El Khatabi et al. JOTCSA. 2020; 7(2): 471-480.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Design of Novel Benzimidazole Derivatives as Potential a-amylase Inhibitors by 3D-QSAR Modeling and Molecular Docking Studies

Khalil El Khatabi 🖾 (D), Ilham Aanouz 🖾 (D), Reda El-Mernissi 🖾 (D), Ayoub Khaldan 🖾 (D), Mohammed Aziz Ajana^{*} (D), Mohammed Bouachrine (D), Tahar Lakhlifi (D)

University of Moulay Ismail, Faculty of Science, MCNSL, Meknes, Morocco.

Abstract: The enzyme a-amylase belongs to the highly conserved glycoside hydrolase family which is regarded as a good target for the discovery of antidiabetic agents. Following a 3D-QSAR study on forty-five 2-aryl benzimidazole derivatives which have been reported as potential insulin-independent antidiabetic agents. The results revealed that the CoMFA values were found to be 0.696 and 0.860 for Q² and R² respectively; while for the CoMSIA, the Q² and R² values were found to be 0.514 and 0.852 respectively. Both models were derived from a training set of thirty-seven compounds based on an appropriate method of alignment, while the predictive ability was approved by a test set containing eight compounds with r_{ext}^2 values of 0.990 and 0.987, respectively. Moreover, the contour maps generated from CoMFA and CoMSIA models provided much helpful information to figure out the structural requirements that influence the activity. To further reinforce the 3D-QSAR results, the molecular docking method was implemented which led to the design of new potential insulin-independent antidiabetic compounds with high predicted activity values.

Keywords: 3D-QSAR, Molecular modeling, Computational study, Benzimidazole, a-amylase inhibitors.

Submitted: March 12, 2020. Accepted: May 10, 2020.

Cite this: El Khatabi K, Aanouz I, El-Mernissi R, Khaldan A, Ajana MA, Bouachrine M, et al. Design of Novel Benzimidazole Derivatives as Potential a-amylase Inhibitors by 3D-QSAR Modeling and Molecular Docking Studies. JOTCSA. 2020;7(2):471–80.

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.18596/jotcsa.703026</u>.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>a.ajanamohammed@fs.umi.ac.ma</u>.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic syndrome of multiple etiology characterized by hyperglycemia as its initial symptoms and glucose intolerance. The disease can be simply classified into insulin secretion (type 1 diabetes) or insulin action (type 2 diabetes) (1,2). The digestive enzyme, a-Amylase, is the main form of amylase belonging to the family of glycoside hydrolases and found principally in pancreatic fluid and saliva. It is responsible for the biosynthesis of glycoproteins with an important role in the digestion of carbohydrate in the small intestine. Acute renal necrosis, cancer of urinary bladder, and active pyelonephritis are some urinary disorders and causes due to increasing the level of this

enzyme(3). The glycoside hydrolases family can be isolated from many organisms including humans (4), animals (5,6), plants (7), and bacteria (8).

Benzimidazoles are a class of heterocyclic compounds that attracts much attention as promisina bioactive molecules. Furthermore, benzimidazole is one of the privileged pharmacophores in drug discovery acting as a good ligand towards the transition metal ions using the nitrogen atom (9). This is due to their various reported anti-tumor (10), anti-fungal (11), antiinflammatory (12), antiviral (13), anti-ulcerative (14), and antimicrobial activities (15).

The structural parameters along with the application of the 3D-QSAR modeling and molecular docking

methods have proved to be a promising avenue for structure-based drug design that can provide quantitative forecasts of activity and predict the activity of new organic compounds with a lowered economic investment costs (16). The current study aimed to explore the 3D-QSAR and molecular docking approaches (17) on a series of benzimidazole derivatives against a-amylase in order to develop a correlation between their inhibitory activities and structural characteristics. This would eventually facilitate the design and optimization of new potential a-amylase inhibitors with potent activities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dataset

A set of forty-five compounds with their reported aamylase inhibition activities, IC_{50} was obtained from the literature (18). And the corresponding IC_{50} values (uM) were converted into the pIC_{50} values (Table 1). The dataset was split into the training set (37 molecules) and test set (8 molecules). Both sets were selected at random to create the quantitative model.



Figure 1. The structural template of the benzimidazole derivatives, 1-45.

| | Tuel | | | | _ | | | D | | |
|-----|--------|------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| Tał | ble 1. | IC50 | values | s of th | e reporte | ed 2-Arvl | benzimidazole | derivatives | against g-amv | lase. |

