## Pairwise Semiregular Properties on Generalized Pairwise Regular-Lindelöf Spaces

Zabidin SALLEH<sup>1</sup> and Adem KILIÇMAN<sup>2</sup>

Abstract. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  its pairwise semiregularization. Then a bitopological property  $\mathcal{P}$  is called pairwise semiregular provided that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  has the same property. In this paper we study pairwise semiregular properties of a bitopological space. We prove that pairwise almost regular-Lindelöfness and pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöfness are pairwise semiregular properties.

**Keywords:** Bitopological space, pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf, pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf, pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf, (i, j)-semiregular property, pairwise semiregular property.

AMS Subject Classification: 54D20, 54E55

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Semiregular properties in topological spaces have been studied by many topologists. Some of them related to this research studied by Mrsevic et al. [11, 12], and Fawakhreh and Kılıçman [2]. The purpose of this paper is to study pairwise semiregular properties on generalized pairwise regular-Lindelöf spaces, that we have studied in [10, 8], namely, pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf, pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf and pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf spaces.

The main results are that the (i, j)-almost regular-Lindelöf, pairwise almost reglar-Lindelöf, (i, j)-weakly regular-Lindelöf and pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf spaces are pairwise semiregular properties. We also show that the (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf and pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf spaces are pairwise semiregular invariant properties.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Department of Mathematics, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia, E-Mail: zabidin@umt.edu.my

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, E-Mail: akilic@fsas.upm.edu.my

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  (or simply X) are always mean topological spaces and bitopological spaces, respectively. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a topological property, then  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\mathcal{P}$  denotes an analogue of this property for  $\tau_i$  has property  $\mathcal{P}$  with respect to  $\tau_j$ , and p- $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the conjunction  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\mathcal{P} \land (\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e., p- $\mathcal{P}$  denotes an absolute bitopological analogue of  $\mathcal{P}$ . As we shall see below, sometimes  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\mathcal{P} \iff (\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\mathcal{P}$ (and thus  $\iff p$ - $\mathcal{P}$ ) so that it sometimes suffices to consider one of these three bitopological analogue. Also sometimes  $\tau_1$ - $\mathcal{P} \iff \tau_2$ - $\mathcal{P}$  and thus  $\mathcal{P} \iff \tau_1$ - $\mathcal{P} \land \tau_2$ - $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $(X, \tau_i)$ has property  $\mathcal{P}$  for each i = 1, 2. Also note that  $(X, \tau_i)$  has a property  $\mathcal{P} \iff (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ has a property  $\tau_i$ - $\mathcal{P}$ .

Sometimes the prefixes  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - or  $\tau_i$ - will be replaced by (i, j)- or *i*- respectively, if there is no chance for confusion. By *i*-open cover of X, we mean that the cover of X by *i*-open sets in X; similar for the (i, j)-regular open cover of X etc. By *i*-int(A) and *i*-cl(A), we shall mean the interior and the closure of a subset A of X with respect to topology  $\tau_i$ , respectively. In this paper we always have  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . The reader may consult [1] for details of notation.

The following are some basic concepts.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. A subset F of X is said to be

(i) i-open if F is open with respect to  $\tau_i$  in X, and F is called open in X if it is both 1-open and 2-open in X, or equivalently,  $F \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  in X;

(ii) *i*-closed if F is closed with respect  $\tau_i$  in X, and F is called closed in X if it is both 1-closed and 2-closed in X, or equivalently,  $X \setminus F \in (\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$  in X.

**Definition 2.2.** [3, 5] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be *i*-Lindelöf if the topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$  is Lindelöf. X is called Lindelöf (or p-Lindelöf in [5]) if it is both 1-Lindelöf and 2-Lindelöf. Equivalently,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is Lindelöf if every *i*-open cover of X has a countable subcover for each i = 1, 2.

**Definition 2.3.** [4, 16] A subset S of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i, j)regular open (resp. (i, j)-regular closed) if i-int(j-cl(S)) = S (resp. i-cl(j-int(S)) = S),
and S is called pairwise regular open (resp. pairwise regular closed) if it is both (1, 2)regular open and (2, 1)-regular open (resp. (1, 2)-regular closed and (2, 1)-regular closed).

