

www.biodicon.com

Biological Diversity and Conservation

ISSN 1308-8084 Online; ISSN 1308-5301 Print

10/1 (2017) 144-152

Research article/Araştırma makalesi

The rediscovery of Silene surculosa Hub.-Mor. endemic for Turkey

Metin ARMAĞAN^{*1}, Ali Savaş BÜLBÜL²

¹ Adnan Menderes University, Buharkent Vocational School, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Program, 09670, Buharkent, Aydın, Turkey

² Bartin University, Faculty of Science, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Bartin, Turkey

Abstract

Silene surculosa Hub.-Mor. (Caryopyllaceae) was first collected in 1951 by Huber-Morath from Pülümür-Mutu (Tunceli). After that it was lastly collected from Pülümür by Davis and Hedge. Based on these two samples, it was introduced to the scientific world by Huber-Morath in 1967. It is an endemic species that is known from just two localities close together. Despite the investigations till today, there was found no trace of this species in the nature, and thus it has been evaluated as Extinct (EX) according to the World Conservation Union Red List Categories. By this study, firstly, the species was recollected in nature. Secondly, its description was rewritten comprehensively. Thirdly, its pollens and seeds were examined under the SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) and LM (Light Microscope). Lastly, the new IUCN category for the species was suggested.

Key words: Tunceli, Caryophyllaceae, Silene surculosa, Turkey

Türkiye için endemik olan Silene surculosa Hub.-Mor. türünün yeniden keşfi

----- * ------

Özet

Silene surculosa Hub.-Mor. (Caryopyllaceae) ilk olarak 1951 yılında Huber-Morath tarafından Pülümür- Mutu (Tunceli) arasından toplanmıştır. Son olarak Davis ve Hedge tarafından Pülümür'den toplanmıştır. Bu iki örneğe dayanılarak 1967 yılında Huber-Morath tarafından bilim dünyasına tanıtılmıştır. Sadece birbirine yakın iki lokaliteden bilinen endemik bir türdür. Günümüze değin yapılan araştırmalarda izine rastlanamayan bu türün IUCN kriterlerine göre doğada yok olduğu kabul edilmiştir. Bu çalışmayla, tür doğada yeniden toplanmış, deskripsiyonu yeniden yazılmış, polen ve tohumları SEM (Taramalı Elektron Mikroskobu) ve LM (Işık Mikroskobu)'de incelenmiş, ayrıca tür için yeni IUCN kategorisi önerilmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Tunceli, Caryophyllaceae, Silene surculosa, Türkiye

1. Introduction

The Caryophyllaceae family has about 2,200 species of 86 genera all of the World (Bittrich, 1993) and it is represented by 494 species belong to 32 genera in Turkey (Özçelik and Muca, 2010). *Silene* L., the biggest genus of Caryophyllaceae, consists of 167 taxa in 31 sections and 72 of them are endemic to Turkey (Güner et al., 2012).

Silene surculosa Hub.-Mor. was firstly collected in 1951 from Pülümür by Huber-Morath. It is endemic and only known from two localities in the Flora of Turkey (Coode and Cullen, 1967). During my field works, we have ascertained six different populations along a line parallel to the Munzur Mountains.

This taxon was not found in studies carried on Tunceli and the other parts of Turkey until today (Yıldırımlı, 1995; Akgöz, 2013). Thus, this taxon was evaluated in category of EX that means no longer known to exist in the nature according to IUCN criteria (Ekim et al., 2000).

^{*} Corresponding author / Haberleşmeden sorumlu yazar: Tel.: +903785011000; Fax.: +903785011018; E-mail: metinarmagan@gmail.com © 2008 All rights reserved / Tüm hakları saklıdır BioDiCon. 349-0217

2. Materials and methods

All the samples belonging to *Silene surculosa*, were collected from Tunceli province during several excursions between 2014 and 2015. The specimen was determined using Flora of Turkey (Coode and Cullen, 1967) as a reference. Identification of the specimens was also confirmed by type photos in the herbaria of Geneva (G) and Kew (K). Descriptions of the species were re-written, based on its descriptions in Flora of Turkey (Coode and Cullen, 1967). Data about their ecology and newly proposed IUCN category are given (IUCN, 2014). All the specimens are kept in the herbarium of Adnan Menderes University (AYDN).

