İstanbul Üniv. Fen. Fak. Mat. Der. 60 (2001), 73-83

# On the decomposition of curvature tensor in recurrent conformal Finsler space

### S.P. Singh and J.K. Gatoto

M.S. Knebelman [1]1) has developed conformal geometry of generalised metric spaces. The projective tensor and curvature tensors in conformal Finsler spaces were discussed by R.B.Misra [3,4]. M.Gama [6] has decomposed recurrent curvature tensor in an areal space of submetric class. The decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor in Finsler space was studied by B.B.Sinha and S.P.Singh [5]. The purpose of the present paper is to decompose the recurrent conformal curvature tensor and study the properties of conformal decomposition tensors.

#### 1. Introduction

Let us consider an n-dimensional Finsler space  $F_n$  in which two distinct metric functions are represented by  $F(x, \dot{x})$  and  $\overline{F}(x, \dot{x})$ . Then the corresponding metric tensors  $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$  and  $\overline{g}_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$  are called conformal if they are proportional to each other. These quantities are related as under:  $(1,1) \quad (x, \overline{F}(x, \dot{x}) = e^{\sigma} F(x, \dot{x})) = e^{\sigma} g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) = e^{2\sigma} g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ 

(1.1) (a) 
$$F(x,\dot{x}) = e^{\sigma} F(x,\dot{x})$$
 (b)  $g_{ij}(x,x) = e^{-\sigma} g_{ij}(x,x)$   
(c)  $\overline{g}^{ij}(x,\dot{x}) = e^{-2\sigma} g^{ij}(x,\dot{x})$ ,

where  $\sigma = \sigma(x)$  is at most a point function as shown by Knebelman [1]. The space equiped with quantities  $\overline{F}(x, \dot{x}), \overline{g}(x, \dot{x})$  etc is called a conformal Finsler space usually denoted by  $\overline{F}_n$ 

2)  $\partial_j = \partial_{\partial x^j}$  and  $\dot{\partial}_j = \partial_{\partial \dot{x}^j}$ 

<sup>1)</sup> The numbers in brackets refer to the references given at the end of the paper

In a Finsler space  $F_n$  with entities  $F(x, \dot{x}), g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$  etc, the covariant derivatives of a vector  $T^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $x^j$  in the sense of Cartan and Berwald are given by [2].

(1.2) 
$$T^{i}_{|j} \stackrel{def}{=} \partial_{j} T^{i} - (\dot{\partial}_{m} T^{i}) G^{m}_{j} + T^{m} \Gamma^{*i}_{mj} {}^{2}$$

and

(1.3) 
$$T_{(j)}^{i} \stackrel{adj}{=} \partial_{j} T^{i} - \left(\dot{\partial}_{m} T^{i}\right) G_{j}^{m} + T^{m} G_{m j}^{i}$$

where

(1.4) 
$$G_{j}^{i}\left(x,\dot{x}\right) = G_{mj}^{i}\left(x,\dot{x}\right)\dot{x}^{m} = \Gamma_{mj}^{*i}\left(x,\dot{x}\right)\dot{x}^{m}$$

The connection coefficients are homogeneous functions of degree zero in  $\dot{x}^{i}$ . Considering quantities

 $U_{jk}^{l}(x,\dot{x}) = 2\sigma_{(j}\delta_{k)}^{l} - \sigma_{m}^{l} \left\{ g^{lm}g_{jk} - 2C_{r(j}^{l}\partial_{k)}B^{rm} + g^{lr}C_{jks}\partial_{r}B^{sm} \right\},$ where  $C_{mj}^{l}(x,\dot{x}) = g^{lh}C_{mhj}(x,\dot{x})$ , one can have conformal connection coefficients:

(1.6) 
$$\overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^{*i}(x,\dot{x}) = \Gamma_{jk}^{*i}(x,\dot{x}) + U_{jk}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) ,$$
  
(1.7) 
$$\overline{G}_{jk}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) = G_{jk}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) - \sigma_{m}\partial_{j}\partial_{k}B^{im} ,$$

where the functions  $B^{im}(x, \dot{x})$  are homogeneous of degree two in  $\dot{x}^i$ . The variation in  $G^i_{jkh}(x, \dot{x})$  under the conformal change (1.1) is given by

