

TRACE REGULARIZATION PROBLEM FOR HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

ÖZLEM BAKŞI*, YONCA SEZER** AND SERPİL KARAYEL***

*YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
(+90212)3634324,
**YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
(+90212)3834330,
***YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
(+90212)3834362

ABSTRACT. We establish a regularized trace formula for higher order self-adjoint differential operator with unbounded operator coefficient.

1. INTRODUCTION AND HISTORY

The first study on the regularized trace of scalar differential operators was performed by Gelfand and Levitan [10]. They studied the boundary value problem

$$y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y, \quad y'(0) = y'(\pi) = 0 \quad \text{with } q(x) \in C^1[0, \pi]$$

and they found the formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \mu_n) = \frac{1}{4} (q(0) + q(\pi)) ,$$

under the assumption $\int_0^\pi q(x)dx = 0$. Where the μ_n are the eigenvalues of this problem. $\lambda_n = n^2$ are the eigenvalues of the same problem with $q(x) = 0$.

After that original work by Gelfand-Levitan, there was a huge interest and many scientists used the same method to obtain the regularized traces of ordinary differential operators. Later, Dikii [5] gave another proof of Gelfand-Levitan's formula from a different point of view. Afterward, Dikii [6] and Gelfand [9] made significant progress in literature by computing regularized sums of powers of eigenvalues. Later on, Levitan [17] calculated the regularized traces of Sturm Liouville Problem with a new method. This research led to Faddeev [7], who connected the trace theory with singular differential operators. Gasimov [8] made the first study combining singular operators with discrete spectrum.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:47A10; Secondaries:34L20, 34L05 .

Key words and phrases. Boundary Value Problem; Asymptotic Formulas for Eigenvalues; Trace Formula; Resolvent Operator; Self-Adjoint Operator; Nuclear Operator.

©2019 Maltepe Journal of Mathematics.

Submitted:March 27th, 2019. Published: April 2020.

Thereafter, many scientists such as Halberg and Kramer [13], Jafaev [15], Makin [19], Yang [23] investigated the regularized traces of various scalar differential operators. The list of these works is given in Levitan and Sargsyan [18] and Sadovnichii and Podolskii [21].

Among the studies, only a few of them are focused on the regularized trace of operator-differential equation with operator coefficient. Halilova [14] obtained the regularized trace of the Sturm-Liouville equation with bounded operator coefficient. Adıgüzelov [1] found a formulation of the subtracting eigenvalues of two self-adjoint operators in $[0, \infty)$ with bounded operator coefficient. Bayramoğlu and Adıgüzelov [4] examined the regularized trace of singular second order differential operator with bounded operator coefficient. Adıgüzelov and Bakşi [2], Sen, Bayramov and Oruçoğlu [22] and Adıgüzelov, Avcı and Gül [12] obtained the equalities for the regularized traces of differential operators with bounded operator coefficient. Aslanova [3] calculated the trace formula of Bessel equation with spectral parameter-dependent boundary condition.

Maksudov, Bayramoğlu and Adıgüzelov [20] investigated the regularized trace formulation of the Sturm Liouville equation with unbounded operator coefficient.

In the present paper, we compute the regularized trace formula for higher order Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \left(\alpha_q - \beta_q - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (Q(x) \varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx \right) = \frac{1}{4} (tr Q(0) - tr Q(\pi)) .$$

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let H be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $H_1 = L_2(0, \pi; H)$ be the set of all strongly measurable functions f defined on $[0, \pi]$ and taking the values in the space H . The following conditions hold for every $f \in H_1$:

1. The scalar function $(f(x), g)$ is Lebesgue measurable on $[0, \pi]$, for every $g \in H$,
2. $\int_0^\pi \|f(x)\|^2 dx < \infty$.