| Test1*HH $2-\text{Cl-3-OCH}_3$ 5.562 Test2*HH $2-\text{OH-3-OCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.605 Training3HH $3,4-\text{diOCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.821 Training5CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $3,6-\text{diOH}$ 5.524 Training6CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $4-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{F}$ 5.555 Training7CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $4-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{F}$ 5.555 Training7CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $4-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{F}$ 5.585 Training7CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $2-\text{OH}-3-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.638 Test9*CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $2-\text{OH}-3-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.829 Training10CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $3.4-\text{diOCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.829 Training11CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $3.5-\text{diOCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.829 Training12CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $3.5-\text{diOCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.829 Training13CH $_3$ CH $_3$ $3.5-\text{diOCH}_3-6-\text{Br}$ 5.500 Training14F $4.6-\text{diOH}$ 5.520 Training15HF $4.6-\text{diOH}$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{F}$ 5.790 Test17* <h< th="">F$2-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{CI}$$5.585$Test18*HF$5-\text{OCH}_3-6-\text{CI}$$5.595$Training20HF$4.5.6-\text{diOH}$$5.595$Training21<</h<> | Test | Comp. | K 1 | K 2 | K ₃ | p1C ₅0 |
|---|----------|-----------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Test 2^* HH $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.605 Training 3 HH $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.821 Training 4 HH $2-OH-3-Br-5-Cl$ 5.555 Training 5 CH_3 CH_3 $3,6-diOH$ 5.524 Training 6 CH_3 CH_3 $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.555 Training 7 CH_3 CH_3 $4-OCH_3-6-Fl$ 5.555 Training 7 CH_3 CH_3 $4-J5,6-triOCH_3$ 5.638 Test 9^* CH_3 CH_3 $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.885 Training 10 CH_3 CH_3 $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training 10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training 11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training 12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-Ci-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.530 Training 13 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Training 14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOH$ 5.585 Test 17^* HF $2-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Training 16 HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training 19 HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training 19 HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.791 Training 20 HF $3,5-diCl-6-Br$ 5.663 Training 21 H | Test | 1* | Н | Н | 2-CI-3-OCH ₃ | 5.562 |
| Training3HH $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.821 Training4HH $2-OH-3-Br-5-Cl$ 5.555 Training5CH ₃ CH ₃ $3,6-diOH$ 5.524 Training6CH ₃ CH ₃ $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.555 Training7CH ₃ CH ₃ $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.546 Training8CH ₃ CH ₃ $4-5,6-triOCH_3$ 5.638 Test9*CH ₃ CH ₃ $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.885 Training10CH ₃ CH ₃ $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training11CH ₃ CH ₃ $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training12CH ₃ CH ₃ $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training13CH ₃ CH ₃ $3,5-diOCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Training14CH ₃ CH ₃ $3,5-diCl-6-OH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test17*HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.885 Test18*HF $5-diOCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.580 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training24HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.774 Traini | Test | 2* | Н | Н | 2-0H-3-0CH₃-6-Br | 5.605 |
| Training4HH2-OH-3-Br-5-Cl5.555Training5CH3CH33,6-diOH5.524Training6CH3CH34-OCH3-6-F5.555Training7CH3CH35-OCH3-6-Cl5.546Training8CH3CH32-OH-3-OCH3-6-Br5.638Test9*CH3CH32-OH-3-OCH3-6-Br5.855Training10CH3CH32-OH-3-OCH3-6-Br5.829Training11CH3CH33,5-diOCH3-4-Br5.790Training12CH3CH33-I-4-OH-5-OCH35.751Training13CH3CH33-Cl-5-Br-6-OH5.530Training14CH3CH33,5-diCl-6-OH5.520Training15HF4,6-diOH5.520Training16HF4-OCH3-6-F5.790Test17*HF2-OCH3-5-Br5.885Test18*HF5-OCH3-6-Cl5.580Training19HF4-OAc-5-OCH35.770Training20HF3,5-diOCH3-6-Br5.663Training21HF3,5-diOCH3-6-Br5.663Training22HF2-OH-3-OCH3-6-Br5.663Training23HF3,5-diOCH3-6-Br5.663Training24HF4,5-diOCH3-6-Br5.643Training25HF | Training | 3 | Н | Н | 3,4-diOCH₃-6-Br | 5.821 |
| Training5 CH_3 CH_3 $3,6-diOH$ 5.524 Training6 CH_3 CH_3 $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.555 Training7 CH_3 CH_3 $5-OCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.646 Training8 CH_3 CH_3 $4,5,6-triOCH_3$ 5.638 Test 9^* CH_3 CH_3 $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.855 Training10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.520 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCl-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test 17^* HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.855 Test18*HF $5-OCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.880 Training19HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.700 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.603 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.603 Training23HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.804 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.814 Training25HF $3,5-diCl-6-OH$ 5.543 <td>Training</td> <td>4</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>2-OH-3-Br-5-Cl</td> <td>5.555</td> | Training | 4 | Н | Н | 2-OH-3-Br-5-Cl | 5.555 |
| Training6 CH_3 CH_3 $4 - 0CH_3 - 6 - F$ 5.555 Training7 CH_3 CH_3 $5 - 0CH_3 - 6 - Cl$ 5.546 Training8 CH_3 CH_3 $4,5,6 - triOCH_3$ 5.638 Test 9^* CH_3 CH_3 $2 - 0H - 3 - 0CH_3 - 6 - Br$ 5.885 Training10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,4 - diOCH_3 - 6 - Br$ 5.829 Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5 - diOCH_3 - 4 - Br$ 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3 - I - 4 - 0H - 5 - 0CH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3 - Cl - 5 - Br - 6 - 0H$ 5.520 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5 - diCl - 6 - 0H$ 5.520 Training16HF $4 - 0CH_3 - 6 - F$ 5.790 Test 17^* HF $2 - 0CH_3 - 5 - Br$ 5.855 Test18*HF $5 - 0CH_3 - 6 - Cl$ 5.580 Training19HF $4 - 0Ac - 5 - 0CH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6 - triOH$ 5.603 Training21HF $3,4 - diOCH_3 - 6 - Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $3,5 - diCl - 6 - OH$ 5.643 Training23HF $3,4 - diOCH_3 - 6 - Br$ 5.663 Training25HF $3,5 - diCl - 6 - OH$ 5.543 Training26HF $3,5 - diCl - 6 - OH$ <t< td=""><td>Training</td><td>5</td><td>CH₃</td><td>CH₃</td><td>3,6-diOH</td><td>5.524</td></t<> | Training | 5 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 3,6-diOH | 5.524 |
