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Introduction

Bovine herpesviruses (BHVs) are prominent viral agents of cattle all around the world, which mainly causes subclinical cases including respiratory and reproductive infections. Bovine Herpesvirus Type 4 (BHV-4) has been thought to predominantly cause genital diseases rather than other herpesviruses, although it was first isolated from the respiratory tract of a calf with relevant symptoms.1,2 BHV-4 taxonomically belongs to genus Rhadinovirus, subfamily Gammaherpesvirinae, family Herpesviridae.3

Pathogenetic mechanism of BHV-4 and its reflection on the clinical presentation is not widely known according to previous reports.1,4,5 In performed studies so far, it has been emphasized that the vast majority of BHV-4 cases has trigger subclinical infections which leading infertility problems and losses of milk yield.1,3,5 Genetic variability also might induce different pathogenesis, clinical diseases and latency determination, thus, molecular investigation of BHV-4 in infertile, repeat breeder animals have crucial importance in terms of dairy health.1,4,7

BHV-4 has double-stranded DNA in length of 144 kb
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Abstract

Infertility and reproductive infections are the huge problems for the diary management throughout the world. Bovine herpesviruses act an enormous role in these complicated problems. Bovine Herpesvirus Type 1 (BHV-1) is the most outstanding herpesvirus causing genital and uterine tracts infections among other reproductive viral agents, however, Bovine Herpesvirus Type 4 (BHV-4) is also responsible in terms of similar symptoms and diseases. The main aims of the study are both to investigate the underlying potential presence of BHV-4 in subclinical uterine tract infection, and both to perform molecular and recombination analyses. A herd including 25 repeat breeder cows were investi-gated by BHV-4. Two out of them were determined BHV-4 infected after a series of Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCRs) tests which able to amplify partial Glycoprotein B (gB) and Thymidine Kinase (TK) gene regions. Obtained sequences were analyzed by using phylogenetic and recombinational software, and two Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree have been constructed. To results, novel Turkish BHV-4 sequences fell into Genotype I in both constructed Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees, however, no recombination evidence has been observed in relevant software. This report is one of the rare genotyping studies on BHV-4 from Turkey. This study showed that Turkish BHV-4 strains, as molecular, were highly probable to originate from European strains and might be observed in different clinical reflections. This suggests that BHV-4 should be deeply investigated by further molecular techniques and included in diagnostic panels for reproductive viruses.
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which has many critical gene regions for protein expression. Glycoprotein B (gB) and Thymidine Kinase (TK) are most significant gene regions and characterized by various molecular studies.6-8 gB is more conserved gene region rather than TK, accordingly, has been used for general diagnosis protocol.6,9 TK is preferred for molecular and phylogenetic studies, because of its close relationship with the host cell and being prone to molecular genetic changes.4,6 Three main genotypes based on TK, therefore, have been proposed by many researchers who have recently performed molecular and phylogenetic analyses in their papers.4,6,7,10 Genotype I has included Movar (33/63), reference strain from Europe, in which other sequences have been also Movar-like strains. Likewise, DN-599, reference strain of America, has fell into Genotype II which has other DN-599 like BHV-4 sequences. Genotype III has been consisted of some Latin America BHV-4 strains including MGAr, MGA696, etc.4,6,7,10

In Turkey, many serological investigations on BHV-4 have been performed, however, studies are limited and which genotypes have been circulated is unknown.11-17 In this study, therefore, it was aimed to investigate the potential presence of BHV-4 in a dairy cattle herd with reproductive problems (repeat breeding, infertility, postpartum infection). It was additionally intended to elucidate current molecular evolutionary status by phylogenetic and recombination analysis.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Uterine tract swabs were taken from 25 animals which have been consistently possessed reproductive problems (repeat breeding, infertility, postpartum infection) in a dairy herd. This small family dairy management had 67 cattle and located in Bozdogan, Aydin, province of the Southern Aegean region of Turkey. The ages of sampled animals ranged from two to five years that had reproductive problems in their history. Animals were only vaccinated with vaccines applied by public veterinary services. Vaccines against BVDV, BLV, and BHV-1 have not included in the mentioned vaccination program. All animals, except one, were healthy looking in the clinical observation. The mentioned animal had some clinical signs, which were hemorrhagic lesions around the mouth and muzzle area, anorexia, dehydration, diarrhea, pyrexia (Figure 1). Whole blood sample and nasal swab were also collected from this animal. This herd had been investigated by PCRs in a private diagnostic laboratory in terms of various reproductive infection agents including Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV), Bovine Herpesvirus Type-1 (BHV-1), Bovine Leukemia Virus (BLV), and all of them had been reported negative.