2.1. Light microscopy (LM)

By implementing Wodehouse (1935) method, pollen samples were taken from plants and then, slides were prepared by using basic fuchsine mixture. At least 30 pollen samples for the species were examined in LM and micrographs of these samples taken by Leica DM 750 digital imaging system. Different morphological characters of pollen parts, such as pollen diameter, pore diameter, distance between two pores and exine thickness, were measured by means of light microscopy. Seeds were examined in stereomicroscope and micrographs were taken with Olympus SZ2-LGB digital imaging system. After the examination of each species, measurements were done for seed shape, color and size. The seed terminology of Stearn (1992), Bojnanský and Fargašová (2007), Punt et al. (2007), Amini et al. (2011), and Mitra Arman (2013) was used.

2.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

For SEM, pollen grains and seeds were directly mounted on stubs and covered with gold and surface ornamentations of pollen grains were examined in detail with Jeol Tescan MAIA3 XMU model electron microscope in Bartin University Central Research Laboratory. Shape classification follows Erdtman (1969), based on P/E ratio in Table 1.

3. Results

Silene surculosa was re-discovered in the present study. In this paper, description of the species was reidentified after many samples from different populations were examined. Differences between female and hermaphrodite flowers were not mentioned in the Flora of Turkey (Coode and Cullen, 1967). It was found that anthophore is hairy. In addition, stem length, leaf lengths, flower lengths, etc. of the plant have been determined again with this study.

Silene surculosa Hub.-Mor. in Notes R.B.G. Edinb. 28: 2 (1967) (Figure 1-3).

Perennial, gynodioecious plants. Stems decumbent to ascending, up to 30 cm, some parts retrorse-puberulent, the stock bearing numerous sterile rosettes. Leaves all petiolate, fleshy, mucronate, spathulate, papillose-ciliate along the margins, the cauline leaves with sterile shoots in their axils (7-12 mm); basal leaves smaller than stem leaves (5-7 mm). Inflorescence a few-flowered panicle (3-15-flowered), sometimes shortly glandular-puberulent. Calyx 7-8 mm in functionally female flowers, 10-11 mm in hermaphrodite flowers, violet, densely glandular-pubescent. Petals white, the claw ciliate, c. 8 mm in functionally female flowers, c. 12 mm in hermaphrodite flowers. Anthophore hairy, 1.5-2.0 mm in functionally female flowers, 4.0-4.5 mm in hermaphrodite flowers. Capsule ovoid, 5 mm, included in the calyx.

Type: Turkey. B7Tunceli: Pülümür-Mutu, Serpentinschutt 8 km ob Mutu, 1760 m, 26 vi 1951, *Huber-Morath* 11170 (holo. G-00000093!).

Paratype: Turkey. B7Tunceli: above Pülümür, 1850 m, stony igneous mountainside, 08.06.1957, Davis & Hedge 29289 (K-000728686!).

Examined specimens: *Silene surculosa* Hub.-Mor.: B7Tunceli: Pülümür, 11 km to northwest of Ardıçlı (Gersunut) village, Munzur mountains (near to border of Erzincan province), 2380 m, 18.06.2014, *Armağan 4900*; B7Tunceli: Ovacık, 6. km from Işıkvuran to Eskigedik village, 2250 m, 19.06.2014, *Armağan 4917*; B7Tunceli: Ovacık, 5. km from Ovacik-Tunceli road to Yakatarla village, 1620 m, 04.06.2014, *Armağan 4222*; B7Tunceli: Pülümür, 2.5 km from Kocatepe to Sarıgül village, 1970 m, 05.06.2014, *Armağan 4345*; B7Tunceli: Ovacık, 17 km from Ovacık to Hozat district, the east of Halitpınar village, 1895 m, 17.06.2014, *Armağan 4719*; B7Tunceli: Pülümür, 7 km from Pülümür to Tunceli, 1841 m, steppe, 29.05.2015, *Armağan 6496*.

