

SEMI-STRONG U NUMBERS IN THE P-ADIC FIELD Q_p (*)

Hülya DURU

Abstract. *In this paper, by adjusting Aluaçik's article [1] to the p-adic numbers field, Q_p , we have obtained some uncountable subfields of Q_p .*

Introduction. For the convenience of the reader we shall briefly recall Koksma's well known classification [2] for the p-adic numbers, which was introduced by Schlikewei [3].

For an algebraic number α , define the height $H(\alpha)$ as the height of the minimal polynomial of α , say $P(x) \in Z[x]$, where the P is supposed to be normalized, such that, its coefficients are relatively prime.

For a p-adic number ξ in Q_p and a natural number n put

$$w_n^*(H, \xi) = \min_{\substack{\deg \alpha \leq n \\ H(\alpha) \leq H \\ \alpha \neq \xi}} |\xi - \alpha|_p$$

furthermore

$$w_n^*(\xi) = \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(-\frac{\log w_n^*(H, \xi)}{\log H} \right)$$

and

$$w^*(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{w_n^*(\xi)}{n}.$$

Define $\mu^*(\xi)$ as being the smallest n , such that $w_n^*(\xi) = \infty$, if such an n exists. Otherwise put $\mu^*(\xi) = \infty$. Now call a p-adic number ξ a

(*)This is an English translation of the main part of the author's Ph.D. Thesis done at Istanbul University under the supervisor of Prof. Dr. Kamil Aluaçik in 1996.

- S^* - number if $0 < w^*(\xi) < \infty$ and $\mu^*(\xi) = \infty$,
- T^* - number if $w^*(\xi) = \infty$ and $\mu^*(\xi) = \infty$,
- U^* - number if $w^*(\xi) = \infty$ and $\mu^*(\xi) < \infty$.

Every S^* -, T^* -, U^* -number is a S -, T -, U -number respectively. Moreover in [4] Long has proved that Mahler's subclasses U_m are equal to the Koksma's subclasses U_m^* .

For the proof of the main results we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let α, β be two p -adic algebraic numbers with different minimal polynomials. Then, for $|\alpha - \beta|_p = p^{-h}$ and $r = \min\{0, h\}$,

$$|\alpha - \beta|_p > \frac{c_1}{H(\alpha)^M H(\beta)^M},$$

where $M > \max\{\deg \alpha, \deg \beta\}$ and $c_1 = \frac{p^{M+1} - 1}{(2M)^M}$ (Schlikewei [3]).

Lemma 2. Let $P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in Z[x]$. If α is a root of P then

$$|\alpha - \beta|_p > \frac{1}{H(P)} \text{ (Morrison [5])}.$$

Lemma 3. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ ($k \geq 1$) be algebraic numbers in \mathbb{Q}_p with $Q[(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k); Q] = q$ and let $F(y, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients, whose degree in y is at least one. If η is an algebraic number such that $F(\eta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = 0$, then the degree of $\eta \leq dq$ and

$$h_\eta \leq 3^{2dq} (d_1 \dots d_k) q H_{\alpha_1}^{l_1 q} \dots H_{\alpha_k}^{l_k q}$$

where h_η is the height of η , d_i is the height of α_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$), H is the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of F , l_i is the degree of F in x_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and d is the degree of F in y . (O.S.ÍÇEN [6])

The first main result of one of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of p -adic algebraic numbers with

(1) $\deg \alpha_i = m_i \leq l$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(\alpha_i) = \infty$, ($k > 0$ constant),

(2) $0 < |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p = \frac{1}{H(\alpha_i)^{w_i}}$, where $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_i = \infty$,

(3) $|\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p < \frac{1}{H(\alpha_i)^\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i \in U_m^*$, where $m = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i$.

Proof. It follows from lemma 2 and hypothesis (2) that consecutively α_i 's cannot be conjugates and if i is sufficiently large, $|\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p = |\alpha_i|_p$. Hence by putting $|\alpha_i|_p = p^{-h}$ ($h \in \mathbb{Z}$) and $l = \min\{0, h\}$ and using lemma 1, we get

$$(4) \frac{c_0}{H(\alpha_i)^k H(\alpha_{i+1})^{k-1}} < |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p$$

where $c_0 = \frac{p^{(k-1)l + k(b+1)}}{(2k)^k}$.

Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p = 0$, the sequence $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{Q}_p and so $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i = \xi$ exists. Let's show that $\xi \in U_m^*$. First we shall prove that, for sufficiently large i and $s > i$, $|\alpha_s - \alpha_i|_p = |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p$. Indeed, combining (4) and (2) and using both the facts that $H(\alpha_i) \rightarrow \infty$ and $w_i \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$(5) H(\alpha_i)^{\frac{w_i}{2}} < H(\alpha_{i+1})^k$$

and from this

$$(6) H(\alpha_i)^{w_i} < H(\alpha_i)^{w_{i+1}} \quad (i \text{ large enough}).$$

Combining the relations (2) and (6) we get

$$|\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p > |\alpha_{i+t} - \alpha_{i+t+1}|_p \quad \text{for each } t = 2, \dots, s-i.$$

Hence

$$(7) |\alpha_s - \alpha_i|_p = |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p = \frac{1}{H(\alpha_i)^{w_i}} \quad (i \text{ large and } s > i)$$

Since $\alpha_i \rightarrow \xi$, for sufficiently large i there is a $s > i$ such that

$$|\xi - \alpha_s|_p < H(\alpha_i)^{-w_{i+1}}.$$

Therefore a combination of (6), (7) and (8) together with the equalities,

$$|\xi - \alpha_i|_p = \max\{|\xi - \alpha_s|_p, |\alpha_s - \alpha_i|_p\} = |\alpha_i - \alpha_s|_p = H(\alpha_i)^{-w_i},$$

gives us

$$(9) |\xi - \alpha_i|_p = H(\alpha_i)^{-w_i}, \quad (\text{for } i \text{ large}).$$

On the other hand, since $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i = m$, we have a subsequence $(\alpha_{i_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{i_k} = m$. Hence for sufficiently large k , $\deg \alpha_{i_k} = m$. Hence, using (9) we get $|\xi - \alpha_{i_k}|_p = H(\alpha_{i_k})^{-w_{i_k}}$, which shows that $\mu^*(\xi) \leq m$.

We shall complete the proof by showing the opposite inequality $\mu^*(\xi) \geq m$. For this we shall distinguish two cases according to $m = 1$ or $m > 1$. In

the case $m = 1$, by definition of $\mu^*(\xi)$, we have $\mu^*(\xi) \geq 1$. So together with $\mu^*(\xi) \leq m = 1$, we obtain $\mu^*(\xi) = 1$.

Now suppose that $m > 1$. Let β be a p-adic number of degree $< m$. Since $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i = m$, $\deg \alpha_i \geq m$ for sufficiently large i . Applying lemma 1, we get

$$(10) \quad |\beta - \alpha_i|_p > c_1 H(\alpha_i)^{-(k-1)}, H(\beta)^{-k} \quad (i \text{ large}).$$

On the other hand, as $w_i \rightarrow \infty$, for sufficiently large i , we have

$$(11) \quad w_i > \frac{2k(k+\delta)}{\delta}$$

Now suppose that the p-adic algebraic number β satisfies the condition.

$$(12) \quad H(\beta) > \max\{H(\alpha_{i_0}), \frac{1}{c_1}\},$$

where i_0 is a sufficiently large, fixed index. It is clear that there exists a natural number $i \geq i_0$ such that, for every p-adic algebraic number satisfying (12), we have

$$(13) \quad H(\alpha_i) \leq H(\beta) \leq H(\alpha_{i+1}).$$

Taking into account (5), (11) and (13) we can have only one of the following cases:

$$(I) \quad H(\alpha_i) \leq H(\beta) \leq H(\alpha_{i+1})^{\frac{\delta}{2k}}$$

(14) or

$$(II) \quad H(\alpha_{i+1})^{\frac{\delta}{2k}} \leq H(\beta) \leq H(\alpha_{i+1})$$

Suppose that the first relation in(14) holds. Then a combination of(2), (3), (9), (10), (12) and (14) gives us

$$(15) \quad |\beta - \alpha_i|_p > H(\beta)^{-2k} > H(\alpha_{i+1})^{-\delta} > H(\alpha_i)^{-w_i} = |\xi - \alpha_i|_p.$$

