

On Transcendence of Values of Some Generalized Lacunary Power Series with Algebraic Coefficients for Some Algebraic Arguments in p -Adic Domain II*

Fatma ÇALIŞKAN¹

Abstract. In this paper, the theorem in Çalışkan's [6] paper is proved by using Mahler classification in p -adic domain.

Keywords: The Field of p -Adic Numbers, Generalized Lacunary Power Series, Algebraic Numbers, Transcendence, Mahler Classification.

AMS Subject Classification: 11D88, 11R04, 11J81.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this study, it is proved that the values of generalized lacunary power series with algebraic coefficients for some algebraic arguments belong to the p -adic U_m -subclass ($m \geq 1$). This theorem was proved by using Koksma classification in Çalışkan's [6] paper, but in present paper this theorem is proved by using Mahler classification. So Zeren's [5] paper is transferred to p -adic domain by using Mahler classification. In particular, this article benefited greatly from the papers of Cohn [1] and Zeren [4].

Basic information about the subject of theorem is given in Schneider [3]. In here, it is only expressed Mahler's classification in p -adic domain, which was introduced by Mahler [2].

2. PRELIMINARIES

\mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and p denotes natural numbers, integer numbers, rational numbers and a given prime number respectively. $|\cdot|_p$ and \mathbb{Q}_p denotes p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q} and the field of p -adic numbers respectively.

* I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Bedriye M. ZEREN for her valuable comments and suggestions.

¹ Istanbul University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Vezneciler, Istanbul, Turkey, e-mail: fatmac@istanbul.edu.tr.

2.1. Mahler's Classification in \mathbb{Q}_p ²

Let n be a natural number. The height of the polynomial

$$P(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \quad a_n \neq 0,$$

denoted by $H(P)$, is the form

$$H(P) = \max(|a_n|, \dots, |a_1|, |a_0|).$$

The degree of the polynomial $P(x)$ is denoted with $\deg(P)$. Let ξ be an element of \mathbb{Q}_p . For given positive integer n and real number $H (\geq 1)$, we define the quantity

$$w_n(H, \xi) := \min_{\substack{P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\ H(P) \leq H \\ \deg(P) \leq n \\ P(\xi) \neq 0}} \left\{ |P(\xi)|_p \right\}.$$

It is clear that

$$0 < w_n(H, \xi) \leq 1,$$

since $|P(\xi)|_p = 1$ for $P(x) = 1$. $w_n(H, \xi)$ is a non-increasing function of both n and H . Then we set

$$w_n(\xi) = \overline{\lim}_{H \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \frac{1}{w_n(H, \xi)}}{\log H} \quad \text{and} \quad w(\xi) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{w_n(\xi)}{n}.$$

$w_n(\xi)$ as a function of n is non-decreasing. The inequalities $0 \leq w_n(\xi) \leq +\infty$ and $0 \leq w(\xi) \leq +\infty$ ($n \geq 1, H \geq 1$) hold.

If $w_n(\xi) = +\infty$ for some integers n , let $\mu(\xi) (= \mu)$ be the smallest of such integers, and if $w_n(\xi) < +\infty$ for every n , put $\mu(\xi) = +\infty$. The two quantities $\mu(\xi)$, $w(\xi)$ are never finite simultaneously. Then the number ξ is called an

² See [2].

A -number if $w(\xi)=0, \mu(\xi)=+\infty,$

S -number if $0 < w(\xi) < +\infty, \mu(\xi)=+\infty,$

T -number if $w(\xi)=+\infty, \mu(\xi)=+\infty,$

U -number if $w(\xi)=+\infty, \mu(\xi) < +\infty.$

All p -adic numbers are distributed into the four classes A, S, T, U . With this classification:

1) A -numbers are exactly algebraic numbers³.

2) If two p -adic numbers are algebraically dependent, then they belong to the same class⁴.

Let ξ be a U -number such that $\mu(\xi)=m$, and let U_m denotes the set of all such numbers. For every natural m , U_m -class is a subclass of U , and $U_m \cap U_n = \emptyset$ if $m \neq n$.

