Alper Cenk GÜRKAN¹ Ozan SEVER¹ Fatma Nur ER¹ Ceren SUVEREN² Mehmet KOÇAK² Muhsin HAZAR²

THE COMPARISON OF BALANCE AND BODY FAT PERCENTAGE OF ELITE FUTSAL PLAYERS AND SEDENTARY PEOPLE

ABSTRACT

This study, which was applied to determine the balance and body fat percentage of elite futsal players and to compare them with the sedentary people, consisted of totally 49 people; 12 elite futsal players from Gazi University, 12 elite futsal players from Middle East Technical University and 13 sedentary people from Police Academy. The age, height, weight, balance and body fat percentage data of subjects were measured. The data obtained from the study were analyzed in SPSS 19 by using Kruskal Wallis and Pearson correlation tests and the significance level was accepted as p<0.05. There was no significant difference between the balance values of athletes and sedentary people. The body fat percentage levels of athletes were lower than the levels of sedentary people and besides, there was a positive correlation between the weight and height values of athletes and the weight and body fat percentage of sedentary people. It was thought that, effective factor that determines the weight in sedentary group is body fat and in athlete group is height. In this study, some structural differences of athlete and sedentary groups may be the cause of indifference of balance ability between groups.

Key Words: Futsal, Static Balance, Body Composition.

ÖZET

Elit futsal oyuncularının denge ve vücut ya yüzdelerinin belirlenmesi ve sedanterler ile kar ıla tırılması amacıyla yapılan bu ara tırmaya Gazi Üniversitesi, Ortado u Teknik Üniversitesi ve Polis Akademisinden 12' er elit futsal oyuncusu ile 13 sedanter olmak üzere toplam 49 ki i katılmı tır. Ara tırmaya katılan deneklere özgü ya , boy, vücut a ırlı ı, denge, vücut ya yüzdesi(skinfold) verileri alınmı tır. Ara tırmadan elde edilen veriler SPSS 19 paket programında, Kruskal WalliS H ve Pearson korelasyon testleri kullanılarak p<0,05 anlamlılık seviyesinde analiz edilmi tir. Sporcuların vücut ya yüzdesi sedanterlere göre oldukça dü ükken sporcu ve sedanterlerin denge de erleri arasında anlamlı bir fark bulunamamı tır. Ayrıca sporcuların vücut a ırlı ı ile boy, sedanterlerin vücut a ırlı ı ile vücut ya yüzdesi arasında pozitif yönlü bir ili ki bulunmu tur. Sporcularda a ırlı ı belirleyen etkin faktörün boy, sedanterlerde ise vücut ya yüzdesi oldu u görülmektedir. Bu çalı mada, sporcu ve sedanter gruplar arasındaki bazı yapısal farklılıkların denge de i keni yönünden gruplar arası farkın ortadan kalkmasına etken oldu u dü ünülmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Futsal, Denge, Vücut Kompozisyonu.

¹ Gazi Üniversitesi Sa lık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Beden E itimi ve Spor Anabilim Dalı

² Gazi Üniversitesi Beden E itimi ve Spor Yüksek Okulu

INTRODUCTION

The physical structure, body shape and body functions are accepted as very important factors to reach the high level sportive performance⁴. The genetic capacity and development level of motoric features bring along the proper technique application for sportive performance¹⁻². Among motoric features, the balance is seen as an important factor for the recovery in a short time and the continuation of activity. The balance defined as the cancellation out strengths affecting the trunk³ which is divided into two parts: static and dynamic balance¹⁶. While static balance is ability to equilibrate the body in a place or a position, dynamic balance is ability to equilibrate in motion¹⁷. It is known that the elite athletes show the progressive balance control related to their branches¹⁸. Factors that influence balance sensory include information from obtained and somatosensory, visual, vestibular systems and motor responses that affect coordination, joint range of motion, and strength. 19 Although experts may not agree on the mechanism of balance and postural control, research suggests that changes in both sensory and motor systems with motor responses and trainings experiments influence balance performance.20

In a sport like soccer, players must necessarily perform motor skills and control their posture during the game. In futsal (indoor soccer), players mostly perform lower extremity passing, shooting, dribbling skills and controlling the balance and postural stability which effect the success of movements, passes, shoots. Also, soccer

requires a unipedal posture to perform these different technical movements. The stability of the supporting foot turns out to be critical to shoot as accurately as possible. It seems that proprioception and balance training can lead amateur soccer players to higher levels of technical ability. Therefore, soccer players' postural control should be evaluated considering the specific conditions of soccer.

