

## GENERALIZED $\alpha - \psi$ -GERAGHTY MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS ON $b$ -METRIC SPACES ENDOWED WITH A GRAPH

H. AFSHARI<sup>1</sup>, M. ATAPOUR<sup>1</sup>, H. AYDI<sup>2, §</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we provide some conditions for the existence of a coincidence point of single-valued and multivalued mappings involving generalized  $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty contractions endowed with a graph. Our main results improve the existing results in the corresponding literature. We also present examples to support the obtained results.

Keywords:  $b$ -metric space, generalized  $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty multivalued mappings, coincidence point.

AMS Subject Classification: 83-02, 99A00

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of  $b$ -metric spaces was initiated in the works of Bakhtin, Heinonen, and Czerwik [6, 8]. Afterwards, several articles which deal with fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued mappings in the class of  $b$ -metric spaces appeared in [2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10] and related references therein.

**Definition 1.1.** [9] *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A mapping  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a  $b$ -metric and the pair  $(X, d)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space if, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:*

- ( $bM_1$ )  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- ( $bM_2$ )  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- ( $bM_3$ )  $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *Since a metric space turns into a  $b$ -metric space by taking the constant  $s = 1$ , the class of  $b$ -metric spaces is larger than the class of metric spaces.*

The following example shows that there exists a  $b$ -metric which is not a metric.

**Example 1.1.** *Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with  $0 < a < 2b < c$  and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by*

$$d(a, b) = b, \quad d(a, c) = \frac{b}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad d(b, c) = c,$$

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Basic Sciences Faculty, University of Bonab, Bonab 5551761167, Iran.  
e-mail: hojat.afshari@yahoo.com, maryamatapour@yahoo.com;  
ORCID<sup>1,1</sup>: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1149-4336>, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6600-1562>;

<sup>2</sup> University of Dammam, Department of Mathematics, College of Education of Jubail, P.O: 12020, Industrial Jubail 31961, Saudi Arabia.  
e-mail: hmaydi@uod.edu.sa, ORCID<sup>2</sup>: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3896-3809>;

§ Manuscript received: June 07, 2016; accepted: January 12, 2017.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics Vol.7, No.2; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2017; all rights reserved.

with  $d(x, x) = 0$  and  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Notice that  $d$  is not a metric since  $d(b, c) > d(a, b) + d(a, c)$ . However, it is easy to see that  $d$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $s \geq 2$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $b$ -metric space  $X$  is said to be convergent if and only if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, we write  $\rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $b$ -metric space  $X$  is said to be Cauchy if and only if  $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . A  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges. In general, a  $b$ -metric is not continuous. The famous Banach contraction principle [7] infers that every contraction on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Jachymski [11] introduced the notion of a Banach  $G$ -contraction to generalize the Banach contraction principle as follows. Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. Consider  $\Delta$  the diagonal of the Cartesian product  $M \times M$  and  $G$  a directed graph such that the set  $V(G)$  of its vertices coincides with  $M$  and the set  $E(G)$  of its edges contains all loops; that is,  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ . Assume that  $G$  has no parallel edges. A mapping  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is called a Banach  $G$ -contraction if:

(i) for every  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (f(x), f(y)) \in E(G)$$

(ii) there exists  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

Now, let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. Take  $P_{b,cl}(X)$  the set of bounded and closed sets in  $X$ . For  $x \in X$  and  $A, B \in P_{b,cl}(X)$ , as in [8], we define

$$D(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a),$$

$$D(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} D(a, B).$$

Define a mapping  $H : P_{b,cl}(X) \times P_{b,cl}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A)\},$$

for every  $A, B \in CB(X)$ . Then the mapping  $H$  forms a  $b$ -metric.  $H$  is called as the Hausdorff  $b$ -metric induced by the  $b$ -metric  $d$ . The proof of the following lemmas can be found in [8].

