, instructions, and feedback across diverse stakeholders, including but not limited to pilots, air traffic controllers, ground personnel, and maintenance crews. The paramount importance of effective communication lies in its role in maintaining situational awareness, averting misunderstandings, and fostering collaboration within the aviation ecosystem. Conversely, the ramifications of miscommunication within this context are profound, with the potential to precipitate serious consequences, including incidents and accidents. This article aims to provide a comprehensive examination of aviation accidents and incidents wherein communication errors played a pivotal role. Through a detailed exploration of these occurrences, the aim is to extract valuable insights, identify patterns, and gain knowledge that can guide the improvement of communication protocols within the aviation industry. Additionally, the discussion seeks to outline concrete paths for future research initiatives, acknowledging the ever-evolving nature of the aviation domain. By thoroughly examining past incidents and accidents, this article strives to offer specific recommendations designed to strengthen communication frameworks, ultimately contributing to the broader objective of enhancing safety and efficiency in the aviation sector.

1.1. Effective Communication and Miscommunication

The term "communication" originates from the Latin root "communis, communicare." It is defined as the process in which individuals endeavor to understand and interpret messages exchanged with one another through the construction of knowledge or symbols, as articulated (Dökmen, 1998). Effective communication refers to the clear, accurate, and timely exchange of information between individuals or groups, facilitating a shared understanding of a given situation. It involves conveying messages with precision, considering the context, and acknowledging feedback. In contrast, miscommunication occurs when there is a failure to convey the intended message accurately or when the message is misunderstood.

Effective communication is a critical determinant of success and safety across diverse domains such as interpersonal relationships, business, healthcare, and aviation. Effective communication is pivotal for fostering understanding, trust, and connection in interpersonal relationships (Nicotera, 1993). Furthermore, it enhances relationship satisfaction and overall well-being (Eğeci & Gençöz, 2006). Conversely, miscommunication can lead to conflict and deteriorate relationship quality (Sillars et al., 2003). In the workplace, effective communication has been linked to employee satisfaction, productivity, and overall organizational performance (Clampitt & Downs, 1993). Similarly, in healthcare contexts, effective communication is associated with improved patient outcomes and fewer medical errors (Mazor et al., 2004). Finally, effective communication was identified to have a pivotal role in maintaining situational awareness, crew coordination, crew resource management (CRM), and enhanced team effectiveness (Endsley, 1999; Kanki, 2019; Kolander, 2019), fostering open communication channels for reporting and addressing safety concerns (Helmreich & Merritt, 2017).

Communication errors, which refer to misunderstandings, misinterpretations, or failures in the exchange of information, encompass a range of breakdowns in the transmission, reception, or interpretation of information and can happen in various contexts (Dayton & Henriksen, 2007). Communication errors in interpersonal relationships, such as

deviations from expectations and unclear expressions of emotions (Burgoon, 1993; Ekman, 1997), can lead to misunderstandings and relational challenges. Additionally, poor communication can also negatively impact relationship satisfaction and may even lead to potential dissolution (Yoo et al., 2014). In the healthcare domain, examples of communication errors encompass disparities in medication orders, misinterpretation of test results, and deficiencies in patient handovers (Frydenberg & Brekke, 2012; Sutcliffe et al., 2004). Within business environments, communication lapses may encompass misinterpretations of project objectives, ambiguous instructions, or insufficient feedback among team members (Brewer & Holmes, 2016; Stubbe, 2017). Lastly, communication errors in aviation encompass misunderstandings in radio communications, ambiguous instructions in cockpit communication, and the failure to convey critical information, contributing to safety-critical incidents (Alharasees et al., 2023; Hamzah & Fei, 2018; Shukri et al., 2016).

1.2. Communication Errors in Aviation

The imperative concern for aviation safety has prompted an extensive inquiry into the crucial role of communication in aviation accidents. Several researchers underscored the pivotal link between communication practices and flight safety, emphasizing that ineffective communication among key stakeholders can lead to catastrophic outcomes (Kanki, 2019; Trkovsky, 2017). This recognition of communication's vital role extends to additional studies, which highlight its significance in cockpit decision-making and the cohesive functioning of flight crews (Bowers et al., 2017; Hellesy, 1995; Jensen, 1989). Consequently, the aviation industry has integrated communication skills into Crew Resource Management (CRM) training programs, addressing communication both as an individual skill and within broader trainable skills, with regulatory bodies like the FAA mandating assessments of crew members' proficiency in these skills (İnan, 2018; Kanki, 2019).

Effective communication is integral to various critical aviation tasks, ensuring the smooth and safe execution of operations. During pre-flight briefings, pilots engage in communication with each other and ground personnel to establish a shared understanding of the flight plan, weather conditions, and operational considerations. Within the cockpit, communication is vital for tasks such as pre-flight checks, decision-making in emergencies, and routine flight operations. Pilots must also maintain clear communication with air traffic controllers to receive instructions, clearances, and updates on air traffic conditions, highlighting the crucial role of precise dialogue in ensuring safe flight. Furthermore, communication between flight crews and maintenance personnel is essential for reporting and addressing issues identified during pre-flight inspections or routine maintenance checks, ensuring the overall airworthiness and safety of the aircraft. The seamless integration of effective communication protocols across these aviation tasks is paramount for the reliability and safety of flight operations.

Communication errors in aviation span a spectrum of manifestations, from seemingly innocuous misunderstandings to consequential lapses with severe implications (Alharasees et al., 2023). Noteworthy among these errors are language and terminology issues, where misinterpretations arise from language disparities or the use of non-standard terminology, potentially leading to confusion. Additionally, cockpit crew coordination errors, marked by ineffective communication within the cockpit, particularly in high-stress situations, may result in critical mistakes. Further, ATC-pilot miscommunication, involving the inaccurate exchange of information between air traffic controllers and pilots, can lead to errors in flight paths, altitudes, or other essential parameters. Moreover, the failure to confirm and acknowledge in

radio communications poses a risk, potentially causing crucial instructions or information to be overlooked (Jacob et al., 2016). These diverse communication errors underscore the multifaceted challenges in maintaining precise and effective communication within the aviation context.