Therefore we have the partition $U = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U_m$.

Let ξ be a p -adic number and let m be a positive integer. The number ξ is called a U_m -number if $\mu(\xi)=m$, and $\mu(\xi)=m$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

i) For every $\omega > 0$, if there are infinitely many polynomials P of degree m with integral coefficients such that

$$0 < |P(\xi)|_p \leq c H(P)^{-\omega},$$

then

³ See [2].

⁴ See [2].

$$\mu(\xi) \leq m \quad (\text{that is } \xi \in U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_m)$$

where the positive constant c is independent of $H(P)$.

ii) If there exists constants $c' > 0$ and s depending only on ξ and m such that the relation

$$|P(\xi)|_p > c' H(P)^{-s}$$

holds for every polynomial P of degree $< m$ with integral coefficients, then

$$\mu(\xi) \geq m \quad (\text{that is } \xi \notin U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_{m-1}).$$

Let α be a algebraic number. The height of the p-adic number α , denoted by $H(\alpha)$, is the height of its minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Z} . The degree of the p-adic number α denoted by $\deg(\alpha)$ is the degree of its minimal polynomial.

For the proof of main result, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial of degree m with integral coefficients and let α be a algebraic number of degree n with $P(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then the relation

$$|P(\alpha)|_p \geq \frac{p^{(n-1)t}}{(n+m)! H(\alpha)^m H(P)^n}$$

holds, where $|\alpha|_p = p^{-h}$, $t = \min(0, h)$, and $H(P)$, $H(\alpha)$ are the height of $P(x)$ and the height of the algebraic number α respectively.

Proof. See [2].

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem Let $\{r_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$ be two infinite sequence of integers satisfying

$$0 \leq s_0 \leq r_1 < s_1 \leq r_2 < s_2 \leq r_3 < s_3 \leq \dots$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
F(z) &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h z^h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(z) \\
P_k(z) &= \sum_{h=s_k}^{r_{k+1}} c_h z^h
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

be a generalized lacunary power series such that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_h &= 0, & r_n < h < s_n & & n=1, 2, \dots, \\
c_h &\neq 0, & h=r_n & & n=1, 2, \dots, \\
c_h &\neq 0, & h=s_n & & n=0, 1, \dots,
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients c_h are algebraic numbers in a constant number field $K=K(\theta)$ such that $[K:\mathbb{Q}]=c$, and $c_h=0$ if $r_n < h < s_n$, but $c_{r_n} \neq 0, c_{s_n} \neq 0$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), and let

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[i]{|c_i^{\{j\}}|_p} < +\infty \quad (j=1, \dots, c), \tag{3.2}$$

where $c_i^{\{j\}}$ ($j=1, \dots, c$) denote the conjugates of c_i over K . Furthermore, suppose that the following conditions hold:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{r_n} = +\infty, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n}{s_{n-1}} := \tau < +\infty, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log A_n}{n} := \sigma < +\infty, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h_n}{n} := l < +\infty \quad (h_n = H(c_n)), \tag{3.6}$$

where a_v is a suitable natural number such that $a_v c_v$ is an algebraic integer and $A_v = [a_0, \dots, a_v]$ is the least common multiple of a_0, \dots, a_v . Let α be an algebraic numbers of degree m satisfying $0 < |\overline{\alpha}|_p < R$ such that $|\overline{\alpha}|_p = \max |\alpha^{(i)}|_p$ and

$R = \min_{j=1}^c \frac{1}{\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[i]{|c_i^{\{j\}}|_p}}$. Then $F(\alpha) \in U_t$ for $z = \alpha$, where t is the maximum of the

degrees of the partial sums $F_n(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k(\alpha)$ and $t \leq [\mathbb{Q}(\theta, \alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] := g \leq cm$. Also, assume that $P_n(\alpha) \neq 0$ for infinitely many integers n .

Proof. 1°) The radius of convergence of (3.1) is $\geq R$ (see [6]).