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. For any  $A, B \in P_{b,cl}(X)$  and any  $x, y \in X$ , we have the following:*

- (1)  $D(x, B) \leq d(x, b)$  for any  $b \in B$ ,
- (2)  $D(x, B) \leq H(A, B)$ ,
- (3)  $D(x, A) \leq s(d(x, y) + D(y, B))$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty closed and bounded subsets of a  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$ . Choose  $q > 1$ . Then for all  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq qH(A, B)$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** [16] *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph such that  $V(G) = X$ .  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is said to be graph preserving if it satisfies the following:*

$$\text{if } (x, y) \in E(G), \text{ then } (u, v) \in E(G) \text{ for all } u \in Tx \text{ and } v \in Ty.$$

**Definition 1.3.** [16] *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph such that  $V(G) = X$ .  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is said to be  $g$ -graph preserving if it satisfies the following: for  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$\text{if } (g(x), g(y)) \in E(G), \text{ then } (u, v) \in E(G) \text{ for all } u \in Tx \text{ and } v \in Ty.$$

Let  $\Phi$  be set of all increasing and continuous functions  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\phi(ct) \leq c\phi(t) \quad \text{for all } c > 1.$$

Let  $s \geq 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_s$  the family of all functions  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{s^2})$ .

The notation of an  $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty contraction-type multivalued mapping in the setting of metric spaces was introduced by Karapinar and Samet [12, 13, 14]. Newly, Afshari et al. [1] proved some results on generalized  $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty contraction-type multivalued mappings. Precisely, they have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with a coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. Suppose that there exists  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\alpha(x, y)\psi(s^3H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(M(x, y)))\psi(M(x, y)) + L\phi(N(x, y)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\psi, \phi \in \Phi$  with

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), D(x, Tx), D(y, Ty), \frac{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}{2s}\}$$

$$\text{and } N(x, y) = \min\{D(x, Tx), D(y, Ty)\}.$$

Suppose also that

- (i)  $T$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible;
- (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $T$  is continuous or  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -regular.

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

Mention that the concept of  $\alpha$ -regularity is stated as follows.

**Definition 1.4.** [15] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .  $X$  is said  $\alpha$ -regular, if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x) \geq 1$  for all  $k$ .*

In this paper, we improve Theorem 1.1 by proving the existence of a coincidence point of single-valued and multivalued mappings in the class of  $b$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph, but without the function  $\alpha$ . We do not need the assumption that  $T$  is continuous to establish our main results.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS: THE CASE $s = 1$

Here, we treat the case  $s = 1$ . First, let  $\Psi$  be the set of all increasing and continuous functions  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying:

- (i)  $\psi(r + t) \leq \psi(r) + \psi(t)$  for all  $r, t > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\psi(ct) \leq c\psi(t)$  for all  $c > 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\psi(0) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph such that  $V(G) = X$  and the set  $E(G)$  of its edges contains all loops, that is,  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ . For  $g : X \rightarrow X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$ ,  $T$  is said to be a generalized  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -multivalued mapping provided that*

- (i)  $T$  is  $g$ -graph preserving;

(ii) for every  $x, y \in X$  such that  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , whenever there exists some  $L \geq 0$  such that for

$$M(g(x), g(y)) = \max\{d(g(x), g(y)), D(g(x), Tx), D(g(y), Ty), \frac{D(g(x), Ty) + D(g(y), Tx)}{2}\} \tag{1}$$

$$\text{and } N(g(x), g(y)) = \min\{D(g(x), Tx), D(g(y), Ty)\}, \tag{2}$$

we have

$$\psi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \theta(\psi(M(g(x), g(y))))\psi(M(g(x), g(y))) + L\phi(N(g(x), g(y))), \tag{3}$$

where  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\psi, \phi \in \Psi$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space with a directed graph  $G$ . Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective map and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is a generalized  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -multivalued mapping in  $(X, d)$ . Suppose also that

- (i) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), u) \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in Tx_0$ ;
- (ii) if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $(z, w) \in E(G)$  for all  $z \in Tx$  and  $w \in Ty$ .

Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  in  $X$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} g(x_k) \in Tx_{k-1} \\ (g(x_{k-1}), g(x_k)) \in E(G) \\ \{g(x_k)\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } X. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is surjective, there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $g(x_1) \in Tx_0$  and  $(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \in E(G)$ . Let  $q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}}$ . We have  $q > 1$ . Then

$$0 < D(g(x_1), Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) < qH(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

By Lemma 1.2, again  $g$  is surjective, so there exists  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $g(x_2) \in Tx_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) &< \psi(qH(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \leq q\psi(H(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \\ &\leq q\theta(\psi(M(g(x_0), g(x_1))))\psi(M(g(x_0), g(x_1))) + qL\phi(N(g(x_0), g(x_1))), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(g(x_0), g(x_1)) &= \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_0), Tx_0), D(g(x_1), Tx_1), \\ &\quad \frac{D(g(x_0), Tg(x_1)) + D(g(x_1), Tx_0)}{2}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1), \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1)}{2}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1), \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1)}{2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(g(x_0), g(x_1)) &= \min\{D(g(x_0), Tx_0), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\} \\ &\leq \min\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), d(g(x_1), g(x_1))\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In view of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1)}{2} &\leq \frac{[d(g(x_0), g(x_1)) + D(g(x_1), Tx_1)]}{2} \\ &\leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$M(x_0, x_1) \leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\}.$$

If  $\max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\} = D(g(x_1), Tx_1)$ , then by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)) &\leq \psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \\ &< \sqrt{\theta(\psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)))}\psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)) < \psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we obtain  $\max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\} = d(g(x_0), g(x_1))$  and so by (4),

$$\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \leq \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \quad (7)$$

Having in mind that  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))} < 1$ , so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}}d(g(x_1), g(x_2))\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}}\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) < \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since  $\psi$  is increasing, we have

$$d(g(x_1), g(x_2)) \leq \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}d(g(x_0), g(x_1)).$$

Recall that  $g(x_2) \in Tx_1$  and  $g(x_1) \notin Tx_1$ , so it is clear that  $g(x_2) \neq g(x_1)$ . Choose

$$q_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2)))}.$$

By (5) and (7), we have  $q_1 > 1$ . If  $g(x_2) \in Tx_2$ , then  $x_2$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ . Assume that  $g(x_2) \notin Tx_2$ . We get

$$0 < \psi(d(g(x_2), Tx_2)) \leq \psi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) < q_1\psi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)).$$

Hence, there exists  $g(x_3) \in Tg(x_2)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) &< q_1\psi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) \\ &\leq q_1\theta(\psi(M(g(x_1), g(x_2))))\psi(M(g(x_1), g(x_2))) + q_1L\phi(N(g(x_1), g(x_2))). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $M(g(x_1), g(x_2)) \leq d(g(x_1), g(x_2))$  and  $N(g(x_1), g(x_2)) = 0$ . By (7) and a property of  $(\theta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) &\leq \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))))}\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))))}\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By (7) and that assumption that  $\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))} < 1$ , we have

$$\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \leq \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))).$$

The function  $\theta$  is increasing, by (9), we obtain

$$\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \leq (\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))})^2\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \quad (11)$$

Again, by (8),

$$d(g(x_2), g(x_3)) \leq (\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))})^2d(g(x_0), g(x_1))$$

It is clear that  $g(x_2) \neq g(x_1)$ . Take

$$q_2 = \frac{(\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(x_0, x_0)))})^2 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3)))}$$

Then  $q_2 > 1$ . If  $g(x_3) \in Tx_3$ , then  $x_3$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ . Assume that  $g(x_3) \notin Tx_3$ . Then

$$0 < \psi(d(g(x_3), Tx_3)) \leq \psi(H(Tx_2, Tx_3)) < q_2 \psi(H(Tx_2, Tx_3)).$$

