TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. V.9, N.2, 2019, pp. 327-338

PRODUCT OF BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY GRAPHS AND THEIR DEGREE

SONIA MANDAL¹, MADHUMANGAL PAL², §

ABSTRACT. In this paper, bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs with four operations namely Cartesian product, composition, tensor product, normal product are defined. Also, the degrees of the vertices of the resultant graphs which are obtained from two given bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs G_1 and G_2 using the operations Cartesian product, composition, tensor product, normal product are determined.

Keywords: Bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph, Cartesian product, composition of graph, tensor product, normal product.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C72

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [30] represented the uncertainty as fuzzy subset of sets. Since then, the theory of fuzzy sets has become a vigorous area of research in different disciplines including medical and life sciences, management sciences, social sciences, engineering, statistics, graph theory, artificial intelligence, signal processing, multiagent systems, pattern recognition, robotics, computer networks, expert systems, decision-making, automata theory, etc. Graph theory has numerous applications to problems in computer science, networking routing, system analysis, electrical engineering, operations research, economics, transportation and many others. In many cases, some aspects of a graph-theoretic problem may be uncertain. The bipolar fuzzy sets have been explained by Zhang [31] in 1994. Zhang extended the fuzzy sets as bipolar fuzzy sets by assigning the membership value in the range [-1, 1]. In a bipolar fuzzy set, the membership degree 0 of an element means that the element is irrelevant to the corresponding property, the membership degree (0, 1] of an element indicates that the element somewhat satisfies the property, and the membership degree [-1, 0] of an element indicates that the element somewhat satisfies the property.

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics with Oceanology and Computer Programming, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, 721102, India.

e-mail: chikumath@gmail.com; ORCID: https//orcid.org/0000-0001-9389-0732.

² Department of Applied Mathematics with Oceanology and Computer Programming, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, 721102, India.

e-mail: mmpalvu@gmail.com; ORCID: https//orcid.org/ 000-0002-6709-836X.

[§] Manuscript received: March 14, 2017; accepted: April 24, 2017.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics Vol.9, No.2 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2019; all rights reserved.

In 2001, Mordeson and Nair [7] discussed about the properties of fuzzy graphs and hypergraphs. After that, the operation of union, join, Cartesian product and composition on two fuzzy graphs was defined by Mordeson and Peng [8]. Bhattacharya in 1987 developed some remarks on fuzzy graphs. Hai Long Yang et al. [6] gave the generalized bipolar fuzzy graphs. Atanassov [5] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set as a generalization of fuzzy sets. At an assov added a new components which determines the degree of non-membership in the definition of fuzzy set. In 1975, Rosenfeld [16] discussed the concept of fuzzy graph. The fuzzy relations between fuzzy sets were also considered by Rosenfeld and he developed the structure of fuzzy graphs, obtained analogs of several graphs theoretical concepts. After Rosenfeld [16] the fuzzy graph theory increases with its various types of branches, such as - fuzzy tolerance graph [24], fuzzy threshold graph [23], bipolar fuzzy graphs [14, 15, 28], balanced interval-valued fuzzy graphs [10, 12], fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs [26], fuzzy planar graphs [22, 29], bipolar fuzzy hypergraphs [25], etc. Also several works have been done on fuzzy graphs by Samanta and Pal[28]. Sahoo and Pal [19] discussed the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy competition graph. They also discussed intuitionistic fuzzy tolerance graph with application [20], different types of products on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs [18] and product of intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and their degrees [21].

2. Preliminaries

Let V be a universe of discourse. It may be taken as the set of vertices of a graph G. If the membership value of $u \in V$ is non-zero, then u is considered as a vertex of G.

Definition 2.1. A fuzzy set of a set V is a mapping σ from V to [0,1]. A fuzzy graph $G = (V, \sigma, \mu)$, where V is a set of vertices, σ and μ are two functions defined as $\mu : V \times V \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is a symmetric fuzzy relation and $\sigma : V \to [0,1]$, such that $\mu(u,v) \leq \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$, where $\sigma(u)$ and $\mu(u,v)$ represent the membership values of the vertex u and the edge (u,v) or uv respectively. The underlying crisp graph of $G = (V, \sigma, \mu)$ is denoted by $G^* = (V, E)$ where $E \subseteq V \times V$.

Definition 2.2. Let $G = (V, \sigma, \mu)$ be a fuzzy graph, the degree of a vertex u in G is defined by

 $d_u = \sum_{u \neq v} \mu(u, v) = \sum_{uv \in E} \mu(u, v).$

Definition 2.3. A bipolar fuzzy graph with an underlying set V is defined to be a pair G = (V, A, B) where $A = (\mu_A^P, \mu_A^N)$ is a bipolar fuzzy set in V and $B = (\mu_B^P, \mu_B^N)$ is a bipolar fuzzy set in $E \subseteq V \times V$ such that $\mu_B^P(x, y) \leq \min(\mu_A^P(x), \mu_A^P(y))$ and $\mu_B^N(x, y) \geq \max(\mu_A^N(x), \mu_A^N(y))$ for all $(x, y) \in E$.

