

ON THE PROXIMATE ORDER OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

R. S. L. SRIVASTAVA — O. P. JUNEJA

In this paper proximate orders of an entire function in terms of the maximum term of its TAYLOR series and the maximum modulus have been found.

1. Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

be an entire function of order ρ and lower order λ ; $M(r)$ denote the maximum modulus and $\mu(r)$ the maximum term of rank $\nu(r)$ in the TAYLOR expansion of $f(z)$ for $|z| = r$. Then $M(r)$, $\mu(r)$ and $\nu(r)$ are all positive and non-decreasing functions of r and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log \mu(r)}{\log r} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \nu(r)}{\log r} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\rho = \lambda$, $f(z)$ is said to be of regular growth.

It is possible to find ([1], p. 64) a positive continuous function $\varrho(r)$ having the following properties:

(i) $\varrho(r)$ is differentiable for $r > r_0$ except at isolated points at which $\varrho'(r-0)$ and $\varrho'(r+0)$ exist.

(ii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \varrho(r) = \varrho$;

(iii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \varrho'(r) \log r = 0$; and

(iv) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\varrho(r)}} = 1$.

Such a function $\varrho(r)$ is called LINDELOF'S Proximate Order for the entire function $f(z)$. In this paper we find proximate orders of $f(z)$ in terms of the maximum term $\mu(r)$ and the maximum modulus $M(r)$.

2. Theorem 1: *If $f(z)$ is an entire function of order ϱ , ($0 < \varrho < \infty$) and $\nu(r)$ the rank of its maximum term $\mu(r)$ such that $\nu(r) \sim \Phi(r) r^\varrho$, where $\Phi(r)$ is a positive continuous function in (r_0, ∞) and $\Phi(cr) \sim \Phi(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for every constant $c > 0$ then*

(i) $f(z)$ is of regular growth;

$$(ii) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu(r)}{\log \mu(r)} = \varrho; \quad \text{and}$$

$$(iii) \frac{\log \log \mu(r)}{\log r} \text{ is a proximate order of } f(z).$$

Proof: (i) Since $f(z)$ is of order ϱ , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log \nu(r)}{\log r} = \varrho.$$

Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$ such that for every $r > r_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\log \nu(r) < (\varrho + \varepsilon) \log r$$

or,

$$(2.1) \quad \nu(r) < r^{\varrho + \varepsilon}.$$

Also, since

$$\nu(r) \sim \Phi(r) r^\varrho$$

we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) \Phi(r) r^\varrho < \nu(r) < (1 + \varepsilon) \Phi(r) r^\varrho$$

for $r > r_0'$.

Hence for sufficiently large r , we have from (2.1) and (2.2)

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \Phi(r) r^\varrho < r^{\varrho + \varepsilon}$$

or

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \Phi(r) < r^\varepsilon.$$

Taking logarithms and proceeding to limits we get, since $\Phi(r)$ is positive,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Phi(r)}{\log r} = 0.$$

The condition $\nu(r) \sim \phi(r) r^\rho$ then gives

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(r)}{\log r} = \rho.$$

So $f(z)$ is of regular growth.

(ii) To prove the second part, we have

$$\nu(r) \sim \phi(r) r^\rho$$

and so,

$$(\phi(r) - \varepsilon) r^\rho < \nu(r) < (\phi(r) + \varepsilon) r^\rho \quad \text{for } r > r_0(\varepsilon).$$

Or,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{r_0}^r (\phi(r) - \varepsilon) r^{\rho-1} dr < \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\nu(r)}{r} dr < \int_{r_0}^r (\phi(r) + \varepsilon) r^{\rho-1} dr$$

or,

$$\int_{r_0}^r \phi(r) r^{\rho-1} dr - \varepsilon \int_{r_0}^r r^{\rho-1} dr < \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\nu(r)}{r} dr < \int_{r_0}^r \phi(r) r^{\rho-1} dr + \varepsilon \int_{r_0}^r r^{\rho-1} dr.$$