2°) Let's take $F(\alpha) = \beta$. We can write

$$\beta = \beta_n + \rho_n, \quad (3.7)$$

such that

$$\beta_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k(\alpha) = \sum_{v=s_0}^{r_n} c_v(\theta) \alpha^v, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\rho_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P_k(\alpha) = \sum_{v=s_n}^{\infty} c_v(\theta) \alpha^v. \quad (3.9)$$

Then we obtain an upper bound for the height $H(\beta_n)$ of β_n such that

$$H(\beta_n) \leq c_0^{r_n} \quad (n > N_0), \quad (3.10)$$

where $c_0 (>1)$ and N_0 are sufficiently large numbers (see [6]).

3°) Let the minimal polynomial of the algebraic number β_n be

$$P_n(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots + f_l x^l; \quad l \leq m, f_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (i=0, 1, \dots, l).$$

Now we shall give an upper bound for $|P_n(\beta)|_p$.

From (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(\beta) &= f_0 + f_1(\beta_n + \rho_n) + f_2(\beta_n + \rho_n)^2 + \dots + f_l(\beta_n + \rho_n)^l \\ &= P_n(\beta_n) + \rho_n \gamma_n, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$P_n(\beta) = \rho_n \gamma_n \quad (3.11)$$

since $P_n(\beta_n) = 0$, where

$$\gamma_n = f_1 + f_2(2\beta_n + \rho_n) + \dots + f_l \left(\binom{l}{1} \beta_n^{l-1} + \dots + \binom{l}{l} \rho_n^{l-1} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

Using similar ideas as in [6], we obtain the inequalities

$$\left| c_n^{\{j\}} \right|_p \leq \frac{M^*}{(\rho^*)^n} \quad (j=1, \dots, c) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots) \quad (3.13)$$

and so

$$\left| \rho_n \right|_p \leq M^* \left(\frac{\left| \overline{\alpha} \right|_p}{\rho^*} \right)^{s_n} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots), \quad (3.14)$$

where M^* , ρ^* ($\frac{\left| \overline{\alpha} \right|_p}{\rho^*} < 1$) are sufficiently large numbers.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \beta_n \right|_p = \left| \beta \right|_p$, there is exists a number $M > 0$ such that

$$\left| \beta_n \right|_p \leq M. \quad (3.15)$$

Then from (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma_n \right|_p &= \left| f_1 + f_2(2\beta_n + \rho_n) + \dots + f_l \left(\binom{l}{1} \beta_n^{l-1} + \dots + \binom{l}{l} \rho_n^{l-1} \right) \right|_p \\ &\leq c_1 \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $c_1 (>1)$ is a sufficiently large number. Hence from (3.11), (3.14) and (3.16), we write

$$|P_n(\beta)|_p = |\rho_n|_p |\gamma_n|_p \leq \frac{c_1 M^*}{\left(\frac{\rho^*}{|\alpha|_p}\right)^{s_n}} = \frac{c_1 M^*}{c_2^{s_n}} \quad (n=0,1,\dots), \quad (3.17)$$

where $c_2 = \frac{\rho^*}{|\alpha|_p}$ ($c_2 > 1$). Since the polynomial $P_n(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of the algebraic number β_n , it is $H(\beta_n) = H(P_n)$. Putting $\frac{\log c_2}{\log c_0} = c_3$ (> 0), $c_1 M^* = c_4$, we have from (3.10) and (3.17)

$$|P_n(\beta)|_p \leq \frac{c_4}{(H(\beta_n))^{r_n c_3}} \quad (n > N_0). \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.3), we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{r_n} c_3 = +\infty. \quad (3.19)$$

4°) Now, we will examine the sequence of height $\{H(P_n)\}$ and the sequence of degree $\{d(P_n)\}$ of the polynomials P_n . These sequences provide the following conditions A, B, C.

A) $\{H(P_n)\}$ is not bounded from above.