 $\mu_B(x,g) \leq \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(g))$ and $\mu_B(x,g) \geq \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_A(g))$ for all $(x,g) \in E$

Definition 2.4. An intuitionistic fuzzy graph is of the form $G = (V, \mu, \lambda)$ where

(i) The vertex set $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ such that $\mu_1 : V \to [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_1 : V \to [0, 1]$, denote the degree of membership and non-membership of the vertex $v_i \in V$ respectively and $0 \le \mu_1(v_i) + \lambda_1(v_i) \le 1$ for every $v_i \in V$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, and

(ii) $E \subseteq V \times V$ where $\mu_2 : V \times V \to [0,1]$ and $\lambda_2 : V \times V \to [0,1]$, where $\mu_2(v_i, v_j)$ and $\lambda_2(v_i, v_j)$ denote the the degree of membership and non-membership value of the edge (v_i, v_j) respectively such that $\mu_2(v_i, v_j) \leq \min\{\mu_1(v_i), \mu_1(v_j)\}$ and $\lambda_2(v_i, v_j) \leq \max\{\lambda_1(v_i), \lambda_1(v_j)\},$ $0 \leq \mu_2(v_i, v_j) + \lambda_2(v_i, v_j) \leq 1$ for every edge (v_i, v_j) .

The main objective of this paper is to study the bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph and this graph is based on the bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set defined below.

3. BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY GRAPHS

Definition 3.1. Let X be a non empty set. A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $B = \{(x, \mu^P(x), \mu^N(x))\}$

 $\{\lambda^{P}(x), \lambda^{N}(x)\}\$ where $\mu^{P}: X \longrightarrow [0, 1], \ \mu^{N}: X \longrightarrow [-1, 0], \ \lambda^{P}: X \longrightarrow [0, 1], \ \lambda^{N}: X \longrightarrow [-1, 0]\$ are the mappings such that $0 \le \mu^{P}(x) + \lambda^{P}(x) \le 1, \ -1 \le \mu^{N}(x) + \lambda^{N}(x) \le 0.$

Definition 3.2. A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph with an underlying set V is defined to be a pair G = (A, B), where $A = (\mu_A^P, \mu_A^N, \lambda_A^P, \lambda_A^N)$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set on V and $B = (\mu_B^P, \mu_B^N, \lambda_B^P, \lambda_B^N)$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set on $E \subseteq V \times V$ such that

$$\begin{split} \mu_B^P(x,y) &\leq \min(\mu_A^P(x), \mu_A^P(y) \\ \mu_B^N(x,y) &\geq \max(\mu_A^N(x), \mu_A^N(y) \\ \lambda_B^P(x,y) &\leq \max(\lambda_A^P(x), \lambda_A^P(y) \\ \lambda_B^N(x,y) &\geq \min(\lambda_A^N(x), \lambda_A^N(y) \text{ for all } (x,y) \in E \end{split}$$

we call A the bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy vertex set of V, B the bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy edge set of E, respectively. A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy relation A on X is called symmetric if $\mu_B^P(x,y) = \mu_B^P(y,x), \ \mu_B^N(x,y) = \mu_B^N(y,x), \lambda_B^P(x,y) = \lambda_B^P(\{y,x\}), \ \lambda_B^N(x,y) = \lambda_N^P(y,x), \text{ for all } (x,y) \in X.$

We use the notation xy for an elemant of E.

Now, we give an example of bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph:

Example 3.1. Consider a graph $G^* = (V, E)$ such that $V = \{a, b, c\}, E = \{ab, bc, ca\}$ (figure 1). Let $A = (\mu_A^P, \mu_A^N, \lambda_A^P, \lambda_A^N)$ be a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset of V and let $B = (\mu_B^P, \mu_B^N, \lambda_B^P, \lambda_B^N)$ be a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset of $E \subseteq V \times V$ defined by

	a	b	С
μ_A^P	0.4	0.5	0.3
μ_A^N	-0.5	-0.4	-0.2
λ_A^P	0.5	0.3	0.7
λ_A^N	-0.3	-0.4	-0.5

	ab	bc	ca
μ_B^P	0.3	0.2	0.3
μ_B^N	-0.4	-0.1	-0.2
λ^P_B	0.4	0.6	0.6
λ_B^N	-0.3	-0.5	-0.4

The corresponding intuitionistic fuzzy graph is shown in Figure 1.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Definition 3.3. Let } G = (V, A, B) \ be \ a \ bipolar \ intuitionistic \ fuzzy \ graph. The \ open \ degree \ of \ a \ vertex \ u \ is \ defined \ as \ deg(u) = (deg_{\mu}^{P}(u), deg_{\mu}^{N}(u), deg_{\lambda}^{P}(u), deg_{\lambda}^{N}(u)) \ where, \ deg_{\mu}^{P}(u) = \sum_{u \neq v, v \in V} \mu_{B}^{P}(u, v), deg_{\mu}^{N}(u) = \sum_{u \neq v, v \in V} \mu_{B}^{N}(u, v) \ and \ deg_{\lambda}^{P}(u) = \sum_{u \neq v, v \in V} \lambda_{B}^{P}(u, v), deg_{\lambda}^{N}(u) = \sum_{u \neq v, v \in V} \lambda_{B}^{N}(u, v). \end{array}$

4. PRODUCT AND DEGREE OF BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY GRAPHS

In this section, we are consider different types of product of bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph(BIFG). Then we determine the degree of the resultant graphs.