| Training7 CH_3 CH_3 $5-OCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.546 Training8 CH_3 CH_3 $4,5,6-triOCH_3$ 5.638 Test9* CH_3 CH_3 $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.585 Training10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.530 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCl-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test $17*$ HF $2-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.780 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.603 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.633 Training24HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training24HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training25HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.633 Training26HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.543 <td>Training</td> <td>6</td> <td>CH₃</td> <td>CH₃</td> <td>4-0CH₃-6-F</td> <td>5.555</td> | Training | 6 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 4-0CH ₃ -6-F | 5.555 |
| Training8 CH_3 CH_3 $4,5,6$ -triOCH_3 5.638 Test9* CH_3 CH_3 2 -OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br 5.585 Training10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,4$ -diOCH_3-6-Br 5.829 Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5$ -diOCH_3-4-Br 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 3.1 -4-OH-5-OCH_3 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 3 -Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.530 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5$ -diCl-6-OH 5.520 Training15HF $4,6$ -diOH 5.520 Training16HF $4,6$ -diOH 5.520 Training16HF $4,6$ -diOH 5.520 Training16HF $4,6$ -diOH 5.520 Training16HF $4,6$ -diOH 5.520 Training17*HF 2 -OCH_3-6-F 5.790 Test17*HF 2 -OCH_3-6-F 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6$ -triOH 5.595 Training21HF $3,5$ -diOCH_3-6-Br 5.663 Training22HF 2 -OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br 5.663 Training23HF $3,6$ -diOCH_3-6-Br 5.804 Training24HF $3,5$ -diOCH_3-6-Br 5.843 Training26HF $3,5$ -diOCH_3-6-Cl 5.555 Training27 <t< td=""><td>Training</td><td>7</td><td>CH₃</td><td>CH₃</td><td>5-OCH₃-6-Cl</td><td>5.546</td></t<> | Training | 7 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 5-OCH₃-6-Cl | 5.546 |
| Test 9^* CH_3 CH_3 $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.585 Training10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.530 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test 17^* HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.585 Test18*HF $5-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.580 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.663 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.543 Training25HF $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.542 Training26HF $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.543 Training27HCI $2-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.555 Training29HCI $2-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.555 Training | Training | 8 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 4,5,6-triOCH₃ | 5.638 |
| Training10 CH_3 CH_3 $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.829 Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.750 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4,0CH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test17*HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.885 Test18*HF $5-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.580 Training19HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training21HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.542 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-CI$ 5.591 Training26HF $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 Training27HCI $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.581 Test30*HCI $2-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.562 Training23HCI $2-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.562 Training31 <t< td=""><td>Test</td><td>9*</td><td>CH₃</td><td>CH₃</td><td>2-0H-3-0CH₃-6-Br</td><td>5.585</td></t<> | Test | 9* | CH₃ | CH₃ | 2-0H-3-0CH ₃ -6-Br | 5.585 |
| Training11 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diOCH_3-4-Br$ 5.790 Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.530 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test $17*$ HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.885 Test18*HF $5-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.880 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training21HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.643 Training25HF $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.542 Training26HF $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 Training28HCI $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.581 Test30*HCI $2-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.562 Training29HCI $2-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.562 Training31HCI $3,4-diOCH_3-6-F$ 5.562 Training31HCI <td>Training</td> <td>10</td> <td>CH₃</td> <td>CH₃</td> <td>3,4-diOCH₃-6-Br</td> <td>5.829</td> | Training | 10 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 3,4-diOCH₃-6-Br | 5.829 |
| Training12 CH_3 CH_3 $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.751 Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.530 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test $17*$ HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.855 Test $18*$ HF $5-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.800 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-CI$ 5.591 Training25HF $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.542 Training26HF $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 Training27HCI $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.774 Training28HCI $5-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.555 Training29HCI $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.811 Test30*HCI $3,4-diOCH_3-6-F$ 5.562 Training31HCI $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.761 Training32HCI | Training | 11 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 3,5-diOCH₃-4-Br | 5.790 |
| Training13 CH_3 CH_3 $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.530 Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test 17^* HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.585 Test 18^* HF $5-OCH_3-6-CI$ 5.580 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-4-OH$ 5.603 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.804 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-CI$ 5.591 Training25HF $3,5-diCI-6-OH$ 5.543 Training26HF $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 Training27HCI $2-OCH_3-5-CI$ 5.555 Training29HCI $2-OCH_3-6-FI$ 5.562 Training31HCI $3,4-diOCH_3-6-FI$ 5.562 Training31HCI $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.761 Training32HCI $3-I-4-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.793 Test33*HCI $3-CI-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 | Training | 12 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ | 5.751 |
