# RESEARCH ARTICLE Eurasian J Bio Chem Sci, 3(1):1-5, 2020 https://doi.org/10.46239/ejbcs.602877



# Eurasian Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences



Journal homepage: www.dergipark.org.tr/ejbcs

# Studies on Anticholinesterase and Antioxidant Effects of Samples from *Iris* L. Genus of Turkish Origin

Duygu Sevim<sup>1\*</sup>, Bilge Şener<sup>1</sup>

\*1 Gazi University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacognosy, Ankara, Turkey

*Corresponding author : duygusvm@gmail.com	Received : 06/08/2019
Orcid No: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-3987-2466	Accepted : 07/01/2020

**Abstract:** The genus *Iris* L. (Iridaceae) is a member of geophytes with attractive flowers. There are about 56 *Iris* taxa growing in Turkey, 24 of which are endemic. A survey of the literature indicates that the research carried out on *Iris* species are focused on the flavonoid and volatile compounds of the plant.

In present study, the dichloromethane and methanol extracts prepared from the rhizomes of 47 *Iris* taxa growing in Turkey were investigated for their *in vitro* cholinesterase inhibitory effects against acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) which the enzymes linked to Alzheimer's diseases and antioxidant capacities using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging test as well.

The *Iris* extracts studied have been found more active against BChE than AChE. compared with 100 µg/ml galanthamine (89.29 ± 0.96 %) as reference, *Iris kerneriana* (coded as Y122) and *Iris pseudacorus* (coded as Y131) methanol extracts had significant BChE inhibition effect (respectively,  $80.22 \pm 1.04$  % and  $53.06 \pm 1.13$  %) at concentration of 200 µg/ml. Among tested samples, methanol extracts of *I. kerneriana*, *I. lazica*, *I. pseudacorus* and *I. suaveolens* have shown remarkable antioxidant activity at concentration of 2 mg/ml for DPPH compared with gallic acid.

Keywords: Iris, Anticholinesterase, Antioxidant, Activity

© EJBCS. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Turkey is an important gen centers for biodiversity and it is known that Turkey possesses approximately 1045 geophyte taxons are economically important such as *Colchicum*, *Fritillaria,Hyacinthus, Lilium, Nectaroscordum*,

*Polygonatum, Tulipa* and *Iris* species (Kaya, 2014). Among them, genus *Iris* (family *Iridaceae*) is represented by 56 species in Turkey, of which 24 are endemic (Güner, 2012). *Iris* species have gained great popularity in the perfume and cosmetic industries due to their sweet fragrance alongwith their ornamental purposes (Orhan et al. 2002; Atta-ur-Rahman et al. 2004; Sevim, 2018). *Iris* species have been previously recognized as rich sources of secondary metabolites and used in the treatments of cancer, inflammation and bacterial and viral infections (Wang et al. 2010; Singab et al. 2016). Previous phytochemical investigations on the *Iris* species have resulted in the isolation of a variety of compounds including flavonoids, isoflavonoid glycosides, benzoquinones, triterpenoids and stilbene glycosides and essential oils (Orhan et al. 2002; 2003, Atta-ur-Rahman et al. 2002; 2003; 2004).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the antioxidant capacities and anticholinesterase activities of 47 *Iris L*. species growing in Turkey in order to evaluate their medicinal value and to point to an easily accessible source of natural antioxidants that could be used as a possible food supplement in addition to cosmetic, and perfume industries.

# 2. Materials and Method

# 2.1. Plant material

The rhizomes of *Iris* L. species were collected from different locations in Turkey given in Table 1. Their identification was confirmed by Prof. Dr. Neriman Ozhatay and Prof. Dr. Adil Güner and preserved as *ex-situ* at Atatürk Horticultural Central Research Institute, Department of Ornamental Plant Breeding and Agronomy in Yalova, Turkey.

