MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF A VISCOELASTIC FLUID BETWEEN TWO CONDUCTING POROUS PLATES

D. NAGRAJ

The present paper deals with the flow of a conducting viscoelastic fluid between two porous plates under a transverse magnetic field with constant suction and injection, and finite electrical wall conductivities. Using the perturbation method, an exact solution is obtained for small relaxation time. It is found that velocity decreases with the increase in the viscoelastic parameter, whereas the induced magnetic field increases with the increase in both the plate conductivity and the viscoelastic parameter. Also various other conclusions are drawn.

0. Notation :

 C'_{0} , C'_{1} , C_{10} , C_{20} , C_{30} , C_{40} , C_{11} , C_{21} , C_{81} , C_{41} Constants

- e_i, Strain rate tensor
- H₀ Applied transverse magnetic field
- h Dimensionless induced axial magnetic field
- L Half-width between plates
- M HARTMANN number
- m Suction parameter
- p' Hydrostatic pressure
- P Dimensionless hydrostatic pressure
- \bar{p}_{ij} Deviatoric stress tensor
- p_{ij} Dimensionless deviatoric stress tensor
- p_{rm} Magnetic PRANDTL number
- R REYNOLDS number
- R_m Magnetic REYNOLDS number
- u Dimensionless axial velocity
- \bar{x} Longitudinal distance
- x Dimensionless longitudinal distance
- \bar{y} Transverse distance
- y Dimensionless longitudinal distance
- 8 Viscoelastic parameter
- λ Relaxation time

£1

- μ Viscosity
- v Kinematic viscosity
- μ_e Magnetic permeability
- η Electric diffusivity
- φ_{l},φ_{u} Electrical conductivity parameters of lower and upper plates
- e Density
- σ Electrical conductivity
- δ_{ij} KRONECKER tensor
- π_{ij} Stress tensor

1. Introduction: The flow of a conducting newtonian fluid cetween two parallel plates under transverse magnetic field was first studied by HARTMANN and LAZARUS [¹]. GUPTA [²] has studied POISEUILLE flow with suction and injection. Later KAPUR and RATHY [⁸] investigated the same problem for a conducting viscoelastic fluid, taking the walls to be nonconducting. But in the flow process, the percolation of the fluid through the plates makes them electrically conducting, even though the plates themselves are non conducting when they are in dry state. Thus electrical conductivity of the plates plays a significant part. Hence we cannot neglect the conductivities of the plates, when we are dealing with magneto-hydrodynamic flow problems with suction and injection.

The aim of the present paper is to study the effect of wall conductivity in this problem. In this paper we have studied the steady incompressible flow of a viscoelastic fluid between two conducting parallel plates under a transverse magnetic field with constant suction and injection.

In the analysis a rectangular Cartesian co-ordinate system is used.

$$\overrightarrow{H}(H_x, H_{\mathfrak{g}}, 0), \overrightarrow{V}(u_x, v_{\mathfrak{g}}, 0), \overrightarrow{E}(0, 0, E_z) \overrightarrow{J}(0, 0, J_z)$$

denote the magnetic, velocity, electric and current field vectors respectively. It is assumed that all the flow variables depend on \bar{y} only.

2. Basic Equations. The Rheological equation is

(2.1)
$$\pi_{ij} = -p' \delta_{ij} + \bar{p}_{ij}$$

a

(2.2)

 $\bar{p}_{ij} + \lambda \widetilde{\bar{p}}_{ij} = 2 \mu e_{ij},$

where

$$e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right) ,$$

$$\bar{p}_{ij} = \frac{0}{\partial t} \, \bar{p}_{ij} + \bar{p}_{ij,R} \, v_R - \bar{p}_{iR} \, v_{j,R} - \bar{p}_{Rj} \, v_{i,R} + \bar{p}_{ij} \, v_{R,R} \, .$$

With the above assumptions from the basic equations of magneto-fluid-dynamics and the rheological equations, we get

(2.3)
$$0 = -\frac{\partial p'}{\partial \bar{y}} - \frac{\mu_e H_x}{4\pi} \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial \bar{y}} - \frac{\partial \bar{p}_{yy}}{\partial \bar{y}},$$

MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF A VISCOELASTIC FLUID

(2.4)
$$\varrho v_{\vartheta} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial \bar{y}} = -\frac{\partial p'}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{p}_{xy}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{\mu_{\vartheta} H_{\vartheta}}{4\pi} \frac{\partial H_{x}}{\partial \bar{y}}$$