Flowering and fruiting time: June

Distributions and Habits: *Silene surculosa* is an endemic species growing on serpentine rocks and alpine meadows at 1620-2380 m a.s.l. in Tunceli (Figure 4).

Conservation Status: *Silene surculosa* only occurs in Tunceli province (Turkey) where covers an area of about 1400 km². There is a distance about 65 km between two farthest localities of *S. surculosa*. The estimating distance between each small population is 10 km. We suggest that the *S. surculosa* should be placed under Endangered

[B1, B2 (a, b (iii))] category according to IUCN. The reason behind this suggestion is that Area of Occupancy (AOO) is less than 20 km² and the population is fragmented severally. (IUCN, 2014).



Figure 1. The holotype of Silene surculosa (G-00000093!)



Figure 2. The photo of *Silene surculosa* in nature



Figure 3. Silene surculosa (Armağan 4345): a) Habit, b) Basal leaves, c) Calyx, d) Hermaphrodite flower, e) Capsule

3.1. Pollen morphology

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical and isopolar. Polar axes is $30.57(23.70-41.09) \mu m$, equatorial axes is 29.91 (22.75-40.68) μm . Pollen shape is P/E:1.02, subprolate. The pollen grains are operculate and pantoporate, generally 11-28 porate. Pores polar length of 4.58 (3.15-7.55) μm , equatorial width 4.15 (2.03-8.58) μm (plg/plt):1.1, prolate-spheroidal. There are 10-15 conical spinules on operculum. Distance between two pori is 4.88 (2.56-7.78) μm . The exine is tectate and 1.86 (1.09-2.63) μm in thickness. Intine thickness is 0.54 (0.43-0.6) μm . Pollen ornamentation was scabrate-punctate. There were 45-70 spinules in 100 μm^2 area. Supratectal spinules are 0.36 (μm) (see table 1, figure 5-6).

