Does grip strength predict squat strength in healthy young men?
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Abstract. Squat repetition maximum (RM) testing is a reliable and safe method for assessing lower body strength in healthy individuals but constraints in technique, time or access to testing devices can restrict its application. Measuring grip strength may provide a simple alternative as it has been linked to lower body strength in non-athletes. However, to date no study has examined the relationship between grip strength and squat strength in an untrained population. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the predictability of squat performance by grip strength. 25 untrained healthy male adults (24.9 ± 3.4 years) were tested for maximal grip strength and squat 5RM, which was used to calculate the estimated squat 1RM. Our data revealed a significant and strong correlation between grip strength and estimated squat 1RM (r² = .46, p < .001) as well as a significant regression equation in which grip strength can explain 46% of estimated squat 1RM (r² = .46, p < .001). The results suggest that grip strength may be used to predict squat performance in untrained healthy male adults, when repetition maximum testing is not feasible.
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Introduction

Muscle strength is an important determinant of health and physical fitness. Increasing muscle strength can reduce all-cause as well as cardiovascular mortality risk (Artero et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2018) and lower the risk of osteoporosis in both men and women (McGrath et al., 2017). Maintaining strength in old age has been associated with lower prevalence rates of functional limitations in activities of daily living, such as walking or climbing stairs, as well as a reduced rate of falls (Brill et al., 2000; Kell et al., 2001; Vasconcelos Rocha et al., 2016; Wind et al., 2010). Lower body strength in particular is linked to the successful completion of daily activities in the elderly (Puthoff & Nielsen, 2007). Consequently, it is recommended to perform strength training already at an early age and maintain strength throughout the lifespan (Faigenbaum, 2000; Kell et al., 2001; Westcott, 2012). In this context, the squat is considered a good exercise to increase general health and fitness due to its ability to mimic daily tasks and effectiveness in increasing entire lower limb and overall body strength (Kraemer et al., 2002; Myer et al., 2014; Vecchio et al., 2018). Squat strength may also be of importance as it has been associated with muscular power (Seitz et al., 2014; Wirth et al., 2016) which has recently been linked to mortality in middle-aged and old adults (Araujo et al., 2019). Therefore, identifying people with below-average squat performance may help to prevent the age-related loss of muscle function that begins in the fourth decade of life and delay the onset of frailty at a later age. For strength testing under non-laboratory conditions, repetition maximum (RM) protocols are considered the gold standard (Levinger et al., 2009) and the validity and reliability has been established for the squat (Seo et al., 2012; Urquhart et al., 2015). However, insufficient technique, time con-
strains or the lack of adequate testing devices may be contraindications for the safe completion of RM testing in this exercise. Consequently, there is a need for quick and feasible methods to assess squat strength, particularly under field conditions. Among these, measuring grip strength using a handheld dynamometer is a promising alternative. Although initially being used for assessing hand and forearm strength (Nwuga, 1975), studies have established its association with strength in diverse subpopulations, such as children, adolescents, adults, elders (Bohannon, 2019; Debeliso et al., 2015a; Debeliso et al., 2015b; Matsudo et al., 2015; Trosclair et al., 2011; Wind et al., 2010), tactical professionals (Rhea et al., 2004) and athletes (Drid et al., 2015; Jawan et al., 2014; Zampagni et al., 2008). While grip strength appears to be a good indicator for overall strength in non-athletic populations, to our knowledge no study thus far has assessed the relationship between squat strength and grip strength. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the relationship of these variables in healthy male adults with little prior strength training experience and no athletic background. In addition, the predictability of squat strength by grip strength was assessed. Based on previous research, it was hypothesized that grip strength is associated with squat strength and that it can serve as a predictor of squat strength in healthy but untrained young men.

Methods

Using a descriptive study design, we examined the association between grip strength and squat strength. Grip strength 1RM and squat 5RM were assessed under the direct supervision of a trained sport scientist. All data collection took place within a week and consisted of a familiarization session and the actual assessment.