Thus there exists  $g(x_4) \in Tx_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_3), g(x_4))) &< q_2 \psi(H(Tx_2, Tx_3)) \\ &\leq q_2 \theta(\psi(M(g(x_2), g(x_3)))) \psi(M(g(x_2), g(x_3))) + q_2 L \phi(N(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Similarly,  $M(g(x_2), g(x_3)) \leq d(g(x_2), g(x_3))$  and  $N(g(x_2), g(x_3)) = 0$ . So, by (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_3), g(x_4))) &\leq \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))))} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))))} (\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))})^2 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By (11) and the assumption  $\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}^2 < 1$ , we have

$$\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \leq \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))).$$

Again,  $\theta$  is increasing, so using (13),

$$d(g(x_3), g(x_4)) \leq (\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))})^3 d(g(x_0), g(x_1)).$$

It is clear that  $g(x_3) \neq g(x_2)$ . Put

$$q_3 = \frac{(\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))})^3 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3)))}$$

Then  $q_3 > 1$ . By continuing this process, we are arrived to construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $g(x_n) \in Tx_{n-1}$ ,  $g(x_n) \neq g(x_{n-1})$  and

$$d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1})) < (\sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))})^n d(g(x_0), g(x_1))$$

for all  $n$ . Let  $t = \sqrt{\theta(\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))))}$ , then  $0 < t < 1$ . For  $n < m$ , by the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(x_n), g(x_m)) &\leq d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1})) + d(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_{n+2})) + \dots \\ &\quad + d(g(x_{m-2}), g(x_{m-1})) + d(g(x_{m-1}), g(x_m)) \\ &\leq t^n (1 + t + t^2 + \dots) d(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \\ &= \left(\frac{t^n}{1-t}\right) d(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $n < m$ , we obtain

$$d(g(x_n), g(x_m)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We deduce

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(x_n), g(x_m)) = 0.$$

Thus  $\{g(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . The proof is completed. □

The following hypothesis is required for the rest.

Hypothesis (A): For any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ , if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{n_k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(x_{n_k}, x) \in E(G)$  for  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space with a directed graph  $G$ . Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective map and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is  $g$ -graph preserving. Suppose that  $T$  is a generalized  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -multivalued mapping in  $(X, d)$ . Assume also that

- (i) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), u) \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in Tx_0$ ;
- (ii) if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $(z, w) \in E(G)$  for all  $z \in Tx, w \in Ty$ ;
- (iii) the hypothesis (A) holds.

Then there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $g(u) \in Tu$ , that is,  $u$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ .

*Proof.* By (i), let  $x_0 \in X$  be such that  $(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \in E(G)$  for some  $g(x_1) \in Tx_0$ . From Lemma 2.1, there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  in  $X$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$g(x_k) \in Tx_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (g(x_{k-1}), g(x_k)) \in E(G).$$

$\{g(x_k)\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete, the sequence  $\{g(x_k)\}$  converges to a point  $w$  for some  $w \in X$ . Let  $u \in X$  be such that  $g(u) = w$ . In view of (iii), there is a subsequence  $\{g(x_{k_n})\}$  such that  $(g(x_k), g(u)) \in E(G)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that  $g(u) \in Tu$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(D(g(u), Tu)) &\leq \psi(d(g(u), g(x_{k_n})) + D(g(x_{k_n}), Tu)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(g(u), g(x_{k_n}))) + \psi(D(g(x_{k_n}), Tu)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(g(u), g(x_{k_n}))) + \psi(H(Tx_{k_n}, Tu)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(g(u), g(x_{k_n}))) + \theta(\psi(M(g(x_{k_n}), g(u))))\psi(M(g(x_{k_n}), g(u))) \\ &\quad + L\phi(N(g(x_{k_n}), g(u))). \end{aligned}$$

Referring to (5) and (6),

$$M(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)) \leq d(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad N(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)) = 0.$$

