Journal of Algebra Combinatorics Discrete Structures and Applications

A modified bordered construction for self-dual codes from group rings

Research Article

Joe Gildea, Abidin Kaya, Alexander Tylyshchak, Bahattin Yildiz

Abstract: We describe a bordered construction for self-dual codes coming from group rings. We apply the constructions coming from the cyclic and dihedral groups over several alphabets to obtain extremal binary self-dual codes of various lengths. In particular we find a new extremal binary self-dual code of length 78.

2010 MSC: 94B05

Keywords: Group rings, Self-dual codes, Codes over rings, Bordered constructions

Introduction 1.

The standard form of the generator matrix of any binary self-dual code of length 2n is of the form $(I_n|A)$ where A is an $n \times n$ matrix satisfying $AA^T = -I_n$. When searching for self-dual codes, some special structure is imposed on the matrix A to make the search field more feasible. Taking A to be a circulant or a block circulant matrix is one of the methods that has been utilized in the literature. Sometimes, from a special such generator matrix, an extension can be achieved by modifying the matrix to get a new self-dual code of higher lengths. While these are generally known as extension methods in the literature, we can also view them as new construction methods for self-dual codes. One such example of a matrix, that we will extend in our constructions is defined in [13] as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1 & 0 & |x_1 & \cdots & x_n| & 1 & \cdots & 1}{y_1 & y_1} & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & & & & \\
y_n & y_n & & & & & \\
\end{pmatrix},$$
(1)

Joe Gildea; University of Chester, UK (email: J.Gildea@chester.ac.uk).

Abidin Kaya; Sampoerna University, Indonesia (email: nabidin@gmail.com).

Alexander Tylyshchak; Uzhgorod State University, Ukraine (email: alxtlk@gmail.com).

 $Bahattin\ Yildiz\ (Corresponding\ Author);\ Northern\ Arizona\ University,\ USA\ (email.'\ bahattin.yildiz@nau.edu).$

where $y_i = x_i + 1$ and $(I_n|A)$ is the generator matrix of a self-dual code of length 2n, possibly coming from a special construction method described above.

In this work, we shall extend the above construction, using matrices A that arise from group rings. Group rings have been used to construct self-dual codes on many occasions. In [2], certain ideals of \mathbb{F}_2S_4 were used to construct the extended binary Golay code. In [15], an isomorphism from a group ring to a certain subring of the $n \times n$ matrices was described. This was used to construct self-dual codes in [16, 18, 19]. In [5], it is shown that zero divisors can't be used to construct the putative [72, 36, 16] code. In [11], it is shown that unitary units can be used to construct self-dual codes under a certain construction, and using such units, many new extremal binary self-dual codes were obtained. In the same work, groups of different orders were used to describe many new construction methods for self-dual codes.

In our work, we will extend the structure of the matrix given in (1) with the matrices that we get from group ring elements to find new methods for constructing self-dual codes. We will apply the constructions coming from groups of order 2p with p an odd number (using the cyclic group C_{2p} and the Dihedral group D_{2p}) over the binary field \mathbb{F}_2 , \mathbb{F}_4 and the rings R_k and $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ to obtain many extremal and best known binary self-dual codes of various lengths: 14, 28, 56, 44, 30, 38, 46, 54, 62, 70 and 78. In particular, we obtain a new extremal binary self-dual code of length 78. Many of these lengths are not well-known like the oft-studied lengths of 64, 66 and 68.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some definitions and notations that will be used in subsequent sections. In section 3 we give the construction together with a special case when it produces self-dual codes. In section 4 we give the computational results. We finish the paper with some concluding remarks and directions for possible future research.

2. Definitions and notations

2.1. Codes

In this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative, finite, Frobenius rings with a multiplicative identity.

A code over a finite commutative ring R is said to be any subset C of R^n . When the code is a submodule of the ambient space then the code is said to be linear. To the ambient space, we attach the usual inner-product, specifically $[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}] = \sum v_i w_i$. The orthogonal with respect to this inner-product is defined as $C^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{w} \in R^n, [\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}] = 0, \forall \mathbf{v} \in C\}$. Since the ring is Frobenius we have that for all linear codes over R, $|C||C^{\perp}| = |R|^n$.

If a code satisfies $C = C^{\perp}$ then the code C is said to be self-dual. If $C \subseteq C^{\perp}$ then the code is said to be self-orthogonal. For binary codes, a self-dual code where all weights are congruent to 0 (mod 4) is said to be Type II and the code is said to be Type I otherwise.

An upper bound on the minimum Hamming distance of a binary self-dual code finalized in [20].

Theorem 2.1. ([20]) Let $d_I(n)$ and $d_{II}(n)$ be the minimum distance of a Type I and Type II binary code of length n, respectively. Then

$$d_{II}(n) \le 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$$

and

$$d_I(n) \le \begin{cases} 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4 & \text{if } n \not\equiv 22 \pmod{24} \\ 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 6 & \text{if } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

Self-dual codes meeting these bounds are called extremal. A best known self-dual code of a given

length is a self-dual code that has the highest possible known minimum distance, for a length for which the existence of an extremal code is not currently known.

Throughout the paper, we will be constructing extremal or best known binary self-dual codes of different lengths.