In this study, the static balance and body fat percentage of elite futsal players and sedentary people have been compared and the correlations of some anthropometric variables with balance are analyzed.

MATERIAL and METHOD

Participants: 36 male futsal players from Police Academy, Middle East Technical University (Metu), Gazi University; and 13 sedentary, totally 49 volunteer participated in this study. Participants' mean age is 21.9 \pm 2.25, height is 177.1 \pm 7.12 and weight is 71.08 \pm 7.

Measurements: Participants' heights measured with "Holtain" brand stadiometer, body weight; measured with Tanita brand weighing and skin thickness measured with "Holtain" brand skinfold kaliper from subscapula and thigh sites. Static balance measurement was done twice for each participant with Lafayatte 16020 IRF/E stabilometer after explaining the device usage. The calculation of body density was done with Sloan and Weir formula and body fat calculated with the formula below.

SF = Skinfold,

Body Density (am/ml) = 1 1043-0 00133 v (Thiah SE)=0.0

Body Density $(gm/ml) = 1.1043-0.00133 \times (Thigh SF)-0.00131 \times (Subscapula SF)$ Body fat $\% = ((4.57/Density)-4.142) \times 10)$. **Statistics:** SPSS package program version 19 used for statistical analysis. Kruskal Wallis H comparison and

Pearson's correlation tests were applied among the groups and variables, p<0.05 accepted as significance level.

FINDINGS

Table 1. The Comparison of age, height, weight, body fat percentage and balance values.

Groups	Age	Height (cm)	Weight(kg)	Body Fat Ratio (%)	Balance (Sec)	
Gazi	Mean	24.92	177.50	73.42	9.82	12.69
University (n=12)	Std. Deviation	2.50	6.35	6.47	1.62	2.85
Metu (n=12)	Mean	21.92	181.00	74.75	12.05	10.51
	Std. Deviation	1.88	8.42	6.17	2.10	1.59
Police	Mean	20.58	178.67	68.33	11.16	13.25
Academy (n=12)	Std. Deviation	1.83	5.45	6.75	2.05	2.92
Sedentary (n=12)		Mean	20.46	17 1.00	68.08	15.97
. //		Std. Deviation	0.97	5.05	6.69	5.86
10-		Chi-Square	21.60	11.32	8.38	15.37
101	878	p	0.00*	0.01*	0.04*	0.00*
*n <0.05		VI				

*p<0,05

In Table 1, there were significant differences in the age, height, weight and

body fat percentage values, but no significant difference in balance values among groups.

Table 2. The comparison of age, height, weight, body fat percentage and balance values of subjects.

Groups	Age	Height (cm)	Weight(kg)	Body Fat Ratio (%)	Balan	ce (sec)
Athlete	Mean	22.47	179.05	72.17	11.00	12.14
	Std. Deviation	2.741	6.81	6.876	2.09	2.73
Sedentary	Mean	20.46	171.76	68.08	15.96	11.41
	Std. Deviation	0.967	5.05	6.689	5.85	3.20
	Z	-2.603	-3.177	-1.587	-3.170	-1.178
	p	0.01*	0.00*	0.11	0.00*	0.23

*p<0,05

In Table 2, it was seen that the age and height values of athletes were higher than the values of sedentary people besides the body fat percentages of sedentary people were higher than the athletes. There was no significant difference between the balance values of athletes and sedentary people.

Tablo 3. The correlation of age, height, weight, body fat percentage and balance values of athletes and sedentary subjects.

Groups Variables	Age	Height (cm)	Weight(kg)	Body Fat Ratio (%)	Balance (sec)
Athlete	Age	1	-0.090	0.123	-0.243
	Height(cm)		1	0.715 [*]	0.217
	Weight(kg)			1	0.312
	Body Fat Ratio%)				1
Sedentary	Age		0.126	0.445	0.289
	Height(cm)		1	0.240	-0.112
	Weight(kg)			1	0.795*
	Body Fat Ratio%)	DE			231

*p<0,05

In Table 3, it could be seen that there were positive relationships between the height

and weight of athletes, between the weight and body fat percentage of sedentary people.

Table 4. The correlation of age, height, weight, body fat percentage and balance values of groups.