Sample		Population
Codes	Name of Taxa	Number
Y139	Iris albicans Lange	3505
Y103	Iris aucheri (Baker) Sealy	2105
Y111	Iris bakeriana Foster	4710
Y102	Iris barnumiae Foster & Baker	6507
Y112	Iris caucasica Hoffm. subsp.	2507
171.40	<i>Iris caucasica</i> Hoffm. subsp. <i>turcica</i>	2404
Y140	B. Mathew	2404
Y108	Iris danfordiae (Baker) Boiss. *	5104
Y119	Iris elegantissima Sosn.	3602
Y141	Iris galatica Siehe *	5201
¥115 V115	Iris gatesii Foster	4702
V114	Iris germanica L.	4602
1114 V142	Iris germanica L. Iris histria Pahh f	2702
V116	Iris histrio Rehb f	2702
1110	Iris histrioides (G. F. Wilson) S	2704
Y117	Arnott *	5304
Y120	<i>Iris junonia</i> Schott & Kotschy ex Schott *	0101
V122	Iris kerneriana Ascherson & Sint. ex	2702
1122	Baker *	5702
Y123	Iris kirkwoodiae Chaudhary	3106
Y124	Iris lazica Albov	5303
Y118	Iris lycotis Woron.	3001
Y126	Iris masia Dykes subsp. masia	6302
Y127	Iris nectarifera Güner var. nectarifera Güner *	4706
Y128	Iris nezahatiae Güner & H. Duman *	0802
Y129	Iris orientalis Miller	1001
Y130	Iris pamphylica Hedge *	0706
Y109	Iris paradoxa Steven f. choschab	6512
Y100	Iris persica L.	0201
Y131	Iris pseudacorus L.	3108
Y143	Iris pseudacorus L.	3405
Y101	Iris pseudocaucasica Grossh.	4406
Y110	<i>Iris pumila</i> L. subsp. <i>attica</i> (Boiss. & Heldr.)	1401
Y132	<i>Iris purpureobractea</i> B. Mathew & T. Bayton *	5401
Y104	Iris reticulata M Bieb var reticulata	2403
Y107	Iris sari Schott ex Baker *	1802
Y134	Iris schachtii Markgraf *	1804
Y144	Iris sibirica L.	7503
Y133	Iris sintenisii Janka subsp. sintenisii	3406
Y145	Iris sprengeri Siehe *	6805
Y135	Iris spuria L. subsp. musulmanica (Fomin) Takht	2408
Y106	Iris stenophylla Hausskn. ex Baker	7003
	subsp. stenophylla *	
Y105	subsp stenophylla *	0702
	Iris stenophylla Hausskn, ex Baker	
Y147	subsp. <i>stenophylla</i> *	7005
Y137	Iris suaveolens Boiss. & Reut.	3401
Y146	Iris taochia Woronow ex Grossh. *	2505
Y136	Iris unguicularis Poir. subsp. carica	0708
Y148	(wern. Schulze) var. carica * Iris urminensis Hoog	6505
Y138	Iris xanthospuria B. Mathew & T.	4813
* Endemic tax	Baytop *	T015

#### Table 1. Population Number and Sample Codes of Iris Taxa

2.2. Preparation of extracts

The washed with tap water, dried and powdered rhizomes (2 g) were extracted by maceration with dichloromethane at room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. Then residues were extracted by maceration with methanol and dried by rotary evaporator.

#### 2.3. Cholinesterase inhibition assays

Extracts were investigated for their in vitro cholinesterase inhibitory activity at 200 µg/ml using ELISA microplate reader. AChE and BChE inhibitory activity was measured by slightly modified spectrophotometric method of Ellman et al. (Ellman et al. 1961). Electric eel AChE (Type-VI-S; EC 3.1.1.7, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and horse serum BChE (EC 3.1.1.8, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) were the enzyme sources used, while acetylthiocholine iodide and butyrylthiocholine chloride (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) were employed as the substrates of the reaction. 5,5'-Dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic)acid (DTNB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used for the measurement of the anticholinesterase activity. All reagents and conditions were same as described in our previous publication (Sevim et al. 2013). Galanthamine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), the anticholinesterase alkaloid-type of drug obtained from the bulbs of Galanthus sp. was used as the reference. The measurements and calculations were evaluated by using Softmax PRO 4.3.2.LS software (Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Experiments were run in triplicate and the results were expressed as average values with S.E.M.

#### 2.4. Antioxidant capacity assay

2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activities of the extracts was also tested at 2 mg/ml stock concentrations by ELISA microplate reader. It was measured by spectrophotometric method of Mardsen S. Blois which was modified by Hatano (Blois, 1958; Hatano, 1995). Gallic acid (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was employed as the reference. The measurements and calculations were evaluated by using Softmax PRO 4.3.2.LS software (Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Experiments were run in triplicate and the results were expressed as average values with S.E.M.