H_1 is a normed linear space. We will denote the inner product and norm by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_1}$ in H_1 . If the inner product is defined as $(f_1, f_2)_{H_1} = \int_0^\pi (f_1(x), f_2(x)) dx$, for any arbitrary elements f_1, f_2 of H_1 , then H_1 becomes a separable Hilbert space, [16]. Let $\{\Phi_q(x)\}_1^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of H_1 .

Consider the following differential expressions

$$\ell_0(v) = (-1)^m v^{(2m)}(x) + Av(x), \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$$

$$\ell(v) = (-1)^m v^{(2m)}(x) + Av(x) + Q(x)v(x). \quad (2.1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$v^{(2i+1)}(0) = v^{(2i)}(\pi) = 0, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1)$$

in H_1 . Here, A is a densely defined operator in H . This operator takes its values in H and satisfies the conditions $A = A^* \geq I$, $A^{-1} \in \sigma_\infty(H)$, where I is the identity operator of H . $\sigma_\infty(H)$ denotes the set of all completely continuous operators from H to H .

Let $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of the operator A counted with respect to their multiplicities and a corresponding orthonormal sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of eigenvectors.

Denote by $D(L_0')$ the set of the functions $v(x)$ in the space H_1 , and the following conditions are satisfied:

(v1) $v(x)$ has continuous $2m$ th order derivative on $[0, \pi]$ with respect to the norm in the space H ,

(v2) $v(x) \in D(A)$ for every $x \in [0, \pi]$, and $Av(x)$ is continuous on $[0, \pi]$ with respect to the norm in H ,

(v3) $v^{(2i+1)}(0) = v^{(2i)}(\pi) = 0$, $(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1)$.

Here, $D(L_0')$ is dense in H_1 . Define a linear operator $L_0' : D(L_0') \rightarrow H_1$ as $L_0'v = \ell_0(v)$.

The construction above shows that L_0' is symmetric. Considering the linearity of L_0' , its eigenvalues can be calculated by mathematical induction. Therefore, the eigenvalues of L_0' are the form $(k + \frac{1}{2})^{2m} + \gamma_j$, $(k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots)$ and the orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to these eigenvalues are the form $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\varphi_j \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x$. We can see that the orthonormal eigenvector sequence of the symmetric operator L_0' is a complete orthonormal system in H_1 . Since L_0' is symmetric, then it is closable. Thus, we can define L_0 as $L_0 = \overline{L_0'}$.

Assume that the operator function $Q(x)$ in 2.1 verifies the conditions:

(Q1) $Q(x) : H \rightarrow H$ is a self-adjoint operator for every $x \in [0, \pi]$,

(Q2) $Q(x)$ is weak measurable on $[0, \pi]$, that is the scalar function $(Q(x)f, g)$ is measurable on $[0, \pi]$ for every $f, g \in H$,

(Q3) The function $\|Q(x)\|$ is bounded on $[0, \pi]$.

In the present paper, we establish a regularized trace formula for the operator $L = L_0 + Q$.

Now, we search some inequalities for the eigenvalues and resolvent operators of L_0 and L .

Consider the closed symmetric operator $L_0 : D(L_0) \rightarrow H_1$.

Since the eigenvector system $\{\varphi_j \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x\}_{k=0, j=1}^{\infty}$ of L_0 is complete, L_0 is self-adjoint, [2]. Moreover, since the bounded operator $Q : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ is self-adjoint, the operator, $L = L_0 + Q$ is also self-adjoint. Therefore, L_0 and L have purely-discrete spectrum, [2]. Let $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be increasing sequences of eigenvalues of L_0 and L . Denote by $\rho(L_0)$ and $\rho(L)$ the resolvent sets of L_0 and L .

We can prove the Theorem 2.1, by using [2].