Subjects

An a priori power analysis using G*Power (Faul et al., 2007) indicated that a sample size of 29 would be sufficient to detect a significant medium interaction effect \( (d = .50) \) with a power of .85 and an alpha of .05. Therefore, 30 active healthy male subjects were recruited for this study. All subjects had the risks and benefits explained to them beforehand and signed an institutionally approved consent form to participate. The study was designed according to the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the local ethics committee of the Georg-August-University Göttingen. Exclusion criteria consisted of acute and unhealed musculoskeletal injuries, as well as pre-existing cardiovascular or metabolic diseases.

Procedures

A week before the squat test, a familiarization session for the exercise technique took place with all participants. Key instructions for the squat technique were as follows: Barbell placed across the upper back, feet positioned shoulder-width apart and slightly rotated outwards, keeping a neutral spine while performing the exercise all the time, no valgus at the knees and a squat depth to the point where the femurs are slightly past parallel to the ground (Myer et al., 2014). On the day of the experiment, participants completed a general warmup for five minutes on a cross-trainer (Nautilus E 916) with low to moderate intensity (Haff & Triplett, 2016) at a heart rate of approximately 120-140 beats per minute. Participants were subsequently tested for their maximum grip strength on the dominant hand (Agbuga et al., 2009) with a Jamar handgrip dynamometer (Baseline Evaluation Instruments). Testing was conducted according to standardized guidelines (Roberts et al., 2011): Participants stood with their feet shoulder-width apart, shoulders adducted and neutrally rotated, elbow flexed at 90°, forearm in neutral position. After one familiarization trial, three further attempts were carried out.
and the achieved maximum score was used for the analysis. Between each trial, the subjects rested for 30 seconds. A rest period of two minutes followed the grip strength measurement.

A 5RM protocol was used to estimate squat 1RM. To ensure proper exercise technique and safety of the participants, trained sports scientists supervised all tests, and an instructor to participants’ ratio of 1:1 was maintained. The participants did a specific warmup for the squat 5RM test by completing one set of 8-10 repetitions with an empty barbell and three additional warm-up sets with five repetitions. These sets were performed with added weights, which was determined under the premise of proper technique and effort at 60, 75 and 90% 5RM. Perceived exertion rated on a Borg scale was used to estimate subsequent weight increments (Foster et al., 2001). Rest periods were two minutes between warm-up sets. Subsequently, participants were asked to squat their estimated 5RM weight. If the predefined criteria were met and an attempt was rated as submaximal, 5kg was added to the bar and another set was carried out after an extended rest period of five minutes. In case of improper technique or failure in the exercise, the execution was stopped and the properly performed repetitions were counted. If a participant rated a set as maximal, no more sets were conducted. The maximal weights and number of successfully completed repetitions of the last set were used to calculate estimated 1RM using Epley’s Formula (DiStasio, 2014).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 25. Normality of data was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test, which determined all primary outcome measures of interest to be normally distributed. Inclusion criteria of the participants for the statistical analysis was a strength level below intermediate. Therefore, depending on the actual weight class of each individual, participants with a bodyweight ratio ≥ 1.58 - 1.61 (Clark, 2020) in squat strength were excluded. The relationship between grip strength and the estimated squat 1RM was computed using Pearson’s r. A simple linear regression was calculated to predict estimated squat 1RM based on grip strength. The alpha level for significance for all statistical tests was set at p < .05.

Results

Five participants were excluded due to their intermediate squat strength so that a total of 25 participants remained. Anthropometric data of the final sample are listed in Table 1, average grip strength, estimated squat 1RM and estimated squat 1RM in relation to body mass in Table 2.

Grip strength and estimated squat 1RM showed a strong and significant correlation, while grip strength and squat strength in relation to body mass did not, see Table 3.