(1.8) 
$$\overline{G}_{j'kh}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) = G_{jkh}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) - \sigma_{m}\dot{\partial}_{j}\dot{\partial}_{k}\dot{\partial}_{h}B^{im} ,$$
$$\overline{G}_{ikh}^{i}(x,\dot{x})^{def} = \dot{\partial}_{h}G_{ik}^{i} .$$

where

The curvature tensors  $K_{ijk}^{i}$ ,  $H_{ijk}^{i}$  and  $H_{kh}^{i}$  transform under the conformal change (1.1) as

(1.9) 
$$\overline{K}_{rjk}^{i} = K_{rjk}^{i} + 2U_{r[j|k]}^{i} + 2\left[\sigma_{m}\left\{\dot{\partial}_{s}\left(\Gamma_{r[j}^{*i} + U_{r[j]}^{i}\right)\right\}\dot{\partial}_{k}\right]B^{sm} + U_{s[k}^{i}U_{j]r}^{s}\right]$$

74

On the decomposition of curvature tensor in recurrent conformal...

$$(1.10) \qquad \qquad \overline{H}_{rjk}^{i} = H_{rjk}^{i} + 2\sigma_{m[(j)}\dot{\partial}_{k}\dot{\partial}_{r}B^{im} - 2\sigma_{m}\left\{\dot{\partial}_{r}\left(\dot{\partial}_{[j}B^{im}\right)_{(k)]} - \left(\partial_{[j}B^{is}\right)G_{k}^{m}\right\} \\ + 2\sigma_{m}\sigma_{s}\dot{\partial}_{r}\left\{\left(\dot{\partial}_{[j}B^{pm}\right)\partial_{k}\dot{\partial}_{p}B^{is}\right\}$$

and

(1.11) 
$$\overline{H}_{kh}^{i} = H_{kh}^{i} - 2\sigma_{m} \left\{ \dot{\partial}_{[k} B^{im} \right\}_{(h)]} + 2\sigma_{m[(k)} \dot{\partial}_{h]} B^{im} + 2\sigma_{m} \sigma_{r} \left( \dot{\partial}_{[k} B^{sm} \right) \dot{\partial}_{h]} \dot{\partial}_{s} B^{ir}$$

respectively.

The Bianchi identities satisfied by  $\overline{H}_{jk}^{i}, \overline{H}_{rjk}^{i}$  and  $\overline{K}_{rjk}^{i}$  are [4]

$$\begin{array}{l} (1.12) \qquad \qquad H^{i}_{[jk(\overline{h})]} = 0 \\ (1.13)H^{i}_{r[jk(\overline{h})]} = \left\{ H^{p}_{[kj} - \sigma_{q[(j)}\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pq} + \sigma_{q[(k)}\dot{\partial}_{j}B^{pq}]G^{i}_{h}]_{pr} + \sigma_{m} \right\| \left[ \left( \dot{\partial}_{[j}B^{pm})_{(k)} - \left( \dot{\partial}_{[k}B^{pm})_{(j)} \right)G^{i}_{h}]_{pr} \\ + \left\{ H^{p}_{[jk} + \sigma_{q[(j)}\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pq} - \sigma_{q[(k)}\dot{\partial}_{j}B^{pq}]\partial_{k}]\partial_{b}\partial_{r}B^{im} \right] - \sigma_{m}\sigma_{r} \left[ G^{i}_{pr[j} \left( \left( \dot{\partial}_{k}B^{st}\right)\partial_{h}\right]B^{pm} - \\ - \left( \dot{\partial}_{h}B^{st}\right)\partial_{k}]\partial_{s}B^{pm} \right] + \left( \dot{\partial}_{p}\partial_{r}\partial_{[j}B^{it}\right) \left( \left( \dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pm}\right)_{(h)} \right] - \left( \dot{\partial}_{h}B^{pm}\right)_{(k)} \right] \right\} \right] + \\ + \sigma_{m}\sigma_{q}\sigma_{r} \left( \partial_{p}\partial_{r}\partial_{[j}B^{iq}\right) \left( \left( \partial_{k}\right)B^{st}\right) \partial_{h}\partial_{s}B^{pm} - \left( \partial_{h}B^{st}\right) \partial_{k} \right] \partial_{s}B^{pm} \right\}$$