**Definition 2.4.** [4, 17] A bitopological space X is said to be (i, j)-almost regular if for each  $x \in X$  and for each (i, j)-regular open set V of X containing x, there is an (i, j)regular open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq j$ -cl $(U) \subseteq V$ . X is said to be pairwise almost regular if it is both (1, 2)-almost regular and (2, 1)-almost regular.

**Definition 2.5.** [13] The topology generated by the (i, j)-regular open subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is denoted by  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$  and it is called (i, j)-semiregularization of X. The bitopological space  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is called the pairwise semiregularization of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . If  $\tau_i \equiv \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ , then X is said to be (i, j)-semiregular.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise semiregular if it is both (1, 2)-semiregular and (2, 1)-semiregular, that is, whenever  $\tau_i \equiv \tau_{(i,j)}^s$  for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and  $i \neq j$ .

It is very clear that  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s \subseteq \tau_i$ , but it is not necessary  $\tau_i \subseteq \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ . For a better understanding, let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  be the family of all (1, 2)-regular open subsets of X and let  $\mathcal{B}_2$  be the family of all (2, 1)-regular open subsets of X. Since the intersection of two (i, j)-regular open subsets of X is (i, j)-regular open set, therefore  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  both generate topologies for  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ say  $\tau_{(1,2)}^s$  and  $\tau_{(2,1)}^s$  respectively. Thus with every given bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  there is an associated bitopological space  $(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s)$  in the manner described above. Note that, the space  $(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s)$  is always pairwise semiregular. Singal and Arya [16], proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semiregular, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . The converse of Theorem 2.1 is also true by the definitions.

**Theorem 2.2.** A bitopological space X is (i, j)-semiregular if and only if for each  $x \in X$ and for each *i*-open subset V of X containing x, there is an *i*-open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq i$ -int(j-cl $(U)) \subseteq V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an (i, j)-semiregular space, then  $\tau_i = \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ , i.e.,  $\tau_i$  is generated by (i, j)-regular open sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Suppose that  $x \in X$  and let V be an *i*-open set in

 $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  containing x. Since the family of (i, j)-regular open sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  forms a base for  $\tau_i$ , there exists an *i*-open sets U in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq i$ -int (j-cl  $(U)) \subseteq$ V. Conversely, assume the condition holds. Generally we have  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s \subseteq \tau_i$ . Suppose that  $p \in X$  and  $F_p \in \tau_i$  with  $p \in F_p$ . By hypothesis, there is an *i*-open set  $U_p$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $p \in U_p \subseteq i$ -int (j-cl  $(U_p)) \subseteq F_p$ . Hence the family  $\{i$ -int (j-cl  $(U_p)) : p \in X\}$  forms a base for  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$  which implies that  $F_p \in \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ . Therefore  $\tau_i \subseteq \tau_{(i,j)}^s$  and thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (i, j)-semiregular.

**Corollary 2.3.** A bitopological space X is pairwise semiregular if and only if for each  $x \in X$  and for each *i*-open subset V of X containing x, there is an *i*-open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq i\text{-int}(j\text{-}cl(U)) \subseteq V$  for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ .

Khedr and Alshibani [4] use Theorem 2.2 as a definition of (i, j)-semiregular spaces. If a bitopological space X has a bitopological property  $\mathcal{P}$  (see [6]), one may ask whether the pairwise semiregularization of X has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Now we introduce the concept of pairwise semiregular property.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  be its pairwise semiregularization. A bitopological property  $\mathcal{P}$  is called pairwise semiregular provided that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [13] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  its pairwise semiregularization. Then

(a)  $\tau_i \operatorname{-int}(C) = \tau_{(i,j)}^s \operatorname{-int}(C)$  for every  $\tau_j \operatorname{-closed}$  set C; (b)  $\tau_i \operatorname{-cl}(A) = \tau_{(i,j)}^s \operatorname{-cl}(A)$  for every  $A \in \tau_j$ ; (c) the family of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is the same as the family of  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -regular open sets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ ; (d) the family of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular closed sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is the same as the family of  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -regular closed sets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ ; (e)  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s\right)_{(i,j)}^s = \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ .

# 3. Pairwise Semiregularization of Generalized Pairwise Regular-Lindelöf Spaces

**Definition 3.1.** An *i*-open cover  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of a bitopological space X is said to be (i, j)-regular cover [8, 10] if for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , there exists a nonempty (j, i)-regular closed subset  $C_{\alpha}$  of X such that  $C_{\alpha} \subseteq U_{\alpha}$  and  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} i\text{-int}(C_{\alpha})$ . The  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is called pairwise regular cover if it is both (1, 2)-regular cover and (2, 1)-regular cover.

**Definition 3.2.** A bitopological space X is said to be (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf (resp. (i, j)-almost regular-Lindelöf [10], (i, j)-weakly regular-Lindelöf [8]) if for every (i, j)regular cover  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X, there exists a countable subset  $\{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} i$ -int(j-cl $(U_{\alpha_n}))$  (resp.  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j$ -cl $(U_{\alpha_n})$ , X = j-cl $(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_{\alpha_n}))$ ). X is called pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf (resp. pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf, pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf) if it is both (1, 2)-nearly regular-Lindelöf (resp. (1, 2)-almost regular-Lindelöf, (1, 2)-weakly regular-Lindelöf) and (2, 1)-nearly regular-Lindelöf (resp. (2, 1)-almost regular-Lindelöf, (2, 1)-weakly regular-Lindelöf).

Suppose that  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is an (i, j)-regular cover of a bitopological space X. If for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $U_{\alpha}$  is an (i, j)-regular open subset of X, then  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is called (i, j)regular cover of X by (i, j)-regular open subsets of X. By using this concept, we have the following theorem for the (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf spaces

**Theorem 3.1.** A bitopological space X is (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf if and only if every (i, j)-regular cover  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X by (i, j)-regular open subsets of X has a countable subcover.

Proof. Straightforward by the definitions.

**Corollary 3.2.** A bitopological space X is pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf if and only if every (i, j)-regular cover  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X by (i, j)-regular open subsets of X has a countable subcover for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ .

The following theorem and corollary proves that (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf property as well as pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf property is pairwise semiregular invariant property. We cannot say the (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf property or pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf property is pairwise semiregular property because we do not know yet whether

the (i, j)-nearly regular-Lindelöf property and pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf property is bitopological property or not.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf and let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -regular cover of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  by  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -regular open subsets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . By Lemma 2.4(c),  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is also a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ regular open subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  -nearly regular -Lindelöf,  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  has a countable subcover. It follows by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 3.1,  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ - nearly regular -Lindelöf. Conversely suppose that  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ - nearly regular open subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Lemma 2.4(c) implies that  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is also a  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -regular cover of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  by  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ regular open subsets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . Since  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  by  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ regular open subsets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . Since  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  by  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ nearly regular cover of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  by  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ regular open subsets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . Since  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  by  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ nearly regular-Lindelöf,  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  has a countable subcover. It follows by Lemma 2.4(c) and Theorem 3.1,  $\left(X, \tau_1, \tau_2\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_i, \tau_j\right)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf. □

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -Lindelöf.

Proof. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf and let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -open cover of  $(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s)$ . For each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in U_{\alpha_x}$  and since for each  $\alpha_x \in \Delta, U_{\alpha_x} \in \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ , there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set  $V_{\alpha_x}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $x \in V_{\alpha_x} \subseteq U_{\alpha_x}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular, there is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set  $C_{\alpha_x}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $x \in C_{\alpha_x} \subseteq \tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x}) \subseteq V_{\alpha_x}$ . Hence  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_{\alpha_x} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} \tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x}) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} \tau_i$ -int  $(\tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x}))$ , the family  $\{V_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\}$  forms a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subset of X. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf, there exists a countable subset of points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots$ 

of X such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{\alpha_{x_n}} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{\alpha_{x_n}}$ . This shows that  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -Lindelöf. Conversely, let  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  be a  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -Lindelöf and let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . By Lemma 2.4(c), each  $U_\alpha$  is also a  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -regular open which is also  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -open subsets of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . Since  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -Lindelöf,  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  has a countable subcover. It follows by Lemma 2.4(c) and Theorem 3.1 that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -nearly regular-Lindelöf.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise almost regular space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s)$  is Lindelöf.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -almost regular-Lindelöf.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, thus we choose to omit the details.  $\hfill \square$ 

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf.