4.1. Cartesian product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs.

Definition 4.1. Let us consider two BIFG G_1 and G_2 . The Cartesian product of two BIFGs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ with underline crisp graphs $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively is defined as a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph $G = G_1 \times G_2 = (A_1 \times A_2, B_1 \times B_2)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and $E = \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2) | u_1 = v_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2 \text{ or } u_2 = v_2, u_1v_1 \in E_1\}$ with (i)

$$\mu_{A_1 \times A_2}^P(u_1, u_2) = \mu_{A_1}^P(u_1) \wedge \mu_{A_2}^P(u_2)
\mu_{A_1 \times A_2}^N(u_1, u_2) = \mu_{A_1}^N(u_1) \vee \mu_{A_2}^N(u_2)
\lambda_{A_1 \times A_2}^P(u_1, u_2) = \lambda_{A_1}^P(u_1) \vee \lambda_{A_2}^P(u_2)
\lambda_{A_1 \times A_2}^N(u_1, u_2) = \lambda_{A_1}^N(u_1) \wedge \lambda_{A_2}^N(u_2),$$

for all $(u_1, u_2) \in V$ (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{B_1 \times B_2}^P((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \mu_{A_1}^P(u) \wedge \mu_{B_2}^P(u_2, v_2) \\
\mu_{B_1 \times B_2}^N((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \mu_{A_1}^N(u) \vee \mu_{B_2}^N(u_2, v_2) \\
\lambda_{B_1 \times B_2}^P((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \lambda_{A_1}^P(u) \vee \lambda_{B_2}^P(u_2, v_2) \\
\lambda_{B_1 \times B_2}^N((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \lambda_{A_1}^N(u) \wedge \lambda_{B_2}^N(u_2, v_2),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in V_1$ and $u_2v_2 \in E_2$ (iii)

$$\mu_{B_1 \times B_2}^P((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \mu_{B_1}^P(u_1, v_1) \wedge \mu_{A_2}^P(v) \mu_{B_1 \times B_2}^N((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \mu_{B_1}^N(u_1, v_1) \vee \mu_{A_2}^N(v) \lambda_{B_1 \times B_2}^P((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \lambda_{B_1}^P(u_1, v_1) \vee \lambda_{A_2}^P(v) \lambda_{B_1 \times B_2}^N((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \lambda_{B_1}^N(u_1, v_1) \wedge \lambda_{A_2}^N(v),$$

for all $v \in V_2$ and $u_1v_1 \in E_1$

Definition 4.2. Let G = (V, E) where $V = V_1 \times V_2$, $E = E_1 \times E_2$ be the Cartesian product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ with underline crisp graphs $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively. Then the degree of the vertex (u_1, u_2) in V is denoted by

331

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (d^P_{\mu G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2), d^N_{\mu G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2), d^P_{\lambda G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2), d^N_{\lambda G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2)) where$$

$$d^{P}_{\mu G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \mu^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2})$$

$$d^{N}_{\mu G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \mu^{N}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \vee \mu^{N}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{N}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \vee \mu^{N}_{A_{2}}(v_{2})$$

$$d^{P}_{\lambda G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \lambda^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \vee \lambda^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \vee \lambda^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2})$$

$$d^{N}_{\lambda G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \lambda^{N}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge \lambda^{N}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{N}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \lambda^{N}_{A_{2}}(v_{2})$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ be two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. If $\mu_{A_1}^P \ge \mu_{B_2}^P$, $\mu_{A_1}^N \le \mu_{B_2}^N$, $\lambda_{A_1}^P \le \lambda_{B_2}^P$, $\lambda_{A_1}^N \ge \lambda_{B_2}^N$ and $\mu_{A_2}^P \ge \mu_{B_1}^P$, $\mu_{A_2}^N \le \mu_{B_1}^N$, $\lambda_{A_2}^P \le \lambda_{B_1}^P$, $\lambda_{A_2}^N \ge \lambda_{B_1}^N$, then $d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2)$.

Proof. From the defination of vertex in Cartesian product, we have,

$$\begin{split} d^{P}_{\mu G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{((u_{1}, u_{2})(v_{1}, v_{2})) \in E} \mu^{P}_{B_{1} \times B_{2}}((u_{1}, u_{2}), (v_{1}, v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1} = v_{1}, (u_{2}, v_{2}) \in E_{2}} \mu^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{(u_{2} = v_{2}, (u_{1}, v_{1}) \in E_{1})} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &= \sum_{(u_{2}, v_{2}) \in E_{2}} \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{(u_{1}, v_{1}) \in E_{1}} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \qquad [since \ \mu^{P}_{A_{1}} \ge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}} \ and \ \mu^{P}_{A_{2}} \ge \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}] \\ &= d^{P}_{\mu G_{2}}(u_{2}) + d^{P}_{\mu G_{1}}(u_{1}) \\ &= d^{P}_{\mu G_{1}}(u_{1}) + d^{P}_{\mu G_{2}}(u_{2}) \end{split}$$

similarly, we proved $d^N_{\mu G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d^N_{\mu G_1}(u_1) + d^N_{\mu G_2}(u_2)$

$$d^{P}_{\lambda G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = d^{P}_{\lambda G_{1}}(u_{1}) + d^{P}_{\lambda G_{2}}(u_{2})$$
$$d^{N}_{\lambda G_{1} \times G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = d^{N}_{\lambda G_{1}}(u_{1}) + d^{N}_{\lambda G_{2}}(u_{2}).$$