Furthermore, using the equation $|\xi - \beta|_p = \max\{|\xi - \alpha_i|_p, |\beta - \alpha_i|_p\}$, we get

$$(16) \quad |\xi - \beta|_p > H(\alpha_i)^{-2k} \quad (\text{for large } i).$$

If the second relation in(14) holds, then using the relations (9), (10), (11), (12), we get

$$|\xi - \alpha_{i+1}|_p = H(\alpha_{i+1})^{-w_{i+1}} < H(\beta)^{\frac{2k(k+b)}{\delta}} < H(\beta)^{\frac{2k}{\delta} - k^2} < |\alpha_{i+1} - \beta|_p$$

so that

$$(17) \quad |\xi - \beta|_p = |\alpha_{i+1} - \beta|_p > H(\beta)^{\frac{2k^2}{\delta} - k}.$$

As the exponent of $H(\beta)$ on the right hand side of (17) is greater than that of (16), (17) is verified for all p-adic algebraic numbers of degree at most $m - 1$ and height greater than $\max\{H(\alpha_{i_0}), \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\}$. This shows us that $\mu^*(\xi) \geq m$. This result together with the inequality $\mu^*(\xi) \leq m$ imply that $\mu^*(\xi) = m$ also in case $m > 1$, as well. Hence $\xi \in U_m$, and this completes the proof.

Definition 1. Given a U number ξ in Q_p . If there is a sequence (α_i) of p-adic algebraic numbers satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and 3 of Theorem 1, then we say that " $\xi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i$ is an irregular semi-strong U -number."

In Theorem 1 we have seen that if $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i = m$, then $\xi \in U_m$.

In the sequel U^{is} and U_m^{is} will denote the set of all irregular semi-strong U -, U_m - numbers.

Example. If p is a prime number and α is a p-adic algebraic number of degree m , then

$$\kappa = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{ni}$$

is in U_m^{is} .

By defining $\alpha_n = \alpha + p^{n1} + \dots + p^{nn}$, one can show that $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.

Definition 2. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly increasing sequence in natural numbers satisfying $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log x_{i+1}}{\log x_i} = \infty$ and $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be another natural numbers sequence. We say that "the sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is comparable with $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ " if there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and positive real numbers k_1, k_2 such that

$$x_{n_i}^{k_1} < a_i \leq x_{n_i}^{k_2} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as above definition. Then the set,

$$F = \left\{ \xi \in U_m^{is} : (H(\alpha_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is comparable with } (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \text{ where } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i = \xi, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

A

is a subfield of continuum cardinality of Q_p . Here A denotes the set of p-adic algebraic numbers.

Proof. Let $y_1, y_2 \in F$. Assume that $y_1 \in U_r^{ps}, y_2 \in U_l^{is}$. Then there are positive numbers $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \rho_1, \rho_2$ and sequences of algebraic numbers $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($\deg \alpha_i \leq l, \deg \beta_i \leq l$ where $l \geq \max\{r, l\}$) such that

$$(A) \quad 0 < |\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i|_p = \frac{1}{H(\alpha_i)^{\rho_1}} < \frac{1}{H(\alpha_{i+1})^{\rho_1}}, \text{ where } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_i = \infty, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(\alpha_i) = \infty$$

$$(B) \quad 0 < |\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i|_p = \frac{1}{H(\beta_i)^{\rho_2}} < \frac{1}{H(\beta_{i+1})^{\rho_2}}, \text{ where } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i = \infty, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(\beta_i) = \infty$$

and the subsequences $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (x_{m_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$(C) \quad x_{n_i}^{s_1} < H(\alpha_i) \leq x_{n_i}^{s_2} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(D) \quad x_{m_i}^{s_3} < H(\beta_i) \leq x_{m_i}^{s_4} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let $(x_r)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote the monotonic union sequence formed from $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (x_{m_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Assume that $x_{r_{i_0}} > \max\{H(\alpha_i), H(\beta_i)\}$. We shall introduce positive integers $j(i), l(i)$ and then p-adic algebraic numbers δ_i as follows. For $i \geq i_0$,

$$n_{j(i)} = \max\{n_\nu : n_\nu \leq r_i\}$$

(18)

$$m_{l(i)} = \max\{m_\nu : m_\nu \leq r_i\}$$

$$\delta_i = \alpha_{j(i)} + \beta_{l(i)}.$$

Now consider the set $B = \{\delta_i : i \geq i_0\}$. If B contains only finitely many p-adic algebraic numbers then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i = y_1 + y_2 \in B$. Hence $y_1 + y_2$ is a p-adic algebraic number i.e.e $y_1 + y_2 \in F$.