and

 $(1.14)\overline{K}_{r[jk|\overline{h}]}^{i} + (\partial_{p}\Gamma_{r[j]}^{*i})H_{kh]}^{p} + (\partial_{\rho}U_{r[j]}^{i})H_{kh]}^{p} + \{\partial_{\rho}(\Gamma_{r[j]}^{*i} + U_{r[j]}^{i})\}\left[\{(\partial_{h}B^{pm})_{(k)}\} - (\partial_{k}B^{pm})_{(k)}\}\right]\sigma_{m} + \{(\widehat{c}_{k}B^{sm})\partial_{h}]\partial_{s}B^{pi} - (\partial_{h}B^{sm})\partial_{k}]\partial_{s}B^{pi}\}\sigma_{m}\sigma_{i} + \sigma_{[m](k)}\partial_{h}B^{pm} - \sigma_{[m](h)}\partial_{k}B^{pm}()\right] = 0$ respectively. The notations  $(\overline{k})$  and  $|\overline{h}|$  represent covariant differentiation in the sense of Berwald and Cartan respectively in conformal Finsler space  $\overline{F}_{n}$ .

# 2. Decomposition of conformal curvature tensor $\overline{H}_{rik}^{\prime}$

The recurrent conformal curvature tensor  $\overline{H}_{ijk}^{i}$  is characterised by the <u>c</u>ondition

0

(2.1) 
$$\overline{H}^{i}_{r/k}(\overline{m}) = \overline{V}_{m} \overline{H}^{i}_{r/k} , \qquad H^{i}_{r/k} \neq$$

where the barred index enclosed within the round bracket denotes the covariant derivative with respect to  $x \cdot s$  for the connection parameters

 $\overline{G}_{jk}^{i}(x,\dot{x})$ . The covariant vector  $\overline{V}_{in}(x,\dot{x})$  is called the conformal recurrence vector. The conformal space equipped with such curvature tensor is called recurrent conformal Finsler space and we denote it by  $\overline{F}_{n}^{*}$ .

We consider the decomposition of the recurrent conformal curvature tensor in the form

(2.2) 
$$\overline{H}^{i}_{rjk} = \overline{X}^{i} \overline{\Phi}_{rjk}$$

where  $\overline{\Phi}_{ijk}$  is a homogeneous conformal decomposition tensor and  $\overline{X}^i$  is a non-zero conformal vector such that

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \overline{X}^{i}\overline{V_{i}} = 1$$

Sinha and Singh [5] have decomposed the recurrent curvature tensor  $H_{ijk}^{i}$  in similar manner (2.4)(a)

$$H^i_{ijk} = X^i \Phi_{ijk}$$

where the decomposition vector  $X^i$  also satisfies the condition (2.5)  $X^i V_i = 1$ .

Transvecting (2.,4)(a) by  $\dot{x}^r$  and noting  $H^i_{rjk} \dot{x}^r = H^i_{jk}$  [2], We obtain

(2.4)(b)  $H_{jk}^{i} = X^{i} \Phi_{jk}$ 

where  $\Phi_{jk} = \Phi_{rjk} \dot{x}^r$ .

The decomposition tensor  $\Phi_{rik}$  satisfies the identities

 $(2.6) \Phi_{rjk} = -\Phi_{rkj}$ and

 $(2.7) \qquad \Phi_{rjk} + \Phi_{jkr} + \Phi_{krj} = 0$ 

We notice that the decomposition vector  $X^i$  and the recurrence vector  $V_i$  are transformed conformally as under :

(2.8)  $\overline{X}^i = e^{-\sigma} X^i$  and

On the decomposition of curvature tensor in recurrent conformal...

(2.9) 
$$\overline{V}_i = e^{\sigma} V_i$$

respectively.

Applying equations (2.2) and (2.4)(a) in equation (1.10), we obtain (2.10)  $\overline{X}^{i}\overline{\Phi}_{rjk} = X^{i}\Phi_{rjk} + 2\sigma_{m[(j)}\partial_{k]}\partial_{r}B^{im} - 2\sigma_{m}\left\{\partial_{r}\left(\partial_{[j}B^{im}\right)_{(k)]} - \left(\partial_{[j}B^{is}\right)G^{m}_{k]rs}\right\}$  $+ 2\sigma_{m}\sigma_{s}\partial_{r}\left\{\left(\partial_{[j}B^{pm}\right)\partial_{k]}\partial_{p}B^{is}\right\}.$ 