2.2. Group rings and special matrices

We shall use group rings in our construction so we give the standard definition of a group ring. Let G be a finite group or order n, then the group ring RG consists of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_i} g_i$, $\alpha_{g_i} \in R$, $g_i \in G$.

Addition in the group ring is done by coordinate addition, namely

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_i} g_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{g_i} + \beta_{g_i}) g_i.$$
 (2)

The product of two elements in a group ring is given by

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_i} g_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{g_j} g_j\right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{g_i} \beta_{g_j} g_i g_j. \tag{3}$$

It follows that the coefficient of g_i in the product is $\sum_{g_ig_j=g_k} \alpha_{g_i}\beta_{g_j}$.

We restrict ourselves to finite groups since we are mainly concerned with using these to construct codes whose lengths will be determined by the order of the group.

The following construction of a matrix was first given for codes over fields by Hurley in [15] and extended to rings in [5]. Let R be a finite commutative Frobenius ring and let $G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n\}$ be a group of order n. Let $v = \alpha_{g_1}g_1 + \alpha_{g_2}g_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{g_n}g_n \in RG$. Define the matrix $\sigma(v) \in M_n(R)$ to be

$$\sigma(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{g_1^{-1}g_1} & \alpha_{g_1^{-1}g_2} & \alpha_{g_1^{-1}g_3} & \dots & \alpha_{g_1^{-1}g_n} \\ \alpha_{g_2^{-1}g_1} & \alpha_{g_2^{-1}g_2} & \alpha_{g_2^{-1}g_3} & \dots & \alpha_{g_2^{-1}g_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{g_n^{-1}g_1} & \alpha_{g_n^{-1}g_2} & \alpha_{g_n^{-1}g_3} & \dots & \alpha_{g_n^{-1}g_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4)

We note that the elements $g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1}, \dots, g_n^{-1}$ are the elements of the group G in some given order.

Lemma 2.2. If $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_i} g_i$ is unitary unit of RG and $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_i}$ then $\mu^2 = 1$.

Proof. The map $*:RG \to RG$ defined by $\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g\right)^* = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^{-1}$ is an antiautomorphism of RG of order 2. An element v of V(KG) satisfying $vv^* = 1$ is called unitary. The homomorphism $\varepsilon:RG \to R$ given by $\varepsilon\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g_i} g_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g_i}$ is called the augmentation mapping of RG. Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g_i} g_i$, then $v^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g_i} g_i^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon(v) = \varepsilon(v^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g_i} = \mu$. Therefore $\varepsilon(vv^*) = \varepsilon(v)\varepsilon(v^*) = \mu^2 = 1$.

2.2.1. $\sigma(v)$ for D_{2v} and C_{2v}

In what follows, $circ(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ denotes the $m \times m$ criculant matrix whose first row is given by $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$.

Let
$$G = C_{2p} = \langle x | x^{2p} = 1 \rangle$$
. If $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{1} a_{1+i+pj} x^{2i+j} \in RC_{2p}$, then

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B' & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $A = circ(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$, $B = circ(a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{2p})$ and $B' = circ(a_{2p}, a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{2p-1})$.

Let
$$G = D_{2p} = \langle x, y | x^p = y^2 = 1, x^y = x^{-1} \rangle$$
. If $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{1} a_{1+i+pj} x^i y^j \in RD_{2p}$, then

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & A^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $A = circ(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ and $B = circ(a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{2p})$, and A^T represents the transpose of A.

2.3. Rings

We shall use several alphabets in our constructions, including the binary field \mathbb{F}_2 , the quaternary field \mathbb{F}_4 and rings R_k and $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$.

2.3.1. The ring family R_k

The ring family R_k were defined in [8] and [9]. We briefly give the descriptions of these rings. For $k \ge 1$, define

$$R_k = \mathbb{F}_2[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k] / \langle u_i^2 = 0, u_i u_j = u_j u_i \rangle.$$
 (5)

For k = 1, we denote the rings by $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, and when k = 2, we denote them by $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$, both of which have been considered in coding theory quite extensively.

The rings can also be defined recursively as:

$$R_k = R_{k-1}[u_k]/\langle u_k^2 = 0, u_k u_j = u_j u_k \rangle = R_{k-1} + u_k R_{k-1}.$$
(6)

For any subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we will fix

$$u_A := \prod_{i \in A} u_i \tag{7}$$

with the convention that $u_{\emptyset} = 1$. Then any element of R_k can be represented as

$$\sum_{A \subset \{1,\dots,k\}} c_A u_A, \qquad c_A \in \mathbb{F}_2. \tag{8}$$

It is shown in [8] that the ring R_k is a commutative ring with $|R_k| = 2^{(2^k)}$. It is also shown that

$$\forall a \in R_k \quad a^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a unit} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (9)

We shall now recall the Gray map from R_k to $\mathbb{F}_2^{2^k}$. For R_1 we have the following map: $\phi_1(a+bu)=(b,a+b)$. Then let $c\in R_k$, c can be written as $c=a+bu_{k-1},a,b\in R_{k-1}$. Then

$$\phi_k(c) = (\phi_{k-1}(b), \phi_{k-1}(a+b)). \tag{10}$$

The map ϕ_k is a distance preserving map and the following is shown in [9].