Hence we suppose that B contains infinitely many p-adic algebraic numbers. In this case, we define a subsequence $(\delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows.

$$(19) \quad \text{If } \delta_{i_{k+1}} = \delta_{i_k+s} \text{ then } \delta_{i_k} = \delta_{i_k+1} = \dots = \delta_{i_k+s-1} \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, i_{k+1} - i_k - 1, i_{k+1} - i_k)$$

Now by using lemma 3.(18), (C) and (D), we have

$$H(\delta_{i_k}) < 3^{4l^2} x_{r_{i_k}}^{l^2(s_2+s_4)}. \text{ Since } x_{r_{i_k}} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for large } k \text{ we get}$$

$$(20)_k \quad H(\delta_{i_k}) < x_{r_{i_k}}^{s_5} \quad \text{where } s_5 = l^2(s_2 + s_4).$$

On the other hand, from the definitions of $j(i)$ and $t(i)$, we see that

$$(21) \quad 0 \leq j(i_{k+1}) - j(i_{k+1} - 1) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq t(i_{k+1}) - t(i_{k+1} - 1) \leq 1.$$

Moreover, from the definition of $(\delta_{i_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, one can see easily that the numbers in (21) cannot be zero at the same time, iff the numbers are both different from zero, then a combination of (A), (B), (C), (19) and (21) gives us

$$(22) \quad |\delta_{i_{k+1}} - \delta_{i_k}|_p = |\delta_{i_{k+1}} - \delta_{i_{k+1}-1}|_p \leq \max\{|\alpha_{j(i_{k+1})} - \alpha_{j(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p, |\beta_{t(i_{k+1})} - \beta_{t(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p\} < \max\{(H(\alpha_{j(i_{k+1}-1)+1}))^{-\rho_1}, (H(\beta_{t(i_{k+1}-1)+1}))^{-\rho_2}\} = \frac{1}{x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}^\rho}.$$

where $\rho = \min\{s_1\rho_1, s_3\rho_2\}$. Hence using the relations $(20)_{k+1}$ and (22) and putting $\nu_{i_k} = \frac{\rho \log x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}}{s_5 \log x_{r_{i_k}}}$, we get

$$(23) \quad |\delta_{i_{k+1}} - \delta_{i_k}|_p < H(\delta_{i_k})^{-\nu_{i_k}} \quad (k \text{ large}).$$

Let's show that $H(\delta_{i_k}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, by using lemma 1, (22) and the hypothesis $\frac{\log x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}}{\log x_{r_{i_k}}} \rightarrow \infty$, for sufficiently large k , we have $x_{r_{i_k}}^{\frac{\rho}{3l^2}} < H(\delta_{i_{k+1}})$. Thus, since the limit of the left side of the last relation is infinity, we get $H(\delta_{i_k}) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by Theorem 1, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{i_k} = y_1 + y_2 \in U_m^*$ (for some $m \leq l^2$).

Now we show that $(H(\delta_{i_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is comparable with $(x_{r_{i_k}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. To this end, first remark that, for sufficiently large k , the sequence $(H(\delta_{i_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly increasing and then by using lemma 1 and (22) we get

$$(24) \quad c_2 H(\delta_{i_k})^{-l^2} H(\delta_{i_{k+1}})^{-l^2} < |\delta_{i_{k+1}} - \delta_{i_k}|_p < x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}^{-\rho}.$$

Since $H(\delta_{i_k})$ is strictly increasing and $H(\delta_{i_k}) \rightarrow \infty$, It follows from (24),

$$x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}^{\frac{\rho}{3l^2}} < H(\delta_{i_{k+1}}).$$

Hence a combining the last relation above and $(20)_{k+1}$, for large k , gives us

$$x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}^{\frac{\rho}{3l^2}} < H(\delta_{i_{k+1}}) < x_{r_{i_{k+1}}}^{s_5}.$$

Hence $y_1 + y_2 \in F$.