Proof. Firstly, the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ contains infinitely many different elements (see [6]). Now let's show that the sequence $\{H(P_n)\}$ is not bounded from above: If $\{H(P_n)\}$ were bounded from above, then $\{P_n\}$ would contain only finitely many different elements since the degrees of the polynomials P_n are bounded from above with m . Therefore the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ corresponding to the roots of the polynomials P_n would contain finitely many different elements. But the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ contains infinitely many different elements; hence the sequence $\{H(P_n)\}$ is not bounded from above.

B) Starting from a suitable n , $\{d(\beta_n)\}$ (or $\{d(\beta_n)\}$) is a constant sequence.

Proof. As in [6], there are two different cases:

a) Let $d(P_n)=1$ (or $d(\beta_n)=1$) as starting from a suitable n . Then the condition B) is satisfied.

b) Let $d(P_n)>1$ (or $d(\beta_n)>1$) for infinitely many integers n . Using similar ideas as in [6], if $\beta_n^{(i)} \neq \beta_n^{(j)}$ for a fixed pair (i, j) ($i \neq j$) and for any sufficiently large n , then $\beta_{n+1}^{(i)} \neq \beta_{n+1}^{(j)}$. In this case we have $\beta_n^{(i)} \neq \beta_n^{(j)}$ for all n which are larger than a suitable n . This is exactly valid, because, $\beta_n^{(i)} \neq \beta_n^{(j)}$ is satisfied for at least a pair (i, j) and for infinitely many integers n from the hypothesis b). This can also be provided for all pairs (i, j) . Hence we have

$$d(\beta_{N_1}) \leq d(\beta_{N_1+1}) \leq d(\beta_{N_1+2}) \leq \dots$$

for a sufficiently large N_1 . Since $d(\beta_n) \leq g$, for a sufficiently large N_2 we can write that

$$d(\beta_{N_2}) = d(\beta_{N_2+1}) = d(\beta_{N_2+2}) = \dots$$

such that $N_2 \geq N_1$. If the common value is shown by t , then

$$d(\beta_n) = t, \quad n \geq N_2. \quad (3.20)$$

C) We can choose a subsequence of the sequence $\{P_n\}$ such that

0) $P_{n_j}(\beta) \neq 0$ ($j=1, 2, \dots$).

1) $\{H(P_{n_j})\}$ is the monotone increasing sequence of natural numbers, hence it is diverges to $+\infty$.

2) $\{d(P_{n_j})\}$ is a constant sequence.

Proof. The proof is obtained from the properties A) and B); the constant value of $d(P_{n_j})$ is t .

Also, the sequence $\{H(\beta_{n_j})\}$, which is the sequence of the heights of the algebraic numbers β_{n_j} corresponding to the polynomials P_{n_j} , is the monotone increasing sequence which diverges to $+\infty$: Since $\{H(P_{n_j})\}$ is the monotone increasing sequence of natural numbers, which diverges to $+\infty$, $H(P_{n_k}) \neq H(P_{n_l})$ for $k \neq l$, and since the polynomials P_{n_j} are the irreducible polynomials, the polynomials P_{n_j} are different from each other. Since $H(\beta_{n_j}) = H(P_{n_j})$, it is $H(\beta_{n_k}) \neq H(\beta_{n_l})$ (for $k \neq l$), and the algebraic numbers β_{n_j} are different from each other. Hence the sequence $\{H(\beta_{n_j})\}$ is the monotone increasing sequence which diverges to $+\infty$.

5°) We shall show that the number β is a U -number. To show this, we will use the subsequence $\{P_{n_j}\}$ defined in C).

Putting $H_{n_j} = H(P_{n_j})$, from C)-0) and (3.18) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} w_t(H_{n_j}, \beta) &:= \min_{\substack{H(P) \leq H_{n_j} \\ \deg(P) \leq t \\ P(\beta) \neq 0}} \left\{ |P(\beta)|_p \right\} \\ &\leq |P_{n_j}(\beta)|_p < \frac{1}{\frac{s_{n_j}}{r_{n_j}} c_3} H_{n_j}^{r_{n_j}}, \quad n_j > \max(N_0, N_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

We have from (3.21)

$$\frac{-\log(w_t(H_{n_j}, \beta))}{\log H_{n_j}} > \frac{s_{n_j}}{r_{n_j}} c_3 \quad (3.22)$$

and from (3.19) and (3.22)

$$\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log(w_t(H_{n_j}, \beta))}{\log H_{n_j}} = +\infty. \quad (3.23)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$w_t(\beta) = \overline{\lim}_{H_{n_j} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log(w_t(H_{n_j}, \beta))}{\log H_{n_j}} = +\infty. \quad (3.24)$$

Thus it follows from the definition of $\mu(\beta)$ that

$$\mu(\beta) \leq t. \quad (3.25)$$

This shows that the number β is a U -number.

