

COMPUTER BASED MULTIPLE REPRESENTATIONS TO DEVELOP SCHOOL CHILDREN'S WORD PROBLEM SOLVING SKILLS

Tufan Adıgüzel
tadiguzel@yeditepe.edu.tr
Yeditepe Üniversitesi
Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi
Yavuz Akpınar
akpinar@boun.edu.tr
Boğaziçi Üniversitesi
Eğitim Fakültesi

Abstract: *Instructional coursewares that employ multiple representations have become commonplace in classrooms. This study presents a computer software, EmekÖlçer, in order to improve seventh grade students' word problem-solving skills through computer based multiple representations including graphics, symbolic, and audio representations. By design, implementation and validation of an ILE, EmekÖlçer, the authors provide evidences that students involved in the study benefited from multi-representational software, EmekÖlçer.*

Problem Solving

Problem solving is intended to imply a process by which the learner combines previously learned elements of knowledge, rules, techniques, skills and concepts to provide a solution to a novel situation. It is generally accepted that mathematics is both product and process: both an organized body of knowledge and a creative activity in which the learner participates. It might, in fact, be claimed that the real purpose of learning rules, techniques and content generally is to enable the learner to do mathematics, indeed to solve problems, though Ausubel (1963) would disagree (Orton, 1987). Thus problem solving can be considered to be the real essence of mathematics. Gagné (1985) has expressed the view that problem solving is the highest form of learning. Having solved a problem, one has learned. One might only have learned to solve that problem, but it is more likely that one has learned to solve a variety of similar problems and perhaps even a variety of problems possessing some similar characteristics.

Problem solving introduces difficulties in managing its teaching, for example: choosing and sequencing problem solving tasks, determining the degree and type of assistance to be given to students, maintaining motivation, and knowing how to consolidate understanding through reflection and follow-up discussions. Problems are set in specific contexts, usually expressed in words, from which students have to develop representations, that link to appropriate mathematical formulations, and to which appropriate techniques can then be applied in order to produce a solution. Learners themselves have to monitor and evaluate this problem solving process, and consider the implications of the solution process. In consequence, giving assistance and managing problem solving in ways which create effective learning and the development of cognitive skills is not an easy task.

It was seen earlier that a major source of difficulty experienced by children in the problem solving process is transforming the written word into mathematical operations and the symbolization of these. Orton (1987) indicated that the most common difficulty of problem solving is failure to use known information. Also, he noted that in order to cope with this problem pupils should (1) write the problem in primitive form and sketch an accurate picture of the setup (where applicable), (2) transform the primitive statements to simpler language, and (3) translate verbal problems to more abstract mathematical statement(s) and figures, diagrams, charts and other similar representations.

Effects of Computer Based Representations

Recent approaches to mathematics instruction in the classroom emphasize mathematics as flexible, insightful problem solving. This approach requires understanding that mathematics involves pattern seeking, experimentation, hypothesis testing and active seeking of solutions. But children's beliefs about the nature of mathematics contrast with this approach. For example, Baroody (1987) asserts that due to an overemphasis on 'the right answer', children commonly believe that all problems must have a correct answer, that there is only one correct way to solve a problem and that inexact answers or procedures (such as estimates) are undesirable. So, children should have skills in order to obtain multiple solutions and different representations of problems. Polya (1962) advocated that solvers should choose multiple representations when they begin to solve a problem. Jiang and McClintock (2000) also suggested that encouraging multiple solutions to problem solving plays an important role in facilitating students' understanding of mathematical concepts and their grasp of methods of mathematical thinking. Innovative technology tools in mathematics education, featuring multiple, linked representations, have greatly enhanced the students' potential to develop multiple solutions to various problem situations.

Representations are mainly divided into two categories: External Representations -the knowledge and structure in the environment, as physical symbols, objects, or dimensions and as external rules, constraints, or relations embedded in physical configurations (Zhang, 1997, p. 180), Internal Representations -being retrieved from memory by cognitive processes-. External and internal representations are beneficial for learning when there is more than one of them around. In most cases, learners have to process multiple representations, including graphics, symbols and audio. Classroom teaching has always employed multiple external representations (MERs) in the pursuit of learning. Teachers use MERs explicitly in order to make abstract situations more concrete.