Now we show that the product $y_1 y_2$ is in F . To show this we shall approximate $y_1 y_2$ by algebraic numbers δ_k^j as defined as

$$(25) \delta_i^{\dagger} = \alpha_{j(i)} \beta_{l(i)} \quad (i \geq i_0).$$

If $B = \{i : i \geq i_0\}$ contains only finitely many p-adic algebraic numbers, then it is closed. Therefore by (25) we have $y_1 y_2 \in A \subset F$. If B is not finite, we can choose a subsequence $(\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(\delta_i^{\dagger})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as follows.

If $\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger} = \delta_{i_k+s}^{\dagger}$ then $\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger} = \delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger} = \dots = \delta_{i_{k+s-1}}^{\dagger}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, i_{k+1} - i_k - 1, i_{k+1} - i_k$)

Now using (18), (C) and (22) we obtain

$$(26) \quad |\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger} - \delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}|_p = |\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger} - \delta_{i_{k+1}-1}^{\dagger}|_p \leq \max\{|\alpha_{j(i_{k+1})} - \alpha_{j(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p \cdot |\beta_{l(i_{k+1})}|_p, |\beta_{l(i_{k+1})} - \beta_{l(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p \cdot |\alpha_{j(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p\} \leq M \max\{|\alpha_{j(i_{k+1})} - \alpha_{j(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p, |\beta_{l(i_{k+1})} - \beta_{l(i_{k+1}-1)}|_p\},$$

where $M = \max\{1, |y_1|_p, |y_2|_p\}$. On the other hand, using an argument similar the one used in the previous steps, we obtain

$$(27) \quad H(\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}) < x_{i_k}^{3l^2} \quad (\text{for } k \text{ large}).$$

Hence a combination of (26) and (27) gives us

$$|\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger} - \delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}|_p < H(\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger})^{\frac{3}{6l^2}} \quad (\text{for } k \text{ large}).$$

Moreover, using the same arguments that we have used to get (23), we obtain

$$|\delta_{i_{k+1}}^{\dagger} - \delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}|_p < H(\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger})^{\frac{-\nu_{i_k}}{2}} \quad (\text{for } k \text{ large}),$$

which shows that $y_1 y_2$ is in U_m^{is} for some $m \leq l^2$. Next, as we have shown for $(H(\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, one can easily show that respectively, $H(\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}) \rightarrow \infty$ and $(H(\delta_{i_k}^{\dagger}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is comparable with $(x_{r_{i_k}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and so $y_1 y_2 \in F$.

Finally let $\alpha \in A$ and $y_1 \in F - A$. Then using an similar argument to the one used to prove that $\alpha + y_1 \in F$ and approximating $\alpha y_1, \alpha + y_1$ by $(\alpha \alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (\alpha + \alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively, one shows that $\alpha y_1, \alpha + y_1 \in F$.

References

- [1] K. Aluaçik, On semi-strong U-numbers, Acta Arithmetica, LX, 4, (1992), 349-358.
- [2] J. F Koksma, Über die Mahlersche Klasseneinteilung der Transzendenten Zahlen und die Approximation Komplexer Zahlen Durch Algebraische Zahlen. Mh. Math. Phys. 48, (1939), 176-189.
- [3] H.P.Schlickewei, P-Adic T-Numbers Do Exist, Acta Arithmetica, XXXIX. (1981), 181-191.
- [4] X. Xin Long, Mahler's Classification of P-Adic Numbers, Pure Apply. Math. 5, (1989), 73-80.
- [5] J. F. Morrison, Approximation of p-Adic Numbers by Algebraic Numbers of Bounded Degree, Journal of Number Theory 10, (1978), 334-350 .
- [6] O.Ş.İçen, Über die Functionwerte der p-Adisch Elliptischen Functionen I und II, İstanbul Üniv, Fen Fak, Mecm. Ser, A, 38, (1973), 25-35.
- [7] E. Maillet, Théorie des nombres transcendants (Paris, 1906).

Hülya DURU
Istanbul university
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
34459 Vezneciler- Istanbul