Transvecting (2.10) by  $\overline{V_i}$  and using the relations (2.3),(2.5), and (2.9), it yields

$$(2.11) \quad \overline{\Phi}_{rjk} = e^{\sigma} \left[ \Phi_{rjk} + 2\sigma_{m[(j)} \dot{\partial}_{k]} \dot{\partial}_{r} B^{im} V_{i} - 2\sigma_{m} \left\{ \dot{\partial}_{r} \left( \dot{\partial}_{[j} B^{im} \right)_{(k)]} - \left( \dot{\partial}_{[j} B^{is} \right) G_{k}^{m} \right\}_{rs} \right\} V_{i} + \sigma_{m} \sigma_{s} \dot{\partial}_{r} \left\{ \left( \dot{\partial}_{[j} B^{pm} \right) \dot{\partial}_{k]} \dot{\partial}_{p} B^{is} \right\} V_{i} \right],$$

which represents the conformal transformation of the decomposition tensor  $\Phi_{rik}$  under the change (1.1)

Thus we state

**Theorem 2.1 :** Under the decomposition (2.2), the conformal decomposition tensor  $\Phi_{rtk}$  is expressed in the form (2.11).

Interchanging the indices j and k in the equation (2.11), we get

(2.12)

$$\Phi_{rjk} = -\Phi_{rkj}$$

in view of (2.6).

The cyclic permutation of the indices  $r_{j}$ , k in the equation (2.11) yields the identity

$$(2.13) \qquad \overline{\Phi}_{[rjk]} = e^{\sigma} \mathcal{V}_{i} [\sigma_{m} \partial_{[r} (\partial_{k} B^{im})_{(j)]} - \sigma_{m} \partial_{[r} (\partial_{j} B^{im})_{(k)]} + \sigma_{m} \sigma_{s} \partial_{[r} \{ (\partial_{j} B^{pm}) \partial_{k]} \partial_{p} B^{is} \} - \sigma_{m} \sigma_{s} \partial_{[r} \{ (\partial_{k} B^{pm}) \partial_{j]} \partial_{p} B^{is} \} ]^{3})$$

by applying (2.7) and the symmetry property of  $G_{ijk}^{i}$ . Hence we state

**Theorem 2.2 :** Under the decomposition (2.2), the conformal decomposition tensor  $\overline{\Phi}_{rjk}$  satisfies the identities (2.12) and (2.13).

Transvecting the equation (2.2) by  $\dot{x}^r$ , we have

$$(2.14) \qquad \qquad \overline{H}^{i}_{jk} = \overline{X}^{i} \overline{\Phi}_{jk}$$

where

(2.15) 
$$\overline{\Phi}_{jk} = \overline{\Phi}_{rjk} \dot{x}^r$$

and  $\overline{H}^{i}_{jk}$  satisfies the relation (1.11).

Applying the equation (2.5), (2.9) and (2.14) in the equation (1.11), it assumes the form

and a substant of the second description of the second second second second second second second second second

(2.16) 
$$\overline{\Phi}_{kh} = e^{\sigma} V_i \Big[ H^i_{kh} - 2\sigma_m \big\{ \dot{\partial}_{[k} B^{im} \big\}_{(h)]} + 2\sigma_{m[(k)} \dot{\partial}_{h]} B^{im} \\ + 2\sigma_m \sigma_r \big( \dot{\partial}_{[k} B^{sm} \big) \dot{\partial}_{h]} \dot{\partial}_s B^{ir} \Big] .$$

Interchanging the indices k and h in the equation (2.16), we get

$$(2.17) \qquad \qquad \overline{\Phi}_{kh} = -\overline{\Phi}_{hk}$$

by virtue of the relation  $H_{kh}^i = -H_{hk}^i$  [2].