(2.5)
$$\eta \frac{\partial^2 H_x}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + H_0 \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial \bar{y}} - v_0 \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial \bar{y}} = 0$$

(2.6)
$$\vec{p}_{yy} + \lambda v_0 \frac{\partial \vec{p}_{yy}}{\partial \vec{g}} = 0 ,$$

(2.7)
$$\bar{p}_{xy} + \lambda \left(v_0 \frac{\partial \bar{p}_{xy}}{\partial \bar{y}} - \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial \bar{y}} \, \bar{p}_{yy} \right) = \mu \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial \bar{y}} ,$$

(2.8)
$$\bar{p}_{xx} + \lambda \left(v_0 \frac{\partial \bar{p}_{xx}}{\partial \bar{y}} - 2 \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial \bar{y}} \bar{p}_{xy} \right) = 0$$

(2.9)
$$\bar{p}_{xx} = \bar{p}_{xz} = \bar{p}_{yz} = 0$$
.

Using the transformations,

(2.10)

$$\tilde{x} = Lx, \, \bar{y} = Ly, \, v_0 = m \, u_0, \, u_x = u_0 \, u, \, H_x = H_0 \, h, \\
\bar{p}' = p u_0^2 \, p, \, \bar{p}_{xy} = \varrho u_0^2 \, p_{xy}, \, \bar{p}_{yy} = \varrho u_0^2 \, p_{yy}, \, \bar{p}_{xx} = \varrho u_0^2 \, p_{xx}, \\
\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda u_0}{L}, \, R = \frac{\varrho \, u_0 \, L}{u}, \, R_m = 4\pi \, \mu_e \, u_0 \, L, \, M = \mu_e \, H_0 \, L \left(\frac{\sigma}{u}\right)^{1/2}$$

we get the non-dimensional form of equations (2.4) to (2.7) as

(2.11)
$$m \frac{du}{dy} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{d}{dy} p_{xy} + \frac{M^2}{RR_m} \frac{dh}{dy}$$

$$(2.12) p_{rm} \frac{d^2h}{dy^2} + R \frac{du}{dy} - mR \frac{dh}{dy} = 0$$

$$(2.13) p_{yy} + \epsilon m \frac{d}{dy} p_{yy} = 0 ,$$

(2.14)
$$p_{xy} + \varepsilon \left(m \frac{d}{dy} p_{xy} - p_{yy} \frac{du}{dy} \right) = \frac{1}{R} \frac{du}{dy}$$

It can be shown that $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ is constant. Hence we take $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = -A$, where A is a positive constant.

Boundary Conditions: Let the two walls be given by $y = \pm 1$. From the no slip condition, we get

(2.15)
$$u=0 \text{ at } y=\pm 1.$$

The condition that the tangential component of \overrightarrow{E} and \overrightarrow{H} must be common across the interface (4], [6]), gives the following boundary condition for the induced magnetic field

87

$$\frac{dh}{dy} - \frac{1}{\varphi_l}h = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = -1,$$

3. Solution: Integration of (2.11) gives

(3.1)
$$mu = Ay + p_{xy} + \frac{M^2}{RR_m}h + C_1^t.$$

Eliminating p_{yy} between (2.12) and (2.13), we get

(3.2)

$$\varepsilon^{2}\left(\frac{du}{dy}\frac{d^{2}p_{xy}}{dy^{2}}-\frac{d^{2}u}{dy^{2}}\frac{dp_{xy}}{dy}\right)+\varepsilon\left(2\frac{du}{dy}\frac{dp_{xy}}{dy}-\frac{d^{2}u}{dy^{2}}p_{xy}\right)+\left(p_{xy}-\frac{1}{R}\frac{du}{dy}\right)\frac{du}{dy}=0.$$

We develop the solution in powers of $\varepsilon(\varepsilon < < 1)$, hence we lake

(3.3)
$$u = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} u_{n} , h = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} h_{n} , C_{1}^{1} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} C_{1}^{1}_{(n)} ,$$
$$p_{xy} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} p_{(n) xy} , p_{yy} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} p_{(n) yy} , p_{xx} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} p_{(n) xx} .$$

Substituting (3.3) in (2.12), (3.1) and (3.2) and equating the various powers of ε , we shall get the equations for determining

 u_n, h_n and $p_{(n)xy}$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

The boundary conditions will give

(3.4)
$$u_n = 0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) \quad \text{at} \quad y = \pm 1,$$