Now, by Lemma V [2], the condition $\phi(cr) \sim \phi(r)$ involves

$$\int_{r_0}^r u^{\delta-1} \phi(u) du \sim \frac{r^\delta}{\delta} \phi(r)$$

for every positive δ , and so we get,

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} \phi(r) - \varepsilon \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} + O(1) < \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\nu(r)}{r} dr < \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} \phi(r) + \varepsilon \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} - O(1).$$

Now it is known ([1], p. 31) that

$$(2.5) \quad \log \mu(r) = \log \mu(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\nu(x)}{x} dx$$

Hence, (2.4) becomes

$$\frac{r^\rho}{\rho} \phi(\rho) - \varepsilon \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} + O(1) < \log \mu(r) - \log \mu(r_0) < \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} \phi(r) + \varepsilon \frac{r^\rho}{\rho} - O(1).$$

Dividing by $\nu(r)$ and proceeding to limits, we have, since $\nu(r) \sim \phi(r) r^\rho$,

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{\log \mu(r)} = \varrho.$$

(iii) To prove (iii), let

$$\varrho(r) = \frac{\log \log \mu(r)}{\log r}.$$

Then, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(r) = \varrho$, since $f(z)$ is of regular growth and order ϱ . Further, if

$$f(z) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

the maximum term $\mu(r)$ for $|z| = r$ is given by $\mu(r) = |a_{v(r)}| r^{v(r)}$. As $a_{v(r)}$, $v(r)$ are constants in intervals, have an enumerable number of discontinuities and change values at these discontinuities only, they are differentiable everywhere except at a set of measure zero and their derivatives vanish almost everywhere. Consequently, $\mu(r)$ and hence $\varrho(r)$ are also differentiable almost everywhere. Thus,

$$(2.7) \quad r \varrho'(r) \log r = \frac{r \mu'(r)}{\mu(r) \log \mu(r)} - \frac{\log \log \mu(r)}{\log r}$$

where $\mu'(r)$ denotes the derivative of $\mu(r)$.

Since $\mu(r) = |a_{v(r)}| r^{v(r)}$, we get on differentiation

$$\frac{\mu'(r)}{\mu(r)} = \frac{v(r)}{r}$$

almost everywhere. Substituting in (2.7), we get,

$$r \varrho'(r) \log r = \frac{v(r)}{\log \mu(r)} - \frac{\log \log \mu(r)}{\log r} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

since by (2.6)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{\log \mu(r)} = \varrho = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \mu(r)}{\log r}.$$

Also, from the definition of $\varrho(r)$

$$\frac{\log \mu(r)}{r \varrho(r)} = 1$$

and since $\log \mu(r) \sim \log M(r)$ for functions of finite order, we have,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r \varrho(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r)}{r \varrho(r)} = 1.$$

Thus, all the conditions for $g(r)$ to be a proximate order are satisfied and so the theorem is proved.

3. If $W(r)$ be an indefinitely increasing function continuous in adjacent intervals, we know ([1], p. 27) that

$$\log M(r) = \log M(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r x^{-1} W(x) dx.$$

Using this relation and proceeding as in Theorem 1, we can similarly prove the following:

Theorem 2: *If $f(z)$ is an entire function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$), and $W(r)$ an indefinitely increasing positive function such that $W(r) \sim \Phi(r) r^\rho$ where $\Phi(r)$ is a positive continuous function in (r_0, ∞) and $\Phi(cr) \sim \Phi(r)$ for every constant $c > 0$, then*

$$(I) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W(r)}{\log M(r)} = \rho, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(II) \quad \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} \quad \text{is a proximate order of } f(z).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. VALIRON : *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, CHELSEA, (1949).
 [2] G. H. HARDY AND W. W. ROGOSINSKI : *Notes on Fourier Series (III)*, Quart. Journ. of Maths., **16**, (1945).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 KANPUR — INDIA

(Manuscript received April 25, 1963)

ÖZET

Bu makalede tam fonksiyonların «proximate» (komşu) mertebeleri, habis konusu fonksiyonların TAYLOR serilerinin maksimum terimi ile maksimum modülleri cinsinden ifade edilmiştir.