During flight operations, various communication errors pose potential risks to aviation safety. Notably, misunderstood clearances, where pilots misinterpret or incorrectly execute air traffic control clearances, may lead to deviations from assigned routes or altitudes. Additionally, poor cockpit resource management, characterized by inadequate communication within the cockpit, can result in a lack of coordination between crew members, impacting decision-making and task prioritization. Furthermore, ATC-pilot readback errors, involving inaccuracies in reading back instructions from air traffic control, have the potential to confuse assigned altitudes, headings, or other critical directives. Finally, frequency congestion on radio frequencies poses challenges, contributing to missed instructions or difficulties in establishing and maintaining communication with air traffic control. These communication errors highlight the need to address and mitigate potential risks through enhanced communication protocols in aviation operations.

Recurrent themes in communication errors within the aviation domain reveal multifaceted challenges that impact safety and operational efficiency. One prominent theme involves the prevalence of ambiguity and lack of clarity in communication, where unclear instructions or responses contribute to confusion and misinterpretation. Researchers, recognizing the significance of unambiguous communication, emphasize its pivotal role in preventing misunderstandings (Barshi & Farris, 2016; Tavlin). Another recurrent theme stems from the global nature of the aviation industry, resulting in diverse crews and air traffic controllers. Cultural and language differences pose communication challenges, with studies underscoring the impact of cultural diversity on cockpit communication (Cookson, 2017; Orasanu et al., 1997). Failures in Crew Resource Management (CRM), encompassing communication, coordination, and decision-making, constitute another recurrent contributor to communication errors. Inadequate teamwork and coordination within the cockpit can lead to critical lapses in operational procedures (Helmreich, 2000; Orasanu, 2017). Additionally, the overreliance on technology introduces new challenges, as pilots may become complacent or misinterpret automated messages, highlighting the importance of maintaining manual flying skills (Mosier, 2002; Naidoo, 2009). Lastly, stress and high workload situations, inherent in aviation, can impair cognitive functions and decision-making, contributing to communication errors. Research underscores the necessity of stress management training to mitigate these factors in aviation (Leonhardt & Vogt, 2012; Mitchell, 2016). Collectively, these recurrent themes illuminate the complex interplay of factors influencing communication errors in aviation and underscore the importance of targeted interventions and training programs to enhance communication practices and mitigate associated risks.

1.3. The Present Study

The purpose of this study is twofold. Firstly, it aims to conduct a comprehensive analysis of ten aviation accidents with fatal outcomes, specifically focusing on instances where communication errors played a significant role as contributing factors. Through an in-depth examination of these incidents, the study seeks to identify patterns, root causes, and lessons learned to enhance understanding and contribute to the improvement of communication protocols in aviation safety. Secondly, the study aims to analyze a broader scope of aviation accidents and incidents recorded by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) over the last ten years, with a specific focus on those attributable to communication errors. By

investigating a larger dataset, the research endeavors to provide a more extensive overview of the prevalence, types, and trends in communication-related incidents. This analysis will contribute valuable insights into the broader landscape of communication challenges within the aviation industry, aiding in the development of targeted strategies for enhancing communication protocols and mitigating associated risks.

To accomplish our objectives, this article first provides a detailed analysis of ten case studies to illustrate the specific role that communication errors can play in fatal accidents. Subsequently, the article provides a statistical and descriptive analysis of communication errors associated with 18 commercial aviation accidents spanning the ten years from January 2013 through November 2023. Finally, the article summarizes the insights and implications derived from this endeavor.

2. A REVIEW OF 10 FATAL ACCIDENTS

2.1. Method and Procedure

Ten fatal aviation incidents underwent analysis using the content analysis technique within the MAXQDA 22 Qualitative Analysis Program. Employing this method involves an iterative process of coding data through multiple readings, culminating in the identification and development of overarching themes. Following the reading and comprehension of accident reports by the primary author and a graduate student, initial coding and the formulation of preliminary themes were initiated. The data underwent iterative scrutiny, with the primary author and the graduate student revisiting and refining the coding and themes until consensus was achieved. To ensure the reliability of the analysis, inter-coder reliability was assessed using Cohen's Kappa, resulting in an initial agreement of .93 between the two raters. Subsequently, the researchers collaboratively established a consensus on all identified themes and sub-themes through a comprehensive review of the coded data. This methodological approach aligns with the guidelines outlined by Krippendorff (2018) for content analysis in qualitative research. The summaries of the ten accidents are presented below.

2.2. Case 1: Flight 191 – Chicago O'Hare International Airport (1979)

The analysis of the American Airlines Flight 191 accident at Chicago O'Hare International Airport in 1979 reveals a series of communication errors that significantly contributed to the tragic outcome. The chronology of events highlights a pre-flight maintenance error, where improper communication between maintenance and ground personnel led to the incorrect installation of the left-engine pylon. Subsequent inadequate communication during taxi prevented the flight crew from effectively conveying the abnormal left-wing configuration to all members, particularly the uninformed First Officer. The takeoff and engine separation intensified the communication challenges, with the flight crew facing difficulties in diagnosing the emergency due to asymmetric thrust. The catastrophic crash resulted from the aircraft's steep left bank shortly after takeoff, claiming the lives of all 271 people on board and two on the ground.

2.3. Case 2: Tenerife Airport Disaster (1977)

The Tenerife Airport Disaster of 1977, resulting in the collision of two Boeing 747 jumbo jets, underscored the pivotal role of communication in aviation safety. The chronology of events leading to the tragedy involved the diversion of numerous flights to Los Rodeos Airport due to a bombing incident at Gran Canaria Airport, causing congestion. The situation was

further compounded by dense fog, severely limiting visibility and complicating air traffic control operations. Miscommunications played a critical role in the disaster, with radio congestion due to increased traffic, ambiguous phraseology in radio transmissions, cockpit communication errors, and language barriers among air traffic controllers and flight crews.