Hence, $d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2).$

Example 4.1. Here, $\mu_{A_1}^P \ge \mu_{B_2}^P$, $\mu_{A_1}^N \le \mu_{B_2}^N$, $\lambda_{A_1}^P \le \lambda_{B_2}^P$, $\lambda_{A_1}^N \ge \mu_{B_2}^N$ and $\mu_{A_2}^P \ge \mu_{B_1}^P$, $\mu_{A_2}^N \le \mu_{B_1}^N$, $\lambda_{A_2}^P \le \lambda_{B_1}^P$, $\lambda_{A_2}^N \ge \mu_{B_1}^N$. Then by Theorem 1, we have $d_{\mu_{G_1} \times G_2}^P(u_1, u_2) = d_{\mu_{G_1}}^P(u_1) + d_{\mu_{G_2}}^P(u_2) = 0.1 + 0.1 = 0.2$ $d_{\mu_{G_1} \times G_2}^N(u_1, u_2) = d_{\mu_{G_1}}^N(u_1) + d_{\mu_{G_2}}^P(u_2) = -0.2 - 0.3 = -0.5$ $d_{\lambda_{G_1} \times G_2}^P(u_1, u_2) = d_{\lambda_{G_1}}^P(u_1) + d_{\lambda_{G_2}}^P(u_2) = 0.4 + 0.4 = 0.8$ $d_{\lambda_{G_1} \times G_2}^N(u_1, u_2) = d_{\lambda_{G_1}}^N(u_1) + d_{\lambda_{G_2}}^N(u_2) = -0.3 - 0.3 = -0.6$ So, $d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (0.2, -0.5, 0.8, -0.6)$. Similarly, we can find the degree of all vertices in $G_1 \times G_2$. This is verfied in Figure 2.

$$u_{1}(0.2, -0.3, 0.1, -0.2) u_{2}(0.2, -0.3, 0.4, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.3, 0.4, -0.2) \\ (u_{1}, u_{2}) \qquad (u_{1}, v_{2}) \\ (0.1, -0.2, 0.4, -0.3) \\ (0.1, -0.3, 0.4, -0.3) \\ (0.1, -0.2, 0.4, -0.3) \\ (0.2, -0.4, 0.8, -0.3) \\ (0$$

FIGURE 2. Cartesian product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs

4.2. Composition of BIFG. Here, we define the Composition of BIFG and also we determined the degree of each vertex of the resultant graph.

Definition 4.3. The Composition of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (v_2, A_2, B_2)$ with underline crisp graphs $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively is defined as a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph $G = G_1[G_2] = (A_1 \circ A_2, B_1 \circ B_2)$ with underline crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and $E = \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2) | u_1 = v_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2 \text{ or } u_2 = v_2, u_1v_1 \in E_1\}$ and $E^* = E \cup \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2) | u_1v_1 \in E_1, u_2 \neq v_2\}$ with

(i)

$$\begin{split} \mu_{A_1 \circ A_2}^P(u_1, u_2) &= \mu_{A_1}^P(u_1) \wedge \mu_{A_2}^P(u_2) \\ \mu_{A_1 \circ A_2}^N(u_1, u_2) &= \mu_{A_1}^N(u_1) \vee \mu_{A_2}^N(u_2) \\ \lambda_{A_1 \circ A_2}^P(u_1, u_2) &= \lambda_{A_1}^P(u_1) \vee \lambda_{A_2}^P(u_2) \\ \lambda_{A_1 \circ A_2}^N(u_1, u_2) &= \lambda_{A_1}^N(u_1) \wedge \lambda_{A_2}^N(u_2), \end{split}$$

for all $(u_1, u_2) \in V$ (ii)

$$\begin{split} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}((u,u_{2}),(u,v_{2})) &= \mu^{P}_{A_{1}}(u) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2},v_{2}) \\ \mu^{N}_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}((u,u_{2}),(u,v_{2})) &= \mu^{N}_{A_{1}}(u) \vee \mu^{N}_{B_{2}}(u_{2},v_{2}) \\ \lambda^{P}_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}((u,u_{2}),(u,v_{2})) &= \lambda^{P}_{A_{1}}(u) \vee \lambda^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2},v_{2}) \\ \lambda^{N}_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}((u,u_{2}),(u,v_{2})) &= \lambda^{N}_{A_{1}}(u) \wedge \lambda^{N}_{B_{2}}(u_{2},v_{2}), \end{split}$$

for all $u \in V_1$ and $u_2v_2 \in E_2$ (iii)