In view of the equations (2.4)(b) and (2.5), the equation (2.16) becomes

(2.18) 
$$\overline{\Phi}_{kh} = e^{\sigma} \left[ \Phi_{kh} - 2\sigma_m \left\{ \dot{\partial}_{[k} B^{lm} \right\}_{(h)]} V_i + 2\sigma_{m[(k)} \dot{\partial}_{h]} B^{lm} V_i \right. \\ \left. + 2\sigma_m \sigma_r \left( \dot{\partial}_{[k} B^{sm} \right) \dot{\partial}_{h]} \dot{\partial}_s B^{lr} V_i \right]$$

which gives the conformal transformation of the decomposition tensor  $\Phi_{kh}$ under the charge (1.1)

Accordingly, we have

**Theorem 2.3 :** Under the decomposition (2.2) and (2.14) the conformal decomposition tensor  $\overline{\Phi}_{kh}$  is expressed in the form (2.18)

In view of the equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.9) and (2.14), the identities (1.12) and (1.13) assume the forms

(2.19) 
$$\overline{\Phi}_{[ik(\tilde{h})]} = 0$$

and

$$(2.20) \qquad \overline{\Phi}_{r[jk(\overline{h})]} = e^{\sigma}V_{i}\left\{X^{p}\Phi_{[kj} - \sigma_{q[(j)}\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pq} + \sigma_{q[(k)}\dot{\partial}_{j}B^{pq}\right\}G_{h]pr}^{i} + e^{\sigma}V_{i}\left[\left|\left(\dot{\partial}_{[j}B^{pm}\right)_{(k)} - \left(\dot{\partial}_{[k}B^{pm}\right)_{(j)}\right|G_{h]pr}^{i} + \left\{X^{p}\Phi_{[jk} + \sigma_{q[(j)}\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pq} - \sigma_{q[(k)}\dot{\partial}_{j}B^{pq}\right]\dot{\partial}_{h}\dot{\partial}_{p}\dot{\partial}_{r}B^{im}\right] - e^{\sigma}V_{i}\sigma_{m}\sigma_{i}\left[G_{pr[j}^{i}\left[\left(\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{st}\right)\dot{\partial}_{h}\right]\dot{\partial}_{s}B^{pm} - \left(\dot{\partial}_{h}B^{st}\right)\dot{\partial}_{k}\dot{\partial}_{s}B^{pm}\right] + \left(\dot{\partial}_{p}\dot{\partial}_{r}\dot{\partial}_{[j}B^{it}\right)\left(\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pm}\right)_{(h)} - \left(\dot{\partial}_{h}B^{pm}\right)_{(k)}\right]\right\}\right] + e^{\sigma}V_{i}\sigma_{m}\sigma_{q}\sigma_{i}\left(\dot{\partial}_{p}\dot{\partial}_{r}\partial_{[j}B^{iq}\right)\left(\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{st}\right)\dot{\partial}_{h}\dot{\partial}_{s}B^{pm} - \left(\dot{\partial}_{h}B^{st}\right)\dot{\partial}_{k}\dot{\partial}_{s}B^{pm}\right\}$$

respectively . Hence we have

**Theorem 2.4 :** Under the decomposition (2.2) and (2.14), the conformal decomposition tensors  $\overline{\Phi}_{jk}$  and  $\overline{\Phi}_{rjk}$  satisfy the Bianchi identities (2.19) and (2.20) respectively.

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly with respect to  $x^{h}$  in the sense of Berwald, we get

(2.21) 
$$\overline{H}^{i}_{ijk(\overline{h})} = \overline{X}_{(\overline{h})}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk} + \overline{X}^{i}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk(\overline{h})}$$

Applying (2.1) and (2.2) in the above equation, we find (2.2'2)  $\overline{X}^{i}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk}\overline{V}_{h} = \overline{X}_{(\overline{h})}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk} + \overline{X}^{i}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk(\overline{h})}.$ 

Let us assume that the conformal vector  $\overline{X}^i$  is covariant constant, then (2.22) reduces to

(2.23) 
$$\overline{\Phi}_{ijk(\overline{h})} = \overline{V_h}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk}$$

Conversely, if the above equation is true, the equation (2.22) yields

$$\overline{X}^{i}_{\left(\overline{h}\right)}\overline{\Phi}_{ijk}=0$$

Since  $\overline{\Phi}_{rjk}$  is non-zero conformal decomposition tensor ,it implies (2.25)  $\overline{X}_{(\overline{h})}^{i} = 0$ 

which shows that  $\overline{X}^i$  is covariant constant in the space  $\overline{F}_n^*$ .

Transvecting (2.23) by  $\dot{x}^r$  and using (2.15), we obtain

(2.26) 
$$\overline{\Phi}_{jk(\overline{h})} = \overline{V}_{h}\overline{\Phi}_{jk}$$

Thus we state

**Theorem 2.5**: In a recurrent conformal Finsler space  $\overline{F}_n^*$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for the conformal decomposition tensor field  $s\overline{\Phi}_{ijk}$ and  $\overline{\Phi}_{jk}$  to be recurrent is that the conformal vector field  $\overline{X}^i$  is covariant constant in the sense of Berwald.