(3.5)
$$\frac{dh_n}{dy} - \frac{1}{\varphi_1} h_n = 0, (n = 0, 1, 2...) \text{ at } y = -1$$

and

$$\frac{dh_n}{dy} + \frac{1}{\varphi_u} h_n = 0, (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) \text{ at } y = +1.$$

Zero Order Solution. Substituting (3.3) in (2.12), (3.1) and (3.2) and taking zero order terms in ε , we have

(3.6)
$$p_{rm}\frac{d^{2}h_{0}}{dy^{2}} + R\frac{du_{0}}{dy} - mR\frac{dh_{0}}{dy} = 0,$$

88

(2.16)

MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF A VISCOELASTIC FLUID

(3.7)
$$mu_0 = Ay + p_{(0)xy} + \frac{M^2}{RR_m} h_0 + C'_{1(0)}$$

(3.8)
$$P(_{0})_{xy} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{du_{0}}{dy} .$$

Eliminating u_0 and $p'_{(0)xy}$ from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

(3.9)
$$\frac{d^2h_0}{dy^2} - mR\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{\tau m}}\right)\frac{dh_0}{dy} + \frac{R}{R_m p_{\tau m}}\left(m^2 RR_m - M^2\right)h_0 = \frac{AR^2}{p_{\tau m}}y + C'_0$$

Solving this, we get

(3.10)
$$h_0 = C_{10} e^{\alpha y} + C_{20} e^{\beta y} - \frac{ARR_m}{M^2 - m^2 RR_m} y + C_{s0} .$$

From equations (3.6) and (3.10), we get

(3.11)
$$h_0 = C_{10} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \alpha}{R} \right) e^{\alpha y} + C_{20} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \beta}{R} \right) e^{\beta y} + \frac{mARR_m}{M^2 - m^2 RR_m} y + C_{80}$$

where α, β are zeroes of

(3.12)
$$\lambda^2 - mR\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{rm}}\right)\lambda + \frac{R}{R_m p_{rm}}(m^2 RR_m - M^2) = 0.$$

Using the boundary conditions (3.4), (3.5), we get

$$u_{0} = \frac{ARRm}{M^{2} - m^{2}RR_{m}} \left[\left\{ \frac{(Q_{4}Q_{2}sh\beta - mQ_{6}) Q_{1}(e^{\alpha y} - ch\alpha) + (mQ_{5} - Q_{4}Q_{1}sh\alpha) Q_{2}(e^{\beta y} - ch\beta)}{(Q_{5}Q_{2}sh\beta - Q_{1}Q_{5}sh\alpha)} \right\} - m^{y} \right],$$

$$h_{0} = \frac{ARR_{m}}{M^{2} - m^{2} RR_{m}} \left[\left\{ \frac{(Q_{1}Q_{2}sh\beta - mQ_{6})[e^{ay} - (a\varphi_{4} + 1)e^{a}] + (mQ_{5} - Q_{4}Q_{4}sh\alpha)[e^{\beta y} - (\beta\varphi_{u} + 1)e^{p}]}{(Q_{5}Q_{3}sh\beta - Q_{1}Q_{6}sh\alpha)} \right\}$$

$$(3.14) \qquad - \left\{ y - (1 + \varphi_{n}) \right\} \right]$$

where

$$Q_1 = \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \alpha}{R}\right), Q_2 = \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \beta}{R}\right), Q_4 = 2 + \varphi_1 + \varphi_a,$$
$$Q_5 = \alpha \left(\varphi_a e^a + \varphi_1 e^{-a}\right) + 2 sh \alpha, Q_5 = \beta \left(\varphi_a e^{\beta} + \varphi_1 e^{-\beta}\right) + 2 sh \beta.$$

Knowing u_0 , h_0 we can get all other field quantities, like, E_0 , $p_{(0)xy}$, $p_{(0)yy}$, etc.