Theorem 2.1. *Let the operator function $Q(x)$ satisfy the conditions (Q1) to (Q3).*

If $\gamma_j \sim aj^\ell$ $(0 < a, \ell < \infty)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha_n, \beta_n \sim dn^{\frac{2m\ell}{2m+\ell}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

where $d = \left(\frac{\ell a^{\frac{1}{\ell}}}{2b}\right)^{\frac{2m\ell}{2m+\ell}}$ and $b = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin t)^{\frac{2}{\ell}-1} (\cos t)^{1+\frac{1}{m}} dt$.

From Theorem 2.1, one can see that the sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ has a subsequence

$\beta_{n_1} < \beta_{n_2} < \dots < \beta_{n_p} < \dots$ such that

$$\beta_q - \beta_{n_p} > d_0 \left(q^{\frac{2m\ell}{2m+\ell}} - n_p^{\frac{2m\ell}{2m+\ell}} \right), \quad (q = n_p + 1, n_p + 2, \dots). \quad (2.2)$$

Here, d_0 is a positive constant.

Let $R_\alpha^0 = (L_0 - \alpha I)^{-1}$, $R_\alpha = (L - \alpha I)^{-1}$ be the resolvent operators of L_0 and L .

If $\ell > \frac{2m}{2m-1}$, then by Theorem 2.1, R_α^0 and R_α are nuclear operators for $\alpha \neq \alpha_q, \beta_q$ ($q = 1, 2, \dots$). In this case, we have the formula

$$\operatorname{tr}(R_\alpha - R_\alpha^0) = \operatorname{tr}R_\alpha - \operatorname{tr}R_\alpha^0 = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_q - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta_q - \alpha} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

[11]. Let $|\alpha| = b_p = 2^{-1}(\beta_{n_p+1} + \beta_{n_p})$. This says that for the large value of p , the inequalities

$\beta_{n_p} < b_p < \beta_{n_p+1}$ and $\alpha_{n_p} < b_p < \alpha_{n_p+1}$ are satisfied. By using the last inequalities, one can prove that the series $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_q - \alpha}$ and $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{\beta_q - \alpha}$ are uniform convergent on the circle $|\alpha| = b_p$. Hence by 2.3

$$\sum_{q=1}^{n_p} (\alpha_q - \beta_q) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \alpha \operatorname{tr}(R_\alpha - R_\alpha^0) d\alpha. \quad (2.4)$$

We have two lemmas by using [2]:

Lemma 2.2. *If $\gamma_j \sim a j^\ell$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $a > 0$, $\ell > \frac{2m}{2m-1}$, then*

$$\|R_\alpha^0\|_{\sigma_1(H_1)} < \operatorname{const}. n_p^{1-\delta}, \quad (\delta = \frac{2m\ell}{2m + \ell} - 1),$$

on the circle $|\alpha| = b_p$.

Lemma 2.3. *If the operator function $Q(x)$ satisfies conditions (Q1) to (Q3), and*

$\gamma_j \sim a j^\ell$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then for the large values of p

$$\|R_\alpha\|_{H_1} < \operatorname{const}. n_p^{-\delta}$$

on the circle $|\alpha| = b_p$, where $a > 0$, $\ell > \frac{2m}{2m-1}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will compute regularized trace formula for the operator L .

With the well-known formula $R_\alpha = R_\alpha^0 - R_\alpha Q R_\alpha^0$ ($\alpha \in \rho(L_0) \cap \rho(L)$) and by 2.4, we obtain:

$$\sum_{q=1}^{n_p} (\alpha_q - \beta_q) = \sum_{j=1}^s E_{pj} + E_p^{(s)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here,

$$E_{pj} = \frac{(-1)^j}{2\pi i j} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \operatorname{tr} [(Q R_\alpha^0)^j] d\alpha, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (3.2)$$

$$E_p^{(s)} = \frac{(-1)^s}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \alpha \operatorname{tr} [R_\alpha (Q R_\alpha^0)^{s+1}] d\alpha. \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.1. *If the operator function $Q(x)$ satisfies conditions (Q1) to (Q3) and $\gamma_j \sim aj^\ell$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ then*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{pj} = 0, \quad (j = 2, 3, 4, \dots),$$

where $a > 0$ and $\ell > \frac{2m+2\sqrt{2m}}{2\sqrt{2m}-\sqrt{2}-1}$.