## **Decomposition of conformal curvature tensor** $\overline{K}_{rik}^{l}$

ht this section we consider the decomposition of the conformal curvature tensor  $\overline{K}_{rjk}^{i}$ . In similar manner, the recurrent conformal curvature tensor  $\overline{K}_{rjk}^{i}$  is characterised by

(3.1) 
$$\overline{K}_{ijk|\bar{h}}^{i} = \overline{V}_{h}\overline{K}_{ijk}^{i}, \quad \overline{K}_{ijk}^{i} \neq 0$$

where the symbol  $|\overline{h}|$  denotes the covariant derivative with respect to  $x^i$  for the connection coefficients  $\overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ . The non-zero covariant vector  $\overline{V}_h(x, \dot{x})$  is called the conformal recurrence vector.

We decompose the recurrent conformal curvature tensor  $\overline{K_{rjk}}^{i}$  in the following manner :

(3.2)  $\overline{K}_{rjk}^{\prime} = \overline{X}^{\prime} \overline{\Psi}_{rjk}$ 

where  $\overline{\Psi}_{rjk}$  is conformal decomposition tensor and  $\overline{X}^i$  is conformal vector which satisfies the relation (2.3).

In a recurrent Finsler space, if the curvature tensor  $K_{r/k}^{l}$  is decomposed as

where the decomposition vector  $X^{i}$  satisfies the relation (2.5), then the decomposition vector  $X^{i}$  and the recurrence vector  $V_{i}$  are transformed conformally in the form (2.8) and (2.9) respectively.

In view of the identities  $K_{rjk}^{i} = -K_{rkj}^{i}$  and  $K_{[rjk]}^{i} = 0$  [2], the decomposition tensor  $\Psi_{rjk}$  satisfies the identities

$$(3.4) \qquad \qquad \Psi_{rjk} = -\Psi_{rkj} ,$$

$$\Psi_{[\nu|k]} = 0.$$

Using the equations (3.2) and (3.3) in the equation (1.9), it assumes the form (3.6)  $\overline{X}^{i}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk} = X^{i}\Psi_{rjk} + 2U^{i}_{r[j|k]} + 2\left[\sigma_{m}\left\{\dot{\partial}_{s}\left(\Gamma^{*i}_{r[j]} + U^{i}_{r[j]}\dot{\partial}_{k}\right)B^{sm}\right\} + U^{i}_{s[k}U^{s}_{j]r}\right].$ 

Transvecting the above equation by  $\overline{V_i}$  and applying the equations (2.3),(2.5) and (2.9), it becomes

$$(3.7) \qquad \overline{\Psi}_{rjk} = e^{\sigma} \Psi_{rjk} + 2e^{\sigma} U^{i}_{r[j|k]} + 2e^{\sigma} \left[ \sigma_{m} \left\{ \partial_{s} \left( \Gamma^{*i}_{r[j]} + U^{i}_{r[j]} \right) \right\} \partial_{k]} B^{sm} + U^{i}_{s[k} U^{s}_{jr]} \right],$$

which gives the conformal transformation of the decomposition tensor  $\Psi_{rjk}$  under the change (1.1).

Accordingly, we have

**Theorem 3.1:** Under the decomposition (3.2), the decomposition tensor  $\overline{\Psi}_{rjk}$  is expressed in the form (3.7).

Interchanging the indices j and k in the equation (3.7) and noting (3.4), we get

$$(3.8) \qquad \qquad \overline{\Psi}_{rjk} = -\overline{\Psi}_{rkj}$$

Also the cyclic permutation of the indices r, j, k in the equation (3.7) yields

 $(3.9) \qquad \qquad \overline{\Psi}_{[r/k]} = 0$ 

in view of (3.5).

Thus we have

**Theorem 3.2:** Under the decomposition (3.2), the conformal decomposition tensor  $\overline{\Psi}_{rik}$  satisfies the identities (3.8) and (3.9).