Note that, the (i, j)-almost regular-Lindelöf property and the pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf property are bitopological properties (see [14, 15]). Utilizing this fact, Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, we easily obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** The (i, j)-almost regular-Lindelöf property and the pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf property are pairwise semiregular properties.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -weakly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -weakly regular-Lindelöf.

Proof. The proof is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 by using the fact that

$$\tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \operatorname{-cl}\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\tau_{i}\operatorname{-int}\left(\tau_{j}\operatorname{-cl}\left(V_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)\right) = \tau_{j}\operatorname{-cl}\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\tau_{i}\operatorname{-int}\left(\tau_{j}\operatorname{-cl}\left(V_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)\right)$$
$$\subseteq \tau_{j}\operatorname{-cl}\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\tau_{j}\operatorname{-cl}\left(V_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)$$
$$\subseteq \tau_{j}\operatorname{-cl}\left(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}V_{\alpha_{n}}\right).$$

Thus we choose to omit the details.

**Corollary 3.11.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf.

Note that, the (i, j)-weakly regular-Lindelöf property and the pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf property are bitopological properties (see [14, 15]). Utilizing this fact, Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.11, we easily obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.12.** The (i, j)-weakly regular-Lindelöf property and the pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf property are pairwise semiregular properties.

**Definition 3.3.** A bitopological space X is said to be (i, j)-almost Lindelöf [7] (resp. (i, j)weakly Lindelöf [9]) if for every i-open cover  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of X, there exists a countable subset  $\{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Delta$  such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j \cdot cl(U_{\alpha_n}) \ \left( resp. \ X = j \cdot cl\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_{\alpha_n})\right) \right).$$

X is called pairwise almost Lindelöf (resp. pairwise weakly Lindelöf) if it is both (1,2)almost Lindelöf and (2,1)-almost Lindelöf (resp. (1,2)-weakly Lindelöf and (2,1)-weakly Lindelöf).

**Proposition 3.13.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular space. Then: (i)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -almost Lindelöf. (ii)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -weakly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is  $\left(\tau_{(i,j)}^s, \tau_{(j,i)}^s\right)$ -weakly Lindelöf.

Proof. The proof of each part is quite similar. We choose to prove only part (i). Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular-Lindelöf and let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s$ -open cover of  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$ . Since  $\tau_{(i,j)}^s \subseteq \tau_i$ ,  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular-Lindelöf space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . For each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in U_{\alpha_x}$  and since for each  $\alpha_x \in \Delta, U_{\alpha_x} \in \tau_{(i,j)}^s$ , there exists a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set  $V_{\alpha_x}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $x \in V_{\alpha_x} \subseteq U_{\alpha_x}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -almost regular, there is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set  $C_{\alpha_x}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $x \in \Delta$ , there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular closed set  $\tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x}) \subseteq V_{\alpha_x}$ . Since for each  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$ , there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular closed set  $\tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x})$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x}) \subseteq V_{\alpha_x}$  and  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_{\alpha_x} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \tau_i$ -int  $(\tau_j$ -cl  $(C_{\alpha_x}))$ , the family  $\{V_{\alpha_x} : x \in X\}$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular cover of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence there exists a countable subset of points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots$  of X such that  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j$ -cl  $(V_{\alpha_{x_n}})$ . By Lemma 2.4(b),