Proof: Substituting $p=2$ into 3.2, we obtain the equality

$$E_{p2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=n_p+1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\alpha=b_p} \frac{d\alpha}{(\alpha - \beta_j)(\alpha - \beta_k)} \right) (Q\Phi_j, \Phi_k)_{H_1} (Q\Phi_k, \Phi_j)_{H_1}. \quad (3.4)$$

It readily follows that

$$|E_{p2}| \leq \|Q\|_{H_1}^2 \Lambda_p. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, $\Lambda_p = \sum_{k=n_p+1}^{\infty} (\beta_k - \beta_{n_p})^{-1}$, $(p = 1, 2, \dots)$.

Using 3.5, we obtain

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{p2} = 0, \quad \left(\ell > \frac{2m}{2m-1} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we wish to see that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{p3} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By 3.2, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p3} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=1}^{n_p} \sum_{s=n_p+1}^{\infty} [F(j, k, s) + F(s, k, j) + F(j, s, k)] \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=n_p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n_p+1}^{\infty} [F(j, k, s) + F(s, k, j) + F(k, j, s)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where,

$$F(j, k, s) = g(j, k, s) (Q\Phi_j, \Phi_k)_{H_1} (Q\Phi_k, \Phi_s)_{H_1} (Q\Phi_s, \Phi_j)_{H_1},$$

$$g(j, k, s) = \frac{1}{6\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta_j)(\alpha - \beta_k)(\alpha - \beta_s)} d\alpha$$

If we consider $g(j, k, s) = \overline{g(j, k, s)}$ and $Q = Q^*$, then

$$F(s, k, j) = \overline{F(j, k, s)}, \quad F(k, j, s) = \overline{F(j, k, s)}, \quad F(j, s, k) = \overline{F(j, k, s)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Using 3.8 and 3.9, we obtain

$$E_{p3} = I_1 + I_2, \quad (3.10)$$

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=1}^{n_p} \sum_{s=n_p+1}^{\infty} [F(j, k, s) + 2\overline{F(j, k, s)}],$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=n_p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n_p+1}^{\infty} [F(j, k, s) + 2\overline{F(j, k, s)}].$$

$$I_1 = I_{11} + 2\overline{I_{11}}, \quad I_2 = I_{21} + 2\overline{I_{21}}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$I_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=1}^{n_p} \sum_{s=n_p+1}^{\infty} F(j, k, s),$$

$$I_{21} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \sum_{k=n_p+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n_p+1}^{\infty} F(j, k, s).$$

Hence we get:

$$|I_{11}| \leq \frac{1+\delta}{d_0^2 \delta} \|Q\|_{H_1}^3 n_p^{\frac{1-2\delta^2}{1+\delta}}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$|I_{21}| \leq \left(\frac{1+\delta}{d_0 \delta}\right)^2 \|Q\|_{H_1}^3 n_p^{-\frac{2\delta^2}{1+\delta}}, \quad \left(\ell > \frac{2m}{2m-1}\right). \quad (3.13)$$

By 3.10, 3.11, 3.12 and 3.13, we find

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{p3} = 0 \quad \left(\ell > \frac{2m + 2\sqrt{2}m}{2\sqrt{2}m - \sqrt{2} - 1}\right). \quad (3.14)$$