Kaput (1992) proposed that multiple linked representations might allow learners to perceive complex ideas in a new way and to apply them more effectively. By providing a rich source of representations of a domain, one can supply learners with opportunities to build references across these representations. Such knowledge can be used to expose underlying structure in the domain represented. On this view, mathematics knowledge can be characterized as the ability to construct and map across different representations.

Computer environments have been gaining great importance in education. Numerical computation tools give an opportunity to emphasize planning and interpretation of arithmetic operations for problem solving, the existence of computer graphic tools can be used to revise the balance between conceptual and procedural knowledge in mathematics or to create entirely new graphic oriented presentations of traditional mathematical topics, or to offer enormous promise for providing alternative visual methods in mathematical problem solving. As Kaput (1992) states for the case of mathematics education, this entails that routine computations can be off-loaded to the machine, that new representational mechanisms only available on computers (such as programs as representations) become available, and that one can reify abstract concepts for instance by means of computer simulations, making them more readily accessible for reflection and dialogue.

Designing the Interactive Learning

The use of multimedia technology has offered an alternative way of delivering instruction. The old text-based approach to learning is being superseded by an approach, which combines audio and color video. Interactive multimedia is one of the most promising technologies of the time and has the potential to revolutionize the way we work, learn, and communicate (Macromedia, 1992; Staub and Wertherbe, 1989). Interactive multimedia programs take the idea of learning and doing seriously. With interactive multimedia programs the learning process is modified by the actions of the learners, thus changing the roles of both

the learner and the teacher. Interactive multimedia learning is also a process, rather than a technology, that places new learning potential into the hands of users.

Brooks (1993) stated that, with all the additional capabilities of the growing number of multimedia applications, the design of these applications has become a nightmare. He also indicated ugly interfaces containing screens full of multiple fonts, insignificant boxes, irrelevant noises, and confusing webs of possible interactivity among the features of poorly designed multimedia packages. There are many requirements that must be checked while designing an interface such as screen design, learner control and navigation, use of feedback, student interactivity, and video and audio elements (Stemler, 1997). So, the design of the interface, which considers interactivity, is clearly important (Frye et al., 1988). Hence a properly designed interface should make the cognitive process transparent and externalized so as to support evaluation, reflection, and discussion and directly accessibility.

Briefly, following principles during the design of ILEs (Akpınar and Hartley, 1996) should be considered.

- The ILE should provide interactive objects and operators, which are visual and can be directly manipulated by pupils.
- The ILE system should provide mechanisms for pupils to check the validity of their methods, and thus receive some feedback on the appropriateness of their actions in relation to task.
- As the instruction aims to support links between the concrete and symbolic representation of word problems, the ILE should be able to display these forms so that the equivalence between is apparent. The system should also be able to move its presentation modes to the symbolic as students gain in competence.
- The ILE should allow experimentation of concepts and procedures in ways that relate to the children's experiences. In brief the ILE should be able to support guided discovery as well as directed methods of instruction.
- The ILE should allow the learning to be conceptualized and procedural in its approach, and be capable of adjusting to the task needs of teachers.

Interactive Learning Environment: EmekÖlçer

The overall aim of this research was to investigate the design of an interactive learning environment that can assist problem solving performance and understanding specifically mathematical work and pool problems. Problem solving requires the integration and utilization of multiple knowledge representations e.g. graphical, symbolic, and audio. Depending upon these factors, the design of the computer based learning environment must take into consideration students' knowledge so that it can accommodate differing levels of competence and to be useful in the classroom varying modes of instruction (Mayer 1985). The proposed design to realize these aims is EmekÖlçer that provides a constructive environment based on direct manipulation, user-system interactions and available interface design.

The Interactive learning environment (ILE) should have all the features and components to develop children's word problem solving skills. Hence, it should be designed with an object-oriented and direct-manipulation approach. In order to reach this objective, the instructional software (EmekÖlçer) was developed and implemented by the researchers using an authoring tool, Asymetrix Toolbook II 5.0 and other related multimedia programs (Macromedia Flash 5.0, 3D Studio Max and Photoshop 5.0) to support Toolbook Application with videos, animations, audios and pictures. The user-friendliness of Toolbook interface and its accompanied object-oriented scripting language, Openscript, used to specify the

functionality were well suited to the development and implementation of the prototype. Toolbook software is a development environment that provides tools to draw objects that can be made interactive using the Openscript programming language. Also the development can be carried out incrementally. Further, Toolbook is event-driven i.e. an application can respond to events such as mouse clicking whenever they occur. This is suitable for the ILE interface that is based on a direct-manipulation approach in addition to its ability to produce quality visual animation.