| Training14 CH_3 CH_3 $3,5-diCl-6-OH$ 5.520 Training15HF $4,6-diOH$ 5.520 Training16HF $4-OCH_3-6-F$ 5.790 Test $17*$ HF $2-OCH_3-5-Br$ 5.585 Test $18*$ HF $5-OCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.580 Training19HF $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.770 Training20HF $4,5,6-triOH$ 5.595 Training21HF $3,5-diOCH_3-4-OH$ 5.603 Training22HF $2-OH-3-OCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training23HF $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.663 Training24HF $4,5-diOCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.591 Training25HF $3,5-diCl-6-OH$ 5.542 Training26HF $3-cl-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 Training27HCl $4-OAc-5-OCH_3$ 5.774 Training28HCl $5-OCH_3-6-Cl$ 5.555 Training29HCl $2-OCH_3-6-Fr$ 5.562 Training31HCl $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.761 Training31HCl $3,4-diOCH_3-6-Br$ 5.761 Training31HCl $3-L-OH-5-OCH_3$ 5.793 Test33*HCl $3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH$ 5.543 | Training | 13 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH | 5.530 |
| Training 15 H F 4,6-diOH 5.520 Training 16 H F 4-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.790 Test 17* H F 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.790 Test 17* H F 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.790 Test 18* H F 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.880 Training 19 H F 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.770 Training 20 H F 4,5,6-triOH 5.595 Training 20 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.603 Training 21 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,2-diCl-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 | Training | 14 | CH₃ | CH₃ | 3,5-diCl-6-OH | 5.520 |
| Training 16 H F 4-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.790 Test 17* H F 2-OCH ₃ -5-Br 5.585 Test 18* H F 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.580 Training 19 H F 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.770 Training 20 H F 4-S,6-triOH 5.595 Training 21 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -4-OH 5.603 Training 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 24 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 | Training | 15 | Н | F | 4,6-diOH | 5.520 |
| Test 17* H F 2-OCH ₃ -5-Br 5.585 Test 18* H F 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.580 Training 19 H F 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.770 Training 20 H F 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.770 Training 20 H F 4,5,6-triOH 5.595 Training 21 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -4-OH 5.603 Training 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 24 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 27 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 | Training | 16 | Н | F | 4-OCH ₃ -6-F | 5.790 |
| Test 18* H F 5-0CH ₃ -6-Cl 5.580 Training 19 H F 4-0Ac-5-0CH ₃ 5.770 Training 20 H F 4,5,6-triOH 5.595 Training 21 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -4-0H 5.603 Training 22 H F 2-0H-3-0CH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 24 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 27 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-F 5.5 | Test | 17* | н | F | 2-OCH ₃ -5-Br | 5.585 |
| Training 19 H F 4-OAc-5-OCH3 5.770 Training 20 H F 4,5,6-triOH 5.595 Training 21 H F 3,5-diOCH3-4-OH 5.603 Training 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH3-6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH3-6-Br 5.663 Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH3-6-CI 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCI-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-CI-5-Br-6-OH 5.542 Training 27 H CI 4-OAc-5-OCH3 5.774 Training 27 H CI 2-OCH3-6-CI 5.555 Training 29 H CI 2-OCH3-6-CI 5.555 Training 29 H CI 2-OCH3-6-F 5.562 Training 31 H CI 3,4-diOCH3-6-F 5.562 Training 31 H CI 3,4-diOCH3-6-Br 5.761 </td <td>Test</td> <td>18*</td> <td>Н</td> <td>F</td> <td>5-OCH₃-6-Cl</td> <td>5.580</td> | Test | 18* | Н | F | 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl | 5.580 |
| Iraining 20 H F 4,5,6-triOH 5.595 Training 21 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -4-OH 5.603 Training 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 27 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 28 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br | Training | 19 | н | F | 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ | 5.770 |
| Iraining 21 H F 3,5-diOCH ₃ -4-OH 5.603 Training 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.543 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.562 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br | Training | 20 | н | F | 4,5,6-triOH | 5.595 |
| Iraining 22 H F 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.663 Training 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Fl 5.562 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH | Training | 21 | н | F | 3,5-diOCH₃-4-OH | 5.603 |
| Iraining 23 H F 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.804 Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -5-Br 5.581 Test 30* H Cl 4-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | Training | 22 | н | F | 2-OH-3-OCH ₃ -6-Br | 5.663 |
| Training 24 H F 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.591 Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.562 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | | 23 | н | F | 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br | 5.804 |
| Training 25 H F 3,5-diCl-6-OH 5.542 Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Fr 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Fr 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | | 24 | н | F | 4,5-diOCH ₃ -6-Cl | 5.591 |
| Training 26 H F 3-Cl-5-BF-6-OH 5.543 Training 27 H Cl 4-OAc-5-OCH ₃ 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 5-OCH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH ₃ -6-Br 5.581 Test 30* H Cl 4-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | Training | 25 | н | F | 3,5-diCl-6-OH | 5.542 |
| Training 27 H Cl 4-OAC-5-OCH3 5.774 Training 28 H Cl 5-OCH3-6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH3-6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-OCH3-5-Br 5.581 Test 30* H Cl 4-OCH3-6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH3-6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH3 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | Training | 26 | Н | F | 3-CI-5-Br-6-OH | 5.543 |
| Training 28 H Cl 5-0CH ₃ -6-Cl 5.555 Training 29 H Cl 2-0CH ₃ -5-Br 5.581 Test 30* H Cl 4-0CH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-0H-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | Training | 27 | н | CI | | 5.774 |
| Training 29 H Cl 2-0CH ₃ -5-Br 5.381 Test 30* H Cl 4-0CH ₃ -6-F 5.562 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-0H-5-0CH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-0H 5.543 | Training | 28 | П | CI | | 5.555 |
| Training 31 H Cl 4-OCH ₃ -6-F 5.362 Training 31 H Cl 3,4-diOCH ₃ -6-Br 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | Taining | 29 | | CI | | 5.581 |
| Training 31 H Cl 3,4-00CH3-6-Bi 5.761 Training 32 H Cl 3-I-4-0H-5-0CH3 5.793 Test 33* H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-0H 5.543 | Test | 30 [™] | | | | 5.302 |
| Test 33 * H Cl 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH 5.543 | Training | 22 | | CI | | 5.701 |
| | Tost | >>× | | CI | | 5.795 |
| Training 34 H Cl $45-diOCH_{-}6-Cl = 5.921$ | Training | 37 | н | | | 5 021 |
| Training $3-$ II CI $4_{1}3^{-}$ UCCI 3.021 Training $3E$ H CI 3.5_{-} diOCH $4.8r$ E 700 | Training | 34 | Ц | | 3 5-diOCH1-Pr | 5 700 |
| Training 35 II CI 3,3-00CH ₃ -4-DI 3.790 | Training | 36 | H | | 2-Br-5-0CH ₂ -6-0H | 5 655 |
| Training 37 H Cl $35-diOCH_{-1}OH$ 5.000 | Training | 30 | н | | 3 5-diOCH4-OH | 5 5055 |
| Training 38 H NO ₂ $4-OAc-5-OCH_2$ 5.590 | Training | 38 | Н | | 4-0Ac-5-0CH | 5 761 |