Evaluate the limit $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{pj}$ ($j = 4, 5, \dots$) to complete the proof:
According to 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{pj}| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi j} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} |tr(QR_\alpha^0)^j| d\alpha \\ &\leq \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \|(QR_\alpha^0)^j\|_{\sigma_1(H_1)} d\alpha \\ &\leq \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \|QR_\alpha^0\|_{\sigma_1(H_1)} \|(QR_\alpha^0)^{j-1}\|_{H_1} d\alpha \\ &\leq \|Q\|_{H_1} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \|R_\alpha^0\|_{\sigma_1(H_1)} \|QR_\alpha^0\|_{H_1}^{j-1} d\alpha \\ &\leq \text{const.} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \|R_\alpha^0\|_{\sigma_1(H_1)} \|R_\alpha^0\|_{H_1}^{j-1} d\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Since $R_\alpha = R_\alpha^0$ for $Q(x) \equiv 0$, then according to Lemma 2.3

$$\|R_\alpha^0\|_{(H_1)} < \frac{4}{d_0} n_p^{-\delta}, \quad \left(|\alpha| = b_p; \quad \delta = \frac{2m\ell}{2m+\ell} - 1\right). \quad (3.16)$$

By 3.15, 3.16, and Lemma 2.2, we obtain:

$$|E_{pj}| < \text{const.} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} n_p^{1-\delta} n_p^{-\delta(j-1)} d\alpha < \text{const.} b_p n_p^{1-\delta j}.$$

For the large values of p , since $b_p = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{n_p+1} + \beta_{n_p}) \leq \text{const.} n_p^{1+\delta}$, we arrive at the inequality $|E_{pj}| < \text{const.} n_p^{2-\delta(j-1)}$.

If $\delta > \frac{2}{3}$ or $\ell > \frac{10m}{6m-5}$, then we have:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{pj} = 0 \quad (j = 4, 5, \dots). \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, if $\frac{2m+2\sqrt{2}m}{2\sqrt{2}m-\sqrt{2}-1} > \frac{10m}{6m-5}$, then by 3.6 and 3.14 with $\ell > \frac{2m+2\sqrt{2}m}{2\sqrt{2}m-\sqrt{2}-1}$ give:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_{pj} = 0 \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots). \quad (3.18)$$

Since the eigenvalues of L_0 are the form $(k + \frac{1}{2})^{2m} + \gamma_j$, $(k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots)$, we have

$$\beta_q = (k_q + \frac{1}{2})^{2m} + \gamma_{j_q}, \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (3.19)$$

Assume that the operator function $Q(x)$ holds the additional conditions:

(Q4) $Q(x)$ has weak H derivatives of the second order on $[0, \pi]$ and the function $(Q(x)'' f, g)$ is continuous for every $f, g \in H$,

(Q5) $Q^{(i)}(x) : H \rightarrow H$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are self-adjoint nuclear operators and the functions $\|Q^{(i)}(x)\|_{\sigma_1(H)}$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are bounded and measurable on $[0, \pi]$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 3.2. *If the operator function $Q(x)$ satisfies the conditions (Q4) to (Q5) and $\gamma_j \sim aj^\ell$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then we have*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \left(\alpha_q - \beta_q - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (Q(x) \varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx \right) = \frac{1}{4} (tr Q(0) - tr Q(\pi)), \quad (3.20)$$

where $a > 0$, $\ell > \frac{2m+2\sqrt{2}m}{2\sqrt{2}m-\sqrt{2}-1}$ j_1, j_2, \dots are natural numbers satisfying the equality 3.19.

The limit on the left side is called regularized trace of L

Proof: According to the formula given by 3.2

$$E_{p1} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} tr (QR_\alpha^0) d\alpha. \quad (3.21)$$

Since QR_α^0 is a nuclear operator for every $\alpha \in \rho(L_0)$ and $\{\Phi_q(x)\}_1^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of H_1 , we have:

$$tr (QR_\alpha^0) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (QR_\alpha^0 \Phi_q, \Phi_q)_{H_1},$$