First Order Solution. Substituting (3.3) in (3.2) and taking first order terms in ε from equation (3.2), and simplifying we have

(3.15)
$$p(_1)x_y = \frac{1}{R} \frac{du_1}{dy} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{d^2u_0}{dy^2}$$

89

Proceeding in a similar way as in the zero order solution, we get the equation determining h in first order as

(3.16)
$$\frac{d^{2}h_{1}}{dy^{2}} - m R \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{rm}}\right) \frac{dh_{1}}{dy} + \frac{R}{R_{m}p_{rm}} (m^{2}RR_{m} - M^{2})h_{1}$$
$$= C_{1}^{1} - \frac{R}{p_{rm}} \left\{ C_{10} \alpha^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \alpha}{R}\right) e^{\alpha y} + C_{20} \beta^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} p}{R}\right) e^{\beta y} \right\}$$

The solution of (3.16) is

(3.17)

$$h_{1} = C_{11}e^{ay} + C_{21}e^{\beta y} - \frac{R}{p_{rm}(\alpha - \beta)} \left[C_{10}\alpha^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm}\alpha}{R} \right) e^{ay} - C_{20}\beta^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm}\beta}{R} \right) e^{\beta y} \right] y + C_{3}.$$

Taking first order terms in s from (2.12) and using (3.17), we get

(3.18)
$$u_{1} = C_{11} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \alpha}{R} \right) e^{\alpha y} + C_{21} \left(m - \frac{R_{rm} \alpha}{R} \right) c^{\beta y}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \left[C_{10} \alpha^{2} \left(m - \frac{R_{rm} \alpha}{R} \right) e^{\alpha y} \left(1 + \alpha y - \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} y \right) \right]$$
$$- C_{20} \beta^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm} \beta}{R} \right) e^{\beta y} \left(1 + \beta y - \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} y \right) \right] + C_{41}$$

Applying the boundary conditions (3.4), (3.5), we have

(3.19)

$$u_{1} = C_{11}Q_{1}(e^{ay} - e^{-a}) + C_{21}Q_{2}(e^{\beta y} - e^{-\beta}) + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \left[C_{10}\alpha^{2}Q_{1} \left\{ e^{ay} \left(1 + \alpha y - \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} y \right) - e^{-a} \left(1 - \alpha + \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} \right) \right\} - C_{20}\beta^{2}Q_{2} \left\{ e^{\beta y} \left(1 + \beta y - \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} y \right) - e^{-\beta} \left(1 - \beta + \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} \right) \right\} \right],$$
(3.20)

$$h_{1} = C_{11} \left\{ e^{\alpha y} - (\alpha \varphi_{n} + 1)e^{\alpha} \right\} + C_{21} \left\{ e^{\beta y} - (\beta \varphi_{u} + 1)e^{\beta} \right\} - \frac{R}{p_{rm}(\alpha - \beta)} \left[C_{10}\alpha^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm}\alpha}{R} \right) \left\{ ye^{ay} - \left[(1 + \alpha)\varphi_{u} + 1 \right]e^{\alpha} \right\} - C_{20}\beta^{2} \left(m - \frac{p_{rm}\beta}{R} \right) \left\{ ye^{\beta y} - \left[(1 + \beta)\varphi_{u} + 1 \right]e^{\beta} \right\} \right]$$

where

$$Z_{1} = -\frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \left[C_{10} \alpha^{2} Q_{1} \left\{ shx + \left(\alpha - \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} \right) chx \right\} \right]$$
$$- C_{20} \beta^{2} Q_{2} \left\{ sh\beta + \left(\beta - \frac{mR}{p_{rm}} \right) ch\beta \right\} \right],$$
$$Z_{2} = \frac{R}{p_{rm} (\alpha - \beta)} \left[C_{10} x^{2} Q_{1} \left\{ 2chx + \left(\varphi_{u} e^{\alpha} + \varphi_{l} e^{-\alpha} \right) + \alpha \left(\varphi_{u} e^{\alpha} - \varphi_{l} e^{-\alpha} \right) \right\} \right]$$
$$- C_{20} \beta^{2} Q_{2} \left\{ 2ch\beta + \left(\varphi_{u} e^{\beta} + \varphi_{l} e^{-\beta} \right) + \beta \left(\varphi_{u} e^{\beta} - \varphi_{l} e^{-\beta} \right) \right\} \right],$$

MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF A VISCOELASTIC FLUID

$$Z_{8} = \alpha \left(\varphi_{u} e^{\mathbf{a}} + \varphi_{l} e^{-\mathbf{a}}\right) + 2 shz \quad , \quad Z_{4} = \beta \left(\varphi_{u} e^{\mathbf{\beta}} + \varphi_{l} c^{-\mathbf{\beta}}\right) + 2 sh\beta$$

$$Z_{5} = \left(m - \frac{p_{rm}\alpha}{R}\right) sh\alpha \quad , \qquad \qquad Z_{6} = \left(m - \frac{p_{rm}\beta}{R}\right) sh\beta$$

$$C_{11} = \frac{Z_{2}Z_{6}}{Z_{2}Z_{0}} - \frac{Z_{1}Z_{4}}{-Z_{2}Z_{5}} \quad , \qquad \qquad C_{21} = \frac{Z_{1}Z_{8} - Z_{2}Z_{5}}{Z_{9}Z_{6} - Z_{4}Z_{5}} \quad .$$