Table 1. Pollen morphology of species Silene surculosa

Mean 30.57 (23.70-41.09) Median 29.3 Variation 19.84 Standard error 0.99 Standard deviation 4.45 Meain 29.91 (22.75-40.68) Median 28.63 Equatorial axes (μ m) Standard error 1.04 Standard error 1.04 Standard deviation 4.67 Mean 1.86 (1.09-2.63) Median 1.86 (1.09-2.63) Median 1.81 Exine thickness (μ m) Variation 0.14 Standard deviation 0.37 Mean 0.54 (0.43-0.6) Mean 0.55 Variation 0.003 Standard deviation 0.53 Mean 4.58 (3.15-7.55) Median 4.04 Variation 1.65 Standard error 0.2873 Standard deviation 1.28 Median 3.7 Pore length (μ m) Variation 1.65 Standard error 0.2873<	able 1. Pollen morphology	· ·	
Polar axes (μm) Variation 19.84 Standard error 0.99 Standard deviation 4.45 Mean 29.91 (22.75-40.68) Median 28.63 Variation 21.839 Standard error 1.04 Standard deviation 4.67 Mean 1.86 (1.09-2.63) Median 1.81 Exine thickness (μm) Variation Variation 0.14 Standard deviation 0.37 Mean 0.54 (0.43-0.6) Mean 0.54 (0.43-0.6) Mean 0.55 Variation 0.003 Standard error 0.0011 Standard deviation 0.53 Mean 4.58 (3.15-7.55) Median 4.04 Variation 1.65 Standard error 0.2873 Standard error 0.2873 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Polar axes (µm)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
Median 28.63 Equatorial axes (µm) Variation 21.839 Standard error 1.04 Standard deviation 4.67 Mean 1.86 (1.09-2.63) Median 1.81 Exine thickness (µm) Variation Standard error 0.08 Standard deviation 0.37 Mean 0.54 (0.43-0.6) Median 0.55 Variation 0.003 Standard error 0.011 Standard deviation 0.53 Median 4.58 (3.15-7.55) Median 4.04 Variation 1.65 Standard error 0.2873 Standard error 0.2873 Standard error 0.2682 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.28 Standard error 0.28 Standard error 0.28 Standard deviation 1.637 Mean <td></td> <td></td>			
Equatorial axes (μm) Variation 21.839 Standard error 1.04 Standard deviation 4.67 Mean 1.86 (1.09-2.63) Median 1.81 Variation 0.14 Standard deviation 0.37 Mean 0.54 (0.43-0.6) Median 0.53 Intine thickness (μm) Variation 0.003 Standard error 0.0011 Standard error 0.0011 Standard deviation 0.53 Mean 4.58 (3.15-7.55) Mean 4.58 (3.15-7.55) Mean 4.64 Variation 1.65 Standard error 0.2873 Standard deviation 1.28 Mean 4.15 (2.03-8.58) Median 3.7 Variation 2.682 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.3662 Standard error 0.2862 Standard error 0.268	Equatorial axes (µm)		29.91 (22.75-40.68)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Median	28.63
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Variation	21.839
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Mean} & 1.86 (1.09-2.63) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 1.81 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 0.14 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.08 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 0.37 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 0.54 (0.43-0.6) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 0.55 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 0.003 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.011 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 0.53 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 0.53 \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.08 (3.15-7.55) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.04 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.65 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.2873 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 3.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 2.682 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.288 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm2)} & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline \m$		Standard error	1.04
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Standard deviation	4.67
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Mean	1.86 (1.09-2.63)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Median	1.81
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Exine thickness (µm)	Variation	0.14
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	Standard error	0.08
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Median} & 0.55 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 0.003 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.011 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 0.53 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.58 (3.15-7.55) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.04 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.65 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.2873 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.15 (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 3.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 2.682 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.637 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.9095 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm2)} & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \ \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline \mbox{Subprolate} & \ \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline Subprolat$			0.37
$ \begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Intime thickness (μm$)} & \hline Median & 0.55 \\ \hline Variation & 0.003 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.011 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 0.53 \\ \hline Mean & 4.58 (3.15-7.55) \\ \hline Median & 4.04 \\ \hline Variation & 1.65 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.2873 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.28 \\ \hline Mean & 4.15 (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline Median & 3.7 \\ \hline Variation & 2.682 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.3662 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.637 \\ \hline Mean & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline Median & 4.9095 \\ \hline Variation & 1.568 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.28 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.28 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.125 \\ \hline Pore number & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline Spinules on operculum \\ \hline Spinules (100 \ \mu\text{m}^2) & 45-70 \\ \hline Plg/plt & 1.1 \\ \hline Operculum shape & Prolate-spheroidal \\ \hline Pollen shape & Subprolate \\ \hline \end{array}$			0.54 (0.43-0.6)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Median	