[11]. Replacing $tr(QR_\alpha^0)$ into the equality 3.21 and considering

$$R_\alpha^0 \Phi_q = (L_0 - \alpha I)^{-1} \Phi_q = (\beta_q - \alpha)^{-1} \Phi_q,$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{p1} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (QR_{\alpha}^0 \Phi_q, \Phi_q)_{H_1} \right) d\alpha \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \left[\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_q - \alpha} (Q\Phi_q, \Phi_q)_{H_1} \right] d\alpha \\
&= \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (Q\Phi_q, \Phi_q)_{H_1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha - \beta_q} \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $(k + \frac{1}{2})^{2m} + \gamma_j$ of L_0 are $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varphi_j \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$), we have:

$$\Phi_q(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varphi_{j_q} \cos(k_q + \frac{1}{2})x \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{3.23}$$

According to the Cauchy's integral formula:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=b_p} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha - \beta_q} = \begin{cases} 1 & , q \leq n_p \\ 0 & , q > n_p \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

Substituting 3.23 and 3.24 in 3.22, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{p1} &= \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} (Q\Phi_q, \Phi_q)_{H_1} \\
&= \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\Phi_q(x), \Phi_q(x)) dx \\
&= \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} \left(Q(x) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varphi_{j_q} \cos(k_q + \frac{1}{2})x, \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varphi_{j_q} \cos(k_q + \frac{1}{2})x \right) dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} \cos^2(k_q + \frac{1}{2})x (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} \left(1 + \cos 2(k_q + \frac{1}{2})x \right) (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(2k_q + 1)x (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and substituting the last equality in 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \left(\alpha_q - \beta_q - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(2k_q + 1)x (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx + \sum_{j=2}^s E_{pj} + E_p^{(s)} \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

If the operator function $Q(x)$ holds the conditions (Q4) and (Q5), the double series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos 2kx dx$$

is absolutely convergent. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) \cos(2k_q + 1)x dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos(2k + 1)x dx . \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Now, let us arrange the expression on the right side of 3.26 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos(2k + 1)x dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos kx dx - (-1)^k \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos kx dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos kx dx \right) \cos(k0) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cos kx dx \right) \cos(k\pi) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The difference of sums according to k on the right side of 3.27 is the difference of the values at 0 and at π of the Fourier series of the function $(Q(x)\varphi_j, \varphi_j)$ having second order derivative according to the functions $\{\cos kx\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ on $[0, \pi]$. Hence by 3.26 and 3.27 we find:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) \cos 2k_q x dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ((Q(0)\varphi_j, \varphi_j) + (Q(\pi)\varphi_j, \varphi_j))$$

or

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) \cos 2k_q x dx = \frac{1}{2} (trQ(0) + trQ(\pi)). \quad (3.28)$$

By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we get:

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E_p^{(s)} = 0 \quad (s > 3\delta^{-1}) \quad (3.29)$$

By 3.25, 3.28, 3.29 and Theorem 3.1, we have the main result for regularized trace as

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{n_p} \left(\alpha_q - \beta_q - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (Q(x)\varphi_{j_q}, \varphi_{j_q}) dx \right) = \frac{1}{4} (trQ(0) - trQ(\pi)) .$$

The proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.E. Adıgüzelov, *About the trace of the difference of two Sturm-Liouville operators with operator coefficient*, iz.An Az SSR, seriya fiz-tekn. i mat.nauk, No:5, 20-24, (1976).
- [2] E.E. Adıgüzelov and O. Bakşi, *On The Regularized Trace of The Differential Operator Equation Given in a Finite Interval*, Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences Sigma, 47-55, 2004/1.
- [3] N.M. Aslanova, *About the spectrum and the trace formula for the operator Bessel equation*, Siberian Mathematical Journal, Vol.51, No.4, 569-583, (2010).
- [4] M. Bayramoglu and E.E. Adıgüzelov, *On a regularized trace formula for the Sturm-Liouville operator with a bounded operator coefficient and with a singularity*, Differential Equations, Vol.32, No.12, 1581-1585, (1996).
- [5] L.A. Dikiy, *About a formula of Gelfand-Levitan*, Usp.Mat.Nauk, 82, 119-123, (1953).
- [6] L.A. Dikiy, *The Zeta Function of an ordinary Differential Equation on a finite Interval*, IZV. Akad. Nauk.SSSR, Vol.19,4, 187-200, (1955).
- [7] L.D. Faddeev, *On the expression for the trace of the difference of two singular differential operators of the Sturm Liouville Type*, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol115, no.5, 878-881, 1957.
- [8] M.G. Gasymov, *On the Sum of Differences of Eigenvalues of Two Self Adjoint Operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Vol.150, 6, 1202-1205, (1963).
- [9] I.M. Gelfand, *On The Identities for Eigenvalues of Differential Operator of Second Order*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (N.S.), 11:1, 191-198, (1956).
- [10] I.M. Gelfand and B.M. Levitan, *On a Formula for Eigenvalues of a Differential Operator of Second Order*, Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR, T.88, No:4, 593-596, (1953)
- [11] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Non-self Adjoint Operators*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs, Vol.18, AMS, Providence, R.I., (1969).
- [12] E.E. Adıgüzelov, H. Avci, E. Gül, *The Trace Formula for Sturm-Liouville Operator with Operator Coefficient*, J. Math. Phys. 426, 1611-1624, (2001).
- [13] C.J. Halberg and V.A. Kramer, *A generalization of the trace concept*, Duke Math.J., 274, 607-618, (1960).
- [14] R.Z. Halilova, *On arranging Sturm-Liouville Operator Equation's Trace*, Funks. Analiz, Teoriya Funktsii i ik pril.-Mahachkala, Vol.1, No:3, (1976).
- [15] D.R. Jafaev, *A Trace Formula for the Dirac Operator*, Bull, London Math., Soc.37, 908-918, (2005).
- [16] A.A. Kirillov, *Elements of the Theory of Representations*, Springer of Verlag, New York, (1976).
- [17] B.M. Levitan, *Calculation of the Regularized Trace for the Sturm Liouville Operator*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, Vol19,1,161-165, (1964).
- [18] B.M. Levitan and I.S. Sargsyan, *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Op.*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1991).
- [19] A.S. Makin, *Trace Formulas for the Sturm- Liouville Operator with regular boundary conditions*, Dokl. Math., 76, 702-707, (2007).
- [20] F.G. Maksudov, M. Bayramoglu and E.E. Adıgüzelov, *On a Regularized Traces of the Sturm-Liouville Operator on a Finite Interval with the Unbounded Operator Coefficient*, Dokl.Akad. Nauk SSSR, English translation, Soviet Math, Dokl, 30, No1, 169-173, (1984).
- [21] V.A. Sadovnichii and V.E. Podolskii, *Trace of Differential Operators*, Differential Equations, Vol.45, No.4, 477-493, (2009).
- [22] E. Sen, A. Bayramov and K. Orucoglu, *Regularized Trace Formula For Higher Order Differential Operators With Unbounded Coefficients*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2016 (2016), No. 31, pp. 1-12.
- [23] C.F. Yang, *New Trace Formulae for a Quadratic Pencil of the Schrödinger Operator*, J. Math. Phys., 51, 033506, (2010).

ÖZLEM BAKŞI,

YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,34210, DAVUTPASA, ISTANBUL-TURKEY, PHONE:(+90212)3834330

Email address: bakşi@yildiz.edu.tr

YONCA SEZER,
YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,, DEPARTMENT
OF MATHEMATICS, 34210, DAVUTPASA, ISTANBUL-TURKEY, PHONE:(+90212)3834330
Email address: ysezer@yildiz.edu.tr

SERPIL KARAYEL,
YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,, DEPARTMENT
OF MATHEMATICS, 34210, DAVUTPASA, ISTANBUL-TURKEY, PHONE:(+90212)3834362
Email address: serpil@yildiz.edu.tr