**Methods**

Viral genome was isolated by Phenol:Chlorofrom:ISOamylalcohol (25:24:1) method modified from Chomczynski and Sacchi18. Primers sets in PCR that could amplify partial gB and TK genes were modified based on reports of Wellenberg et al.19 and Verna et al.6, respectively. PCR protocols were presented as follows: initial denaturation (95°C 5min); amplification (94°C 30s, variable to Tm of primer pairs 30s, 72°C 50s) for 35 cycles; final extension (72°C 7min). Purified amplicons were sequenced by Sanger method. Sequences were cleaned from noisy and weak traces by using a software (Mega 10.0)20. To confirm the information of negativity of BHV-1, BLV and BVDV, we also performed PCR tests by each relevant primer pairs that globally used.21-23

Obtained sequence data batch were submitted to GenBank database by interface BankIt. Accession numbers have been assigned.
(TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan1-TK → MN173774; TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2-TK → MN173775; TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan1-g(B) → MN173776; TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2-g(B) → MN173777) and were presented in phylogenetic trees (Figure 2; 3). For phylogenetic analysis, relevant reference strain sequences were downloaded from GenBank. To construct maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree, Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano (HKY) and Tamura 3 (T92) models were determined as best model for gB and TK genes, respectively. 1000 bootstrap replicates were chosen for optimal calculation during tree construction.

Recombination detection program, RDP4, was used to reveal potential recombination in gB and TK gene. Herein, also, the distance plot algorithm has been run with representative strains from genotypes and obtained sequences in this study (Figure 4).

Figure 2: Maximum Likelihood tree based on glycoprotein B (gB) were constructed using Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model with 1000 bootstrap replicates. All Turkish sequences were determined in red-colored and novel Turkish sequences have been also marked with “/”. Three main genotypes have occurred and all Turkish sequences monophyletically localized to each other into Genotype I.

Figure 3: Maximum Likelihood tree based on thymidine kinase (TK) were constructed using Tamura 3 model with 1000 bootstrap replicates. All Turkish sequences were determined in red-colored and novel Turkish sequences have been also marked with “/”. Three main genotypes have occurred and all Turkish sequences monophyletically localized to each other into Genotype I.

Results
BHV-1, BVDV and BLV were found to be negative in all samples. Two out of twenty-five animals have been found BHV-4 positive, and both gene amplicons were available for phylogenetic and recombination assessment. Only vaginal swabs were found positive, on the other hand other samples (nasal and blood samples) were negative. After sequence analysis, obtained sequences were named as TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan1 and TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2. Both sequences (Bozdogan1 and Bozdogan2) were found 95%-99.7% identical to other BHV-4 sequences in GenBank database by using BLAST interface.

In phylogenetic assessment, both sequences monophyletically located on ML trees.
In gB ML unrooted tree, TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan1 located closer to prior Turkish and prominent reference sequences, whereas TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2 has drawn a separated branch in Genotype I (Figure 2). TK gene-based unrooted ML tree has generated similar tree topology, but this tree was separated into three major genotypes (Figure 3).

In RDP4, there was no recombinational evidence between new and reference sequences, available in GenBank, based on both gB and TK region. No unique changes have been found in toggle translation, however, distance plot based on nucleotide identities has indicated nucleotide differences (Figure 4). TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan1 had no shown any clinical symptoms, while TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2 had clinical symptoms including hemorrhagic lesions around the mouth and muzzle area, anorexia, dehydration, diarrhea, pyrexia.