 $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \operatorname{-cl} \left( V_{\alpha_{x_n}} \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \operatorname{-cl} \left( U_{\alpha_{x_n}} \right). \text{ This shows that } \left( X, \tau_{(1,2)}^{s}, \tau_{(2,1)}^{s} \right) \text{ is } \left( \tau_{(i,j)}^{s}, \tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \right) \operatorname{-almost Lindelöf. Conversely, let } \left( X, \tau_{(1,2)}^{s}, \tau_{(2,1)}^{s} \right) \text{ be a } \left( \tau_{(i,j)}^{s}, \tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \right) \operatorname{-almost Lindelöf and let } \left\{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta \right\} \text{ be a } (\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}) \operatorname{-regular cover of } (X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}). \text{ Since } U_{\alpha} \subseteq \tau_{i} \operatorname{-int} (\tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (U_{\alpha})) \text{ and } \tau_{i} \operatorname{-int} (\tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (U_{\alpha})) \in \tau_{(i,j)}^{s}, \{\tau_{i} \operatorname{-int} (\tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (U_{\alpha})) : \alpha \in \Delta \} \text{ is } \tau_{(i,j)}^{s} \operatorname{-open cover } \text{ of the } \left( \tau_{(i,j)}^{s}, \tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \right) \operatorname{-almost Lindelöf space } \left( X, \tau_{(1,2)}^{s}, \tau_{(2,1)}^{s} \right). \text{ Then there exists a countable } \text{ subset } \{\alpha_{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ of } \Delta \text{ such that } X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{(j,i)}^{s} \operatorname{-cl} (\tau_{i} \operatorname{-int} (\tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (U_{\alpha_{n}}))). \text{ By Lemma } 2.4(\text{b}), \text{ we have } X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (\tau_{i} \operatorname{-int} (\tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (U_{\alpha_{n}}))) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{j} \operatorname{-cl} (U_{\alpha_{n}}). \text{ This implies that } (X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) \text{ is } (\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}) \operatorname{-almost regular-Lindelöf.} \square$ 

**Corollary 3.14.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise almost regular space. Then:

(i)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is pairwise almost Lindelöf.

(ii)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf if and only if  $\left(X, \tau_{(1,2)}^s, \tau_{(2,1)}^s\right)$  is pairwise weakly Lindelöf.

#### References

- B. P. Dvalishvili, Bitopological Spaces: Theory, relations with generalized algebraic structures, and applications, North-Holland Math. Stud. 199, Elsevier, 2005.
- [2] A. J. Fawakhreh and A. Kılıçman, Semiregular properties and generalized Lindelöf spaces, *Mat. Vesnik* 56 (2004), 77-80.
- [3] Ali A. Fora and Hasan Z. Hdeib, On pairwise Lindelöf spaces, Rev. Colombiana Mat. 17 (1983) no. 1-2, 37-57.
- [4] F. H. Khedr and A. M. Alshibani, On pairwise super continuous mappings in bitopological spaces, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., 14 (4) (1991), 715-722.
- [5] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, On pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces, *Topology Appl.* 154 (8) (2007), 1600-1607.
- [6] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Mappings and pairwise continuity on pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces, Albanian J. Math., 1(2) (2007), 115-120.
- [7] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Pairwise almost Lindelöf bitopological spaces II, Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 1(2) (2007), 227-238.
- [8] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal., Volume 2008, Article ID 184243, 13 pages, doi:10.1155/2008/184243.
- [9] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, On the pairwise weakly Lindelöf bitopological spaces, arXiv:0901.4405v1 [math.GN], 28 Jan 2009, 14 pages.
- [10] A. Kılıçman and Z. Salleh, Pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf spaces, Sci. Math. Jpn. 70 (2009), 285-298.
- [11] M. Mršević, I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, On semi-regularization topologies, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A) 38 (1985), 40-54.
- [12] M. Mršević, I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, On nearly Lindelöf spaces, Glasnik Math., 21 (41) (1986), 407-414.
- [13] Z. Salleh and A. Kılıçman, Pairwise semiregular properties and generalized pairwise Lindelöf spaces, Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> IMT-GT Regional Conference on Mathematics, Statistics and Their Applications, Universiti Sains Malaysia, The Gurney Hotel, Penang, Dec. 4-5, 2007, 106-113. ISBN: 978-983-3986-25-5.

- [14] Z. Salleh, Generalizations of Lindelöf properties in bitopological spaces, PhD Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia (2008).
- [15] Z. Salleh and A. Kılıçman, Mappings on generalizations of pairwise regular-Lindelöf spaces, (Submitted).
- [16] A. R. Singal and S. P. Arya, On pairwise almost regular spaces, *Glasnik Math.*, 6(26) (1971), 335-343.
- [17] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, Some more separation axioms in bitopological spaces, Ann. Son. Sci. Bruxelles., 84 (1970), 207-230.

### Zabidin SALLEH

Department of Mathematics, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia, E-Mail: zabidin@umt.edu.my

## Adem KILIÇMAN

Department of Mathematics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, E-Mail: akilic@fsas.upm.edu.my