Groups	Age	Height (cm)	Weight(kg)	Body Fat Ratio (%)	Balance (sec)
Gazi University	Age	1	-0.020	-0.149	-0.530
	Height(cm)		1	0.875**	0.155
	Weight(kg)			1	0.187
	BBady Fat Ratio%)				>/
Metu	Age	1	0.207	0.194	0.392
1	Height(cm)		1	0.840**	0.003
	Weight(kg)			1	0.184
	BBady Fat Ratio%)		7 2 4 1	MIN.	1
Police	Age	1	-0.379	-0.172	0.068
Academy	Height(cm)		1	0.602	0.362
	Weight(kg)			1	0.668
	BBady Fat Ratio%)		1		1
Sedentary	Age(yıl)	1	0.126	0.445	0.289
	Height(cm)		111	0.240	-0.112
	Weight(kg)			1	0.795**
	BBady Fat Ratio%)				1

*p<0,05

The correlation of age, height, weight, body fat percentage and balance variables of the subjects was investigated. Although there were significant differences in

balance and weight variables of Gazi and Metu futsal teams, this relationship was contrary to each other.

DISCUSSION

In this study, a meaningful difference among the values of body fat percentage of elite futsal players and sedentaries was found, which was lower in athletes(Table 2). In similar studies; Kyle et al. has found the body fat ratio as 18.9±5.2 at the study carried on 3549 sedentary men.⁷ Daud et al. has found the body fat ratio respectively 13.8±4.5 and 16.5±3.4⁵ in elite athletes and sedentaries from seven countries.⁵ In a different study on elite athletes, people making moderate exercise and making no exercise groups the body fat percentage and body mass index were lower in elite athletes group than other two⁶.

While there is a negative relation between elite sportsmen's body weight and static balance (r=-431; p<0.05), no relation found in sedentaries' values (r=-1.31; p>0.05) (Table 3). Hue et al. bring up in their study that body weight's influence on balance is 52% and when age and length factors are added, this rate rises to 63%.9 Deforche et al. found that there is a negative relation between weight and balance of fat and extreme fat people. 10 Suveren, found a negative relation between weight and balance in the study on elite, male handball and volleyball players. 11 Andrea et al. didn't find a relation between weight and balance in his study on 119 sedentaries¹².

In studies on active football players; it was found that football training has a meaningful effect on balance ability⁸⁻¹³⁻¹⁴⁻¹⁵ and it is thought that the physiological system which provides balance is improved more in football players. But on the contrary of the thoughts, in this study there was no difference between footballers and sedentaries on the ability of balance (Table 2). The lack of number of participants or the difference in other variables(height, weight;

which were more in futsal groups than sedentary) in groups are thought to be the reason of this result.

CONCLUSION

As a result, within these parameters, the main variable found which makes difference between athletes and sedentaries was body fat percentage. Interestingly, in study, it cannot be said that futsal players' ability of balance was more improved than sedentary subjects. A significant difference was not found between athletes and sedentary on balance ability. When correlation analyzes made in various variables in athletes and non-athletes; between athletes' height and body weight and in sedentaries' body weight and body fat percentage showed the positive significant correlation. It was thought that, effective factor that determines the weight in sedentary group is body fat percentage and in athlete group is height. The structural difference of athlete and sedentary groups the cause of body be ineffectiveness on balance and indifference of balance ability.

REFERENCES

- Koç H. Comparison of Some Physical and Phsiological Parameters in Eurofit Test Batery of Handball Players Who Took Physical Education Lessons Within the Age 14-16, [14-16 Ya Grubu Hentbolcu ve Beden E itimi Dersi Alan Ö rencilerin Bazı Fiziksel ve Fizyolojik Parametrelerin Eurofit Test Bataryasında De erlendirilmesi.] Master Thesis. Ankara: Gazi University; 1996.
- Akça A. Comparison of Jump, Agility, Quickness, Arm Power and General Endurance Abilities of Handball, Volleyball and Basketball Players.[Hentbolcularda, Voleybolcularda ve Basketbolcularda Sıçrama, Çabukluk, Kol Kuvveti ve Genel Dayanıklılık Özelliklerinin Kar ıla tırılması.] Master Thesis. Bursa: Uluda University; 1993.
- Okubo J, Watanable I, Bron JB, et al. Influence Of Foot Position And Visual Field Condition In The Examination Of Equilibrium Function And Sway Of Centre Of Gravity In Normal Persons. Agressologie, 1979; 20(2):127-132.
- Fox EL, Bowers RW, Foss ML. (1988). The physiological basis of physical education and athletics. 4th., Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia, 376-394; 553-580.
- Daud WND, Ismail M N ve Zawiak H, et al. Anthropometric Measurements And Body Composition Of Selected National Athletes. Mal J Nutr. 1996; 2.138-147.
- Daud WND, Muda WA MW, Abdullah MR, et al. Body Mass Index And Body Fat Status Of Men Involved In Sports, Exercise, And Sedentary Activites. Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences 2009;16(2).
- 7. Kyle UG, Morabia A, Schutz Y, Pichard C, et al. Sedentarism Affects Body Fat Mass Index And Fat-Free Mass Index In Adults Aged 18 To 98 Years. Nutrition 2004; 20.255–260.
- 8. Barone R, Macaluso F, Traina M, Leonardi V, Farina F, Felice VD, et al. Soccer Players Have A Better Standing Balance In Nondominant One-Legged Stance. J Sports Med. 2010;2:1-6.
- Hue O, Simoneau M, Marcotte j, Berrigan F, Dore j, Marceau P, Marceau S, Tremblay A, Teasdale N, et al. Body Weight Is A Strong Predictor Of Postural Stability. Gait and Posture 2007;26.32–38.
- Deforche BI, Hills AP, Worringham CJ, Davies PS, Murphy AJ, Bouckaert JJ, De Bourdeaudhuij IM, et al. Balance And Postural Skills In Normal-Weight And Overweight Prepubertal Boys. Int J Pediatr Obes. 2009;4(3):175-182.
- 11. Suveren C. Corelation Between Body Balance, Body Fat Percentage and Anthropometric Measures in Elite Man Basketball and Handball Players. [Elit Düzeydeki Erkek Basketbol ve Hentbolcuların