| Training/ Test | Comp. | R1 | R ₂ | R₃ | pIC₅₀ |
|-------------------|-------|----|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Training | 39 | Н | NO ₂ | 4-0CH ₃ -6-F | 5.560 |
| Training | 40 | Н | NO ₂ | 5-OCH₃-6-Cl | 5.556 |
| Training | 41 | Н | NO ₂ | 2-Br-5-OCH₃-6-OH | 5.640 |
| Training | 42 | Н | NO ₂ | 3,4-diOCH₃-6-Br | 5.749 |
| Training | 43 | Н | NO ₂ | 3-I-4-OH-5-OCH ₃ | 5.764 |
| Training | 44 | Н | NO ₂ | 3-Cl-5-Br-6-OH | 5.542 |
| Test | 45* | Н | NO ₂ | 2-F-5-Br | 5.634 |

Molecular modeling

The studied molecules were constructed and minimized using the SKETCH option in SYBYL-X 2.0 program package (19) running on a windows 10, 64 bit workstation/ASUS, under the Tripos standard force field (20) with Gasteiger-Hückel atomic partial charges (21) by the Powell method with a convergence criterion of 0.01 kcal/mol Å. Sybyl program provides a molecular design environment to identify, predict and analyze molecular behavior.

Molecular alignment

Molecular alignment aims to build an efficiency of the 3D-QSAR models. The studied molecules were stratified on the common core; 2-aryl benzimidazole using the simple alignment protocol in Sybyl (22). Compound **10** was selected as a representative template for superimposing structures as shown in Figure 2.





Aligned compounds

common core



3D QSAR analyses

On the basis of molecular alignment protocol which is considered as one of the most crucial factors that the accuracy of 3D-QSAR affects models, comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA) (23) comparative molecular similarity indices and analysis (CoMSIA) (24) models were developed to derive a linear relationship between the obtained QSAR models and a-amylase enzyme inhibitory activities. 3D-QSAR study was performed with the default parameters; the minimum sigma (column filtering) is set to 2.0 kcal/mol and the energy cutoff values of 30 kcal/mol (25).

The partial least squares (PLS) regression method was adopted to derive 3D-QSAR models (26). Hence the PLS with leave-one-out cross-validation was implemented to produce the coefficient of cross-validation correlation (Q^2) with an optimum number of components (N). And the non-cross validation was carried out to get the correlation coefficient (R^2), F-test value (F) and the standard error of estimate (SEE). Consequently, the best QSAR model is selected based on the high Q^2 value (Q^2 > 0.50) and R^2 value (R^2 > 0.60), an optimal number of component values, and low standard error estimation (SEE). Furthermore, the external

validation was performed to evaluate the sturdiness of the generated 3D-QSAR models using eight molecules as a test set. Where the best value of r_{ext}^2 > 0.6 indicated that the predictive power of derived QSAR model was good (27).

Molecular Docking

The docking was carried out to further explore the binding interactions of the molecules in the active site of the a-amylase enzyme and confirm the contour maps results of CoMFA as well as the CoMSIA using the Surflex-Docking method (19). Further, the results were analyzed using PyMol (28) and Discovery studio 2016 softwares (29). The crystal structure of the a-amylase enzyme (PDB code: 1HNY) with the co-crystallized ligand removed was utilized for this study. Using the Discovery Studio 2016, the protein was prepared by the addition of polar hydrogens and Kohlman charges while removing water molecules from the 1HNY receptor. The 3D structure of the representative compound **10** was geometrically optimized and energetically minimized using default parameters and consequently docked into the active site of the a-amylase enzyme.

Y-Randomization test

The Y-Randomization test was performed to value the vigor of both models (30), and the inhibition activities (-logIC₅₀) were shuffled many times. A new 3D-QSAR model was is generated for each iteration. Besides, the low Q^2 and R^2 values pointed out that the results were not due to a chance correlation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3D-QSAR

Based on the 3D-QSAR modeling, it appeared that the models might play substantial roles in predicting new potent insulin-independent antidiabetic agents as contained in the summary of PLS analyses (Table 2). Obviously, for the CoMFA model, the coefficient of cross-validated, Q² value was 0.696 with 2 as the optimized component, N. While the R², SEE and F- test values were 0.860, 0.041 and 104.72, respectively. It thus implied that the high Q^2 , R^2 and F values along with low SEE value, suggest a good statistical correlation and reasonable predictive capability of the obtained models. Furthermore, the high r_{ext}^2 (0.990) value of the external validation exhibits a high level of reliability in the current study. Similarly, the ratio of steric to electrostatic contributions were set at 51:49, which means that both fields are much explanatory.

The most accurate CoMSIA model which involved the steric, electrostatic, hydrophobic, H-bond acceptor and donor fields showed Q^{2} , N, R^{2} , SEE, and F values of 0.514, 3, 0.852, 0.042 119.904 respectively. The r_{ext}^2 value obtained was 0.987 which approved the prediction ability of the obtained model. The experimental and predicted pIC50 are shown in Table 3.

| Table 2. PLS statistical parameters. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|----------------|-------|--------|---|-------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Model | Q² | R ² | SEE | F | Ν | r _{ext} ² | Fractions | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Ster | Elect | Hyd | Acc | Don |
| CoMFA | 0.696 | 0.860 | 0.041 | 104.72 | 2 | 0.990 | 0.514 | 0.486 | - | | - |
| CoMSIA | 0.514 | 0.852 | 0.042 | 63.55 | 3 | 0.987 | 0.084 | 0.471 | 0.229 | 0.138 | 0.077 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3. Experimental and predicted pIC_{50} of forty-five benzimidazole derivatives (*:test set)**Comp.** Experimental predicted pIC_{50} **Comp.** Experimental predicted pIC_{50}