Standard error 0.011 Standard deviation 0.53 Mean 4.58 (3.15-7.55) Median 4.04 Variation 1.65 Standard error 0.2873 Standard deviation 1.28 Mean 4.15 (2.03-8.58) Median 3.7 Voriation 2.682 Standard error 0.3662 Standard deviation 1.637 Mean 4.88 (2.56-7.78) Median 4.9095 Pore distance (µm) Variation 1.568 Standard error 0.28 Standard deviation 1.125 Pore number 20 (11-28) Spinules on operculum 10-15 Spinules on operculum 10-15 Spinules (100 µm ²) 45-70 Plg/plt 1.1 Operculum shape Prolate-spheroidal Pollen shape Subprolate	Intine thickness (µm)		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Mean} & 4.58 \ (3.15-7.55) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.04 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.65 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.2873 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.15 \ (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 3.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 2.682 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.637 \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.88 \ (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.9095 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 \ (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm2)} & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \ \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline S$			
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Median} & 4.04 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.65 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.2873 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.15 (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 3.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 2.682 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.637 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.9095 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm2)} & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \ \mbox{Subprolate} \\ \hline \mbox{Subprolate} & \ \mbo$			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Standard error & 0.2873 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.28 \\ \hline Mean & 4.15 (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline Median & 3.7 \\ \hline Variation & 2.682 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.3662 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.637 \\ \hline Mean & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline Median & 4.9095 \\ \hline Variation & 1.568 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.28 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.28 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.125 \\ \hline Pore number & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline Spinules on operculum & 10-15 \\ \hline Spinules (100 \ \mu m^2) & 45-70 \\ \hline Plg/plt & 1.1 \\ \hline Operculum shape & Prolate-spheroidal \\ \hline Pollen shape & Subprolate \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Pore length (um)		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Standard deviation & 1.28 \\ \hline Mean & 4.15 (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline Median & 3.7 \\ \hline Variation & 2.682 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.3662 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.637 \\ \hline Mean & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline Median & 4.9095 \\ \hline Variation & 1.568 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.28 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.125 \\ \hline Pore number & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline Spinules on operculum & 10-15 \\ \hline Spinules (100 \ \mu m^2) & 45-70 \\ \hline Plg/plt & 1.1 \\ \hline Operculum shape & Prolate-spheroidal \\ \hline Pollen shape & Subprolate \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Pore length (µm)		
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Mean} & 4.15 \ (2.03-8.58) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 3.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 2.682 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.637 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.88 \ (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.9095 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 \ (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm$^2)} & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \mbox{Subprolate} \end{array}$			
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Median} & 3.7 \\ \hline \mbox{Wariation} & 2.682 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.3662 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.637 \\ \hline \mbox{Mean} & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 4.9095 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm$^2)} & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \mbox{Subprolate} \end{array}$	Done width (um)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Standard deviation & 1.637 \\ \hline Mean & 4.88 (2.56-7.78) \\ \hline Median & 4.9095 \\ \hline Variation & 1.568 \\ \hline Standard error & 0.28 \\ \hline Standard deviation & 1.125 \\ \hline Pore number & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline Spinules on operculum & 10-15 \\ \hline Spinules (100 \ \mu m^2) & 45-70 \\ \hline Plg/plt & 1.1 \\ \hline Operculum shape & Prolate-spheroidal \\ \hline Pollen shape & Subprolate \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	i ore width (µm)		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Median} & 4.9095 \\ \hline \mbox{Median} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Variation} & 1.568 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard error} & 0.28 \\ \hline \mbox{Standard deviation} & 1.125 \\ \hline \mbox{Pore number} & 20 (11-28) \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules on operculum} & 10-15 \\ \hline \mbox{Spinules (100 μm}^2) & 45-70 \\ \hline \mbox{Plg/plt} & 1.1 \\ \hline \mbox{Operculum shape} & \mbox{Prolate-spheroidal} \\ \hline \mbox{Pollen shape} & \mbox{Subprolate} \end{array}$			
Pore distance (μm) Variation 1.568 Standard error 0.28 Standard deviation 1.125 Pore number 20 (11-28) Spinules on operculum 10-15 Spinules (100 μm²) 45-70 Plg/plt 1.1 Operculum shape Prolate-spheroidal Pollen shape Subprolate	Pore distance (µm)		
Standard error 0.28 Standard deviation 1.125 Pore number 20 (11-28) Spinules on operculum 10-15 Spinules (100 µm²) 45-70 Plg/plt 1.1 Operculum shape Prolate-spheroidal Pollen shape Subprolate			
Standard deviation1.125Pore number20 (11-28)Spinules on operculum10-15Spinules (100 µm²)45-70Plg/plt1.1Operculum shapeProlate-spheroidalPollen shapeSubprolate			
Pore number $20 (11-28)$ Spinules on operculum $10-15$ Spinules $(100 \ \mu m^2)$ $45-70$ Plg/plt 1.1 Operculum shapeProlate-spheroidalPollen shapeSubprolate			
Spinules on operculum10-15Spinules (100 μm²)45-70Plg/plt1.1Operculum shapeProlate-spheroidalPollen shapeSubprolate		Standard deviation	
Spinules (100 μm²)45-70Plg/plt1.1Operculum shapeProlate-spheroidalPollen shapeSubprolate			. ,
Plg/plt 1.1 Operculum shape Prolate-spheroidal Pollen shape Subprolate			
Operculum shapeProlate-spheroidalPollen shapeSubprolate			
Pollen shape Subprolate			
	Pollen dimension(P/E)		1.02
	Pollen class		
	Pollen aperture type		
	Supratectal spinules(µm)		
Pollen ornamentation Scabrate-punctate	Pollen ornamentation		Scabrate-punctate