Since  $\{g(x_{k_n})\}$  is subsequence of  $\{g(x_k)\}$ , it converges to  $g(u)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $D(g(u), Tu) = 0$ . Since  $Tu$  is closed, we conclude that  $g(u) \in Tu$ , that is,  $u$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  be endowed with the usual metric  $d$ . Consider the directed graph  $G$  defined by  $V(G) = X$  and

$$E(G) = \{(x, x), (0, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (0, \frac{1}{4}), (\frac{1}{4}, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}), (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) : x \in X\}.$$

Let  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  be defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{4}\} & \text{if } x = 1, \\ \{0, \frac{1}{2}\} & \text{if } x \in (0, 1) - \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}, \\ \{\frac{1}{2}\} & \text{if } x \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $g(x) = x^2$ . Consider  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\theta(t) = \frac{t+1}{t+2}$ . Then it is easy to check that  $T$  is a  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -multivalued mapping. It is straightforward to check that the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. On the other hand, if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $H(Tg(x), Tg(y)) = 0$ . Hence, if for all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then

$$\psi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \theta(\psi(M(g(x), g(y))))\psi(M(g(x), g(y))) + L\phi(N(g(x), g(y))).$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $g(u) \in Tu$ . In this example,  $u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ .

3. MAIN RESULTS: THE CASE  $s > 1$

Here, we consider the case  $s > 1$ . First, we introduce the notion of a  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -contraction multivalued mapping in the setting of  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with a directed graph  $G$  and with a coefficient  $s > 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. We say that  $T$  is a generalized  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -contraction multivalued mapping in the  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  provided that

- (i)  $T$  is  $g$ -graph preserving;
- (ii) for every  $x, y \in X$  such that  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , whenever there exists some  $L \geq 0$  such that for

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(g(x), g(y)), D(g(x), Tx), D(g(y), Ty), \frac{D(g(x), Ty) + D(g(y), Tx)}{2s}\}$$
(14)

$$\text{and } N(g(x), g(y)) = \min\{D(g(x), Tx), D(g(y), Ty)\},$$
(15)

we have

$$\psi(s^3 H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi(M(g(x), g(y))))\psi(M(g(x), g(y))) + L\phi(N(g(x), g(y))),$$
(16)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\psi, \phi \in \Psi$ .

**Remark 3.1.** The functions belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$  are strictly smaller than  $\frac{1}{s^2}$ . Then, the expression  $\beta(\psi(M(g(x), g(y))))$  in (16) satisfies

$$\beta(\psi(M(g(x), g(y)))) < \frac{1}{s^2} \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with a directed graph  $G$  and with a coefficient  $s > 1$ . Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective map and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is  $g$ -graph preserving. Suppose also that  $T$  is a generalized  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -contraction multivalued mapping in  $(X, d)$ . Assume that

- (i) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), u) \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in Tx_0$ ;
- (ii) if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $(z, w) \in E(G)$  for all  $z \in Tx$  and  $w \in Ty$ .

Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  in  $X$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} g(x_k) \in Tx_{k-1} \\ (g(x_{k-1}), g(x_k)) \in E(G) \\ \{g(x_k)\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } X. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is surjective, there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $g(x_1) \in Tx_0$  and  $(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \in E(G)$ . Let us take a real  $q$  such that  $1 < q < s$ . Then

$$0 < D(g(x_1), Tx_1) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) < qH(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

Hence, By Lemma 1.2 and regarding again as  $g$  is surjective, there exists  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $g(x_2) \in Tx_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) &< \psi(qH(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \leq q\psi(s^3 H(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \\ &\leq q\beta(\psi(M(g(x_0), g(x_1))))\psi(M(g(x_0), g(x_1))) + qL\phi(N(g(x_0), g(x_1))) \\ &< \frac{q}{s^2}\psi(M(g(x_0), g(x_1))) + qL\phi(N(g(x_0), g(x_1))), \end{aligned}$$
(17)



where

$$\begin{aligned} M(g(x_0), g(x_1)) &= \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_0), Tx_0), D(g(x_1), Tx_1), \\ &\quad \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1) + D(g(x_1), Tx_0)}{2s}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1), \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1)}{2s}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1), \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1)}{2s}\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(g(x_0), g(x_1)) &= \min\{D(g(x_0), Tx_0), D(g(x_1), Tx_0)\} \\ &\leq \min\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), d(g(x_1), g(x_1))\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D(g(x_0), Tx_1)}{2s} &\leq \frac{[d(g(x_0), g(x_1)) + D(g(x_1), Tx_1)]}{2s} \\ &\leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$M(x_0, x_1) \leq \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\}.$$