A significant linear regression equation was found (F(1,23) = 19.777, p < .001, r² = .46): Participants predicted estimated squat 1RM is equal to 40.269 + 1.109 * grip strength when estimated squat 1RM is measured in kg. Estimated squat 1RM increased for 1.109 for each kg of grip strength. The regression line is provided in the scatter plot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric data (Mean ± SD).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.9 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Performance variables (Mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grip Strength (kg)</th>
<th>Estimated Squat 1RM (kg)</th>
<th>Estimated Squat 1RM/Body Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>98.9 ± 14.9</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Pearson Correlation Coefficients (r) between grip strength and squat strength / in relation to body mass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grip Strength (kg)</th>
<th>Estimated Squat 1RM (kg)</th>
<th>Estimated Squat 1RM/ Body Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.68*</td>
<td>.384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < .01

Figure 1. Scatter plot of the correlation between grip strength and estimated squat 1RM.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between squat strength and grip strength and investigate the predictability of squat strength by grip strength in healthy male adults with little or no prior weight training experience. 5RM testing is a valid predictor of 1RM (Dohoney et al., 2002; Reynolds et al., 2006) and has been used in this study because of its lower risk for injury compared to 1RM testing in untrained populations (Gail et al., 2015; Haff & Triplett, 2016; Levinger et al., 2009). The mean grip strength reported is in accordance with normative values (Beck & Bös, 1995) and the mean estimated squat 1RM in relation to body mass is in the range for novices as reported by Clark (2020). With respect to our research question, we found a strong correlation between grip strength and squat strength and a linear regression with a large effect size (Döring & Bortz, 2016). These results suggest that grip strength is a valid indicator of squat performance and, following our reasoning, lower body strength in this population. Our findings are in agreement with previous research by Trosclair et al. (2011) who observed similar correlations of grip strength with leg-press (r = .72) and leg-extension (r = .61) 1RM in a study with college-
aged students. Grip strength therefore seems to be correlated to both functional and less-functional lower body strength in young untrained male adults, likewise. In contrast to these observations in untrained populations, a study by Otterson & Debeliso (2020) found no significant correlation between squat performance and grip strength in football athletes. Earlier research by Jawan (2014) and Agbuga (2009) supports these results for (team) sport athletes as they did not observe any significant correlations of grip strength with various upper and lower body 1RM tests. Grip strength showed also no significant correlation with anaerobic fitness or non-gripping bodyweight movements, with the exception of sit-ups, in female crossfit athletes (Haynes & Debeliso, 2019). The lack of correlation between grip strength with general and lower body strength in athletes, as well as non-gripping tasks and movements, may be explained by the specificity principle of training, which states that induced changes are specific to the exercise stress (Enoka, 1998). It is likely, that athletes strengthen commonly tested muscles like the m. quadriceps femoris through their sports and/or additional strength training. Consequently, higher general strengths levels occur but grip strength is not increased equally due to lack of an equal training stimulus. In non-athletes however, muscles are developed on a similar untrained level, which allows grip strength to represent the general ability to exert tension throughout the body. Hence, grip strength can be used as an indicator for overall and lower body strength in untrained populations but not for athletes from team sports. However, the most accurate way to assess lower body strength in non-laboratory conditions remains by testing for the 1RM in exercises like the squat (Seo et al., 2012).

Major limitations of our study are the small sample size and the lack of assessing participants’ backgrounds regarding regular tasks and activities that affect grip strength specifically, i.e. climbing. This may partly explain squat underperformance in our sample. Consequently, further studies should use larger sample sizes and screen future participants for recreational activities that may be related to grip strength.

Conclusion

Our results indicate that grip strength can be used as an indicator for squat strength in healthy young adults without the risk of fatiguing or injury and with minimal requirement in equipment and time. Therefore, health practitioners and coaches may use grip strength to assess squat strength in healthy, yet untrained male adults, when repetition maximum testing is not feasible.
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