Figure 4. The distribution map of Silene surculosa



Figure 5. SEM of pollen morphology of Silene surculosa: A) General appearance, B) Pore, C) Ornamentation



Figure 6. Light microscope micrographs of pollen grains of *Silene surculosa*: A) Polar view, B) Equatorial view

3.2. Seed morphology

The seed type of *Silene surculosa* is reniform-orbicular, seed length 1.227 (1.123-1.372) mm, seed width is 0.82 (0.6-1.035) mm. Seed color varies from brown or light brown. Seed surface type is slightly convex to straight and seed back is concave. Tubercles are mostly elongated. Seed surface granulation is medium, hylar zone type recessed, suture outline serrate (see table 2, figure 7-8).

Seed type	Reniform-orbicular	
Seed color	Brownish	
Seed surface type	Slightly convex to straight	
Seed back	Concave	
Surface granulation	Medium	
Shape of testa cell	Elongate	
Hylar zone type	Recessed	
Margin of testa cell	Serrate	
Seed length (mm)	1.227 (1.123-1.372)	
Seed width (mm)	0.82 (0.6-1.035)	
Seed length/width ratio (mm)	1.49	
Testa cell length (µm)	78-150	
Testa cell width (µm)	34-55	
Number of suture point per plate	20-38	
Ridge	Conspicuous ridge	

 Table 2. Seed morphology of species Silene surculosa



Figure 7. SEM of seed morphology of *Silene surculosa*: A) General appearance, B) Testa cells, C) Surface granulation and suture outline.



Figure 8. Stereo microscope micrographs of seed of Silene surculosa: A) Seed surface, B) Seed back, Scala bar=1 mm

4. Conclusions and discussion

Sect. *Spergulifoliae* Boiss. includes some perennials and usually gynodioecious taxon. Functionally female flowers' characteristics have shorter than hermaphrodite flowers of the same species. If collectors did not note the different sex forms in an area, as without enough material, the taxon of this section can easily be confused with each

other. Only carefully field studies can solve these taxonomic problems adequately. Because of this we prepared comprehensive description of *Silene surculosa* Hub.-Mor. by field observations and adequate samples.

Acknowledgements

We thank to Kader Varlık for providing images of seeds and pollens. Additionally, we really grateful to Ali Haydar Gürsönmez and Murat Özel to support for my fieldworks in Tunceli.