#### 3. Results

The *in vitro* antioxidant and anticholinesterase activities of dichloromethane and methanol extracts prepared from the rhizomes of 47 *Iris* species collected from Turkey have reported for the first time in this study. Anticholinesterase activities and antioxidant capacities by using DPPH radical scavenging activity of dichloromethane and methanol extracts of *Iris* species were given in Table 2 and 3.

				-		
	AChE	BChE	DPPH Radical			
Codes	Inhibition	Inhibition	Scavenging			
of	(% ± S.E.M.)	$(\% \pm S.E.M.)$	Activity			
Extracts			$(\% \pm S.E.M.)$			
	200 µg/ml <sup>a</sup>	200 µg/ml	2000 µg/ml <sup>b</sup>			
Y100D	_ **	$10.46 \pm 0.63$	$35.73 \pm 1.88$			
Y101D	-	$3.41 \pm 1.05$	$29.35 \pm 3.12$			
¥102D	-	-	$24.98 \pm 1.70$			
Y103D	-	-	$30.72 \pm 0.61$			
Y104D	-	$11.42 \pm 0.85$	$11.51 \pm 2.37$			
Y105D	-	$7.76 \pm 0.16$	$27.79 \pm 0.93$			
Y106D	-	-	$31.51 \pm 2.80$			
Y107D	-	$11.65 \pm 0.26$	$23.99 \pm 0.66$			
Y108D	-	$19.07 \pm 3.20$	$8.21 \pm 1.05$			
Y109D	-	$15.05 \pm 4.60$	$30.32 \pm 1.88$			
Y110D	-	$5.62 \pm 0.32$	$9.10 \pm 0.53$			
YIIID	$7.81 \pm 1.95$	$8.40 \pm 0.19$	$19.56 \pm 1.98$			
Y112D	-	$9.60 \pm 0.01$	$22.82 \pm 1.47$			
Y113D	-	$5.80 \pm 0.74$	$15.05 \pm 1.08$			
Y114D	-	-	$30.30 \pm 0.94$			
Y115D	-	-	$22.38 \pm 0.78$			
Y116D	-	$22.92 \pm 1.29$	$14.89 \pm 1.57$			
Y117D	-	$17.07 \pm 5.43$	$8.92\pm0.87$			
Y118D	$5.14 \pm 0.81$	$7.78\pm0.68$	$10.04 \pm 1.82$			
Y119D	$11.74 \pm 1.33$	-	$15.96 \pm 2.33$			
Y120D	-	-	$15.15 \pm 2.43$			
Y122D	$6.42 \pm 1.89$	-	$25.52 \pm 0.96$			
Y123D	-	$1.76\pm0.52$	$10.47\pm2.68$			
Y124D	-	$4.47\pm0.73$	$52.09 \pm 2.46$			
Y126D	$6.99\pm0.56$	-	$12.41 \pm 1.23$			
Y127D	-	$1.94\pm0.73$	$29.28\pm2.20$			
Y128D	-	-	$7.49\pm2.90$			
Y129D	-	-	$10.94\pm3.79$			
Y130D	-	$9.72\pm0.18$	$21.58\pm0.82$			
Y131D	-	$5.18\pm0.18$	$30.36 \pm 1.62$			
Y132D	-	-	$57.91 \pm 3.20$			
Y133D	-	$10.34\pm1.08$	$12.69\pm1.23$			
Y134D	-	-	$7.99 \pm 1.88$			
Y135D	-	$1.34\pm0.55$	$11.41\pm2.99$			
Y136D	-	$10.58\pm0.26$	$23.13\pm1.02$			
Y137D	$11.22\pm0.99$	$6.18 \pm 0.08$	$22.22\pm0.63$			
Y138D	-	-	$14.46\pm1.26$			
Y139D	-	-	$4.76\pm1.10$			
Y140D	-	-	$13.22\pm1.37$			
Y141D	-	$14.51\pm1.74$	$24.15\pm1.86$			
Y142D	$13.49\pm0.48$	$13.21\pm0.87$	$9.89 \pm 1.02$			
Y143D	$8.41\pm3.32$	$40.44\pm0.12$	$63.46 \pm 2.25$			
Y144D	-	$6.39\pm0.12$	$3.01\pm2.20$			
Y145D	-	$3.95\pm2.16$	$11.71\pm0.89$			
Y146D	-	$4.45\pm0.56$	$32.70 \pm 0.34$			
Y147D	-	$3.25\pm2.26$	$7.87 \pm 0.41$			
Y148D	-	-	$21.19 \pm 0.96$			
References						
G <sup>1</sup> $94.58 \pm 0.82$ $89.29 \pm 0.96$ NT						
GA <sup>2</sup>	NT ***	NT	$91.56\pm0.68$			
* Standard error mean (n=3), ** No activity, *** Not tested, a Final concentration, b Stock *						