The user-interface of EmekÖlçer has two-page design consisting of multiple viewers in which each viewer has a background and a foreground containing objects such as fields, buttons, graphics and text. The user interface has two units: a curriculum-manager unit and a student-working unit.

Curriculum Manager Unit

Curriculum Manager Unit (CMU) is one of the main windows of the EmekÖlçer (Figure 1). This is the place where teachers set problems and customize environments for students. Each problem specification will need to provide context information, and the concepts based on the activity sets. Hence, the purpose of the problem specification is to provide contexts familiar to the students.

The CMU has been designed to manage the specification of activity sets that contains the problem content, specification of problems, and types of representation. In order to form a new activity set for any student, teachers can use two methods, one has two steps, which are pre-storing problems and their answers, and specification of the problems depending on the level of the students, the other is only specification of problems, which are saved to the system previously. In brief, teachers can manage following tasks by using CMU:

- **Forming problem sets including simple and advanced level problems of two types, work and pool, by saving problems to the systems**
 - **Setting audio environment of student-working unit**
 - **Preparing an activity set with respect to the students' level**
- **Looking at the performances of the students who finish their activity sets**
 - **Viewing the activity set in the student-working unit.**




Figure 1. A screen of curriculum manager unit

Student Working Unit

The student working window (Figure 2), the learner mode of the EmekÖlçer, is the second main window of the EmekÖlçer. The Curriculum-Manager Unit passes the sequence of problems to the ILE controller that is to manage the interactions with students and to keep records of their progress. Hence a principal consideration in the design of this unit was the user-system interface in which these interactions take place. Emekölçer is based on a high degree of graphical and symbolic object manipulation, and with the interface users are able to directly manipulate the Emekölçer objects, for example by giving the values symbolically, dragging and dropping of picture of these values, and combining the representations of them to reach a solution. To outline, students can manage following tasks in this unit:

- **Displaying ratios they entered in the problems**
- **Dragging and dropping the displayed objects and displaying a vertical scale as a result of this**
- **Reaching right answers of the problems by analyzing a horizontal scale depending on the vertical scale**
 - **Setting audio environment**
 - **Transition to other problems.**




Figure 2. A screen of student working unit

Evaluation studies

The validation of EmekÖlçer was carried out during last week of the May and first week of the June 2001. The method of the research was pretest and posttest group design. The sample of the study was selected from seventh grade students of two different schools (Public school, school A and private school, school B) that have a computer laboratory. The subjects were selected by using clustering sample technique, namely, one class was selected from each school. The validation experiment was performed as a pretest of the work and pool problems.

The pre-test was administered to 80 students (59 from public school and 21 from private school). Their average age was 14 and 40 of them were girls and 40 of them were boys. For the application and post-test, convenient students were chosen from each class by considering their teacher's opinions and number of computers in the computer laboratories of both schools, that is students were chosen according to their achievements for each mode of the pre-test, namely, numerical solutions, symbolic and graphic representations of solutions. The students' actions in EmekÖlçer were recorded by the system and notes were taken by the researcher as well as the pre and posttest differences in performance.

The instructor organized software for 27 students to run in their laboratories. The reason for choosing only 27 students was that there were 28 computers in the computer laboratory of School A, but 17 of them were available to run the software and there were 13 computers in the computer laboratory of School B, but 10 of them were available to run the software. Five problems randomly chosen from nine problems were assigned to these students for both work and pool problems respectively by regarding the pre-test scores of the students. Pool problems were given to them at the second week of the application to allow students to manage problems at ease and meaningfully. For low-achievers, three of the problems were simple and two of them were advanced. For intermediate students and high achievers, two of the problems were simple and three of them were advanced.

Before the application of the software in both schools, the researcher provide students with an orientation session at which the students received explanations and were experienced

on how they will use the program by presenting worked examples for solving different type of problems. This session lasted about 20 minutes. Since the students in both schools had a regular computer course, they had no difficulty in controlling and manipulating the environment during the instruction.

After an orientation session, all groups received computer-assisted treatment for two hours without any break at two weeks respectively. During the instruction, students were left alone and they only interacted with computers. They solved their own problems about program by themselves without system problems by using the help and information modes of the software. Therefore, the researcher behaved like an observer in the application. The time that the students completed their activity sets changed between 30 and 65 minutes for work problems, however, the instruction on pool problems lasted between 20 and 45 minutes.