If  $\max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\} = D(g(x_1), Tx_1)$ , then by (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)) &\leq \psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \\ &< \frac{q}{s^2} \psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)) < \psi(D(g(x_1), Tx_1)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), D(g(x_1), Tx_1)\} = d(g(x_0), g(x_1))$ , and so by (17),

$$\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \leq \frac{q}{s^2} \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \quad (20)$$

Since  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\frac{q}{s^2} < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{s^2}{q} d(g(x_1), g(x_2))\right) \\ \leq \frac{s^2}{q} \psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \leq \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The function  $\psi$  is increasing, so

$$d(g(x_1), g(x_2)) \leq \frac{q}{s^2} d(g(x_0), g(x_1)).$$

Recall that  $g(x_2) \in Tx_1$  and  $g(x_1) \notin Tx_1$ , so it is clear that  $g(x_2) \neq g(x_1)$ . Put

$$q_1 = \frac{q}{s^2} \frac{\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2)))}.$$

By (18) and (20), we have  $q_1 > 1$ . If  $g(x_2) \in Tx_2$ , then  $x_2$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ . Assume that  $g(x_2) \notin Tx_2$ . Then,

$$0 < \psi(d(g(x_2), Tx_2)) \leq \psi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) < q_1 \psi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)).$$

Hence, there exists  $g(x_3) \in Tx_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) &< q_1\psi(s^3H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) \\ &\leq q_1\beta(\psi(M(g(x_1), g(x_2))))\psi(M(g(x_1), g(x_2))) + q_1L\phi(N(g(x_1), g(x_2))). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $M(g(x_1), g(x_2)) \leq d(g(x_1), g(x_2))$  and  $N(g(x_1), g(x_2)) = 0$ . So, in addition to (20), by a property of  $(\beta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) &\leq \frac{q}{s^2} \frac{\psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2)))} \psi(d(g(x_1), g(x_2))) \\ &= \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^2 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Again, by (21), we obtain

$$d(g(x_2), g(x_3)) \leq \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^2 d(g(x_0), g(x_1))$$

It is clear that  $g(x_2) \neq g(x_3)$ . Let

$$q_2 = \frac{\left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^2 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3)))}.$$

Then  $q_2 > 1$ . If  $g(x_3) \in Tx_3$ , then  $x_3$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ . Assume that  $g(x_3) \notin Tx_3$ . Then,

$$0 < \psi(d(g(x_3), Tx_3)) \leq \psi(H(Tx_2, Tx_3)) < q_2\psi(s^3H(Tx_2, Tx_3)).$$

Thus, there exists  $g(x_4) \in Tx_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_3), g(x_4))) &< q_2\psi(s^3H(Tx_2, Tx_3)) \\ &\leq q_2\beta(\psi(M(g(x_2), g(x_3))))\psi(M(g(x_2), g(x_3))) + q_2L\phi(N(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Similarly  $M(g(x_2), g(x_3)) \leq d(g(x_2), g(x_3))$  and  $N(g(x_2), g(x_3)) = 0$ . So, by (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(g(x_3), g(x_4))) &\leq \frac{q_2}{s^2} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^3 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3)))} \psi(d(g(x_2), g(x_3))) \\ &= \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^3 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1))). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Again, by (21),

$$d(g(x_3), g(x_4)) \leq \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^3 d(g(x_0), g(x_1)).$$