References

- Akgöz, Y. (2013). Türkiye Florası'na Ait *Silene* L. Cinsinin Tehlike Kategorileri ve Bulunan Yeni Türleri. Trakya Univ J Nat Sci, 14 (1), 31-42.
- Amini, E., Zarre, S., Assadi, M. (2011). Seed micro-morphology and its systematic significance in Gypsophila (Caryophyllaceae) and allied genera. Nordic Journal of Botany, 29, 660-669.
- Ataşlar, E., Erkara, İ. P., Tokur, S. (2009). Pollen morphology of some Gypsophila L.(Caryophyllaceae) species and its taxonomic value. Turkish Journal of Botany, 33, 335-351.
- Bittrich, V. (1993). Caryophyllaceae. *In:* Kubitzki, K., Rohwer, J., Bittrich, V. (Eds.) The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants, Vol. 2 ed. (pp. 230–231). Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Bojnanský, V., Fargašová, A. (2007). Atlas of seeds and fruits of Central and East-European flora: the Carpathian Mountains region. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Coode, M. J. E. and Cullen, J. (1967). Silene L. In: Davis PH (ed.), Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Vol. 2: (pp. 179-242). Edinburgh: Edinburgh Univ. Press.
- Dadandı, M. Y., Yıldız, K. (2015). Seed morphology of some Silene L.(Caryophyllaceae) species collected from Turkey. Turkish Journal of Botany, 39, 280-297.
- Ekim, T., Koyuncu, M., Vural, M., Duman, H., Aytaç, Z., Adıgüzel, N. (2000). Turkish Plants Red Data Book. Ankara: Doğal Hayatı Koruma Derneği ve Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi.
- Erdtman, G. (1969). Handbook of Palynology. New York: Hafner Publishing Co.
- Güner, A., Aslan, S., Ekim, T., Vural, M., Babaç, M. T. (2012). Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). İstanbul: Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanik Bahçesi and Flora Araştırmaları Derneği Press.
- Hong, S. P., Han, M. J., Kim, K. J. (1999). Systematic significance of seed coat morphology in Silene L. s. str. (sileneae-caryophyllaceae) from Korea. Journal of plant Biology, 42, 146-150.
- Huber-Morath, A. (1967). Materials for flora of Turkey XIII Silene L. Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden, 28, 1–7.
- IUCN (2014). Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria, Version 11. Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Subcommittee. http://www.iucnredlist.org/documents/RedListGuideli-nes.pdf. (accessed 24 January 2016)
- Kanwal, D., Abid, R., Qaiser, M. (2012). The seed atlas of Pakistan-VI. Caryophyllaceae. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 44, 407-424.
- Kaplan, A., Çölgeçen, H., Büyükkartal, H. N. (2009). Seed morphology and histology of some Paronychia taxa (Caryophyllaceae) from Turkey. Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 38, 171-176.
- Mitra Arman, A. G. (2013). Seed morphology diversity in some Iranian endemic Silene (Caryophyllaceae) species and their taxonomic significance. Acta Biologica Szegediensis, 57, 31-37.
- Özçelik, H., Muca, B. (2010). Ankyropetalum fenzl (Caryophyllaceae) Cinsine Ait Türlerin Türkiye'deki Yayılışı ve Habitat Özellikleri. Biyoloji Bilimleri Araştırma Dergisi, 3(2), 47-56.
- Poyraz, İ. E., Ataşlar, E. (2010). Pollen and seed morphology of Velezia L. (Caryophyllaceae) genus in Turkey. Turkish Journal of Botany 34, 179-190.
- Punt, W., Hoen, P., Blackmore, S., Nilsson, S., Le Thomas, A. (2007). Glossary of pollen and spore terminology. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 143, 1-81.
- Stearn, W. T. (1992). Botanical Latin (4th ed.). London: David and Charles.
- Wodehouse, R. P. (1935). Pollen Grains. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Yıldırımlı, Ş. (1995). Flora of Munzur Dağları (Erzincan-Tunceli). Ot Sistematik Botanik Dergisi, 2(1), 1-78.
- Yıldız, K. (2002). Seed morphology of Caryophyllaceae species from Turkey (north Anatolia). Pak J Bot, 34, 161-171.
- Yıldız, K., Minareci, E. (2008). Morphological, anatomical, palynological and cytological investigation on Silene urvillei Schott. (Caryophyllaceae). Journal of Applied Biological Sciences, 2, 41-46.

(Received for publication 6 January 2017; The date of publication 15 April 2017)