Theorem 2.3. Let C be a self-dual code over R_k , then $\phi_k(R_k)$ is a binary self-dual code of length $2^k n$.

2.3.2. The ring $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$

Let $\mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2(\omega)$ be the quadratic field extension of \mathbb{F}_2 , where $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$. The ring $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ is defined via $u^2 = 0$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ can be viewed as an extension of $R_1 = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ and so we can describe any element of $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ in the form $\omega a + \bar{\omega} b$ uniquely, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$.

A linear code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ is an $(\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4)$ -submodule of $(\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4)^n$. In [10] and [6] the following Gray maps were introduced;

$$\begin{array}{l} \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4}: \left(\mathbb{F}_4\right)^n \to \left(\mathbb{F}_2\right)^{2n} \\ a\omega + b\overline{\omega} \mapsto (a,b) \;, \; a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \\ \end{array} \right| \left. \begin{array}{l} \varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2}: \left(\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2\right)^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n} \\ a + bu \mapsto (b,a+b) \;, \; a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n. \end{array} \right.$$

Those were generalized to the following maps in [17];

$$\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}: (\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4)^n \to (\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2)^{2n} \left\| \varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}: (\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4)^n \to \mathbb{F}_4^{2n} \right\| a + b\overline{\omega} \mapsto (a,b), \ a,b \in (\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2)^n \left\| a + bu \mapsto (b,a+b), \ a,b \in \mathbb{F}_4^n \right\| a + bu \mapsto (b,a+b), \ a,b \in \mathbb{F}_4^n$$

These maps preserve orthogonality in the corresponding alphabets. The binary images $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ and $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4} \circ \varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ are equivalent. The Lee weight of an element is defined to be the Hamming weight of its binary image.

Proposition 2.4. ([17]) Let C be a code over $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$. If C is self-orthogonal, so are $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ and $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$. C is a Type I (resp. Type II) code over $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ if and only if $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ is a Type I (resp. Type II) \mathbb{F}_4 -code, if and only if $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ is a Type I (resp. Type II) $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ -code. Furthermore, the minimum Lee weight of C is the same as the minimum Lee weight of $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ and $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$.

Corollary 2.5. Suppose that C is a self-dual code over $\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4$ of length n and minimum Lee distance d. Then $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2}\circ\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ is a binary [4n,2n,d] self-dual code. Moreover, C and $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2}\circ\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ have the same weight enumerator. If C is Type I (Type II), then so is $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2+u\mathbb{F}_2}\circ\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4+u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$.

3. The construction

Let $v \in RG$ where R is a finite Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and G is a finite group of order 2p and p is odd. Define the following matrix:

	α_1	0	$\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2$	$\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_3$	$\alpha_4 \cdots \alpha_4 \alpha_4 \cdots \alpha_4$
	$\alpha_2 + 1$	$\alpha_2 + 1$			
	:	:	I_p	0_p	
()	$\begin{array}{c c} \alpha_2 + 1 \\ \alpha_3 + 1 \end{array}$	$\alpha_2 + 1$			$\sigma(v)$
$M(\sigma) =$	$\alpha_3 + 1$	$\alpha_3 + 1$			0(0)
	:	÷	0_p	I_p	
	$\alpha_3 + 1$	$\alpha_3 + 1$		_	

Let C_{σ} be a code that is generated by the matrix $M(\sigma)$ and $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g_i}$. Let $A_1 = (\alpha_1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$M(\sigma)(M(\sigma))^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1}A_{1}^{T} + A_{2}A_{2}^{T} + A_{3}A_{3}^{T} & A_{1}B_{1}^{T} + A_{2} + A_{3}\sigma(v)^{T} \\ B_{1}A_{1}^{T} + A_{2}^{T} + \sigma(v)A_{3}^{T} & B_{1}B_{1}^{T} + I + \sigma(v)\sigma(v)^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

- $A_1A_1^T + A_2A_2^T + A_3A_3^T = \alpha_1^2 + p\alpha_2^2 + p\alpha_3^2 + 2p\alpha_4^2 = \alpha_1^2 + p(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)^2$.
- $A_1B_1^T + A_2 + A_3\sigma(v)^T = (\alpha_1(\alpha_2 + 1), \dots, \alpha_1(\alpha_2 + 1), \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + 1), \dots, \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + 1)) + (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_3) + (\alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_4)\sigma(v) = (\alpha_1(\alpha_2+1) + \alpha_2 + \mu\alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_1(\alpha_2+1) + \alpha_2 + \mu\alpha_4, \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + 1) + \alpha_3 + \mu\alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_1(\alpha_3 + 1) + \alpha_3 + \mu\alpha_4)$ and
- $B_1B_1^T + I + \sigma(v)\sigma(v)^T = I + \sigma(v)(\sigma(v)^T = I + \sigma(vv^*).$

Theorem 3.1. Let R be a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2, G be a finite group of order 2p where p is odd and $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{2p} \alpha_{g_i}$. If $\alpha_1^2 + p(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)^2 = 0$, $\alpha_1(\alpha_2 + 1) + \alpha_2 + \mu\alpha_4 = 0$, $\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + 1) + \alpha_3 + \mu\alpha_4 = 0$ vv* = 1 and $(\alpha_1, p(\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 + 1)), \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3 + 1)$ has free rank 1 then C_{σ} is a self-dual code of length 4p + 2.