6°) Now we will show that $\mu(\beta) = t$.

a) If $t=1$, then $\mu(\beta)=1$ from (3.25). In this case $\beta \in U_1$.

b) If $t > 1$, then we shall show that

$$w_{t-1}(\beta) < +\infty. \quad (3.26)$$

Consider the polynomial

$$B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \dots + b_\gamma x^\gamma; \quad 1 \leq \gamma < t, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (i=0, 1, \dots, \gamma). \quad (3.27)$$

For $x = \beta$, we have from (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} B(\beta) &= B(\beta_t) + \rho_t r_t \\ r_t &= b_1 + b_2 (2\beta_t + \rho_t) + \dots + b_\gamma \binom{\gamma}{1} \beta_t^{\gamma-1} + \dots + \rho_t^{\gamma-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

By (3.4), we have the relation

$$\frac{r_n}{s_{n-1}} < \tau_1 \quad (3.4')$$

for all sufficiently large n , where $\tau_1 > \tau$.

The degree of β_l for $l \geq N_2$ is exactly t . Therefore, we can use Lemma, and so we obtain

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta_l)|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{H(\beta_l)^{t-1} H(\mathbf{B})^t} \quad (3.29)$$

for $l > N_2$, where c_5 is a positive constant independent of the polynomial \mathbf{B} . For $l > \max(N_0, N_2)$, we obtain from (3.10) and (3.29)

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta_l)|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{c_0^{(t-1)\eta} H(\mathbf{B})^t}$$

and from (3.4')

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta_l)|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{c_0^{(t-1)s_l - t\tau_1} H(\mathbf{B})^t} \quad (3.30)$$

since $c_0 > 1$. We see that from (3.14)

$$|\rho_l|_p = \left| \sum_{v=s_l}^{\infty} c_v \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^v \right|_p \leq M * \left(\frac{|\overline{\alpha}|_p}{\rho^*} \right)^{s_l} \quad (l=1, 2, \dots) \quad (3.31)$$

and from (3.28)

$$|r_l|_p = \left| b_1 + b_2(2\beta_l + \rho_l) + \dots + b_\gamma \binom{\gamma}{1} \beta_l^{\gamma-1} + \dots + \rho_l^{\gamma-1} \right|_p \leq c_6,$$

and so we have

$$|\rho_l r_l|_p \leq \frac{M * c_6}{\left(\frac{\rho^*}{|\overline{\alpha}|_p} \right)^{s_l}} = \frac{c_7}{c_2^{s_l}} \quad (l=1, 2, \dots), \quad (3.32)$$

where $c_7 = M * c_6$. Hence we obtain

$$|\rho_l r_l|_p \leq \frac{c_7}{c_0^{s_l c_3}} \quad (l=1,2,\dots) \quad (3.33)$$

where $c_3 = \frac{\log c_2}{\log c_0}$ ($c_3 > 0$).

Let's take a number λ such that

$$\lambda > 1 \quad (3.34)$$

(the value of λ will be announced later). Since $s_{n-1} \leq r_n$, we get from (3.3)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{s_n}{s_{n-1}} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, for the number μ which is chosen such that

$$\mu > \lambda, \quad (3.35)$$

there exists $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{s_n}{s_{n-1}} > \mu \quad (3.36)$$

for $n > N_3$ (the value of μ will be announced later).