Knowing u_1, h_1 we can evaluate $E_1, p_{(1)xy}, p_{(1)xx}, p_{(1)gy}$, etc.

n-th Order Solution: Taking *n*-th order terms also into account in equation (3.2) and substituting the value of $p_{(n),xy}$ in (3.1) and then eliminating, We have

(3.21)
$$\frac{d^2h_n}{dy^2} - mR\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{rm}}\right)\frac{dh_n}{dy} + \frac{R}{R_m p_{rm}}(m^2RR_m - M^2)h_n = f_n(u_{n-1}, n_{n-2}, ...).$$

Knowing the solutions up to the (n-1) th order, we know f. Then we can solve (3.21) to know h_n . Similar procedure as in zeroth order and first order solution gives u_n . Hence in this way theoretically, the solution can be found up to any order we like. But second and higher order solutions will give unwieldy expressions and the solution up to the first order gives fairly approximate values.

4. Conclusion: The graphs (Fig. 4.1, Fig. 4.2) showing the variation of $u (= u_0 + \varepsilon u_1)$,



91



1



and $h(=h_0 + \epsilon h_1)$ versus y are drawn for fixed values of $m, R, R_m, p_{\tau m}, \epsilon$ and for various values of M and φ_I, φ_u and it is found that

- I) As the HARTMANN number increases, velocity is decreasing at every point, hence the fluid is being retarded by the increase in the magnetic field.
- II) The velocity decreases with the increase in the plate conductivities.
- III) Maximum of velocity moves towards the plate with suction with increase of HARTMANN number as well as with the increase in plate conductivities φ_I , φ_a .
- IV) Induced magnetic field increases with the increase in the plate conductivities φ_l, φ_u and decreases with the increase of HARTMANN number.
- V) Induced magnetic field is more near the plate with injection, than that near the plate with suction.

MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF A VISCOELASTIC FLUID







(Fig. 4.3, Fig. 4.4) are the graphs showing the variation oi u and h versus y for fixed values of M_1, m, R, R_m, p_{rm} and φ_I, φ_u or various values of ε , from which it is concluded that

VI) In POISEUILLE flow, the velocity increases at every point due to viscoelastic effects and the maximum of the velocity profile shifts towards the plate with suction.

VII) The induced magnetic field also increase due to viscoelastic effects. (*)

REFERENCES

[1]	HARTMAN, J. AND LAZRUS, F.	;	Kgl. Densk Videnskede, Sciskabe Nat. Fys Nedd. 15, No 6 and 7 (1937).
[²j	GUPTA, A.S.	:	J. Sci. Engg. Res., 401, (1960).
[8]	KAPUR, J.N., AND RATHY, R.K.	:	App. Sci. Res. Section B, 10, 321.
[4]	SHERCLIFF.	;	Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 1, 648, (1956).
(ý)	JAGADESSAN, K.	:	Proc. Nat. Inst. of Sciences, (India), 396, 767.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KANPUR (U.P), INDIA. (Manuscript received October 29, 1966)

ÖZET

Bu yazıda iletken ve viskoelastik bir akışkanın iki mesameli levha arasındaki akışı incelenmektedir : bu olayı incelerken dikine bir magnetik alanın varlığı, emiş veya verişin sabit olduğu ve duvarların elektrik iletkenliğin sonlu bulunduğu kabul edilmiştir. Pertürbasyon metodunu kullanmak suretiyle kısa bir relaksasyon zamanına tekabül eden tam çözümler elde edilmiştir. Viskolastik parametrenin büyümesi halinde hızın azatdığını, halbuki doğurulao magnetik alanın gerek kevha iletkenliği, gerek viskoelastik parametre ile birlikte

büyüdüğü tesbit edilmiştir. Ayrıca çözümden daha başka sonuçlar da çıkarılmıştır.

(*) 1 am thankful to Dr. P. N. SRIVASTAVA for his guidance and Prof. KAPUR for his constant encouragement.