At the end of the instruction the performance test (PT) was conducted to all subjects as post-test. After all, the questionnaire about software evaluation was applied to the teachers involved in the study to obtain their criticisms.

In order to analyze the differences between the pre and post test mean scores of the whole group and School A obtained from each mode of PT, paired sampled t-test was used, and Wilcoxon test was used for school B since the number of subjects in the groups were too small.

The pre and posttests results showed significant improvements in students' performances for each mode that pointed to the benefits of EmekÖlçer ILE (See Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3). When the schools were analyzed separately, there was a significant increase in all modes of the tests for each school. Looking at the posttest results of each mode, significant improvements were also observed (for more details see Adigüzel, 2001).

Table 1. Differences between pre-post test scores of numerical solutions to PT

Groups	N	Pre-test Num. Sol. Mean	Pre-test Num. Sol. Std. Dev.	Post-test Num. Sol. Mean	Post-test Num. Sol. Std. Dev.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Total	27	22.85	27.28	85.78	21.70	10.997	26	.000
School A	17	18.47	25.36	88.35	24.43	9.036	16	.000
						Z		Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
School B	10	30.30	30.16	81.40	16.29	2.805		.005

Table 2. Differences between pre-post test scores of symbolic mode of PT

Groups	N	Pre-test Symbolic Mean	Pre-test Symbolic Std. Dev.	Post-test Symbolic Mean	Post-test Symbolic Std. Dev.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Total	27	2.74	8.86	92.37	11.27	36.443	26	.000
School A	17	2.47	9.68	96.59	5.49	37.343	16	.000
						z		Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
School B	10	3.20	7.73	85.20	14.92	2.807		.005

Table 3. Differences between pre-post test scores of graphic mode of PT

Groups	N	Pre-test Graphic Mean	Pre-test Graphic Std. Dev.	Post-test Graphic Mean	Post-test Graphic Std. Dev.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Total	27	18.67	18.62	82.26	31.25	10.380	26	.000
School A	17	21.65	14.71	95.00	10.90	16.858	16	.000
						z		Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)
School B	10	13.60	23.92	60.60	42.21	2.499		.012

Conclusion

Studies formed a base for using computers featuring multiple linked representations to assist students with the transition from concrete experiences to abstract mathematical ideas, with the practice of skills, and with the process of problem solving, like in the EmekÖlçer ILE, namely, beginning with the concrete representations and reaching the symbolic representations by using visual components supported by audio developed seventh grade students' performance on work and pool problems.

In the post-test, students had different graphical representations of solutions. The results showed that they were affected from the visual components of the EmekÖlçer ILE, namely, some of them drew a box, meant whole work, for each worker and they indicated the results by the graph corresponding these related graphs, some of them drew a vertical scale whose pointer's location showed the values of work done in a day for each worker and the result, and the rest of the students drew a horizontal scale, similar to the number line, whose pointer's location also represented the values of work done in a day for each worker and the result. This proves that if the students are given more visual representations, they will use and connect them to the symbolic representation of these and they will grasp the meaning of the word problem solving by concretizing them.

The increase in the symbolic mode was more than the other modes. This proves that multiple linked representations relating the symbolic representation to graphic representation allow learners to perceive complex ideas in a new way and to apply them more effectively. However, the increase in the graphical mode was significant but less than the other modes. The reason for this may be that since text-based books were dominant in the curriculum children have not developed to present problems graphically. However, the graphical representations need to be well constructed and be capable of representing the information in a problem to enable the processing capabilities of the human visual system to be exploited, so that perceptual features and judgments can be developed and related to a more abstract symbolic understanding (Cox and Brna, 1995). Also, graphical representations are effective problem solving and learning tools because they reduce the space of applicable operations and they are more specific than the other representations (Reimann, 1998). Relating with the theory, good performance on finding and presenting a graphic representation of a solution raised the performance on grasping symbolic representation of the solution in the study.

A similar result to the findings of this research was the outcome of ANIMATE software (Nathan, 1991). However the potentials and facilities of the two software differ. Though Nathan's ANIMATE can not generalize the solution method into an algebraic formula, EmekÖlçer can help students to build up algebraic formula that may be generalized and employed in a wide variety of problems

During the application, the time at which students finished each activity set was recorded by the researcher. According to the results, students spent longer time period on the first question of the activity set of the work problems than the other questions of the activity set since they were adapted to the system in the first question. After they gained an experience on the work problems, they acted carefully and swiftly on the pool problems since the application of the pool problems was applied to them one week later.