Proof. If $vv^* = 1$, then $I + \sigma(vv^*) = 0$. Additionally, let $\alpha_1^2 + p(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)^2 = 0$, $\alpha_1(\alpha_2 + 1) + \alpha_2 + \mu\alpha_4 = 0$ and $\alpha_1(\alpha_3 + 1) + \alpha_3 + \mu\alpha_4 = 0$, Therefore C_{σ} is self-orthogonal. It remains to show that the $M(\sigma)$ has free rank 2p + 1.

$$\operatorname{rank}(M(\sigma)) = \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \cdots & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 \\ \hline \alpha_2 + 1 & \alpha_2 + 1 & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & I_p & & 0_p & & & \\ \hline \alpha_2 + 1 & \alpha_2 + 1 & & & & & & \\ \hline \alpha_3 + 1 & \alpha_3 + 1 & & & & & & \\ \hline \alpha_3 + 1 & \alpha_3 + 1 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$=\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \gamma_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \cdots & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & I_p & & & 0_p & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & \alpha_3 + 1 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 0_p & & I_p & & & & \\ 0 & \alpha_3 + 1 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{rank} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_1 & \gamma_2 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \cdots & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & I_p & & 0_p & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 0_p & & I_p & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_p & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(v)^T & I_p \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$=\operatorname{rank}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 & \cdots & \gamma_3 & \gamma_4 & \cdots & \gamma_4 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 & \cdots & \alpha_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\gamma_1 = p\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1)$, $\gamma_2 = p\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1) + p\alpha_3(\alpha_3 + 1)$, $\gamma_3 = \alpha_2 + \mu\alpha_4 = \alpha_2 + 1$ and $\gamma_4 = \alpha_3 + \mu\alpha_4 = \alpha_3 + 1$. Finally,

where $\gamma_5 = \alpha_4 + \mu^2 \alpha_4 = \alpha_4 + (1)\alpha_4 = 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore C_{σ} is self if $(\alpha_1, p(\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1) + \alpha_3(\alpha_3 + 1)), \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3 + 1)$ has free rank 1.

The family of rings R_k is particularly well suited for this construction.

Corollary 3.2. Let $R = R_k$ and let G be a finite group of order 2p where p is odd. Let $v \in RG$ be a unitary unit. Then if $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ is any unit then C_{σ} is a self-dual code of length 4p + 2.

Proof. We will show that this case satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. If v is a unitary unit then $vv^* = 1$. If $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ is a unit then $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)^2 = 1$ by (9). Then $1 + p(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)^2 = 1 + p(1) = 0$ and $vv^* = 1$.

4. Computational results

In this section, we apply the constructions discussed in the previous section over particular groups and rings that have been described before. The sizes of the groups and the alphabets used lead to particular lengths for self-dual codes. In all the subsequent subsections, we tabulate the extremal binary self-dual codes or the best-known (if the existence of extremal self-dual codes is not known for that length) self-dual codes of the certain lengths.

4.1. Constructions from groups of order 6

We first apply construction D_6 over the binary field and \mathbb{F}_4 .

Table 1. Extremal binary self-dual code of length 14 from D_6

$$\frac{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \quad (a_1, \dots, a_6) \quad |Aut(C)|}{(1, 0, 1, 1) \quad (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \quad 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2}$$

Table 2. Extremal self-dual code of length 28 coming from applying D_6 over \mathbb{F}_4

$$\frac{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \quad (a_1, \dots, a_6) \quad |Aut(C)|}{(1, \omega, \omega + 1, 1) \quad (0, 0, 1, 1, \omega, \omega + 1) \quad 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7}$$

In [14], the possible weight enumerators for a self-dual Type I [56, 28, 10] code were obtained in two forms as:

$$W_{56,1} = 1 + (308 + 4\alpha) y^{10} + (4246 - 8\alpha) y^{12} + (40852 - 28\alpha) y^{14} + \cdots$$

$$W_{56,2} = 1 + (308 + 4\alpha) y^{10} + (3990 - 8\alpha) y^{12} + (42900 - 28\alpha) y^{14} + \cdots$$

where α is an integer. Applying the constructions over the ring $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$, we will be able to get binary self-dual codes of length 56. For brevity of notation, we need a brief notation for the elements of $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$.

 $0 \leftrightarrow 0000, \ 1 \leftrightarrow 0001, \ 2 \leftrightarrow 0010, \ 3 \leftrightarrow 0011, \ 4 \leftrightarrow 0100, \ 5 \leftrightarrow 0101, \ 6 \leftrightarrow 0110, \ 7 \leftrightarrow 0111, \ 8 \leftrightarrow 1000, \ 9 \leftrightarrow 1001, \ A \leftrightarrow 1010, \ B \leftrightarrow 1011, \ C \leftrightarrow 1100, \ D \leftrightarrow 1101, \ E \leftrightarrow 1110, \ F \leftrightarrow 1111.$

We use the ordered basis $\{u\omega, \omega, u, 1\}$ to express the elements of $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$. For instance, $1 + u\omega$ corresponds to 1001, which is represented by the hexadecimal 9.