Applying (2.3),(2.4)(b),(2.5),(2.9) and (3.2) in the Bianchi identity (1.14), it assumes the form

$$(3.10) \qquad \overline{\Psi}_{r[jk[\bar{h}]} + e^{\sigma}V_{i}(\dot{\partial}_{p}\Gamma_{r[j}^{*i})X^{p}\Phi_{kh}] + e^{\sigma}V_{i}(\dot{\partial}_{p}U_{r[j}^{i})X^{p}\Phi_{kh}] + e^{\sigma}V_{i}\{\dot{\partial}_{p}(\Gamma_{r[j}^{*i} + U_{r[j}^{i}))\}[\{(\dot{\partial}_{h}B^{pm})_{(k)}] - (\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{pm})_{(h)}]\}\sigma_{m} + \{(\dot{\partial}_{k}B^{sm})\dot{\partial}_{h}]\dot{\partial}_{s}B^{pt} - (\dot{\partial}_{h}B^{sm})\dot{\partial}_{k}]\dot{\partial}_{s}B^{pt}\}\sigma_{m}\sigma_{t} + \sigma_{|m|(k)}\dot{\partial}_{h}]B^{pm} - \sigma_{|m|(h)}\dot{\partial}_{k}]B^{pm}] = 0.$$

Hence we state

**Theorem 3.3 :** Under the decomposition (3.2) the conformal decomposition tensor  $\overline{\Psi}_{rik}$  satisfies the Bianchi identity (3.10).

Taking covariant differentiation of (3.2) with respect to  $x^{h}$  in the sense of Cartan , it yields

(3.11) 
$$\overline{K}_{ijk}^{i}|_{\overline{h}} = \overline{X}_{|\overline{h}}^{i}\overline{\Psi}_{ijk} + \overline{X}^{i}\overline{\Psi}_{ijk}|_{\overline{h}}$$

In view of the equation (3.1), it becomes

(3.12) 
$$\overline{V_h}\overline{K_{rjk}}^{\prime} = \overline{X}_{[\overline{h}}^{\prime}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk} + \overline{X}^{\prime}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk}]_{\overline{h}}$$

Using the decomposition (3.2) in the above equation, we get

(3.13) 
$$\overline{X}^{t}\overline{V}_{h}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk} = \overline{X}_{|\bar{h}}^{t}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk} + \overline{X}^{t}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk}|_{\bar{h}}$$

Let us assume that the conformal vector  $\overline{X}^i$  is covariant constant, that is,  $\overline{X}_{|\overline{h}}^i = 0$ . Then the equation (3.13) reduces to

(3.14) 
$$\overline{\Psi}_{rjk|\tilde{h}} = \overline{V_h}\overline{\Psi}_{rjk} .$$

Conversely , if the above relation is true ,then from the equation (3.13) , we find

$$(3.15) \qquad \qquad \overline{X}_{|\overline{h}}^{\prime} \ \overline{\Psi}_{\prime jk}^{\prime} = 0 \ .$$

Since  $\overline{\Psi}_{rik}$  is non-zero, it implies

$$(3.16) \qquad \qquad \overline{X}^{i}_{\overline{h}} = 0$$

82

On the decomposition of curvature tensor in recurrent conformal...

which implies that the conformal vector  $\overline{X}^i$  is covariant constant. We have

**Theorem 2.4**: Under the decomposition (3.2), the necessary and sufficient condition for the conformal decomposition tensor field  $\overline{\Psi}_{ijk}$  to be recurrent is that the conformal vector  $\overline{X}^i$  is covariant constant in the sense of Cartan.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M.S. Knebelman : Conformal geometry of generalised metric spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. ,15 (1929) p 376-379.
- [2] H. Rund : The differential geometry of Finsler space, Springer Verlag (1959).
- [3] R.B. Misra : Projective tensor in a conformal Finsler space, Bull. de la Classe des Sciences, Acad. Royale de Belgique, (1967) 1275-1279.
- [4] R.B.Misra : The Bianchi identities satisfied by curvature tensors in a conformal Finsler space ,Tensor N.S.,18(1967),p187-190.
- [5] B.B.Sinha and S.P.Singh : On decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor fields in Finsler spaces, Bull.Cal. Math. Soc. 62(1967)p 91-96
- [6] M.Gama : On the decomposition of the recurrent tensor in an Areal space of submetric class, Jour. of Hokkaido Univ. (Section II) 28 (1978) p 77-80

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Egerton University P.O. Box 536, Njoro Kenya. e-mail: eu-cs@net2000ke.com