Performance recording unit of the program stored two main functions of the students: three trials on the values that are work done in a day for each worker and the answer of the problem for each trial. According to the records obtained from this unit, all students had tried at least three times on the advanced level problems and problems requiring complex calculation to reach a right answer. The reason for this was the greatness of the least common multiple related with the value of denominator of the work done in one day and that because of the big unit differences in the scale students could not grasp net measurement. However, they usually solved these problems after they tried at least three times. The main thing in this part is requiring the students to grasp the values from the problem. As a result, students' problem solving skills significantly improved by the help of instructional software, EmekÖlçer.

The rationale of the development of the EmekÖlçer was to base students' problem solving on multiple representations. This should aid understanding, conform to problem solving as an investigatory and creative activity. While EmekÖlçer was successful in fulfilling many of these claims in its design and conception, there are further requirements to complement or supplement its current facilities and strengths.

In conclusion, the EmekÖlçer software and validation studies have given some support to the design principles of ILEs where the aim is to produce user-system interfaces that release students' knowledge and stimulate active and investigatory methods of learning. The suggested further work could re-illuminate design principles for multi-representational interfaces. And, since the research was concluded successfully and significant results were obtained, hopefully it should be adapted for other domains of mathematics.

References

Adiguzel, T. (2001) Developing School Children's Word Problem Solving Skills Through Computer Based Multiple Representations, M. Sc. Thesis, Bogazici University.

Akpınar, Y. and Hartley, J. R. (1996) Designing Interactive Learning Environments. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 12(1), 33-46

Ausubel, D. P., 1963, Some Psychological and Educational Limitations of Learning by Discovery, *New York State Mathematics Teachers Journal* XIII, pp. 90-108.

Baroody, A.J., 1987, *Children's Mathematical Thinking, A Developmental Framework for Pre-school, Primary and Special Education Teachers*, Teachers College, New York.

Brooks, R. M., 1993, Principles for Effective Hypermedia Design, *Technical Communication Third Quarter*, pp. 422-428.

Cox, R. and P. Brna, 1995, Supporting the Use of External Representations in Problem Solving, The Need for Flexible Learning Environments, *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, Vol. 6, pp. 239-302.

Frye, D., D. C. Littmann, and E. Soloway, 1988, The Next Wave of Problems in ITS, Confronting the 'Users Issues' of Interface Design and System Evaluation, In Psotka, J., Massey, L. D., and Mutter, S. A. (Eds.), *Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Lessons Learned*, pp. 451-478, Lawrence Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.

Gagnè, R. M., 1985, *The Conditions of Learning and Theory of Instruction* (4th ed.), Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.

Jiang, Z. and E. McClintock, 2000, Multiple Approaches to Problem Solving and the Use of Technology, *The Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, Vol.19.

Kaput, J., 1992, Technology and Mathematics Education, In D. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, pp. 515-556, Macmillan Publishing Company, New York.

Macromedia, Inc., 1992, *Action! User guide*. San Francisco, CA.

Mayer. R.E., 1985, Implications of Cognitive Psychology for Instruction in Mathematical Problem Solving, In E. Silver (Ed.), *Teaching and Learning Mathematical problem solving, Multiple Research Perspectives*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, New Jersey.

Nathan, J. M., 1991, *A Theory of Word Algebra Problem Comprehension and Its Implications for the Design of Computer-Based Learning Environments*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Colorado.

Orton, A. 1987, *Learning Mathematics*, Cassell, London, UK.

Polya, G., 1962, *Mathematical Discovery, On Understanding, Learning and Teaching Problem Solving*, Vol. 1, Wiley, New York.

Reimann, P., 1998, *Commentary on "Learning with Interactive Graphic Representations"*, A Special Issue of Learning and Instruction, http://paeps.psi.uni-heidelberg.de/reimann/Publications/interactive_graphics.htm.

- Staub, D. W., and J. C. Wetherbe, 1989, Information Technologies for the 1990s, An Organizational Impact Perspective, *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 32, No. 11, pp. 1328-1339.
- Stemler, L. K., 1997, Educational Characteristics of Multimedia, A Literature Review, *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, Vol. 6, pp. 339.
- Zhang, J., 1997, The Nature of External Representations in Problem Solving, *Cognitive Science*, Vol. 21, 179-217.