In the following tables $\alpha = m_i$ denotes that the weight enumerator of the code has parameter $\alpha = m$ in W_i , i = 1, 2.

Table 3. [56, 28, 10] codes over $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ from D_6 where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 6, F, 1)$

	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type
	(A, A, 1, 1, 4, 5)	$2^3 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -32_2$	(A, A, 1, 3, C, F)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -8_2$
((A, A, 1, B, 6, D)	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$\alpha = -56_2$	(A, 8, 3, 1, 6, 7)	$2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -26_2$
	(A, 8, 3, 3, E, D)	$2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -20_2$	(8, 8, 1, 1, 4, 5)	$2^4 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -8_2$
	(8, 8, 1, 3, C, F)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -32_2$			

Table 4. [56, 28, 10] codes over $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ from D_6 where $|Aut(C)| = 2 \cdot 3$

$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_4)$	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Type	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_4)$	Type
(1, 4, 7, 1)	(0,0,9,9,C,D)	$\alpha = -8_2$	(1, 4, 7, 1)	(0, 8, 3, 1, 4, F)	$\alpha = -24_2$
(1, 4, 7, 1)	(0,0,B,1,C,7)	$\alpha = -18_2$	(1, 4, 7, 1)	(0,0,B,3,4,D)	$\alpha = -12_2$
(1,4,7,1)	(2,0,B,3,C,7)	$\alpha = -20_2$	(1, 4, 7, 1)	(2,0,B,B,6,5)	$\alpha = -14_2$
(1,4,7,1)	(2, A, 1, 3, 6, D)	$\alpha = -26_2$	(1, 4, 7, 9)	(A, 8, 3, 3, C, 7)	$\alpha = -6_2$
(1, 4, 7, 9)	(8, 2, 9, 3, E, 7)	$\alpha = -38_2$	(1, 4, 7, 9)	(8, 2, B, 3, 4, F)	$\alpha = -30_2$
(1, 4, D, 1)	(0, 8, 1, 1, E, 7)	$\alpha = -32_2$	(1, 4, D, 1)	(A, 0, 9, 9, E, 5)	$\alpha = -32_1$
(1, 4, D, 1)	(A,2,B,B,4,D)	$\alpha = -26_1$	(1, 4, D, 1)	(A, A, 3, 3, 6, 7)	$\alpha = -14_1$
(1,4,D,9)	(0,0,9,1,4,5)	$\alpha = -26_1$	(1, 4, D, 9)	(A, 0, 3, 9, 4, D)	$\alpha = -38_1$
(1,4,F,1)	(2, 8, 9, B, 6, F)	$\alpha = -28_1$	(1, 4, F, 1)	(A, 8, 1, 1, C, F)	$\alpha = -221$
(1, 4, F, 9)	(0,0,9,3,C,F)	$\alpha = -16_1$	(1, 4, F, 9)	(0, 8, 1, B, E, 5)	$\alpha = -28_1$
(1,4,F,9)	(8, 2, 3, 9, 4, D)	$\alpha = -40_1$	(1, 4, F, 9)	(8, 2, 3, B, C, 7)	$\alpha = -46_1$

We can also construct self-dual codes of length 56 from applying the construction D_6 over the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$ as well. This is a ring of size 16, so in the same way as was done for $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$, we can use hexadecimals to shorten the notations. The correspondence between the binary 4 tuples and

the hexadecimals is as follows:

 $0 \leftrightarrow 0000, \ 1 \leftrightarrow 0001, \ 2 \leftrightarrow 0010, \ 3 \leftrightarrow 0011, \ 4 \leftrightarrow 0100, \ 5 \leftrightarrow 0101, \ 6 \leftrightarrow 0110, \ 7 \leftrightarrow 0111, \ 8 \leftrightarrow 1000, \ 9 \leftrightarrow 1001, \ A \leftrightarrow 1010, \ B \leftrightarrow 1011, \ C \leftrightarrow 1100, \ D \leftrightarrow 1101, \ E \leftrightarrow 1110, \ F \leftrightarrow 1111.$

The ordered basis $\{uv, v, u, 1\}$ is used to express elements of $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$ For instance, 1 + u + v is represented as 0111 which corresponds to hexadecimal 7.

Table 5. [56, 28, 10] codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$ from D_6 where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 6, B, 1)$

(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type
(4, 1, 9, 1, 7, B)	$2^3 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -28_2$	(4, 5, D, 1, F, 3)	$2^3 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -52_2$
(4,1,9,1,B,7)	$2^3 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -4_2$	(4, 5, D, 9, 7, 3)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -4_2$
(4, 5, D, 9, 3, 7)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -16_2$	(4,7,F,1,3,F)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -28_2$
(4, 7, F, 9, F, B)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -40_2$			

Table 6. [56, 28, 10] codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$ from D_6 where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 2, 5, 1)$

(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type
(6,1,9,1,B,5)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -14_1$	(6,1,9,1,D,3)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -26_1$
(0,1,9,5,3,F)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -18_1$	(0,1,9,5,7,B)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -42_1$
(0,1,9,7,D,3)	$2^2 \cdot 3$	$\alpha = -30_1$			