| - | · | • | • | - | · | - | • |
|-----|-------------------|-------|--------|-----|-------------------|-------|--------|
| | pic ₅₀ | CoMFA | CoMSIA | | p1C ₅₀ | CoMFA | CoMSIA |
| 1* | 5.562 | 5.559 | 5.558 | 22 | 5.663 | 5.663 | 5.653 |
| 2* | 5.605 | 5.647 | 5.645 | 23 | 5.804 | 5.846 | 5.847 |
| 3 | 5.821 | 5.861 | 5.858 | 24 | 5.591 | 5.655 | 5.658 |
| 4 | 5.555 | 5.563 | 5.562 | 25 | 5.542 | 5.574 | 5.574 |
| 5 | 5.524 | 5.493 | 5.493 | 26 | 5.543 | 5.578 | 5.578 |
| 6 | 5.555 | 5.634 | 5.630 | 27 | 5.774 | 5.751 | 5.752 |
| 7 | 5.546 | 5.541 | 5.542 | 28 | 5.555 | 5.588 | 5.587 |
| 8 | 5.638 | 5.664 | 5.659 | 29 | 5.581 | 5.553 | 5.549 |
| 9* | 5.585 | 5.568 | 5.601 | 30* | 5.562 | 5.557 | 5.557 |
| 10 | 5.829 | 5.817 | 5.816 | 31 | 5.761 | 5.755 | 5.758 |
| 11 | 5.790 | 5.755 | 5.756 | 32 | 5.793 | 5.760 | 5.760 |
| 12 | 5.751 | 5.714 | 5.713 | 33* | 5.543 | 5.586 | 5.586 |
| 13 | 5.530 | 5.523 | 5.525 | 34 | 5.821 | 5.721 | 5.716 |
| 14 | 5.520 | 5.521 | 5.521 | 35 | 5.790 | 5.738 | 5.740 |
| 15 | 5.520 | 5.518 | 5.521 | 36 | 5.655 | 5.663 | 5.658 |
| 16 | 5.790 | 5.685 | 5.684 | 37 | 5.598 | 5.693 | 5.695 |
| 17* | 5.585 | 5.544 | 5.541 | 38 | 5.761 | 5.736 | 5.739 |
| 18* | 5.580 | 5.578 | 5.570 | 39 | 5.560 | 5.655 | 5.6657 |
| 19 | 5.770 | 5.749 | 5.750 | 40 | 5.556 | 5.556 | 5.557 |
| 20 | 5.595 | 5.513 | 5.517 | 41 | 5.640 | 5.56 | 5.655 |
| 21 | 5.603 | 5.688 | 5.686 | 42 | 5.749 | 5.715 | 5.720 |
| | | | | 43 | 5.764 | 5.726 | 5.727 |
| | | | | 44 | 5.542 | 5.493 | 5.557 |
| | | | | 45* | 5.634 | 5.616 | 5.617 |

Interpretation of graphical contours

The graphical contour maps were created to visualize areas where the activity can be increased or decreased. Figures 3 and 4 show the CoMFA and CoMSIA contour maps respectively for compound **10** as a representative molecule.

CoMFA contour maps

The electrostatic fields are presented with red (20% contribution) and blue (80% contribution) contours, while the steric fields are presented with green (80% contribution) and yellow (20% contribution) contours. The bulky substituents are preferred around green areas, whereas yellow areas' bulky substituents are not preferred (Figure 3).

As depicted in Figure 3, a relatively big yellow contour was observed around the phenyl group which could be favored to activity while the small-sized green contour around the C-3 position indicated that less bulky group at this position would

not be favorable for increasing the activity, which could be demonstrated by compounds **4**, **13**, **14**, **25**, **26**, **33** and **44**. For example, the compounds **13** ($pIC_{50} = 5.530$) and **14** ($pIC_{50} = 5.520$), with halogens at the 3 and 5 positions of the phenyl moiety, showed low activities than that of compound **10** ($pIC_{50} = 5.829$), with OCH₃ and H

Moreover, figure 3 revealed that that phenyl group with the electropositive substituents may increase the activity around the blue contours. As such three major space-favorable blue regions were distributed around the ortho, meta and para positions of the phenyl ring. This indicated that the existence of these electron donor groups at these positions could increase the activity. Therefore, electropositive and less bulky groups are required at these positions which could easily reach the binding pocket in the enzyme active sites and present high a-amylase inhibition affinity.

В

groups at the same positions, respectively.



Figure 3. Analysis of CoMFA contour maps. (A) Steric field; (B) Electrostatic field.

CoMSIA contour map

The CoMSIA contour maps for the steric and electrostatic fields were found to be similar to the corresponding CoMFA fields. Therefore, the focus was directed towards the remaining parameters. Hence according to the CoMSIA fractions presented in Table 2, the electrostatic (0.471); H-bond acceptor (0.138); and hydrophobic fields (0.229) were found to be the major fields that could describe the activity.

The contour map for the hydrophobic field (Figure 4(a)) is depicted in yellow domains (80% contribution) and white domains (20% contribution) in which hydrophobic substituents are predicted to enhance the biological activity in yellow contours, whereas in white domain, hydrophobic groups were not favored. The yellow contour near the ortho position of the phenyl group brought to light that the hydrophobicity could play a crucial role in the activity. For example, compound **23** (pIC₅₀ = 5.804) with a –Br group at the C-2, which is falls within the

yellow contours, exhibited higher activity than those with no hydrophilic groups such as; compounds **15** ($pIC_{50} = 5.520$) and **26** ($pIC_{50} = 5.542$), which lead into increase of activity. Moreover, two white contours near the C-3 and C-5 positions of the phenyl group pointed that the presence of a hydrophobic group especially halogens in this region may not be beneficial to the biological activity. For instance, compounds **4** ($pIC_{50} = 5.555$) and **33** ($pIC_{50} = 5.543$) with halogens groups at C-3 and C-5 position of the phenyl moiety exhibit lowest activities than that of the corresponding compound **10** ($pIC_{50} = 5.829$).

The CoMSIA hydrogen bond acceptor field (Figure 4(b)), is displayed in magenta (80% contribution) and red (20% contribution) contours, representing favorable and unfavorable positions for H-bond acceptors respectively. A relatively big red contour was observed around C-2, C-3 and C-4 positions of the phenyl group which has been proven to be a disadvantage to activity due to the existence of H-

bond acceptor substituent at one or two of these positions. Whereas, the magenta contour around the C-5 position of the phenyl moiety is crucial for significant inhibitory activity. These characteristics may explain significant a-amylase inhibitory activity for compounds with no hydrogen bond acceptor groups such as; compound **11** and compound **10**, respectively. For instance, compound **11** (pIC₅₀ = 5.790) without any substituent and compound **10** (pIC₅₀ = 5.829) with a -Br showed high activities.