**Discussion**

BHV-4 is one of the viral agents which has been substantially assumed to trigger reproductive problems in cattle all around the world. Despite this assumption, a wide variety of clinical symptoms including dermatitis, mastitis, vasculitis, respiratory tract disorders, has also been declared in previous reports by some researchers. One of them, a case report by Bellino et al claimed that BHV-4 might likely to be the reason for Dermatitis-Pyrexia-Hemorrhagic Syndrome (DPHS) in a cow with relevant symptoms. Intriguingly, TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2 similarly had a many of mentioned symptoms in the cow with DPHS although blood and nasal swab samples were negative in PCR test. This leads to be thought that BHV-4 proportionally causes reproductive problems rather than other symptoms as it stated before. Pathoclinical linking remains to be elucidated by further detailed analysis. BHV-4 might also be a triggering factor providing to be occurred relevant symptoms by major subclinical diseases. Therefore, exhibiting of the accurate mechanism for BHV-4 can be provided by various pathoclinical studies.

Existence of a wide variety of clinical symptoms of BHV-4 have leads to be investigated potential genetic diversity by comparing BHV-4 sequences from this study and Gen-Bank database. Within this aim, phylogenetic and recombination analyses have been carried out. gB and TK gene regions were focused due to a wide sequences data is available in Genbank. Alignment results indicated that there were no significant changes between BHV-4 sequences, however, it has been observed some nucleotide substitutions that might be effective on translational level. (Figure 4).

Notwithstanding, these point changes in BHV-4 nucleotide level have induced a putative genotyping in phylogenetic tree in both this and previous studies. Diversity and genotyping have been proportionally observed much more in TK based ML phylogenetic tree (Figure 3). gB has been mainly focused as recognizable region in BHV-4 lab-detection because of it is more conserved region, thus, it has less genotypes rather than TK based tree in phylogenetic assessment of this study (Figure 2). gB and TK tree had two and three major genotypes, respectively. In both phylogenetic trees, novel Turkish BHV-4 sequences of this study have localized in Genotype I, but TR/BHV-4/2018/Bozdogan2 has drawn a separated branch in this genotype. Surprisingly, diversity of nucleotide and distance rate in plot analysis between two sequences were more significant in gB based tree. Additionally, DN-599, the reference strain originated from America, was localized under Genotype I in gB phylogenetic tree, whereas, in TK tree was involved into Genotype II. Howsoever, novel Turkish BHV-4 sequences for this study have been involved into Genotype I as a sister taxon for European BHV-4 strains in both constructed trees (Figure 2;3). If this output has been combined by prior obtained results from Turkey, it might be claimed that BHV-4 strains belonging to Genotype I have predominantly circulated in Turkey.

Conducted phylogenetic trees and occurred genotypes in this study were in accordance with proposed genotyping model from the previous prominent reports. In contrast, a recent study by Areda et al. mentioned three and two genotypes for gB and TK, respectively, in their phylogenetic study. This indicates that deep sequencing and detailed phylogenetic analyses, including different gene regions, needs to be performed for the accurate knowledge on BHV-4 genotyping and recombination analysis.

To the author’s knowledge, one study which was presented in 2013 reported genotypes of BHV-4 circulating among Turkish cattle for the first time. All molecular data from the mentioned prior report is compatible with the results of this study. Both studies have revealed that almost all Turkish BHV-4 sequences belonged to Genotype I. Molecular data from previous studies were limited and not eligible rather than serological ones, thus, its comparison could not be comprehensively conducted in phylogenetic and recombination analyses.
Conclusions

According to results, Turkish BHV-4 sequences were predominantly clustered in Genotype I, however, some nucleotide changes existed. Collected materials should be subjected to next-generation sequencing, through by, quite a knowledge on both nucleotide and amino acid data will provide the most accurate evaluation. BHV-4 might possess a highly importance as the potential agent for the non-defined reproductive diseases, however, its genetic structure and pathogenesis have not been already clear. This limits to develop novel strategies for struggle and prevention to BHV-4 related reproductive diseases. Therefore, knowledge of genetic structure and prevalence of BHV-4 should be consistently updated, and BHV-4 should be strictly taken into account for the diagnosis of reproductive diseases.
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