Table 7. [56, 28, 10] **codes over** $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$ **from** D_6 **where** $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 2, 7, 1)$

$$\frac{(a_1, \dots, a_6) \quad |Aut(C)| \quad \text{Type} \quad (a_1, \dots, a_6) \quad |Aut(C)| \quad \text{Type}}{(C, 7, F, 9, 7, B) \quad 2^2 \cdot 3 \quad \alpha = -38_1 \quad (C, 7, F, 9, B, 7) \quad 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13 \quad \alpha = -38_1}$$

4.2. Constructions from groups of order 10

Table 8. Extremal binary self-dual code of length 22 from D_{10}

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$	(a_1,\ldots,a_{10})	Aut(C)
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1)	$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$

In [7], the possible weight enumerators for a self-dual Type I [44, 22, 8] code were obtained in two forms as:

$$W_{44,1} = 1 + (44 + 4\beta)y^8 + (976 - 8\beta)y^{10} + \cdots$$
 where $10 \le \beta \le 122$ and

 $W_{44,2} = 1 + (44 + 4\beta)y^8 + (1232 - 8\beta)y^{10} + \cdots \text{ where } 10 \le \beta \le 154.$

Table 9. Extremal self-dual code of length 44 over \mathbb{F}_4 from D_{10}

$(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)$	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,0,1,1,1,\omega,\omega,\omega+1,\omega+1)$	$2^4 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 14)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,0,\omega,\omega+1,0,\omega,\omega+1,\omega,\omega+1)$	$2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,0,\omega,\omega+1,1,1,\omega,1,\omega+1)$	$2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,1,1,0,\omega,\omega,\omega+1,\omega+1)$	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 34)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,\omega,\omega+1)$	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 34)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,\omega,\omega+1,0,1,1,\omega+1,\omega)$	5	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 14)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,\omega,\omega+1,0,1,\omega,1,\omega+1)$	5	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 9)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,\omega,\omega+1,1,\omega,\omega,\omega,\omega)$	$2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,\omega,1,\omega,0,1,1,\omega+1,\omega+1)$	$2^2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,\omega,1,\omega,1,\omega,\omega,\omega,\omega+1)$	$2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,\omega,1,\omega+1,1,1,1,1,1)$	$2^{16} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 74)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,\omega,\omega,1,0,\omega,\omega,\omega+1,\omega)$	$2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,\omega,\omega,\omega+1,\omega+1,1,\omega,\omega+1,\omega,\omega+1)$	$2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 4)$
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(1,1,1,\omega,\omega+1,1,\omega,\omega+1,\omega,\omega+1)$	$2^2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 14)$

Table 10. Extremal self-dual code of length 44 over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ from C_{10}

$(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)$	(a_1,\ldots,a_6)	Aut(C)	Type
(1, u, 1, 1)	(u, u, u, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, u + 1, 0)	$2^2 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,1} \ (\beta = 32)$
(1, u, 1, 1)	(u, u, u, 1, 1, u + 1, u + 1, 0, u + 1, 0)	$2^{15} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$	$W_{44,1} \ (\beta = 122)$
(1, u, 1, 1)	(u, 0, u, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	$2^4 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 10)$
(1, u, 1, 1)	(u, 0, u, 1, 1, u + 1, u + 1, 0, 1, 0)	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 30)$
(1, u, 1, 1)	(0, u, 0, 1, 1, u + 1, u + 1, u, u + 1, u)	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,1} \ (\beta = 12)$
(1, u, 1, 1)	(0,0,0,1,1,1,1,u,1,u)	$2^{16} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 90)$
(1, u, u + 1, 1)	(u, 0, u, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	$2^4 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 14)$
(1, u, u + 1, 1)	(u, 0, u, 1, 1, u + 1, u + 1, 0, 1, 0)	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 34)$
(1, u, u + 1, 1)	(0,0,0,1,1,1,1,u,1,u)	$2^{16} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 74)$
(1,0,u+1,1)	(u, 0, u, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	$2^4 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 14)$
(1,0,u+1,1)	(u, 0, u, 1, 1, u + 1, u + 1, 0, 1, 0)	$2^3 \cdot 5$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 34)$
(1,0,u+1,1)	(0,0,0,1,1,1,1,u,1,u)	$2^{16} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2$	$W_{44,2} \ (\beta = 154)$

4.3. Constructions from groups of order 14

Table 11. Extremal binary self-dual code of length 30 from D_{14}

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{14})	Aut(C)
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1)	$2^11\cdot 3\cdot 7$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,1)	$2^8 \cdot 7$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1)	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$

Table 12. Extremal binary self-dual code of length 30 from C_{14}

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{14})	Aut(C)
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0)	$2^8 \cdot 7$

Table 13. Extremal binary self-dual code of length 60 over \mathbb{F}_4 from D_{14}

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{14})	Aut(C)	Type
$(1,\omega,\omega+1,1)$	$(0,0,1,\omega,1,\omega,1,0,1,\omega+1,0,\omega,\omega+1,\omega+1)$	$2^2 \cdot 7$	$W_{60,1} \ (\beta = 0)$

4.4. Constructions from groups of order 18

Table 14. Extremal binary self-dual code of length 38 from D_{18}

$(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{18})	Aut(C)
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1)	$2 \cdot 3^2$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1)	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 19$