$$\mu_{B_1 \circ B_2}^P((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \mu_{A_2}^P(v) \wedge \mu_{B_1}^P(u_1, v_1) \mu_{B_1 \circ B_2}^N((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \mu_{B_1}^N(u) \vee \mu_{A_2}^N(u_2, v_2) \lambda_{B_1 \circ B_2}^P((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \lambda_{A_2}^P(v) \vee \lambda_{B_1}^P(u_1, v_1) \lambda_{B_1 \circ B_2}^N((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \lambda_{A_2}^N(v) \wedge \lambda_{B_1}^N(u_1, v_1),$$

for all $v \in V_2$ and $u_1v_1 \in E_1$ (iv)

$$\mu_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}^{P}((u_{1}, u_{2}), (v_{1}, v_{2})) = \mu_{A_{2}}^{P}(u_{2}) \wedge \mu_{A_{2}}^{P}(v_{2}) \wedge \mu_{B_{1}}^{P}(u_{1}, v_{1})$$

$$\mu_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}^{N}((u_{1}, u_{2}), (v_{1}, v_{2})) = \mu_{A_{2}}^{N}(u_{2}) \vee \mu_{A_{2}}^{N}(v_{2}) \vee \mu_{B_{1}}^{N}(u_{1}, v_{1})$$

$$\lambda_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}^{P}((u_{1}, u_{2}), (v_{1}, v_{2})) = \lambda_{A_{2}}^{P}(u_{2}) \vee \lambda_{A_{2}}^{P}(v_{2}) \vee \lambda_{B_{1}}^{P}(u_{1}, v_{1})$$

$$\lambda_{B_{1}\circ B_{2}}^{N}((u_{1}, u_{2}), (v_{1}, v_{2})) = \lambda_{A_{2}}^{N}(u_{2}) \wedge \mu_{A_{2}}^{N}(v_{2}) \wedge \lambda_{B_{1}}^{N}(u_{1}, v_{1}),$$

for all $(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2) \in E^* - E$

Definition 4.4. Let $G = G_1[G_2] = (A_1 \circ A_2, B_1 \circ B_2)$ with underline crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$, $E = E_1 \times E_2$ be the composition of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ with crisp graph $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively. Then the degree of the vertex (u_1, u_2) in V is denoted by $d_{G_1[G_2]}(u_1, u_2) = (d_{\mu G_1[G_2]}^P(u_1, u_2), d_{\mu G_1[G_2]}^N(u_1, u_2), d_{\lambda G_1[G_2]}^P(u_1, u_2), d_{\lambda G_1[G_2]}^N(u_1, u_2))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} d^{P}_{\mu G_{1}[G_{2}]}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \mu^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{P}_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^{N}_{\mu G_{1}[G_{2}]}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \mu^{N}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \lor \mu^{N}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{N}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \lor \mu^{N}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{N}_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \lor \mu^{N}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \lor \mu^{N}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^{P}_{\lambda G_{1}[G_{2}]}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \lambda^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{P}_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^{N}_{\lambda G_{1}[G_{2}]}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} \lambda^{N}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge \lambda^{N}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{N}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \lambda^{N}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{N}_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge \mu^{N}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \wedge \lambda^{N}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ be two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. If $\mu_{A_1}^P \ge \mu_{B_2}^P$, $\mu_{A_1}^N \le \mu_{B_2}^N$, $\lambda_{A_1}^P \le \lambda_{B_2}^P$, $\lambda_{A_1}^N \ge \lambda_{B_2}^N$ and $\mu_{A_2}^P \ge \mu_{B_1}^P$, $\mu_{A_2}^N \le \mu_{B_1}^N$, $\lambda_{A_2}^P \le \lambda_{B_1}^P$, $\lambda_{A_2}^N \ge \lambda_{B_1}^N$, then $d_{G_1[G_2]}(u_1, u_2) = |V_2|d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2)$.

$$(0.2, -0.3, 0.1, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.3, 0.2, -0.2)$$

$$(0.1, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.1, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.2, -0.2, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.3, -0.4, 0.2, -0.3)$$

$$(0.3, -0.4, 0.2, -0.3) \qquad (0.3, -0.4, 0.2, -0.3)$$

FIGURE 3. Composition of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs

$$\begin{split} & \textbf{Example 4.2. Here, } \mu_{A_1}^P \geq \mu_{B_2}^P, \, \mu_{A_1}^N \leq \mu_{B_2}^N, \, \lambda_{A_1}^P \leq \lambda_{B_2}^P, \lambda_{A_1}^N \geq \mu_{B_2}^N \, \text{ and } \mu_{A_2}^P \geq \mu_{B_1}^P, \\ & \mu_{A_2}^N \leq \mu_{B_1}^N, \, \lambda_{A_2}^P \leq \lambda_{B_1}^P, \lambda_{A_2}^N \geq \mu_{B_1}^N. \text{ Then by Theorem 2, we have} \\ & d_{\mu_{G_1[G_2]}}^P(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{\mu_{G_1}}^P(u_1) + d_{\mu_{G_2}}^P(u_2) = 2 \times (0.2) + 0.1 = 0.5 \\ & d_{\mu_{G_1[G_2]}}^N(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{\mu_{G_1}}^N(u_1) + d_{\mu_{G_2}}^N(u_2) = 2 \times (-0.2) + (-0.2) = -0.5 \\ & d_{\lambda_{G_1[G_2]}}^P(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{\lambda_{G_1}}^N(u_1) + d_{\lambda_{G_2}}^N(u_2) = 2 \times (0.2) + 0.2 = 0.6 \\ & d_{\lambda_{G_1[G_2]}}^N(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{\lambda_{G_1}}^N(u_1) + d_{\lambda_{G_2}}^N(u_2) = 2 \times (-0.3) - 0.3 = -0.8 \\ & \text{ So, } d_{G_1[G_2]}(u_1, u_2) = (0.5, -0.6, 0.6, -0.8). \text{ Similarly, we can find the degree of all} \end{split}$$