2.4. Case 3: Überlingen Mid-air Disaster (2002)

The Überlingen Mid-air Collision in 2002 serves as a tragic example highlighting the critical role of communication in air traffic management. The chronology of events leading to the collision revealed a routing conflict between a Bashkirian Airlines Tupolev Tu-154 and a DHL Boeing 757, with their onboard collision avoidance systems (TCAS) detecting the potential conflict. The crucial miscommunication occurred when conflicting instructions were issued to the Bashkirian crew by both the TCAS and the air traffic controller. The crew faced a dilemma in choosing between the conflicting instructions, ultimately resulting in the tragic mid-air collision that claimed the lives of all 71 people on board both aircraft.

2.5. Case 4: Gol Transportes Aéreos Flight 1907

The Gol Transportes Aéreos Flight 1907 accident in 2006, resulting in a mid-air collision over the Amazon rainforest, starkly illustrates the pivotal role of communication in aviation safety. The chronology of events revealed that the Legacy's transponder had been inadvertently turned off or set to standby mode, leading to a lack of Automatic Dependent Surveillance–Broadcast (ADS-B) information on the Boeing 737's Traffic Collision Avoidance System (TCAS). This critical communication error disrupted the exchange of vital information between the two aircraft, compromising the TCAS system's ability to provide timely and accurate collision avoidance alerts. The consequence was severe, with the Boeing 737 suffering substantial damage and the tragic loss of all 154 people on board, marking one of Brazil's deadliest aviation accidents.

2.6. Case 5: Charkhi Dadri Mid-air Collision (1996)

The Charkhi Dadri mid-air collision in 1996 serves as a poignant illustration of the central role communication plays in aviation safety. The chronology of events leading to this tragic incident revealed a critical lack of communication between the air traffic controllers of Saudi Arabia and Kazakhstan, despite the aircraft being on intersecting flight paths near Charkhi Dadri. The absence of effective coordination and communication between the controllers, compounded by potential language and cultural barriers, contributed to a breakdown in situational awareness. This deficiency in communication led to a failure to exchange critical information about the positions and flight paths of the aircraft, ultimately resulting in the catastrophic mid-air collision and the loss of all 349 people on board both aircraft.

2.7. Case 6: Air France Flight 447 (2009)

The tragic crash of Air France Flight 447 in 2009 highlighted the critical role of communication in aviation safety. The chronology of events, from icing and pitot tube issues to the loss of situational awareness and incorrect pilot inputs, was compounded by communication errors within the flight crew. The crew's failure to effectively communicate and recognize the stall condition, combined with a lack of cohesive coordination and conflicting inputs among crew members, hindered the sharing of crucial information during the critical phase of the flight. The absence of clear acknowledgment of the stall condition and

the ensuing confusion contributed to delayed corrective actions, ultimately resulting in the loss of all 228 lives on board.

2.8. Case 7: Korean Air Flight 007 (1983)

The tragic downing of Korean Air Flight 007 in 1983 underscores the critical role of communication errors in exacerbating Cold War tensions and leading to the loss of all lives on board. The chronology of events, from the deviation of the aircraft from its assigned course to the Soviet fighter intercept and eventual shoot-down, was marked by a series of communication errors that significantly contributed to the misunderstanding of the flight's intentions. Language barriers between the Korean flight crew and Soviet air defense personnel impeded effective communication, leading to misunderstandings arising from difficulties in conveying and comprehending critical information. The absence of radio contact between KAL007 and the Soviet interceptors further fueled the misunderstanding, as the aircraft's identity and intentions remained uncommunicated.

2.9. Case 8: Turkish Airlines Flight 981 (1974)

The tragic crash of Turkish Airlines Flight 981 in 1974, resulting in the loss of all 346 lives on board, was marked by a catastrophic cargo door failure and a series of communication errors that compounded the severity of the situation. The cargo door, known to have a design flaw, was not properly secured, leading to a sudden decompression during ascent. The subsequent chaos and structural damage left the flight crew struggling to control the aircraft, but they encountered difficulties in effectively communicating the severity of the emergency to air traffic control and coordinating a suitable response. The breakdown in coordination between the flight crew and ground personnel further hindered the efficient management of the emergency, emphasizing the crucial role of communication in crises.

2.10. Case 9: Avianca Flight 052 (1990)

The crash of Avianca Flight 052 in 1990 underscores the pivotal role of communication errors in aviation accidents, revealing a tragic sequence of events exacerbated by misunderstandings during the flight's approach and attempts to communicate an emergency to air traffic controllers. The flight faced adverse weather conditions, leading to delays and diversions. Miscommunications with air traffic controllers resulted in a critical misunderstanding that the aircraft was in a stable situation while it was actually in a fuel emergency holding pattern. The aircraft eventually ran out of fuel during an attempt to make an emergency landing at John F. Kennedy International Airport, leading to the loss of 73 lives.

2.11. Case 10: Pan Am Flight 173 - Portland International Airport (1978)

The crash of Pan American World Airways Flight 173 in 1978 serves as a poignant reminder of the critical role that communication errors played in the tragic outcome. The chronology of events, from weather-related delays to fuel depletion and the eventual crash landing, was marked by a series of communication errors that significantly contributed to the accident. In particular, inadequate crew coordination within the flight crew hampered effective communication about the aircraft's fuel situation. The lack of assertiveness in informing air traffic control about the fuel emergency and the failure to promptly declare an emergency, despite recognizing the critical fuel state, further exacerbated the situation. The misinterpretation of crew messages by air traffic control added to the communication

challenges, with instances where the urgency of the fuel situation was not fully comprehended.