Y-randomization test

Table 4 shows the results of seven random shuffles for the Y-randomization test. For every iteration, a set of Q^2 and R^2 of new QSAR models is generated.

In the contour map of H-bond donor field, as shown in Figure 4(c), the cyan (80% contribution) and the purple (20% contribution) contours represent areas with favorable and unfavorable H-bond donor groups respectively. A big-sized cyan contour is found around the phenyl ring, indicating that Hbond donor substituents in this area are predicted to enhance biological activity.

As a result, the Q^2 and R^2 values were low. Thus allowing to conclude that the probability of random correlations was excluded.

| Table 4. Q^2 and R^2 values of the Y-randomization test. | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Iteration | CoN | 1FA | СоМ | CoMSIA | | | | |
| | Q² | R ² | Q² | R ² | | | | |
| 1 | 0.082 | 0.128 | 0.048 | 0.134 | | | | |
| 2 | 0.125 | 0.317 | 0.121 | 0.242 | | | | |
| 3 | 0.199 | 0.421 | 0.178 | 0.391 | | | | |
| 4 | 0.167 | 0.360 | 0.142 | 0.308 | | | | |
| 5 | -0.214 | 0.248 | -0.199 | 0.195 | | | | |
| 6 | 0.099 | 0.126 | 0.092 | 0.102 | | | | |
| 7 | 0.137 | 0.301 | 0.129 | 0.284 | | | | |

Molecular docking

To reinforce the 3D-QSAR results, the molecular docking technique was applied to investigate the different interactions of benzimidazole derivatives in the active site of a-amylase (PDB code: 1HNY) using the Surflex program. The potent compounds were found to be those that contain ortho Cl or Br atom, para and meta methoxy groups on the phenyl ring which could be responsible for these compounds' inhibitory activity. As depicted in Figure 5, the representative compound **10** which is originally reported to be the most effective compound was docked in the a-amylase enzyme active site. This enabled the understanding of the hydrophobic and

H-bond interactions between the active molecule and 1HNY receptor.

The blue and pink colors around C-2, C-3 and C-4 positions of the phenyl ring indicated that substituents with hydrophilic character and H-bond donor substituents are favored in these positions. The R1 and R2 substituents were found in the brown area which implied that the groups with the hydrophobic characters at these positons would be favored. The observations obtained from the hydrophobicity and H-bond surfaces are in agreement with the contour maps of CoMSIA model.







Figure 4. Analysis of CoMSIA contour maps. (A) Hydrophobic field; (B) H-bond acceptor field; (C) H-bond donor field



Figure 5. The docking pose of the active molecule and 1HNY receptor.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

SAR summarized results

Figure 6 summarized the information derived from the 3D contour maps that satisfactorily matched the

results of molecular docking, which could be much helpful to propose new molecules with high predictive activities.



Figure 6. SAR-summarized results from the present work.

Newly designed compounds

Based on the information obtained from the 3D contour maps and molecular docking studies, five new 2-aryl-benzimidazole derivatives which exhibited high pIC_{50} values were designed. The newly predicted compounds A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5

showed exceptionally improved inhibitory activity and higher total score (binding affinities) than that of compound 10 for both models, indicating their potential as new a-amylase inhibitors as shown in Table 5.



| Figure 7. | The structural | template o | f the newly | <pre>/ designed</pre> | molecules. |
|-----------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|
|-----------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|

| Table 5. Th | ne pIC ₅₀ va | lues of newly | designed 2-ary | lbenzimidazole | derivatives as | a-amyl | lase inhibitors. |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|------------------|
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|------------------|

| | , , , | | | |
|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| N° | R | Predict | Total | |
| | | CoMFA | CoMSIA | scoring |
| Comp 10 | 3,4-diOCH₃-6-Br | 5.817 | 5.816 | 1.7149 |
| A1 | 2-OH-3-NHCH ₃ | 6.353 | 6.340 | 3.2841 |
| A2 | 2-NH ₂ -3-OH | 6.326 | 6.325 | 3.2719 |
| A3 | 2,3,6-triOH | 6.299 | 6.297 | 3.2722 |
| A4 | 2-OH-3-NH ₂ | 6.092 | 6.101 | 2.9940 |
| A5 | 2,4,6-triNH ₂ | 6.052 | 6.076 | 2.9866 |
| | | | | |

CONCLUSION

The current study has provided some valuable information on the design of new potential insulinindependent antidiabetic agents. This has been achieved through investigating forty-five benzimidazole derivatives retrieved from the literature by molecular docking and 3D-QSAR studies. Graphical analyses of the optimal results obtained from the contour maps brought to light the main structural requirements that may influence the activity. Moreover, the molecular docking analyses matched well with the results obtained from the contour maps which led to the design of five potent insulin-independent antidiabetic molecules and successfully predicted their a-amylase inhibitory activities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the "Association Marocaine des Chimistes Théoriciens" (AMCT) for its pertinent help concerning the software programs.

REFERENCES

1. Orbak R, Simsek S, Orbak Z, Kavrut F, Colak M. The Influence of Type-1 Diabetes Mellitus on Dentition and Oral Health in Children and Adolescents. Yonsei Med J. 2008;49(3):357-365.

2. Latti BR, Kalburge JV, Birajdar SB, Latti RG. Evaluation of relationship between dental caries, diabetes mellitus and oral microbiota in diabetics. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol JOMFP. 2018;22(2):282.

3. Gonick HC, Kramer HJ, Schapiro AE. Urinary ß-Glucuronidase Activity in Renal Disease. Arch Intern Med. 1973;132(1):63-69.

4. Kim D-H, Lee S-W, Han MJ. Biotransformation of Glycyrrhizin to 18 β -Glycyrrhetinic Acid-3-O- β -D-glucuronide by Streptococcus LJ-22, a Human Intestinal Bacterium. Biol Pharm Bull. 1999;22(3):320-322.