So, $d_{G_1[G_2]}(u_1, u_2) = (0.5, -0.6, 0.6, -0.8)$. Similarly, we can find the degree of all vertices in $G_1[G_2]$. This is verified in Figure 3.

4.3. **Tensor product of two BIFGs.** In this section, we described tensor product of two BIFGs. The degree of each vertex of the resultant graph.

Definition 4.5. The tensor product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ with underline crisp graphs $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively is defined as a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph $G = G_1 \otimes G_2 = (A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$ with underline crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and $E = \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2) | u_1 v_1 \in E_1, u_2 v_2 \in E_2\}$ with

$$\begin{split} \mu^P_{A_1\otimes A_2}(u_1,u_2) &= \mu^P_{A_1}(u_1) \wedge \mu^P_{A_2}(u_2) \\ \mu^N_{A_1\otimes A_2}(u_1,u_2) &= \mu^N_{A_1}(u_1) \vee \mu^N_{A_2}(u_2) \\ \lambda^P_{A_1\otimes A_2}(u_1,u_2) &= \lambda^P_{A_1}(u_1) \vee \lambda^P_{A_2}(u_2) \\ \lambda^N_{A_1\otimes A_2}(u_1,u_2) &= \lambda^N_{A_1}(u_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{A_2}(u_2), \end{split}$$

for all $(u_1, u_2) \in V$ (ii)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu^P_{B_1 \otimes B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &=& \mu^P_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \mu^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \mu^N_{B_1 \otimes B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &=& \mu^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \vee \mu^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \lambda^P_{B_1 \otimes B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &=& \lambda^P_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \vee \lambda^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \lambda^N_{B_1 \otimes B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &=& \lambda^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \end{array}$$

for all $u_1v_1 \in E_1$ and $u_2v_2 \in E_2$

Definition 4.6. Let $G = G_1 \otimes G_2$ with underline crisp graph (V, E) where $V = V_1 \times V_2$, $E = E_1 \times E_2$ be the Cartesian product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$. Then the degree of the vertex (u_1, u_2) in V is denoted by

 $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (d^P_{\mu G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2), d^N_{\mu G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2), d^P_{\lambda G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2), d^N_{\lambda G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2)) and defined by$

$$\begin{aligned} d^P_{\mu G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} \mu^P_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \mu^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2). \\ d^N_{\mu G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} \mu^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \vee \mu^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2). \\ d^P_{\lambda G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} \lambda^P_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \vee \lambda^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2). \\ d^N_{\lambda G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} \lambda^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ be two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. If $\mu_{B_2}^P \ge \mu_{B_1}^P$, $\mu_{B_2}^N \le \mu_{B_1}^N$, $\lambda_{B_2}^P \le \lambda_{B_1}^P, \lambda_{B_2}^N \ge \mu_{B_1}^N$, then $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1)$ and if $\mu_{B_1}^P \ge \mu_{B_2}^P$, $\mu_{B_1}^N \le \mu_{B_2}^N$, $\lambda_{B_1}^P \le \lambda_{B_2}^P, \lambda_{B_1}^N \ge \mu_{B_2}^N$, then $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_2}(u_2)$.

4.4. Normal product of two BIFGs. In this section, we consider the normal product of two BIFGs. The degree of each vertex of the resultant graph in terms of the original graphs in calculated.

Definition 4.7. The normal product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ with underline crisp graph $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively is defined as a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph $G = G_1 \bullet G_2 = (A_1 \bullet A_2, B_1 \bullet B_2)$ with underline crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and $E = \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)|u_1 = v_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2 \text{ or } u_2 = v_2, u_1v_1 \in E_1\} \cup E = \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)|u_1v_1 \in E_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2\}$ with (i)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu^P_{A_1 \bullet A_2}(u_1, u_2) &=& \mu^P_{A_1}(u_1) \wedge \mu^P_{A_2}(u_2) \\ \mu^N_{A_1 \bullet A_2}(u_1, u_2) &=& \mu^N_{A_1}(u_1) \vee \mu^N_{A_2}(u_2) \\ \lambda^P_{A_1 \bullet A_2}(u_1, u_2) &=& \lambda^P_{A_1}(u_1) \vee \lambda^P_{A_2}(u_2) \\ \lambda^N_{A_1 \bullet A_2}(u_1, u_2) &=& \lambda^N_{A_1}(u_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{A_2}(u_2), \end{array}$$