2.12. Results

The content analysis of the accident reports resulted in the following 7 themes: (1) Breakdowns in maintenance-ground crew communication, (2) Cockpit crew communication errors, (3) Emergency communication challenges, (4) ATC communication issues, (5) CRM and crew coordination challenges, (6) Communication challenges in conflict resolution, and (7) Lack of situational awareness due to communication errors. The analysis of aviation incidents reveals several critical communication themes that significantly impact flight safety. Breakdowns in Maintenance-Ground Crew Communication, exemplified by the improper installation of the left-engine pylon in Case 1 (Flight 191), highlight errors between maintenance personnel and ground crew, potentially causing severe mechanical issues. Cockpit Crew Communication Errors focus on inadequacies during critical flight phases, emergencies, or decision-making, emphasizing the potential consequences of misunderstandings or failures to convey crucial information within the cockpit. Emergency Communication Challenges underscore difficulties in conveying the severity of emergencies, delays in declaring emergencies, and the importance of clear communication protocols during critical situations to ensure coordinated responses. ATC Communication Issues encompass challenges in communication between air traffic controllers and flight crews, emphasizing the necessity of effective communication for safe air travel. CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges highlight deficiencies in teamwork, decision-making, and coordination within the flight crew, emphasizing the need for assertiveness, shared situational awareness, and effective decision-making under stress. Communication Challenges in Conflict Resolution point to difficulties in resolving conflicting instructions, underscoring the critical role of effective communication in preventing mid-air collisions. Finally, Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors emphasizes the repercussions of inadequate communication leading to misunderstandings of aircraft positions and intentions, underscoring the pivotal role of communication in maintaining situational awareness for safe flight operations.

21% of the events were caused by a single error, while 58% of them were caused by two reasons. The remaining accidents and incidents (21%) were caused by at least three reasons. The most frequent theme was “cockpit crew communication errors” (22%), followed by “ATC communication issues” (19%) and “CRM and crew coordination challenges” (19%). Subsequent themes were “lack of situational awareness” (17%) and “emergency communication challenges” (14%). The least occurring themes were “communication challenges in conflict resolution” (6%) and “breakdowns in maintenance-ground crew communication” (3%). The themes identified for each accident are presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Communication related themes emerged in the accidents

Case	Themes
Case 1: Flight 191	Breakdowns in Maintenance-Ground Crew Communication Cockpit Crew Communication Errors Emergency Communication Challenges

Case 2: Tenerife Airport Disaster	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors ATC Communication Issues CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors
Case 3: Überlingen Mid-air Disaster	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors ATC Communication Issues CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges Communication Challenges in Conflict Resolution Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors
Case 4: Gol Transportes Aereos Flight	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors Emergency Communication Challenges CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors
Case 5: Charkhi Dadri Mid-air Collision	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors ATC Communication Issues Communication Challenges in Conflict Resolution Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors
Case 6: Air France Flight 447	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors Emergency Communication Challenges CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges
Case 7: Korean Air Flight 007	ATC Communication Issues
Case 8: Turkish Airlines Flight 981	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors Emergency Communication Challenges ATC Communication Issues CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges
Case 9: Avianca Flight 052	ATC Communication Issues CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors
Case 10: Pan Am Flight 173	Cockpit Crew Communication Errors Emergency Communication Challenges ATC Communication Issues CRM and Crew Coordination Challenges Lack of Situational Awareness due to Communication Errors

2.13. Lessons Learned from the Fatal Accidents

The lessons learned from various aviation accidents underscore critical themes that have influenced subsequent improvements in aviation communication and safety protocols. The Tenerife disaster emphasized the necessity for enhanced communication protocols, endorsing standardized phraseology and improved radio procedures to minimize misunderstandings. This echoes lessons from the Charkhi Dadri incident, which prompted a reevaluation of international coordination procedures and efforts to enhance communication protocols between air traffic control centers across countries.

Closely related is the overarching theme of enhanced coordination, evident in the aviation industry's emphasis on improved coordination between the Traffic Collision Avoidance System (TCAS) and air traffic control instructions, as highlighted in the Überlingen Mid-air Collision incident. This theme is further reinforced by the ongoing efforts to

standardize procedures for identifying and communicating with unidentified aircraft, as learned from the Avianca Flight 052 accident, emphasizing the importance of international collaboration in air defense and aviation communication.

Technology integration emerges as a pivotal theme, with advances in technology contributing significantly to safety enhancements. The Gol 1907 accident emphasized the critical importance of maintaining awareness and proper functionality of transponders, leading to a reevaluation of communication infrastructure and efforts to enhance radar coverage and data link systems in remote regions. Similarly, the Pan Am Flight 173 incident prompted improvements in cargo door design and safety measures, showcasing the industry's commitment to leveraging technological advancements to prevent failures.

Training and proficiency are recurrent themes, as seen in the emphasis on Crew Resource

Management (CRM) training post-Tenerife and the continued efforts to enhance language proficiency for international flights post-Charkhi Dadri. The Ermenonville Disaster underscored the need for enhanced emergency training for flight crews, focusing on effective communication, coordination, and decision-making under high-stress conditions. The Avianca Flight 052 accident led to increased training for air traffic control personnel, focusing on recognizing and responding promptly to emergencies, even in challenging weather conditions.

The overarching goal of these lessons is to create a more resilient and efficient aviation system. Improved visibility, reevaluated air traffic management procedures, and global harmonization of communication procedures are practical outcomes reflecting the industry's commitment to continuous improvement. These lessons not only address specific issues but also contribute to a broader culture of safety, emphasizing standardized practices, collaboration, and the integration of advanced technologies to ensure consistent and effective communication in aviation.

3. A REVIEW OF ACCIDENT AND INCIDENT DATA

While the 10 case studies offered a detailed examination of communication errors contributing to accidents, they did not furnish information regarding the general frequency or significance of such factors. To address this gap, an additional analysis was undertaken to explore aviation accidents rooted in miscommunication, utilizing data supplied by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB). The current study focused on aviation accidents attributed to communication errors over 10 years, ranging from January 2013 to November 2023.

3.1. Method and Procedure

Initially, a search was conducted encompassing all aviation accidents that occurred in the last 10 years (i.e., from January 2013 to November 2023). All countries were included in the search, with the category of the aircraft specified as an airplane. Furthermore, all event types and events from all injury levels were selected. Finally, in the operation section, FAR Part was set to "all," and both scheduled and non-scheduled flights were included. The original dataset comprised 147183 aviation accidents and incidents. Next, a keyword search was conducted to identify the "communication" as a potential cause. 1% of the events included the word "communication" as a potential cause. A further in-depth analysis was conducted to identify the accidents and incidents that include communication errors as the contributing factors to the event. Finally, 48 events were found to be attributable to communication errors. A thorough analysis of these events was conducted using information available in official accident reports.