**Table 2.** AChE and BChE Inhibition (Inhibition  $\% \pm S.E.M.*$ ) and DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of (Scavenging activity  $\% \pm S.E.M.$ ) of The Dichloromethane Extracts of *Iris* Taxa

**Table 3.** AChE and BChE Inhibition (Inhibition  $\% \pm S.E.M.*$ ) and DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of (Scavenging activity  $\% \pm S.E.M.$ ) of The Methanol Extracts of *Iris* Taxa

	AChE	BChE	DPPH Radical
Codes	Inhibition	Inhibition	Scavenging
of	$(\% \pm S.E.M.)$	$(\% \pm S.E.M.)$	Activity
Extracts	(/0 = 5.2)	(/0 = 5.1.111)	$(\% \pm S.E.M.)$
1410016	200 $\mu$ g/ml <sup>a</sup>	200 µg/ml	2000 µg/ml <sup>b</sup>
Y 100M	_ **	$7.53 \pm 1.45$	$4.79 \pm 1.84$
Y101M	-	$10.84 \pm 1.06$	$7.51 \pm 0.96$
Y102M	-	$2.54 \pm 0.84$	$65.28 \pm 1.77$
Y103M	-	$14.07\pm2.20$	$12.57 \pm 0.68$
Y104M	-	$9.28 \pm 1.17$	$4.84 \pm 2.10$
Y105M	-	$15.96\pm2.68$	$8.55\pm2.37$
Y106M	-	$6.52 \pm 1.48$	$5.04\pm0.48$
Y107M	-	$15.36\pm1.61$	$40.26\pm0.70$
Y108M	-	$19.81\pm0.84$	$3.55\pm1.27$
Y109M	-	-	$39.50\pm2.69$
Y110M	-	$23.23\pm4.25$	$12.99\pm2.52$
Y111M	-	$37.63\pm0.02$	$9.26\pm0.66$
Y112M	-	$4.06\pm0.41$	$7.71\pm0.73$
Y113M	-	$17.02\pm2.82$	$55.49 \pm 1.34$
Y114M	-	-	$15.58 \pm 1.46$
Y115M	-	$16.64 \pm 3.74$	$21.90 \pm 1.44$
Y116M	-	$12.92 \pm 1.47$	$5.15 \pm 0.55$
Y117M	-	$4.19 \pm 0.58$	$2.42 \pm 0.66$
Y118M	_	2241 + 139	37.70 + 2.91
Y119M	_	12.22 + 2.63	47 33 + 2 89
¥120M	_	$12.22 \pm 2.03$ $10.57 \pm 2.51$	$24.26 \pm 0.74$
V122M	40 40 + 3 30	$10.37 \pm 2.31$ 80 22 + 1 04	$24.20 \pm 0.74$ 91 33 + 0.05
V122M	40.40 ± 5.50	$10.73 \pm 3.17$	$71.35 \pm 0.03$
V124M	-	$10.75 \pm 3.17$ $15.37 \pm 4.00$	$44.03 \pm 0.42$
1124M V126M	-	$13.37 \pm 4.09$ 28 40 ± 1.24	$90.42 \pm 0.40$
1120M	-	$26.40 \pm 1.34$	$29.43 \pm 1.40$
112/M V129M	-	$2.96 \pm 1.49$	$44.00 \pm 1.30$
1 120M	-	$5.20 \pm 1.73$	$2.38 \pm 0.00$
1 129M	-	$7.12 \pm 1.02$	$7.23 \pm 1.10$
¥ 150M	-	$13.03 \pm 0.31$	$4.92 \pm 0.21$
¥131M	$9.89 \pm 0.52$	$53.06 \pm 1.13$	$91.61 \pm 0.58$
¥ 132M	-	$0.40 \pm 3.38$	$9.54 \pm 0.19$
¥ 133M	-	$22.00 \pm 2.20$	$12.44 \pm 1.27$
Y134M	-	$3.45 \pm 1.91$	$41.35 \pm 0.39$
Y135M	-	-	$8.20 \pm 0.22$
Y136M	-	-	$55.72 \pm 1.09$
Y137M	-	-	84.31 ± 0.63
Y138M	-	$6.33 \pm 0.46$	5.67 ± 1.55
Y139M	-	$11.13 \pm 1.47$	$12.28 \pm 1.07$
Y140M	-	$4.28 \pm 0.26$	$12.92 \pm 0.28$
Y141M	-	$1.93\pm0.10$	$11.98 \pm 0.47$
Y142M	$1.43 \pm 0.43$	$6.36\pm0.98$	$5.68 \pm 0.79$
Y143M	$22.56 \pm 1.86$	$5.86 \pm 2.71$	$64.02 \pm 14.37$
Y144M	-	$16.92\pm1.76$	$13.43\pm3.33$
Y145M	-	$5.13\pm0.49$	$16.69\pm1.99$
Y146M	-	$2.34 \pm 1.16$	$14.65\pm1.73$
Y147M	-	$8.06\pm0.93$	$9.90\pm0.06$
Y148M	-	$4.72\pm2.22$	$52.05\pm1.90$
References			
$G^{1}$	$94.58\pm0.82$	$89.29\pm0.96$	NT
GA <sup>2</sup>	NT ***	NT	$91.56\pm0.68$