Put

$$q_3 = \frac{\left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^3 \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))}{\psi(d(g(x_3), g(x_4)))}.$$

Then  $q_3 > 1$ . By continuing this process, we are arrived to construct a sequence  $\{g(x_n)\}$  in  $X$  such that  $g(x_n) \in Tx_{n-1}$  and  $g(x_n) \neq g(x_{n-1})$ . Also,

$$d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1})) < \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^n \psi(d(g(x_0), g(x_1)))$$

for all  $n$ . Now, using the triangle inequality, we write for  $n < m$

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(x_n), g(x_m)) &\leq sd(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1})) + s^2 d(g(x_{n+1}), g(x_{n+2})) + \dots \\ &\quad + s^{m-n-2} [d(g(x_{m-2}), g(x_{m-1})) + d(g(x_{m-1}), g(x_m))] \\ &\leq s \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^n (1 + s \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right) + s^2 \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^2 + \dots) d(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \\ &= \left[ \frac{s \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)^n}{1 - s \left(\frac{q}{s^2}\right)} \right] d(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by symmetry

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(g(x_n), g(x_m)) = 0.$$

We deduce that  $\{g(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . □

Our main result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with a directed graph  $G$  and with a coefficient  $s > 1$ . Suppose that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective map and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is  $g$ -graph preserving. Assume also that  $T$  is a generalized  $g$ -Geraghty-type  $G$ -contraction multivalued mapping in  $(X, d)$ . Suppose that*

- (i) *there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), u) \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in Tx_0$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $(z, w) \in E(G)$  for all  $z \in Tx$  and  $w \in Ty$ ;*
- (iii) *(A) holds.*

*Then there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $g(u) \in Tu$ , that is,  $u$  is a coincidence point of  $g$  and  $T$ .*

*Proof.* By (i), choose  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), g(x_1)) \in E(G)$  for some  $g(x_1) \in Tx_0$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  in  $X$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$g(x_k) \in Tx_{k-1}, \quad (g(x_{k-1}), g(x_k)) \in E(G),$$

and  $\{g(x_k)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . The  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  is complete, so the sequence  $\{g(x_k)\}$  converges to a point  $w$  for some  $w \in X$ .  $g$  is surjective, then there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $g(u) = w$ . In view that (A) holds, there is a subsequence  $\{g(x_{k_n})\}$  such that  $(g(x_k), g(u)) \in E(G)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that  $g(u) \in Tu$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(D(g(u), Tu)) &\leq \psi(sd(g(u), g(x_{k_n})) + s^3 D(g(x_{k_n}), Tu)) \\ &\leq \psi(sd(g(u), g(x_{k_n}))) + \psi(s^3 H(Tx_{k_n}, Tu)) \\ &\leq s(\psi(d(g(u), g(x_{k_n})))) + \beta(\psi(M(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)))) \psi(M(g(x_{k_n}), g(u))) \\ &\quad + L\phi(N(g(x_{k_n}), g(u))). \end{aligned}$$

By (18) and (19), we obtain

$$M(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)) \leq d(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad N(g(x_{k_n}), g(u)) = 0.$$

Because  $\{g(x_{k_n})\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{g(x_k)\}$ , so it converges to  $g(u)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $D(g(u), Tu) = 0$ . Having in mind that  $Tu$  is closed, we conclude that  $g(u) \in Tu$ . □

#### 4. CONSEQUENCES

Taking  $L = 1$  and  $\psi(t) = t$  in (16), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with a directed graph  $G$  and with a coefficient  $s > 1$ . Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective map and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$  is*

$g$ -graph preserving satisfying the following:

if for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then

$$s^3 H(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(M(g(x), g(y)))M(g(x), g(y)).$$

Suppose also that

(i) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), u) \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in Tx_0$ ;

(ii) if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $(z, w) \in E(G)$  for all  $z \in Tx, w \in Ty$ ;

(iii) (A) holds.