The content analysis technique commonly employed in qualitative research was utilized for the analysis of the accident reports in the MAXQDA 22 Qualitative Analysis Program. In content analysis, data are initially coded through repeated readings, leading to the development of themes. Subsequently, the generated themes, along with all findings, are interpreted and organized clearly and concisely (Krippendorff, 2018). To analyze the data, first, the accident reports were read and comprehended by the author of this paper multiple times. During these readings, initial coding and the formation of preliminary themes were initiated. The accident reports were further read and coded by an unknowing graduate student unknown to the study's purpose. The data was repeatedly revisited by the first author and the graduate students, making necessary changes and adjustments until a consensus was reached for the coding and themes. A thorough review of all codings and themes was conducted to finalize the themes. The inter-coder reliability between two raters was calculated by Cohen's Kappa (Cohen, 1960). The initial agreement between the two raters was .90. Subsequently, the two researchers reached a consensus on all themes and sub-themes.

3.2. Results

Of the 48 accidents, 15% were classified as fatal, 27% produced minor or serious injuries and 58% resulted in no injuries. All of the events took place in the United States, while 13% of them were classified as incidents and the remaining as accidents. Thirty-eight of the 48 events happened in a single aircraft, while 8 of them included two aircraft and 2 included a helicopter and an aircraft. 8% of the events resulted in the destruction of the aircraft, while 73% had substantial damage. Only 1 incident ended up with minor damage, while no damage has occurred at 8 events. Ten of the flights were scheduled, whereas only one was non-scheduled. The schedule status of the remaining accidents (77%) was not given. 75% of the aircraft were private aircraft (FAR 91), while 17% were regularly scheduled air carriers (FAR 121). The remaining flights included foreign air carriers and commercial air flights. The make of the aircraft included Cessna (23%), Boeing (13%), Piper (15%), Beech (13%), and others (36%, such as Commander, Douglas, etc.). The engine number of the aircraft ranged from 1 to 4. More specifically, 54% of the aircraft had a single engine, 40% had two engines and the remaining had 4 engines (6%). 10% of the events took place in 1996 and 10% in 2005, while 6% occurred in 2009. Two accidents and incidents occurred in the years 1989, 1991, 1993, 2002, 2005, 2012, 2015, 2016, and 2018, while one event occurred in the years 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, 1997, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2007, 2008, 2011, 2013, 2014, 2017, 2019, and 2022.

The content analysis of the accident reports resulted in the following 11 themes: (1) Miscommunication between flight crew members, (2) Inadequate radio communication, (3) Failure to announce intentions, (4) Lack of CRM communication, (5) Ineffective communication with air traffic controller, (6) Poor communication during emergencies, (7) Communication issues in training scenarios, (8) Inadequate communication with ground personnel, (9) Ineffective use of electronic systems for traffic awareness, (10) Distractions and non-pertinent conversations, (11) Failure to obtain/maintain communication with the control tower. An example for each of the emerging themes is provided in Table 2.

Miscommunications among flight crew members encompass accidents where they fail to effectively communicate critical aspects such as flap settings, control inputs, and intentions, resulting in a loss of control or other issues. Inadequate radio communication involves incidents with a lack of two-way radio communication equipment or

miscommunication among flight crew members regarding the position or intentions of the aircraft. Failure to announce intentions pertains to accidents resulting from pilots neglecting to communicate their intentions before landing, leading to midair collisions or other conflicts. The lack of CRM communication involves accidents where there is insufficient crew resource management communication, resulting in failures in monitoring, decision-making, and coordination among flight crew members. Ineffective communication with air traffic control encompasses incidents of miscommunication, including unclear clearances, failure to correct readbacks, and distractions from nonpertinent conversations. Poor communication during emergencies refers to accidents where effective communication is lacking during emergencies, such as failure to declare emergency fuel conditions, improper decision-making, and inadequate checklist procedures. Communication issues in training scenarios include incidents occurring during training where breakdowns in communication between instructors and students lead to a loss of control or failure to execute necessary maneuvers. Inadequate communication with ground personnel involves accidents resulting from insufficient communication between flight crews and ground personnel during pushback operations or other ground activities. Ineffective use of electronic systems for traffic awareness refers to incidents where pilots fail to effectively use available information from electronic traffic advisory systems, contributing to midair collisions. Distractions and nonpertinent conversations involve accidents where distractions, such as nonpertinent conversations or distractions from other duties, contribute to communication errors and overall breakdowns in situational awareness. Finally, failure to obtain/maintain communication with the control tower refers to incidents where pilots fail to obtain or maintain communication with the control tower, leading to inadequate traffic awareness and an increased risk of accidents.

Table 2

Communication related themes in the accident database search

Theme	Example
1. Miscommunication between flight crew members	The flight crew failed to manage the airplane’s vertical flight path, airspeed, and pitch attitude following a miscommunication about the captain’s desired flap setting during the initial climb.
2. Inadequate radio communication	The pilot's failure to keep the airplane on the taxiway while taxiing at night. Contributing to the accident was a miscommunication between the flight crew members about the position of the airplane.
3. Failure to announce intentions	Loss of all engine power due to fuel exhaustion that resulted from the flight crew's failure to accurately determine onboard fuel during the pre-flight inspection. A factor contributing to the accident was a lack of adequate crew communication regarding the fuel status.
4. Lack of CRM communication	The flight crew's failure to maintain terrain clearance during low altitude flight in low ceiling and visibility conditions. Contributing to the accident was the flight crew's failure to adequately monitor their location concerning the rising terrain environment ahead, and their lack of crew resource management communication as a crew.
5. Ineffective communication with the air traffic controller	The controller's miscommunication of a clearance and failure to recognize and correct the readback resulted in the flight crew initiating takeoff without a clearance.