concentration, D: Dichloromethane, 1 Galanthamine (100 µg/ml), 2 Gallic acid (2000 µg/ml)

\* Standard error mean (n=3), \*\* No activity, \*\*\* Not tested, a Final concentration, b Stock concentration, M: Methanol, 1 Galanthamine (100 µg/ml), 2 Gallic acid (2000 µg/ml)

#### 4. Discussion

Oxidative stress is known to play an important role in pathogenesis of several diseases such as diabetes mellitus and neurodegenerative disorders (Howes ve ark. 2003; Sevim, 2018). On the other hand, one of the hypothesis that has been proposed to restrain the cholinergic function is the inhibition of AChE and BChE for the elevation of acetylcholine level for treatment of AD. Depends on side effects of available drugs used for AD have resulted in continuing our researches to determine AChE inhibitors from geophytes.

During this extensive study, the extracts of 47 *Iris* taxa have been screened for their antioxidant and anticholinesterase effects due to their rich phenolic compounds. From these species, *Iris kerneriana* and *I. pseudacorus* have been found the highest BChE inhibitory effects. In the previous researches on the anticholinesterase activity of *I. suaveolens*, *I. albicans* and *I. schachtii* were also shown low activity against AChE and BChE (Hacıbekiroğlu ve Kolak, 2011; 2015; Mocan et al. 2018). In regarding radical scavenging effect of *Iris kerneriana*, *I. lazica*, *I. pseudacorus* and *I. suaveolens* have been determined above 90 % as similar standard compound used as gallic acid. These results indicated that the highest antioxidant activity was exhibited for methanolic extracts contained polar compounds.

#### 5. Conclusions

Iris species are cultivated on a commercial scale as ornamental plants. In this study, the dichloromethane and methanol extracts prepared from the rhizomes of 47 Iris taxa growing in Turkey were investigated for their in vitro cholinesterase inhibitory effects against acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) which the enzymes linked to Alzheimer's diseases and also antioxidant capacities using 2,2-Diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging test. The samples have been found more active against BChE than AChE. Compared with 100  $\mu$ g/ml galanthamine (89.29 ± 0.96 %) as reference, Iris kerneriana (coded as Y122) and Iris pseudacorus (coded as Y131) methanol extracts had significant BChE inhibition effect (respectively,  $80.22 \pm$ 1.04 % and 53.06  $\pm$  1.13 %) at concentration of 200 µg/ml (Table 3). In addition, methanol extracts of I. kerneriana, I. lazica, I. pseudacorus and I. suaveolens have shown remarkable antioxidant activity at concentration of 2 mg/ml for DPPH compared with gallic asid (Table 3.). Therefore, the aforementioned Iris species have been deserved further searches for theirs high BChE inhibition and antioxidant potential.