4.5. Constructions from groups of order 22

Table 15. [46, 22, 8] codes from D_{22}

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{22})	Aut(C)
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1)	$2 \cdot 11$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0	$2 \cdot 11$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1)	11
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	$2^{15} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 23^2$

Table 16. [46, 22, 8] codes from C_{22}

$(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)$	(a_1,\ldots,a_{22})	Aut(C)
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1)	$2 \cdot 11$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0)	$2 \cdot 11$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,0)	$2 \cdot 11$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1)	2 · 11
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	$2^{15} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 23^2$

4.6. Constructions from groups of order 26

From [3], it is known that the weight enumerator of a [54, 27, 10] self-dual code can be of the following form:

$$W_{54,1} = 1 + (351 - 8\beta)y^{10} + (5031 + 24\beta)y^{12} + \dots$$

$$W_{54,2} = 1 + (351 - 8\beta)y^{10} + (5543 + 24\beta)y^{12} + \dots$$

In the following tables, we consturct inequivalent self-dual codes of parameters [54, 27, 10] from D_{26} and C_{26} .

Table 17. Inequivalent [54, 27, 10] codes from D_{26}

$(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{26})	Aut(C)	Type
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,1)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1)	13	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,1)	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,1,1,1)	13	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1	$2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1)	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$

Table 18. Inequivalent [54, 27, 10] codes from C_{26}

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$	(a_1,\dots,a_{26})	Aut(C)	Type
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0)	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,0)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,1)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,0)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0)	$2 \cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$
(1,0,1,1)	(0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0)	$2\cdot 3\cdot 13$	$W_{54,1} \ (\beta = 0)$

4.7. Constructions from groups of order 30

There are two possibilities for the weight enumerators of extremal singly-even $[62, 31, 12]_2$ codes ([3]):

$$W_{62,1} = 1 + 2308y^{12} + 23767y^{14} + \cdots$$

 $W_{62,2} = 1 + (1860 + 32\beta)y^{12} + (28055 - 160\beta)y^{14} + \cdots$; $0 \le \beta \le 93$.

only codes with weight enumerator for $\beta = 0, 2, 9, 10, 15, 16$ in $W_{62,2}$ known to exist.

Table 19. [62, 31, 12] codes from D_{30} where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 0, 1, 1)$

$\phantom{aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$	Aut(C)	Type
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1	1 (/1	$W_{62,2} \ (\beta = 10)$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1		$W_{62,2} \ (\beta = 10)$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,1)}$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2} \ (\beta = 0)$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2} \ (\beta = 0)$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2} \ (\beta = 10)$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1)}$	$3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2} \ (\beta = 0)$
(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,0	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2}(\beta=0)$
(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,1	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2}(\beta=0)$
(0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$W_{62,2}(\beta=0)$

4.8. Constructions from groups of order 34

The weight enumerator of a self-dual $[70, 35, 12]_2$ code is in one of the following forms ([13]):

$$W_{70,1} = 1 + 2\beta + (11730 - 2\beta - 128\gamma) y^{14} + (150535 - 22\beta + 896\gamma) y^{16} + \cdots$$

$$W_{70,2} = 1 + 2\beta + (9682 - 2\beta) y^{14} + (173063 - 22\beta) y^{16} + \cdots$$

The code with weight enumerator for $\gamma=1,\beta=416$ is constructed in [13]. Together with the results from [4] and [12], the existence of codes with weight enumerators $\gamma=0$ in $W_{70,1}$ is known for many β values. In the following tables we tabulate the [70, 35, 12] self-dual codes from D_{34} and C_{34} together with their β values and automorphism groups. Note that the automorphism groups all have an element of order 17 in them. Naturally, these have the same parameters as the ones obtained in [12]. However, here we have given an alternative construction to those codes.

Table 20. [70, 35, 12] codes from D_{34} where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 0, 1, 1)$

(a_1,\ldots,a_{34})	Aut(C)	$\overline{W_{70,1} \ (\gamma = 0)}$
$\boxed{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 102$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 136$
$\underbrace{ (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1) }_{}$	17	$\beta = 170$
$\underbrace{ (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,1) }_{}$	17	$\beta = 204$
$ \underbrace{ (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,1) }_{} \\$	17	$\beta = 238$
$\underbrace{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1)}$	17	$\beta = 272$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0	17	$\beta = 306$
$\underbrace{ (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,1) }_{}$	17	$\beta = 340$
$\underbrace{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,1)}$	17	$\beta = 374$
$\underbrace{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,1)}$	17	$\beta = 408$
$\underbrace{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1)}$	17	$\beta = 442$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 476$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0	17	$\beta = 510$

Table 21. Extremal [70, 35, 12] codes from C_{34} where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 0, 1, 1)$

(a_1,\ldots,a_{34})	Aut(C)	$W_{70,1} \ (\gamma = 0)$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 102$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 136$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 238$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 272$
(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 306$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 374$
$\overline{(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1)}$	$2 \cdot 17$	$\beta = 442$

4.9. Constructions from groups of order 38

The possible weight enumerators for self-dual codes of parameters [78, 39, 14] are given as follows ([7]):

$$W_{78,1} = 1 + (3705 + 8\beta)y^{14} + (62244 + 512\alpha - 24\beta)y^{16} + \dots, 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{-1}{16}\beta \le 28,$$

$$W_{78,2} = 1 + (3750 + 8\alpha)y^{14} + (71460 - 24\alpha)y^{16} + \dots, -486 \le \alpha \le -135.$$

For many of these parameters, the existence of a code with that weight enumerator is not known. Together with the ones that were recently found in [1, 21, 22], the existence of codes which have $W_{78,1}$ with $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=0,-13,-19-26,-38,-39,-52,-65,-78,-104,-117$ and which have $\alpha=-135$ in $W_{78,2}$.