for all $(u_1, u_2) \in V$ (ii)

$$\begin{split} \mu^P_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \mu^P_{A_1}(u) \wedge \mu^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \mu^N_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \mu^N_{A_1}(u) \vee \mu^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \lambda^P_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \lambda^P_{A_1}(u) \vee \lambda^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \lambda^N_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u, u_2), (u, v_2)) &= \lambda^N_{A_1}(u) \wedge \lambda^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \end{split}$$

for all $u \in V_1$ and $u_2v_2 \in E_2$ (iii)

$$\mu_{B_1 \bullet B_2}^P((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \mu_{A_2}^P(v) \wedge \mu_{B_1}^P(u_1, v_1)$$

$$\mu_{B_1 \bullet B_2}^N((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \mu_{B_1}^N(u) \vee \mu_{A_2}^N(u_2, v_2)$$

$$\lambda_{B_1 \bullet B_2}^P((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \lambda_{A_2}^P(v) \vee \lambda_{B_1}^P(u_1, v_1)$$

$$\lambda_{B_1 \bullet B_2}^N((u_1, v), (v_1, v)) = \lambda_{A_2}^N(v) \wedge \lambda_{B_1}^N(u_1, v_1),$$

for all $v \in V_2$ and $u_1v_1 \in E_1$ (iv)

$$\begin{split} \mu^P_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &= \mu^P_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \mu^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \mu^N_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &= \mu^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \vee \mu^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \lambda^P_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &= \lambda^P_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \vee \lambda^P_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) \\ \lambda^N_{B_1 \bullet B_2}((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) &= \lambda^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2), \end{split}$$

for all $u_1v_1 \in E_1$ and $u_2v_2 \in E_2$

Definition 4.8. Let $G = G_1 \bullet G_2$ with underline crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \times V_2$, $E = E_1 \times E_2$ be the normal product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ with crisp graph $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively. Then the degree of the vertex (u_1, u_2) in V is denoted by $d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (d_{\mu G_1 \bullet G_2}^P(u_1, u_2), d_{\mu G_1 \bullet G_2}^N(u_1, u_2), d_{\lambda G_1 \bullet G_2}^N(u_1, u_2))$ and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d^{P}_{\mu G_{1} \bullet G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1} = v_{1}, (u_{2}, v_{2}) \in E_{2}} \mu^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2} = v_{2}, (u_{1}, v_{1}) \in E_{1}} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \mu^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \wedge \mu^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^N_{\mu G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2 &= \sum_{u_1 = v_1, (u_2, v_2) \in E_2} \mu^N_{A_1}(u_1) \lor \mu^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) + \sum_{u_2 = v_2, (u_1, v_1) \in E_1} \mu^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \lor \mu^N_{A_2}(v_2) \\ &+ \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} \mu^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \lor \mu^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^{P}_{\lambda G_{1} \bullet G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, (u_{2}, v_{2}) \in E_{2}} \lambda^{P}_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, (u_{1}, v_{1}) \in E_{1}} \lambda^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} \lambda^{P}_{B_{1}}(u_{1}, v_{1}) \lor \lambda^{P}_{B_{2}}(u_{2}, v_{2}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d^N_{\lambda G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{u_1 = v_1, (u_2, v_2) \in E_2} \lambda^N_{A_1}(u_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2) + \sum_{u_2 = v_2, (u_1, v_1) \in E_1} \lambda^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{A_2}(v_2) \\ &+ \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} \lambda^N_{B_1}(u_1, v_1) \wedge \lambda^N_{B_2}(u_2, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $G_1 = (V_1, A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, A_2, B_2)$ be two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. If $\mu_{A_1}^P \ge \mu_{B_2}^P$, $\mu_{A_1}^N \le \mu_{B_2}^N$, $\lambda_{A_1}^P \le \lambda_{B_2}^P$, $\lambda_{A_1}^N \ge \lambda_{B_2}^N$, $\mu_{A_2}^P \ge \mu_{B_1}^P$, $\mu_{A_2}^N \le \mu_{B_1}^N$,

 $\lambda_{A_2}^P \leq \lambda_{B_1}^P, \ \lambda_{A_2}^N \geq \lambda_{B_1}^N \ and \ \mu_{B_2}^P \geq \mu_{B_1}^P, \ \mu_{B_2}^N \leq \mu_{B_1}^N, \ \lambda_{B_2}^P \leq \lambda_{B_1}^P, \ \lambda_{B_2}^N \geq \mu_{B_1}^N, \ then \ d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2).$

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we defined bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy sets, bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and then determined the degree of the vertices of the graphs $G_1 \times G_2$, $G_1[G_2]$, $G_1 \otimes G_2$ and $G_1 \bullet G_2$, in terms of the degree of the vertices of the bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs G_1 and G_2 under some conditions and illustrated them through examples. The vertices and their degree of any graph are very important parameters. This study is very useful to analyse various properties of Cartesian product, composition, tensor product and normal product of two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy graphs.

Acknowledgements. Financial support of first author by University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India(Fl-17.112014-15/RGNF-2014-15-SC-WES-63919(SA-Ill/Website)) is thankfully acknowledged.