6. Poor communication during emergencies	The pilot's inaccurate fuel consumption calculations, and his inadequate in-flight planning and decision-making. The pilot's failure to declare an emergency fuel condition (inadequate communication) was a related factor.
7. Communication issues in training scenarios	The flight instructor's delayed decision to take control of the airplane and execute a missed approach. Contributing to the accident was the lack of communication between the certificated flight instructor and the pilot receiving instruction.
8. Inadequate communication with ground personnel	The unexpected engine start during hand propping, which resulted in a serious injury. Contributing to the accident was the lack of communication between the pilot and the individual hand-propping the engine.
9. Ineffective use of electronic systems for traffic awareness	The pilot-in-command's inadequate visual lookout and the company/operator's inadequate crew/group coordination and communication during taxi and snow removal operations.
10. Distractions and non-pertinent conversations	The pilot's loss of airplane control due to spatial disorientation. Contributing to the accident was the pilot's distraction with a "popped" circuit breaker and communications with air traffic control.
11. Failure to obtain/maintain communication with the control tower	The pilot's improper interpretation of instructions issued by air traffic control specialists and the pilot's poor inflight planning and decisions.

21% of the events were caused by a single error, while 58% of them were caused by two reasons. The remaining accidents and incidents (21%) were caused by at least three reasons. The most frequent theme was "miscommunication between flight crew members", followed by "failure to announce intentions" and "failure to obtain/maintain communication with the control tower". The frequency of each theme is presented in Table 3.

Table 3

Frequency of emerging themes

Theme	N	%
1. Miscommunication between flight crew members	15	17
2. Inadequate radio communication	5	5
3. Failure to announce intentions	10	12
4. Lack of CRM communication	6	6
5. Ineffective communication with air traffic controller	8	8
6. Poor communication during emergencies	8	8
7. Communication issues in training scenarios	9	9
8. Inadequate communication with ground personnel	8	8
9. Ineffective use of electronic systems for traffic awareness	9	9

10. Distractions and non-pertinent conversations	7	7
11. Failure to obtain/maintain communication with the control tower	10	11
<i>Total</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>100</i>

4. DISCUSSION

The comprehensive content analysis of aviation accidents has identified crucial communication themes that significantly impact flight safety. These themes encompass various aspects of communication breakdowns within the aviation environment. Breakdowns in Maintenance-Ground Crew Communication, exemplified by the improper installation of the left-engine pylon in Case 1 (Flight 191), emphasize errors between maintenance personnel and ground crew that can lead to severe mechanical issues. Cockpit Crew Communication Errors highlight inadequacies during critical flight phases, emergencies, or decision-making, stressing the potential consequences of misunderstandings or failures to convey crucial information within the cockpit. Emergency Communication Challenges underscore difficulties in conveying emergency severity, delays in declaring emergencies, and the importance of clear communication protocols during critical situations for coordinated responses. ATC Communication Issues encompass challenges in communication between air traffic controllers and flight crews, emphasizing the necessity of effective communication for safe air travel.

The prevalence of these communication themes is reflected in the quantitative analysis, where 22% of incidents were attributed to "cockpit crew communication errors," 19% to "ATC communication issues," and another 19% to "CRM and crew coordination challenges." Notably, the least occurring themes were "communication challenges in conflict resolution" (6%) and "breakdowns in maintenance-ground crew communication" (3%). This distribution indicates that issues within the cockpit, with air traffic control, and crew coordination are more frequently implicated in accidents (Kharoufah et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the emergent themes from the broader analysis, such as "miscommunication between flight crew members" and "inadequate communication with ground personnel," echo the findings of the content analysis. Miscommunication among flight crew members is highlighted as a contributing factor, leading to issues like a loss of control or failure to execute necessary maneuvers. Inadequate communication with ground personnel is also identified, emphasizing the critical role of effective communication during pushback operations or other ground activities.

The combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses emphasizes the complex interplay of communication factors in aviation accidents. Recognizing the prevalence of these themes underscores the urgent need for targeted interventions and improvements in communication protocols, crew training, and technological systems to enhance aviation safety and prevent similar incidents in the future.

4.1. Overcoming Miscommunication Errors in Aviation

As discussed in this article, effective communication stands as the linchpin of aviation safety, orchestrating the seamless exchange of information among diverse stakeholders, including pilots, air traffic controllers, and ground personnel. The ramifications of miscommunication errors in aviation necessitate a multifaceted approach to address and

mitigate these challenges. Based on the accidents reviewed in this article, several recommendations can be made to overcome miscommunication errors in aviation, encompassing best practices, technological advancements, and innovative training methodologies.

Investing in comprehensive Crew Resource Management (CRM) training emerges as a critical strategy for fostering effective communication within the cockpit. CRM programs should accentuate elements such as teamwork, assertiveness, and clear communication protocols. By incorporating simulated scenarios mirroring real-world challenges, these programs enhance crews' adeptness in navigating high-stress situations and making informed decisions collectively (Craig, 1998; Jensen, 1989). The continuous integration of training and recurrent simulations serves to reinforce these skills, ensuring their application during actual flight operations.

The adoption and consistent use of standardized phraseology emerge as pivotal measures to diminish ambiguity and heighten clarity in communication. Utilizing phraseology standardized by international aviation organizations, such as the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), establishes a common language for air traffic controllers and pilots, thereby minimizing the risk of misunderstandings induced by language differences and bolstering overall communication effectiveness. Given the global nature of aviation, cross-cultural training assumes significance in addressing language and cultural disparities. Programs focusing on cultural awareness can foster an understanding of diverse communication styles, facilitating enhanced collaboration between multinational flight crews and air traffic controllers, thereby diminishing the risk of miscommunication (Borowska, 2013; Orasanu et al., 1997). Leveraging advanced communication technologies, such as digital data link systems, voice recognition software, and real-time data-sharing platforms, presents an opportunity to significantly enhance the accuracy and efficiency of information exchange. Implementing these technologies minimizes the reliance on traditional voice communication, thereby reducing the associated risk of errors in verbal exchanges, especially in complex airspace environments (Ertürk et al., 2019). Recognizing the high-stress nature of aviation operations, integrating stress management training into pilot and air traffic controller education becomes imperative. Such training equips professionals with coping mechanisms to mitigate the impact of stress on communication, including mindfulness techniques, effective time management, and fostering a supportive organizational culture (Krieger, 2003; Perry, 2022). Lastly, incorporating regular assessments of communication skills serves as a proactive measure to identify and rectify potential issues. Periodic evaluations in both simulated and actual operational environments offer valuable insights into individual and team communication proficiency, allowing for structured interventions to address areas for improvement.