Now let's consider the inequality

$$c_0^{s_{n-1}} \leq H(\mathbf{B}) < c_0^{s_n} \quad (3.37)$$

for any polynomial \mathbf{B} satisfying the relation

$$H(\mathbf{B}) > H_0 \quad (3.38)$$

such that

$$H_0 = \max \left(c_0^{s_{N_0}}, c_0^{s_{N_2}}, c_0^{s_{N_3}}, \left(\frac{2c_7}{c_5} \right)^{1/c_3} \right). \quad (3.39)$$

There is exactly only one n satisfying the inequality (3.37) (see [6]).

From (3.37), (3.38) and (3.39), we have

$$n > \max(N_0, N_2, N_3). \quad (3.40)$$

We see that from (3.35), (3.36) and (3.40)

$$s_{n-1} < \frac{s_n}{\lambda} \quad (3.41)$$

and from (3.34)

$$\frac{s_n}{\lambda} < s_n. \quad (3.42)$$

In this case, the interval $\left[c_0^{s_{n-1}}, c_0^{s_n} \right)$ can be divided into two subintervals such that these subintervals are $\left[c_0^{s_{n-1}}, c_0^{s_n/\lambda} \right)$ and $\left[c_0^{s_n/\lambda}, c_0^{s_n} \right)$. Then $H(\mathbf{B})$ satisfying the relation (3.37) belong to one of the following two subintervals:

$$\text{I) } c_0^{s_{n-1}} \leq H(\mathbf{B}) < c_0^{s_n/\lambda},$$

$$\text{II) } c_0^{s_n/\lambda} \leq H(\mathbf{B}) < c_0^{s_n}.$$

Case I If we write the relation (3.30) with l replaced by n , then we get

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta_n)|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t+\tau_1(t-1)}} \quad (3.43)$$

and we have from (3.33)

$$|\rho_n r_n|_p < \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{\lambda c_3}}.$$

If we choose

$$\lambda := \frac{t + \tau_1(t-1)}{c_3} + 1, \quad (3.44)$$

then

$$|\rho_n r_n|_p < \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \tau_1(t-1) + c_3}}. \quad (3.45)$$

From (3.38) and (3.39), we have

$$H(\mathbf{B}) > \left(\frac{2c_7}{c_5} \right)^{1/c_3} \Rightarrow c_5 - \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{c_3}} > \frac{c_5}{2} > 0. \quad (3.46)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \tau_1(t-1)}} > \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \tau_1(t-1) + c_3}}. \quad (3.47)$$

Then

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta_n)|_p > |\rho_n r_n|_p. \quad (3.48)$$

Hence we have from (3.28) and (3.48)

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta)|_p = |\mathbf{B}(\beta_n)|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \tau_1(t-1)}}. \quad (3.49)$$

Case II) If we write the relation (3.30) with l replaced by $n+1$, then we get

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta_{n+1})|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \lambda \tau_1(t-1)}}, \quad (3.50)$$

and from (3.33) and (3.36), we obtain

$$|\rho_{n+1} r_{n+1}|_p \leq \frac{c_7}{c_0^{s_n \mu c_3}} < \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{\mu c_3}} \quad (3.51)$$

If we choose

$$\mu := \frac{t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1)}{c_3} + 1, \quad (3.52)$$

then from (3.51)

$$\left| \rho_{n+1} r_{n+1} \right|_p < \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1) + c_3}}. \quad (3.53)$$

Therefore we obtain from (3.46)

$$\frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1)}} > \frac{c_7}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1) + c_3}}. \quad (3.54)$$

Then

$$\left| \mathbf{B}(\beta_{n+1}) \right|_p > \left| \rho_{n+1} r_{n+1} \right|_p. \quad (3.55)$$

Hence we have from (3.28) and (3.55)

$$\left| \mathbf{B}(\beta) \right|_p = \left| \mathbf{B}(\beta_{n+1}) \right|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^{t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1)}}. \quad (3.56)$$

The inequality (3.56) is also satisfied in case I), since $t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1) > t + \tau_1 (t-1)$ from (3.34). Putting $x = t + \lambda \tau_1 (t-1)$ in both cases, we have

$$\left| \mathbf{B}(\beta) \right|_p \geq \frac{c_5}{H(\mathbf{B})^x} ; H(\mathbf{B}) > H_0. \quad (3.57)$$