- Antropometrik Ölçümleri ve Vücut Ya Oranları ile Denge Düzeyleri Arasındaki li kinin Kar ıla tırılması] Master Thesis. Ankara: Gazi University; 2009.
- Andrea LH, David MW, Elizabeth SH, Stephanie AS, Jennifer SB, et al. Association Of Body Mass Index With Self-Report And Performance-Based Measures Of Balance And Mobility. Phys Ther. 2011;91(8).
- Gioftsidou A, Malliou P, Pafis G, Beneka A, Godolias G, Maganaris CN, et al. The Effects Of Soccer Training And Timing Of Balance Training On Balance Ability. Eur J Appl Physiol 2006 96: 659–664.
- Ghahramani M, Parhodeh Y, et al. Effect Of An 8-Week Endurance Training Program On Muscular Power; Aglity And Balance In Soccer Players. Electronic Physician. 2011; 3(3):239-239.
- Suncan S, Alpaslan Y, Can Y, Süer C, et al. The Different Balance Parameters Evaluation of the Active Soccer Players [Aktif Futbol Oyuncularının Çe itli Denge Parametrelerinin De erlendirilmesi.] J Health Science. 2005;14(1): 36-4.
- 16. Muratlı S. Child and Sports [Çocuk ve Spor] Ankara: Ba ırgan Publishing; 1997.
- 17. Hazar F, Ta mektepligil Y, et al. The Effects of Balance and Flexibility on Agility in Prepuberte Period [Puberte Öncesi Dönemde Denge Ve Esnekli in Çeviklik Üzerine Etkilerinin ncelenmesi.] Spormetre Physical Education and Sport Science Journal. 2008;1: 9-12.
- 18. Erkmen N, Suveren S, Göktepe AS, Yazıcıo lu K et al. The Comparison Of Balance Performance Of The Athletes Who Are n Different Branches. [Farklı Bran lardaki Sporcuların Denge Performanslarının Kar ıla tırılması.] Spormetre Physical Education and Sport Science Journal. 2007;3.115-122.
- 19. Palmieri RM, Ingersoll CD, Stone MB, Krause BA, et al. Center-of-pressure parameters used in the assessment of postural control. J Sport Rehabil. 2002;11:51–66.
- 20. Bressel E, Yonker JC, Kras J, Edward M, et al Comparison of Static and Dynamic Balance in Female Collegiate Soccer, Basketball, and Gymnastics Athletes. Journal of Athletic Training. 2007:42(1):42–46.
- Paillard T, Noe F, Riviere T, Marion V, Montoya R, Dupui P, et al. Postural Performance and Strategy in the Unipedal Stance of Soccer Players at Different Levels of Competition. Journal of Athletic Training. 2006:41(2):172–176.
- Evangelos B, Georgios K, Konstantinos A, Gissis I, Papadopoulos C, Aristomenis S, et al. Proprioception and balance training can improve amateur soccer players' technical skills. Journal of Physical Education and Sport 2012:12(1):81-89.
- 23. Günay M, Tamer K, Cicio Iu . Sport Physiology and Performance Measurement [Spor Fizyolojisi ve performans ölçümü] Ankara: Gazi Publishing; 2010.