4.2. Future Directions

Future research endeavors in aviation communication should be oriented towards a comprehensive exploration of emerging challenges and advancements, thereby continually enhancing safety and efficiency in the domain. To this end, a series of research questions have been articulated to delineate critical aspects warranting scholarly investigation. A fundamental inquiry pertains to the optimization of human-machine interaction within the context of advanced avionic systems and automation in next-generation cockpits. The focus revolves around preventing miscommunication and enhancing overall communication within

these sophisticated environments. Another research avenue delves into the role of artificial intelligence in shaping communication protocols and decision-making processes in aviation. Central to this inquiry is understanding how artificial intelligence can be harnessed to mitigate miscommunication errors. Furthermore, an examination of communication in Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) is imperative, seeking to unravel the distinctive nature of communication in the absence of a human pilot, along with exploring the associated challenges and potential solutions. The cybersecurity risks associated with aviation communication systems represent an additional facet of exploration, with an emphasis on developing robust strategies to safeguard against cyber threats compromising communication integrity. Augmented reality's impact on pilot-air traffic controller interaction forms another research question, evaluating how such technologies can be integrated to enhance situational awareness, reduce workload, and foster improved communication.

The cultural adaptability of communication training programs within aviation crews constitutes a noteworthy inquiry, aiming to ensure effective communication and collaboration in a multicultural environment. The optimization of real-time data-sharing technologies to provide enhanced situational awareness and reduce the likelihood of miscommunication errors is also a pertinent research avenue. Assessing the effectiveness of advanced communication tools, including voice recognition systems and digital data link technologies, in reducing communication errors, and refining these tools represents another research dimension. Tailoring communication strategies for high-stress emergency scenarios to optimize response and decision-making while minimizing the risk of miscommunication constitutes a critical inquiry. A longitudinal analysis of the impact of Crew Resource Management (CRM) training on communication, teamwork, and safety outcomes within flight crews, along with continuous improvement strategies, is another avenue for investigation. Furthermore, exploring communication dynamics in Urban Air Mobility (UAM) and understanding specific challenges and solutions in the integration of air taxis and drones into urban airspace is a pressing research question. Lastly, a deeper understanding of human factors, including cognitive processes and decision-making, is sought to inform the analysis and prevention of communication errors in aviation. These research questions collectively delineate a comprehensive roadmap for advancing our understanding of aviation communication and fortifying its pivotal role in ensuring safety and operational efficiency.

4.3. Implications for the Aviation Industry

Addressing miscommunication errors in aviation holds numerous practical implications, directly contributing to improved safety outcomes. Initiatives such as enhanced Crew Resource Management (CRM) training, standardized phraseology, and the integration of advanced communication technologies collectively reduce the risk of misunderstandings and errors. This, in turn, prevents accidents and incidents caused by communication breakdowns, thereby elevating overall aviation safety standards. Moreover, the emphasis on efficient communication serves as a cornerstone for enhanced operational efficiency. Adopting standardized phraseology and leveraging advanced communication technologies streamline communication processes, facilitating smoother air traffic management, reducing delays, and optimizing overall operational efficiency within the aviation industry.

A crucial practical implication is the reduction of workload and stress for aviation professionals. Implementing stress management training and providing tools to minimize communication workload contribute to a more sustainable and resilient workforce. Effective stress management, coupled with advanced communication technologies, enhances decision-

making, particularly in high-pressure situations, thereby fostering a positive safety culture. Additionally, initiatives like cross-cultural training play a pivotal role in enhancing collaboration among multinational flight crews and air traffic controllers. By fostering cultural awareness and adaptability, the aviation industry ensures effective communication across diverse backgrounds, mitigating the risk of miscommunication and creating a more inclusive working environment.

Practical implications extend to cost savings through error reduction. Strategies to overcome miscommunication errors prevent accidents, incidents, and operational disruptions, leading to substantial financial savings by avoiding the implications associated with investigations, legal proceedings, and damage to reputation. Moreover, initiatives aligning with regulatory standards set by organizations such as the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) have practical implications for compliance and accountability within the aviation industry. Implementing measures to improve communication and enhance safety contributes to a positive public perception, building trust and confidence among passengers and stakeholders. Finally, establishing a culture of continuous learning and adaptation underscores all these strategies, ensuring the aviation industry remains dynamic, embraces new technologies, refines training methodologies, and continuously evaluates and improves communication protocols to stay ahead of emerging challenges and risks.

5. CONCLUSION

Overall, this investigation underscores the pivotal role of communication in aviation safety, emphasizing the profound consequences of miscommunication. Through a meticulous examination of ten notable aviation accidents, recurrent themes have emerged, guiding the industry toward enhancing communication protocols. Lessons learned, including the imperative for standardized phraseology and improved radio procedures post-Tenerife, underscore the industry's commitment to continuous improvement. The overarching theme of enhanced coordination, particularly between Traffic Collision Avoidance Systems (TCAS) and air traffic control, reflects a critical aspect of aviation safety. Additionally, the integration of technology, training, and proficiency remains paramount, as seen in efforts to leverage advancements and improve crew competencies.