In the following table we construct [78, 39, 14] self-dual codes from D_{38} . The one with $\beta = -57$ is a new code.

Table 22. Extremal [78, 39, 14] codes from D_{38} where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (1, 0, 1, 1)$ and $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$

(a_5,\dots,a_{34})	Aut(C)	$W_{78,1} \ (\alpha = 0)$
(1,1,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0	$2 \cdot 19$	$\beta = 0$
$\overline{(1,1,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,1)}$	19	$\beta = 0$
$\overline{(1,1,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,1)}$	19	$\beta = -19$
(1,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1	19	$\beta = -38$
$\overline{(1,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,1)}$	19	$\beta = -57$

5. Conclusion

We have integrated a modified bordered construction with the matrices corresponding to a group ring element in RC_{2p} and RD_{2p} where R is a commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and as a result we have been able to obtain many extremal binary self-dual codes. The structure of the groups has allowed us to look at such lengths as 62, 70, 78, etc. that are different than the oft-studied lengths of 64, 66 and 68. In addition to giving an alternative construction to many extremal or best-known self-dual codes we were able to obtain a new code of length 78, with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -57$ in $W_{78,1}$. The results we have obtained demonstrate the relevance of our constructions and may lead to more such results when considered over different rings and groups.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments that helped improve the paper.

References

- [1] D. Anev, N. Yankov, Self-dual codes of length 78 with an automorphism of order 13, in XVth International Workshop on Optimal Codes and Related Topics, Sofia, Bulgaria, July 2017.
- [2] F. Bernhardt, P. Landrock, O. Manz, The extended Golay codes considered as ideals, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 55(2) (1990) 235–246.
- [3] J. H. Conway, N. J. A. Sloane, A new upper bound on the minimal distance of self-dual codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 36(6) (1990) 1319–1333.
- [4] R. Dontcheva, New binary [70, 35, 12] self-dual and binary [72, 36, 12] self-dual doubly-even codes, Serdica Mathematical Journal 24(4) (2001) 287–302.
- [5] S. T. Dougherty, J. Gildea, R. Taylor, A. Tylshchak, Group rings, G-Codes and constructions of self-dual and formally self-dual codes, Des. Codes Crypt. 86(9) (2018) 2115–2138.
- [6] S. T. Dougherty, P. Gaborit, M. Harada, P. Sole, Type II codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 45 (1999) 32–45.
- [7] S. T. Dougherty, M. Harda, T. A. Gulliver, Extremal binary self-dual codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 43(6) (1997) 2036–2047.
- [8] S. T. Dougherty, B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Codes over R_k , Gray maps and their binary images, Finite Fields Appl. 17(3) (2011) 205–219.
- [9] S. T. Dougherty, B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Self-dual codes over R_k and binary self-dual codes, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Mathematics 6(1) (2013) 89–106.
- [10] P. Gaborit, V. Pless, P. Sole, O. Atkin, Type II codes over \mathbb{F}_4 , Finite Fields Appl. 8(2) (2002) 171–183.

- [11] J. Gildea, A. Kaya, R. Taylor, B. Yildiz, Constructions for self-dual codes induced from group rings, Finite Fields Appl. 51 (2018) 71–92.
- [12] M. Gurel, N. Yankov, Self-dual codes with an automophism of order 17, Mathematical Commun. 21(1) (2016) 97–107.
- [13] M. Harada, The existence of a self-dual [70, 35, 12] code and formally self-dual codes, Finite Fields Appl. 3(2) (1997) 131–139.
- [14] M. Harada, K. Saito, Singly even self-dual codes constructed from Hadamard matrices of order 28, Australas. J. Combin. 70 (2018) 288–296.
- [15] T. Hurley, Group rings and rings of matrices, Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math. 31(3) (2006) 319–335.
- [16] T. Hurley, Self-dual, dual-containing and related quantum codes from group rings, arXiv:0711.3983, 2007.
- [17] S. Ling, P. Sole, Type II codes over $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ ", Europ. J. Combinatorics 22 (2001) 983–997.
- [18] I. McLoughlin, A group ring construction of the [48, 24, 12] Type II linear block code, Des. Codes Crypt. 63(1) (2012) 29–41.
- [19] I. McLoughlin, T. Hurley, A group ring construction of the extended binary Golay code, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 54(9) (2008) 4381–4383.
- [20] E. M. Rains, Shadow bounds for self-dual codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 44 (1998) 134–139.
- [21] N. Yankov, D. Anev, M. Gurel, Self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 13, Adv. Math. Commun. 11(3) (2017) 635–645.
- [22] T. Zhang, J. Michel, T. Feng, G. Ge, On the existence of certain optimal self-dual codes with lengths between 74 and 116, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 22(4) (2015) 1–25.