#### Acknowledgements

Turkish Scientific and Technological Research Council (TÜBİTAK) for financial source (Project code: KAMAG-110G007) was kindly acknowledged.

#### References

Atta-ur-Rahman, Nasım S, Baig I, Jahan IA, Sener B, Orhan I, Choudhary MI 2002. Isoflavonoid glycosides from the rhizomes of *Iris germanica*, Chem Pharm Bull 50(8):1100-1102.

- Atta-ur-Rahman, Nasim S, Baig I, Orhan I, Sener B, Ayanoğlu F, Choudhary MI 2003. Isoflavonoid glycosides from the rhizomes of *Iris germanica*. Helv Chim Acta. 86: 3354-3362.
- Atta-ur-Rahman, Nasım S, Baig I, Sener B, Orhan I, Ayanoğlu F, Choudhary MI 2004. Two new isoflavonoids from the rhizomes of *Iris soforana*, Nat Prod Res. 18(5): 465-471.
- Blois MS 1958. Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature, 181: 1119-1200.
- Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V, Featherstone RM 1961. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem Pharmacol. 7(2): 88-95.
- Güner A, 2012. Iris L. In: Güner A, Aslan S, Vural M, Babaş MT (Eds.), Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). İstanbul: Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanic Garden and Floristic Research Society, pp. 535-540.
- Hacıbekiroğlu I, Kolak U 2011. Antioxidant and antiocholinesterase constituents from the petroleum ether and chloroform extracts of *Iris suaveolens*. Phytother Res. 25: 522-529.
- Hacıbekiroğlu I, Kolak U 2015. Screening antioxidant and anticholinesterase potential of *Iris albicans* extracts. Arab J Chem. 8: 264-268.
- Hatano T 1995. Constituents of natural medicines with scavenging effects on active oxygen species – Tannins and related polyphenols. Nat Med. 49(4): 357-363.
- Howes MJR, Houghton PJ, Perry NSL 2003. Plants with traditional uses and activities, relevant to the management of Alzheimer's disease and other cognitive disorders. Phytother Res. 17: 1-18.
- Kaya E 2014. Geophytes of Turkey. Yalova: Atatürk Central Horticultural Research Institute, pp. 1-96.
- Mocan A, Zengin G, Mollica A, Uysal A, Gunes E, Crisan G, Aktumsek A 2018. Biological effects and chemical characterization of *Iris schachtii* Markgr. extracts - A new source of bioactive constituents. Food Chem Toxicol. 112: 448-457.
- Orhan I, Şener B, Hashimoto T, Asakawa Y, Özgüven M, Ayanoğlu F 2002. Iristectorone K, a novel monocyclic triterpene ester from *Iris germanica* rhizomes growing in Turkey. Fitoterapia. 73: 316-319.
- Orhan I, Nasim S, Şener B, Ayanoğlu F, Özgüven M, Choudhary MI, Atta-ur-Rahman 2003. Two isoflavones and bioactivity spectrum of the crude extracts of *Iris germanica* rhizomes. Phytother Res. 17: 575-577.
- Sevim D, Senol FS, Gulpinar AR, Erdogan Orhan I, Kaya E, Kartal M, Sener B 2013. Discovery of potent *in vitro* neuroprotective effect of the seed extracts from seven *Paeonia* L. (peony) taxa and their fatty acid composition. Ind Crops Prod. 49: 240-246.
- Sevim D 2018. Pharmacognosic studies on some *Iris* L. species. Ph. D. Thesis, Ankara: Gazi Üniversity Institute of Health Sciences, pp. 1-219.
- Singab ANB, Ayoub IM, El-Shazyl M, Korinek M, Wu T, Cheng Y, Chang F, Wu Y 2016. Shedding the light on Iridaceae Ethnobotany, phytochemistry and biological activity. Ind Crops Prod. 92: 308-335.
- Ullah F, Ayaz M, Sadiq A, Hussain A, Ahmad S, Imran M, Zeb A 2016. Phenolic, flavonoid contents, anticholinesterase and

antioxidant evaluation of *Iris germanica* var. *florentina*. Nat Prod Res, 30(12): 1440-1444.

Wang H, Cui Y, Zhao C 2010. Flavonoids of the genus Iris (Iridaceae). Mini-Rev Med Chem. 10: 643-661.