References

- Alharasees, O., Jazzar, A., Kale, U., & Rohacs, D. (2023). Aviation communication: the effect of critical factors on the rate of misunderstandings. *Aircraft engineering and aerospace technology*, 95(3), 379-388.
- Barshi, I., & Farris, C. (2016). *Misunderstandings in ATC communication: Language, cognition, and experimental methodology*. Routledge.
- Borowska, A. (2013). *Shaping Cross-Cultural Awareness in Aviation English Communication*. FÓRUM ICAEA, 15.
- Bowers, C., Deaton, J., Oser, R., Prince, C., & Kolb, M. (2017). Impact of automation on aircrew communication and decision-making performance. In *Decision Making in Aviation* (pp. 295-318). Routledge.
- Brewer, E. C., & Holmes, T. L. (2016). Better communication= better teams: A communication exercise to improve team performance. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 59(3), 288-298.
- Burgoon, J. K. (1993). Interpersonal expectations, expectancy violations, and emotional communication. *Journal of language and social psychology*, 12(1-2), 30-48.
- Clampitt, P. G., & Downs, C. W. (1993). Employee perceptions of the relationship between communication and productivity: A field study. *The Journal of Business Communication* (1973), 30(1), 5-28.
- Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and psychological measurement*, 20(1), 37-46.
- Cookson, S. (2017). Culture in the cockpit: implications for CRM training. In *Advances in Cross-Cultural Decision Making: Proceedings of the AHFE 2016 International Conference on Cross-Cultural Decision Making (CCDM)*, July 27-31, 2016, Walt Disney World®, Florida, USA (pp. 119-131). Springer International Publishing.
- Craig, P. A. (1998). *Improving pilot decision-making in situations of high stakes, high stress, and time pressures*. Tennessee State University.
- Dayton, E., & Henriksen, K. (2007). Communication failure: basic components, contributing factors, and the call for structure. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 33(1), 34-47.
- Dökmen, Ü. (1998). *Sanatta ve günlük yaşamda iletişim çatışmaları ve empati*. İstanbul: Sistem Yayıncılık.
- Eğeci, İ. S., & Gençöz, T. (2006). Factors associated with relationship satisfaction: Importance of communication skills. *Contemporary family therapy*, 28, 383-391.
- Ekman, P. (1997). Expression or communication about emotion.
- Endsley, M. R. (1999). Situation awareness in aviation systems. *Handbook of aviation human factors*, 11, 257-276.
- Erturk, M. C., Jamal, H., & Matolak, D. W. (2019, September). Potential future aviation communication technologies. In *2019 IEEE/AIAA 38th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC)*(pp. 1-10). IEEE.
- Frydenberg, K., & Brekke, M. (2012). Poor communication on patients' medication across health care levels leads to potentially harmful medication errors. *Scandinavian journal of primary health care*, 30(4), 234-240.
- Hamzah, H., & Fei, W. F. (2018). Miscommunication in Pilot-controller Interaction. *3L: Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 24(4).

- Hellesy, O. (1995). Cockpit–cabin crew interaction: satisfaction with communication and information exchange. *change*, 66, 841-8.
- Helmreich, R. L. (2000). On error management: lessons from aviation. *Bmj*, 320(7237), 781-785.
- Helmreich, R. L., & Merritt, A. C. (2017, November). 11 Safety and error management: The role of crew resource management. In *Aviation Resource Management: Proceedings of the Fourth Australian Aviation Psychology Symposium Volume 1*. Routledge.
- İnan, T. T. (2018). Development of Crew Resource Management Concept in Civil Aviation. *Journal of Aviation*, 2(1), 45-55.
- Jacob, P., Sirigina, R. P., Madhukumar, A. S., & Prasad, V. A. (2016). Cognitive radio for aeronautical communications: A survey. *IEEE Access*, 4, 3417-3443.
- Jensen, R. S. (1989). *Aeronautical Decision Making: Cockpit Resource Management*. Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration.
- Kanki, B. G. (2019). Communication and crew resource management. In *Crew resource management* (pp. 103-137). Academic Press.
- Kharoufah, H., Murray, J., Baxter, G., & Wild, G. (2018). A review of human factors causations in commercial air transport accidents and incidents: From to 2000–2016. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 99, 1-13.
- Kolander, C. K. (2019). Flight and cabin crew teamwork: improving safety in aviation. In *Crew resource management* (pp. 407-420). Academic Press.
- Krieger, J. L. (2003). *Mindful Communication in a Crisis: Communication Behaviors of Shared Mindfulness and Effective Pilot Decision Outcomes in Crisis Situations*.
- Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology*. Sage publications.
- Leonhardt, J., & Vogt, M. J. (Eds.). (2012). *Critical incident stress management in aviation*. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd..
- Mazor, K. M., Simon, S. R., & Gurwitz, J. H. (2004). Communicating with patients about medical errors: a review of the literature. *Archives of internal medicine*, 164(15), 1690-1697.
- Mitchell, J. T. (2016). *Critical incident stress management in aviation: a strategic approach*. *Critical Incident Stress Management in Aviation*; Routledge: London, UK, 13-42.
- Mosier, K. L. (2002). Automation and cognition: Maintaining coherence in the electronic cockpit. In *Advances in human performance and cognitive engineering research* (pp. 93-121). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Naidoo, P. (2009). *Airline pilots' perceptions of advanced flight deck automation* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
- Nicotera, A. M. (1993). The importance of communication in interpersonal relationships. *Interpersonal communication in friend and mate relationships*, 3-12.
- Orasanu, J. M. (2017). 12 Shared problem models and flight crew performance. *Aviation psychology in practice*, 255.
- Orasanu, J., Fischer, U., & Davison, J. (1997). Cross-cultural barriers to effective communication in aviation. *Cross-cultural work groups*, 134-160.
- Perry, J. C. (2022). *Aviation Stress Management: An Effective Solution to Student Success* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Shukri, S. A., Millar, R. M., Gratton, G., & Garner, M. (2016, October). The potential risk of communication media in conveying critical information in the aircraft maintenance organisation: A case study. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 152, No. 1, p. 012044). IOP Publishing.

- Sillars, A., Canary, D. J., & Tafoya, M. (2003). Communication, conflict, and the quality of family relationships. In *The Routledge handbook of family communication* (pp. 437-470). Routledge.
- Stubbe, M. (2017). Miscommunication at work. *The Routledge handbook of language in the workplace*, 258-271.
- Sutcliffe, K. M., Lewton, E., & Rosenthal, M. M. (2004). Communication failures: an insidious contributor to medical mishaps. *Academic medicine*, 79(2), 186-194.
- Tavlin, L. (2019). *Aviation communication: Strategy and messages for ensuring success and preventing failures*. Routledge.
- Trkovsky, J. (2017). *Improved flight safety through effective communication among multicultural flight crew* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Yoo, H., Bartle-Haring, S., Day, R. D., & Gangamma, R. (2014). Couple communication, emotional and sexual intimacy, and relationship satisfaction. *Journal of sex & marital therapy*, 40(4), 275-293.