Then there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $g(u) \in Tu$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with a directed graph  $G$  and with a coefficient  $s > 1$ . Assume that  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is a surjective map and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,d}(X)$  is  $g$ -graph preserving satisfying the following:

for all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then

$$\psi(s^3 H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \beta(\psi((d(g(x), g(y))))\psi(d(g(x), g(y))) + L\phi(N(g(x), g(y))),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\psi, \phi \in \Psi$  and

$$\text{and } N(x, y) = \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}. \quad (25)$$

Suppose also that

(i) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(g(x_0), u) \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in Tx_0$ ;

(ii) if  $(g(x), g(y)) \in E(G)$ , then  $(z, w) \in E(G)$  for all  $z \in Tx, w \in Ty$ ;

(iii) (A) holds.

Then there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $g(u) \in Tu$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Afshari, H., Aydi, H., and Karapinar, E., (2016), *Some fixed point results for multivalued mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*, East Asian Mathematical Journal, Vol.32, No. 3, pp.319-332.
- [2] Aydi, H., Karapinar, E., Bota, M.F., and Mitrović, S., (2012), *A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012:88.
- [3] Aydi, H., Felhi, A., and Sahmim, S., (2016), *On common fixed points for  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -contractions and generalized cyclic contractions in  $b$ -metric-like spaces and consequences*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9**, 2492-2510.
- [4] Aydi, H., Felhi, A., and Sahmim, S., (2015), *Common fixed points in rectangular  $b$ -metric spaces using  $(E.A)$  property*, Journal of Advanced Mathematical Studies, bf 8 (2) , pp.159-169.
- [5] Azam, A., Mehmood, N., Ahmad, J., and Radenović, S., (2013), *Multivalued fixed point theorems in cone  $b$ -metric spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl., 2013:582.
- [6] Bakhtin, I.A., (1989), *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **30**, PP.26-37.
- [7] Banach, S., (1922), *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, **3**, pp.133-181.
- [8] Czerwik, S., (1998), *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **46**(2), pp.263-276.
- [9] Czerwik, S., (1993), *Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*. Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostrav. **1**, pp.5-11.
- [10] Felhi, A., Sahmim, S., and Aydi, H., (2016), *Ulam-Hyers stability and well-posedness of fixed point problems for  $\alpha - \lambda$ -contractions on quasi  $b$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2016:1.
- [11] Jachymski, J., (2008), *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **136**, No. 4, pp.1359-1373.
- [12] Karapinar, E. and Samet, B., (2012), *Generalized  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **2012**, Article ID 793486, 17 pages.
- [13] Karapinar, E., (2014), *Fixed point theorems on  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings*, Filomat, pp.761-766.
- [14] Karapinar, E., (2014),  *$\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and some related fixed point results*, Filomat, pp.37-48.

- [15] Popescu,O., (2014), *Some new fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2014:190
- [16] Tiammee,J. and Suantai,S., (2014), *Coincidence point theorems for graph-preserving multivalued mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **70**, 2014:160.
- 
- 

**Hojjat Afshari** for the photograph and short biography, see TWMS J. Appl. and Eng. Math., V.6, No.2, 2016.

---



**Maryam atapour** got her PhD degree in mathematics from Shahid Madani University of Azabaidjan (Iran), in 2013 and now she is an assistant professor in the University of Bonab. Her research interests include combinatorics and graph theory.

---



**Hassen Aydi** recieved his M.S degree from University of Paris 6 (Pierre et Marie Curie, France) and his PhD from University of Paris 12 (Val de Marne, France), in 2001 and 2004, respectively. He was an assistant professor since 2005 in University of Monastir (Tunisia). He is an associate professor since january 2013 in University of Sousse (Tunisia). He is currently working in University of Dammam (Saudi Arabia). He is the author of several research papers, more than 130 papers. His research interests include Ginzburg-Landau model, nonlinear analysis, magnetic vorticity, fixed point theory, best proximity point theory, and operator theory. He is a highly cited researcher in 2015 and 2016 (from Thomson Reuters).

---

---