References

- Atanassov K.T., (1999). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Theory and Applications, studies in fuzziness and soft Computing, physica-verl., Heidelberg, New York
- [2] Akram M., (2011). Bipolar fuzzy graphs. Information Sciences 181: 5548–5564.
- [3] Akram M. and Davvaz B., (2012). Strong intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. Filomat 26(1): 177–196.
- [4] Al-Shehrie N. O., Akram M., (2015). Bipolar fuzzy competition graphs. Ars Combinatoria 121: 385–402.
- [5] Atanassov K. T., (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20: 87–96.
- [6] Hai-Long Yang et al.(2013). Notes on "Bipolar fuzzy graphs". Information Sciences 242: 113–121.
- [7] Mordeson J. N., Nair P. S., (2001). Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy Hypergraphs. Heidelberg: Physical Verlage.
- [8] Mordeson J.N., Peng C. S., (1994). Operation on fuzzy graphs. Information Sciences 79: 159–170.
- [9] Nagoorgani A., Radha K., (2009). The degree of vertex in some fuzzy graphs. International Journal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics 2: 107—116.
- [10] Pal M., Samanta S., Rashmanlou H., (2015). Some results on interval-valued fuzzy graphs. International Journal of Computer Science and Electronics Engineering 3 (3): 205–211.
- [11] Pramanik T., Samanta S., Pal M., (2014). Interval-valued fuzzy planar graphs. International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics 7 (4): 653–664.
- [12] Rashmanlou H., Pal M., (2013). Balanced interval-valued fuzzy graphs. Journal of Physical Sciences 17: 43–57.
- [13] Rashmanlou H., Pal M., (2013). Some properties of highly irregular interval valued fuzzy graphs. World Applied Sciences Journal 27 (12): 1756–1773.
- [14] Rashmanlou H., Samanta S., Pal M., Borzooei R., A., (2015). A study on bipolar fuzzy graphs. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 28: 571–580.
- [15] Rashmanlou H., Samanta S., Pal M., Borzooei R., A., (2015) Bipolar fuzzy graphs with categorical properties. The International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems 8 (5): 808–818.
- [16] Rosenfield A., (1975). Fuzzy graphs. Fuzzy Sets and Their Application (L. A. Zadeh, K. S. Fu, M. Shimura, Eds.) Academic press, New York: 77–95.
- [17] Rashmanlou H., Samanta S., Borzooei R. A., Pal M., (2014). A study on bipolar fuzzy graphs. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 28: 571-580.
- [18] Sahoo S., Pal M., (2015). Different types of products on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. Pacific Science Review A: Natural Science and Engineering 17(3): 87–96.
- [19] Sahoo S., Pal M., (2015). Intuitionistic fuzzy competition graph. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing 52(1): 37–57.
- [20] Sahoo S., Pal M., (2016). Intuitionistic fuzzy tolerance graphs with application. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing DOI:10.1007/s12190-016-1047-2.
- [21] Sahoo S., Pal M., (2016). Product of intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and degree. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 32(1): 1059-1067.

- [22] Samanta S, Pal A., Pal M., (2014). New concepts of fuzzy planar graphs. International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence 3 (1): 52–59.
- [23] Samanta S., Pal M., (2011). Fuzzy threshold graphs. CIIT International Journal of Fuzzy Systems 3: 360–364.
- [24] Samanta S., Pal M., (2011). Fuzzy tolerance graphs. International Journal of Latest Trends in Mathematics 1: 57–67.
- [25] Samanta S., Pal M., (2012). Irregular bipolar fuzzy graphs. International Journal of Applications of Fuzzy Sets 2: 91–102.
- [26] Samanta S., Pal M., (2013). Fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs. Fuzzy Information and Engineering 5: 191–204.
- [27] Samanta S., Pal M., (2013). Telecommunication System Based on Fuzzy Graphs. J. Telecommun. Syst. Manage. 3: 1–6.
- [28] Samanta S., Pal M., (2014). Some more results on bipolar fuzzy sets and bipolar fuzzy intersection graphs. The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 22(2): 253–262.
- [29] Samanta S., Pal M., (2015). Fuzzy planar graph. IEEE Transaction on Fuzzy Systems, 23 (6): 1936– 1942
- [30] Zadeh L., A., (1965). Fuzzy set. Information and Control 8: 338-353.
- [31] Zhang W., R., (1994). Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: a computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis. Proceedings of IEEE Conf (1994): 305–309.



Sonia Mandal is a junior research scholar in the Department of Applied Mathematics, Vidyasagar University, India. Her research interests include fuzzy sets, fuzzy graphs, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy graph, graph theory.



Madhumangal Pal is a Professor of Applied Mathematics, Vidyasagar University, West Bengal, India. He has published more than 230 research articles in international and national journals. His specializations include computational and fuzzy graph theory, genetic algorithms and parallel algorithms, fuzzy matrices and fuzzy algebra. He is the author of eight books published from India and UK and these are written for under graduates and postgraduate students and other professionals. He is the Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Physical Sciences and Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Dr. Pal is the member of the editorial board of several reputed journals.