5. Abou-Haila A, Orgebin-Crist M-C, Skudlarek MD, Tulsiani DRP. Identification and androgen regulation of egasyn in the mouse epididymis. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Mol Cell Res. 1998;1401(2):177-186.

6. Pereira W, Cruz A, Albuquerque E, Santos E, Oliveira A, Sales M, et al. Purification and Characterization of a β-Glucuronidase Present During Embryogenesis of the Mollusk Pomacea sp. Protein Pept Lett. 2005;12(7):695-700.

7. Zhang C, Zhang Y, Chen J, Liang X. Purification and characterization of baicalin- β -d-glucuronidase hydrolyzing baicalin to baicalein from fresh roots of Scutellaria viscidula Bge. Process Biochem. 2005;40(5):1911-1915.

8. Wang X, Liu Y, Wang C, Feng X, Li C. Properties and structures of β -glucuronidases with different transformation types of glycyrrhizin. RSC Adv. 2015;5(84):68345-68350.

9. Küçükbay H. PART I: MICROWAVE-ASSISTED SYNTHESIS OF BENZIMIDAZOLES: AN OVERVIEW (UNTIL 2013). J Turk Chem Soc Sect Chem 2017; 4(1):1–22.

10. Küçükbay H, Mumcu A, Tekin S, Sandal S. Synthesis and evaluation of novel N, N'-disubstituted benzimidazolium bromides salts as antitumor agents. Turk J Chem. 2016;40(3):393-401.

11. Khabnadideh S, Rezaei Z, Pakshir K, Zomorodian K, Ghafari N. Synthesis and antifungal activity of benzimidazole, benzotriazole and aminothiazole derivatives. 2012;7(2):65-72.

12. Sondhi SM, Singh N, Kumar A, Lozach O, Meijer L. Synthesis, anti-inflammatory, analgesic and kinase (CDK-1, CDK-5 and GSK-3) inhibition activity evaluation of benzimidazole/benzoxazole derivatives and some Schiff's bases. Bioorg Med Chem. 2006;14(11):3758-65.

13. Salahuddin, Shaharyar M, Mazumder A. Benzimidazoles: A biologically active compounds. Arab J Chem. 2017;10:157-73.

14. Carcanague D, Shue Y-K, Wuonola MA, Uria-Nickelsen M, Joubran C, Abedi JK, et al. Novel Structures Derived from 2-[[(2-Pyridyl)methyl]thio]-1 *H* -benzimidazole as Anti-*Helicobacter p ylori* Agents, Part 2. J Med Chem. 2002;45(19):4300-9.

15. Küçükbay H, Yilmaz Ü, Şireci N, Güvenç AN. Synthesis and antimicrobial activities of some bridged bisbenzimidazole derivatives. Turk J Chem. 2011;35(4):561-71.

16. Ou-Yang S, Lu J, Kong X, Liang Z, Luo C, Jiang H. Computational drug discovery. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2012;33(9):1131-40.

17. Understanding the Basics of QSAR for Applications in Pharmaceutical Sciences and Risk Assessment 1, Kunal Roy, Supratik Kar, Rudra Narayan Das - Amazon.com [Internet]. available on: https://www.amazon.com/Understanding-Applications-Pharmaceutical-Sciences-Assessment-ebook/dp/ B00URFMD7Q

18. Adegboye AA, Khan KM, Salar U, Aboaba SA, Kanwal, Chigurupati S, et al. 2-Aryl benzimidazoles: Synthesis, In vitro a-amylase inhibitory activity, and molecular docking study. Eur J Med Chem. 2018;150:248-60.

19. Sybyl-X [Internet]. omicX. available on: https://omictools.com/sybyl-x-tool

20. Clark M, Cramer RD, Van Opdenbosch N. Validation of the general purpose tripos 5.2 force field. J Comput Chem. 1989;10(8):982-1012.

21. Purcell WP, Singer JA. A brief review and table of semiempirical parameters used in the Hueckel molecular orbital method. J Chem Eng Data. 1967;12(2):235-46.

22. SCIGRESS - a unique desktop molecular modeling software package [Internet]. available on:https://fqs.pl/en/chemistry/products/scigress? gclid=EAIaIQobChMIsrORqqe95wIVw7HtCh0bGAueEAAYAS AAEgJ0M_D_BwE

23. Cramer RD, Patterson DE, Bunce JD. Comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA). 1. Effect of shape on binding of steroids to carrier proteins. J Am Chem Soc. 1988;110(18):5959-67.

24. Klebe G, Abraham U, Mietzner T. Molecular Similarity Indices in a Comparative Analysis (CoMSIA) of Drug Molecules to Correlate and Predict Their Biological Activity. J Med Chem. 1994;37(24):4130-46.

25. Ståhle L, Wold S. 6 Multivariate Data Analysis and Experimental Design in Biomedical Research. In: Progress in Medicinal Chemistry [Internet]. Elsevier; 1988:291-338. available on: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S00796468087 02819

26. Bush BL, Nachbar RB. Sample-distance partial least squares: PLS optimized for many variables, with application to CoMFA. J Comput Aided Mol Des. 1993;7(5):587-619.

El Khatabi et al. JOTCSA. 2020; 7(2): 471-480.

27. Baroni M, Clementi S, Cruciani G, Costantino G, Riganelli D, Oberrauch E. Predictive ability of regression models. Part II: Selection of the best predictive PLS model. J Chemom. 1992;6(6):347-56.

28. DeLano WL. Pymol: An open-source molecular graphics tool. CCP4 Newsl Protein Crystallogr. 2002;40(1):82–92.

29. Discovery Studio Predictive Science Application | Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA [Internet]. available on: https://www.3dsbiovia.com/products/collaborativescience/biovia-discovery-studio/

30. Rücker C, Rücker G, Meringer M. y-Randomization and Its Variants in QSPR/QSAR. J Chem Inf Model. 2007;47(6):2345-57.