

<p>Dear Author,</p> <p>If your study is a scale development or adaptation study, please evaluate your manuscript according to the criteria listed below. It is expected that your study complies with the stated criteria or that you provide a justification for any criteria not met. Studies that do not comply with the necessary guidelines will be rejected by the editor without being considered for review.</p> <p><b>Please upload this completed form to the system as a supplementary file.</b></p>		
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
1. Does the article include sample items from the measurement tool?		
2. Has the full version of the instrument been submitted as a separate file for peer review?		
3. Is the construct intended to be measured clearly introduced in the introduction, based on the literature?		
4. If similar scales exist in the literature, are references provided, and are the differences and advantages of the new tool explained?		
5. Were the assumptions of the data analysis methods checked and reported?		
<b>Reliability Evidence</b>		
6. Were multiple reliability coefficients (e.g., Cronbach's Alpha, test-retest) reported?		
7. In multidimensional scales, was the composite reliability coefficient reported?		
<b>Validity Evidence</b>		
8. Was evidence of content validity provided?		
9. Was evidence of construct validity provided?		
10. Were hypothesis tests used in addition to factor analysis for construct validity?		
11. Was measurement invariance tested? (Optional)		
12. Was exploratory factor analysis (EFA) conducted and compared with the theoretical construct?		
13. Was a method other than principal component analysis used for factor extraction, with justification (e.g., ML, PAF)?		
14. Was the correlation matrix (e.g., tetrachoric, polychoric) reported in the manuscript or appendix?		
15. Was the number of factors determined using objective methods such as parallel analysis in addition to eigenvalues?		
16. Were factor loadings and contributions to common variance reported?		
17. Was the structure tested with confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) on a different sample than EFA?		
18. Were the assumptions of CFA tested?		
19. Were the estimation method and fit indices used in CFA explained?		
20. If model modifications were made to improve fit indices, were these modifications explained and justified?		
21. Were the software programs used (e.g., SPSS, Mplus, R) and their versions specified?		
<b>For Adaptation Studies – Additional Criteria</b>		
22. Was permission obtained from the original scale's authors and documented in the appendix?		
23. Was evidence provided to support the existence of the construct in the target culture?		
24. Was the preference for adaptation over developing a new scale justified?		
25. Was it tested with CFA that the original construct was retained?		
26. If items were modified (added/removed), was permission obtained from the original author?		