From 5°), we have

$$w_{t-1}(H_0, \beta) = \min_{\substack{\gamma \leq t-1 \\ H(\mathbf{B}) \leq H_0 \\ \mathbf{B}(\beta) \neq 0}} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{B}(\beta) \right|_p \right\} \leq \left| \mathbf{B}(\beta) \right|_p \quad (3.58)$$

for all polynomials \mathbf{B} with integer coefficients which have $\gamma \leq t-1$ and $H(\mathbf{B}) \leq H_0$. Hence we can write

$$\left| \mathbf{B}(\beta) \right|_p \geq \frac{w_{t-1}(H_0, \beta)}{H(\mathbf{B})^x} ; \gamma \leq t-1, H(\mathbf{B}) \leq H_0. \quad (3.59)$$

Putting $c_8 = \min(c_5, w_{t-1}(H_0, \beta))$, we obtain from (3.57), (3.59)

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta)|_p \geq \frac{c_8}{H(\mathbf{B})^x} \quad (3.60)$$

for $\gamma \leq t-1$ and $H(\mathbf{B})=1, 2, \dots$. We see from (3.60) that

$$|\mathbf{B}(\beta)|_p \geq \frac{c_8}{H(\mathbf{B})^x} \geq \frac{c_8}{H^x} \quad (3.61)$$

for all polynomials \mathbf{B} which have $\gamma \leq t-1$ and $H(\mathbf{B}) \leq H$ (where H is any positive integer). Thus

$$w_{t-1}(H, \beta) \geq \frac{c_8}{H^x} \quad \text{for all } H. \quad (3.62)$$

From (3.62), we obtain

$$\frac{-\log(w_{t-1}(H, \beta))}{\log H} \leq x - \frac{\log c_8}{\log H} \quad (3.63)$$

and so

$$w_{t-1}(\beta) = \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log(w_{t-1}(H, \beta))}{\log H} \leq x. \quad (3.64)$$

Therefore it follows from definition of $\mu(\beta)$ that

$$\mu(\beta) > t-1, \quad \text{that is} \quad \mu(\beta) \geq t. \quad (3.65)$$

Finally, from (3.25) and (3.65), we have

$$\mu(\beta) = t, \quad t > 1. \quad (3.66)$$

In other words, $\beta \in U_t$. Hence we obtain $\beta \in U_t$ in both of the cases 6) a) and b).

REFERENCES

- [1] **Cohn, H.**, 1946, Note on almost algebraic numbers, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52, 1042-1045.

- [2] **Mahler, K.**, 1935, Über eine Klassen-Einteilung der p -Adischen Zahlen, *Mathematica Leiden*, 3, 177-185.
- [3] **Schneider, T.**, 1957, *Einführung in die Transzendenten Zahlen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Göttingen Heidelberg.
- [4] **Zeren, B. M.**, 1980, Über einige komplexe und p -adische Lückenreihen mit Werten aus den Mahlerschen Unterklassen U_m , *İstanbul Üniv. Fen Fak. Mec. Seri A*, 45, 89-130.
- [5] **Zeren, B. M.**, 1988, Über die natur der transzendenz der Werte einer art verallgemeinerter Lückenreihen mit algebraischen Koeffizienten für algebraische Argument, *Bull. Tech. Univ. Istanbul*, 41, 569-588.
- [6] **Çalışkan, F.**, 2010, On Transcendence of Values of Some Generalized Lacunary Power Series With Algebraic Coefficients for Some Algebraic Arguments in p -Adic Domain I, *İstanbul Üniv Fen Fak. Mat. Fiz. ve Astr. Dergisi*, New Series, Vol. 3, (2008-2009), 33-58.

Fatma ÇALIŞKAN

Istanbul University, Faculty of Science,

Department of Mathematics, 34134 Vezneciler, Istanbul, Turkey,

E-mail: fatmac@istanbul.edu.tr